## The Basis of a Long-Term Strategic Energy Plan

must address all security issues:

Environmental Security Energy Security Economic Security National Security Nuclear Non-Proliferation

Only a correct energy mix will approach total security

James Conca, Director Carlsbad Environmental Monitoring and Research Center New Mexico State University Nuclear Energy Summit October 8, 2008 Washington, D.C.



United States 50% coal 19% gas 19% nuclear 6% hydroelectric 6% other

CaliforniaNew Mexico21% coal88% coal41% gas10% gas13% nuclear2% other17% hydroelectric8% other

European Union 30% coal 18% gas 32% nuclear 11% hydroelectric 6% oil 3% other

India 75% coal 2% nuclear 20% hydroelectric 3% other





# Map of Global Energy Poverty

- 1.6 billion people have no access to electricity, 80% of them in South Asia and sub–Saharan Africa.
- 2.4 billion people burn wood and manure as their main energy source.
- 3 billion more people will be born by 2040

Source: ©2005 Kay Chernush for the U.S. Department of State



# With modern efficiencies, conservation and technologies, 3,000 kWh/year and technologies, 3,000 kWh/year is unnecessary and wasteful



80% of the world's population of over 6 billion people is below 0.8 on the U.N. Human Development Index (HDI)

### How much energy do we need by 2040? - what levels are needed to end poverty, war and terrorism, i.e., raise everyone up to 0.8 HDI?

|   | Energy/capita needed<br>to raise HDI to >0.8 | Approximate   | Annual<br>energy |  |
|---|--|---------------|------------------|--|
| Subpopulation group                               | or maintain at 0.9                           | subpopulation | requirement      |  |
| Industrialized world - cut to                     | 6,000 kWhrs/yr                               | 1,000,000,000 | 6 tkW-hrs        |  |
| Intermediate - maintain                           | 3,000 kWhrs/yr                               | 1,000,000,000 | 3 tkW-hrs        |  |
| Developing world - increase to                    | 3,000 kWhrs/yr                               | 4,000,000,000 | 12 tkW-hrs       |  |
| Those born by 2040 - achieve                      | 3,000 kWhrs/yr                               | 3,000,000,000 | 9 tkW-hrs        |  |
| Total Annual Global Energy Requirement 30 tkW-hrs |  |               |                  |  |

Out of the 15 trillion (tr) kWhrs of energy presently used in the world per year, fossil fuels provide about 10 trkWhrs, or two-thirds of the total energy consumed, while hydroelectric and nuclear split the rest.

In order to prevent increases in atmospheric  $CO_2$  and other effects such as drops in oceanic pH, fossil fuel production cannot increase significantly to fill this gap. Instead, renewables and nuclear must provide 20 trkWhrs of electricity by 2040, which is twice what all fossil fuel produces today.



### The Target $\rightarrow$ a Third, a Third and a Third - 1/3 fossil fuel, 1/3 renewables and 1/3 nuclear

This requires renewables and nuclear worldwide to quadruple over what anyone is expecting by 2040: a million 3+ MW wind turbines; over 1,700 new nuclear reactors; a 100 bbl of biofuels; 3 tkWhrs from solar



30 tkWhrs/yr A Target Sustainable Energy Distribution by 2040 (Power)

World (2040)



#### A Target Sustainable Energy Distribution by 2040 (Transportation)



Present Energy Distribution (Transportation)



### The most likely scenario given the direction of present investment and development

Dramatic increase in coal and development of unconventional fossil fuels

World (2007) 15 tkWhrs/yr

**Present Energy Distribution (Power)** 



World (2040) 30 tkWhrs/yr

#### An Industry Energy Distribution (Power)









25%

### India's planned power capacity



### **Renewables for 10 trillion kW-hrs**

1.1

Can Renewables generate 10 trillion kW-hrs/yr? This is the amount of energy presently supplied by all fossil fuels.





### In the United States

- Increase efficiency and conservation 1 tkWhrs by 2020
- Increase CAFE to 50 mpg by 2015 not 35 mpg by 2020
- develop plug-in capabilities fully-electric cars
- Embrace green building practices and new urbanization strategies that localize essential production, and reduce energy use and transportation - culture change
- Dramatically increase electric grid and distribution
  development new transmission infrastructure
- Plan resource stockpiling, e.g., steel, copper

by 2040, for energy security and economic stability, we need:

- 100,000 3+ MW wind turbines totaling 0.8 trillion kWhrs/year
- Concentrated and ordinary solar arrays totaling 0.5 trillion kWhrs/year
- 200 GenIII+ nuclear reactors, depending upon plug-in vehicle demand (~ 2 trillion kWhrs/year)
- 10 bbl/yr of biofuels from algae, cellulosics and high-efficiency biomass
- 0.8 trillion kWhrs/year from other geothermal, wave, tidal and biogas
- no new coal- or gas-fired power plants

### Construction & fuel costs to achieve a Third - Third - Third within the U.S. by 2040



### Once a Third - Third - Third is achieved, O&M costs per year for 2.2 trillion kWhrs will be quite different for each source:



O&M Costs for producing 2.2 trillion kWhrs/year (2008\$)

# The five biggest problems cited against nuclear energy are:

- 1. capital costs
- 2. operational risks
- 3. proliferation/terrorist attack
- 4. waste disposal
- 5. public fear and misperception









All have, or can be, addressed:

- 1. capital costs standardized units, removing punitive financing practices and regulatory delays, dramatically reduces costs
- 2. operational risks nuclear industry safety record best of any industry in the world
- 3. proliferation/terrorism use non-proliferable fuel and strategies/ nuclear reactors are one of the most terrorist-proof targets
- 4. waste disposal the WIPP site in New Mexico has shown that deep-geologic disposal of any nuclear waste is safe and cost-effective; the License Application for Yucca Mt has been docketed
- 5. public perception this can only be addressed by education and the media

## Number of Deaths in U.S. over the past 5 years\*

| iatrogenic (medicine gone wrong)      | 950,000 |  |
|---------------------------------------|---------|--|
| smoking                               | 760,000 |  |
| alcohol                               | 500,000 |  |
| automobile accidents                  | 250,000 |  |
| coal use (~50% of U.S. power)         | 30,000  |  |
| construction                          | 5,000   |  |
| hunting                               | 4,100   |  |
| police work                           | 800     |  |
| contraception                         | 750     |  |
| mining                                | 359     |  |
| nuclear industry (~20% of U.S. power) | 0       |  |

Activity

\*actuals, estimates

# **Energy Equivalence of Uranium**

## A 1,000 MW coal-fired power plant on average

- produces 4.8 billion kWhrs of electricity per year
- uses 3,500,000 tons of coal per year
- produces 8,000,000 tons of CO<sub>2</sub> per year
- produces 440,000 tons of haz waste per year



# **Energy Equivalence of Uranium**

## A 1,000 MW coal-fired power plant on average

- produces 4.8 billion kWhrs of electricity per year
- uses 3,500,000 tons of coal per year
- produces 8,000,000 tons of CO<sub>2</sub> per year
- produces 440,000 tons of haz waste per year

## A 1,000 MW nuclear power plant on average

- produces 8.1 billion kWhrs of electricity per year
- uses 29 tons of fuel (4% enriched) per year which can be recycled
- produces less than 1 ton of CO<sub>2</sub> per year
- produces less than 10 tons of haz waste per year
- produces about 5 tons of nuclear waste per year

Burning 1 ounce of uranium is equal to burning over 75 tons of coal





## What about the waste?

# First, there is not much of it.

All the nuclear waste in the world would fit into any high school football stadium.

In the United States: waste from all nuclear power (20% of U.S. power supply)



~ 2,000 tons solids generated each year

waste from all coal fired power plants (50% of U.S. power supply) generated each year 25

ts ~ 400,000,000 tons solids ~ 2,000,000,000 tons  $CO_2$ 25,000 tons of radwaste (emitted)



Second, 95% of spent fuel can be recycled. This greatly reduces the amount of waste and increases the amount of fuel.



Third, nuclear waste is the easiest hazardous material to measure and detect, and is easy to manage. No incidents have ever occurred during the transportation and disposal of nuclear waste, unlike that for *any other* hazardous material. Fourth, the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant has shown that deep geologic disposal of nuclear waste is safe and cost-effective.





The Salado salt formation can accept as much nuclear waste as the world can generate in the next 10,000 yrs.

# Waste Isolation Pilot Plant

U.S. Department of Energy facility

Designed for permanent disposal of transuranic radioactive waste

2,150 feet deep

Surficial Sand Dewey Lake Redbeds

Ruster Formation

540 ft

850 ft. 1000 ft.

3000 ft.

Salado Formalión

Waste Repository Level 2150 ft.



# Mining the Salado is the easiest and safest mining operation in the world



#### At the 2000 lbs/inch<sup>2</sup> pressure at this depth, the salt exhibits significant creep Snown are 10,000 rijclear waste drums and standard waste boxes filling closure, i.e., the salt completely closes all fractures and openings, even one of 56 rooms. As of June 2008, over 250,000 have been disposed micropores, making the salt extremely tight, such that water cannot move even fne performance period for this repository is over 200 million years. an inch in a billion years



## **Evolution of the WIPP Disposal Rooms (t = 0 yrs)**



## **Evolution of the WIPP Disposal Rooms (10-15 yrs)**



## **Evolution of the WIPP Disposal Rooms (1000 yrs)**



Courtesy of Frank Hansen, SNL

## 9-Year Snapshot of WIPP mid-2008

- 9 years of operation
- 95,000 loaded waste containers disposed
- 55,000 cubic meters of TRU waste disposed
- 275,000 fifty-five gallon drum equivalents
  - 5 waste panels mined out of 8 planned
  - 7 million miles driven on highways/roads (loaded)
  - 13 DOE sites cleaned of legacy TRU waste
  - 0 releases to the environment
  - 0 contaminated personnel
  - 21 consecutive years as NM Mine Operator of the Year

Sufficient capacity in the Salado for >10,000 years of nuclear waste disposal

Source: DOE CBFO



Nuclear waste drums to WIPP, mostly contaminated debris.



Nuclear waste generated by defense activities.

Nuclear waste stored at many sites awaiting disposal at WIPP.





### Environmental Monitoring of WIPP 26,000 ft<sup>2</sup> NMSU radiochemistry facility

- Environmental, radiochemistry and separations laboratories: perchloric acid hoods, IC, ICP-MS/OES, GC-MS, VOCs
- a plutonium-uranium lab and counting labs: over 100 α-specs, germanium γ-specs, gas proportional counters and liquid scintillation counters, UV-Vis spectroscopy, Nd–YAG laser, XRD, UFA
- bioassay facility with whole body dosimetry`





# **Routine Analyses**

## ◆ **Radionuclides** (generally to femtoCurie levels)

- <sup>228</sup>Ac,<sup>241</sup>Am,<sup>7</sup>Be,<sup>212</sup>Bi,<sup>213</sup>Bi,<sup>214</sup>Bi,<sup>144</sup>Ce,<sup>249</sup>Cf,<sup>60</sup>Co,<sup>134</sup>Cs, <sup>137</sup>Cs,<sup>152</sup>Eu,<sup>154</sup>Eu,<sup>40</sup>K,<sup>234m</sup>Pa,<sup>233</sup>Pa,<sup>210</sup>Pb,<sup>212</sup>Pb,<sup>214</sup>Pb,<sup>106</sup>Ru, <sup>125</sup>Sb, <sup>90</sup>Sr, <sup>208</sup>Tl,<sup>235</sup>U,<sup>241</sup>Am,<sup>238</sup>Pu,<sup>239,240</sup>Pu,<sup>228</sup>Th,<sup>230</sup>Th <sup>232</sup>Th, <sup>234</sup>U,<sup>235</sup>U,<sup>238</sup>U (and enrichment factors, HAT)

## Inorganics

- As, Ba, Be, Ca, Cd, Ce, Co, Cr, Cu, Dy, Er, Eu, Fe, Ga, Gd, Hg, K, La, Li, Mg, Mn, Mo, Na, Nd, Ni, Pb, Pr, Sb, Sc, Se, Si, Sm, Sn, Sr, Th, Ti, Tl, U, V, Zn
- Chloride, Fluoride, Nitrate, Nitrite, Phosphate, Sulfate

## Organics

- VOCs, head space gases, flammables
- 17 radionuclides monitored in lung and whole body (MDE < 6 keV)
- Other material properties (K, θ, G, D, κ, n, etc.)





 Activity variability from both alpha and beta follows seasonal cycles



# **Putting zero into perspective**

From the perspective of radiological effects, we <u>cannot</u> see

who works at WIPP who lives near WIPP that WIPP even exists

# **Putting zero into perspective**

From the perspective of radiological effects, we <u>cannot</u> see

who works at WIPP who lives near WIPP that WIPP even exists

But we <u>can</u> see:

**Smokers** (higher <sup>137</sup>Cs, U from tobacco seen in a statistical # of smokers) who breathed in dust from Chernobyl (<sup>137</sup>Cs, <sup>90</sup>Sr) when large dust storms occur in China (inorganics) who has big muscles (<sup>40</sup>K in muscle)

# **Global Nuclear Energy**



# Global Expansion of Nuclear Energy IS Happening



### ACGourplingNugkttrcfusih@jectyligbtleisepsonijkfigHfelopbadeNParlitifeEateogy having less than 5 reactors



The Salado Salt Formation in New Mexico has the best performance characteristics of any geologic formation in the world and is the only site having demonstrated operational success With ar Power Plant high activity waste



### Only the few supplier nations need to have, and can afford, a complete Nuclear Fuel Cycle -

France, U.K., Japan, India, Canada, Russia, China, Korea, U.S.

But the United States could provide the final step in the Fuelser materials free Buly number onetorials free Buly number onetorials free Buly number on the states of the source of the

### Advantages of a single global small-user repository

- Ethical Salado salt is best formation in world
- Small amount of nuclear waste worldwide (< 1 million meters<sup>3</sup>)
- Japan and Israel already demonstrated oceanic SNF shipping
- Least costly demonstrated operations and known costs
  - ~ \$100 billion over 50 years for all small-user countries (not France, Japan, Russia, U.K, China, India, S. Korea)
  - > \$500 billion if these countries developed their own disposal programs
- No U.S. taxpayer dollars funded by partner countries (even pays for our own program - NWFund can be used for R&D)
  - ~ \$2 billion per reactor for 50 years, > \$200 billion income over 50 years ~\$100 billion operating, \$100 billion for R&D and Gen IV development
- Proliferation only way to retain control of nuclear materials in a multi-user nuclear world without confrontation and the appearance of domination





in the Salado Salt

### **GNEP** Partners

(As of February 26, 2008) Australia Bulgaria **Candidate Partner and** Canada **Observer Countries** China Argentina France **Belgium** Ghana Hungary Brazil **Czech Republic** Italy Japan Egypt Finland Jordan Kazakhstan Germany Lithuania Libva Poland Mexico Romania Morocco Russia Netherlands Senegal Slovakia South Korea South Africa Slovenia Spain Ukraine Sweden **United Kingdom** Switzerland United States

Turkey



