

evaluation of the effectiveness of new and unique PFD designs. (\$495,034)

Carbon Monoxide Research: Under a Memorandum of Agreement between the Office of Boating Safety and the Department of Health and Human Services, U.S. Public Health Service, Federal Occupational Health Program, funding was provided to continue investigation into identifying and classifying additional recreational boating carbon monoxide related deaths and injuries. (\$100,000)

Fuel Cell Development: Funding was provided to explore the possibility of transferring fuel cell technology from land based units to marine propulsion use. (\$225,000)

Recreational Boating Safety (RBS) Outreach Program: Funding was provided for this program which provides full marketing, media, public information, and program strategy support to the RBS effort. The goal is to coordinate the RBS outreach campaigns some of which include: National Boating Under the Influence Campaign (BUI), You're in Command, PFD Wear, Vessel Safety Check Program (VSC), Boating Safety Education Courses, and other recreational boating safety issues on an as needed basis. (\$1,640,000)

Personnel Support: Funding was provided for personnel to support the development of new regulations, to support new contracting activities associated with the additional funding, and to monitor and manage the contracts awarded. (\$437,769)

A total of \$20,844,160 of the \$25,000,000 made available to the Coast Guard through annual transfers of \$5 million in fiscal years 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002 and 2003 has been committed, obligated or expended as of September 30, 2003. Of the \$5 million made available to the Coast Guard in fiscal year 2004, \$3,618,119 has been committed, obligated or expended and an additional \$1,437,134 of prior fiscal year funds has been committed, obligated or expended, as of September 30, 2004. Therefore, a total of \$25,899,413 of the \$30,000,000 made available to the Coast Guard through annual transfers of \$5 million in fiscal years 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003 and 2004 has been committed, obligated or expended as of September 30, 2004.

Dated: March 24, 2005.

James W. Underwood,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Director of Operations Policy.

[FR Doc. 05-6308 Filed 3-29-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Transportation Security Administration

Reports, Forms, and Record Keeping Requirements: Agency Information Collection Activity Under OMB Review; Flight Training for Aliens and Other Designated Individuals; Security Awareness Training for Flight School Employees

AGENCY: Transportation Security Administration (TSA), DHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces that TSA has forwarded the Information Collection Request (ICR) abstracted below to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance of an extension of the currently approved collection under the Paperwork Reduction Act. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden. TSA published a **Federal Register** notice, with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments, of the following collection of information on November 26, 2004, 69 FR 68952.

DATES: Send your comments by April 29, 2005. A comment to OMB is most effective if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be faxed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: DHS-TSA Desk Officer, at (202) 395-5806.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Katrina Wawer, Information Collection Specialist, Office of Transportation Security Policy, TSA-9, Transportation Security Administration, 601 South 12th Street, Arlington, VA 22202-4220; telephone (571) 227-1995; facsimile (571) 227-2594.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Transportation Security Administration (TSA)

Title: Flight Training for Aliens and Other Designated Individuals; Security Awareness Training for Flight School Employees.

Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved collection.

OMB Control Number: 1652-0021.

Form(s): NA.

Affected Public: Flight Schools, Candidates for Flight Training, and Flight School Employees.

Abstract: TSA requires FAA-endorsed flight schools to notify TSA when aliens or other individuals designated by TSA apply for flight training, and to provide certain identifying and training

information to TSA when for aliens and other individuals designated by TSA who apply for recurrent training, in accordance with 49 CFR part 1552 (69 FR 56324, September 20, 2004). TSA also has established standards relating to the security threat assessments TSA will conduct to determine whether such individuals are a threat to aviation or national security, and thus prohibited from receiving flight training. Finally, TSA has established standards relating to security awareness training for certain flight school employees, to include keeping records of all such training.

Number of Respondents: 23,000.

Estimated Annual Burden Hours: An estimated 342,000 hours annually.

TSA is soliciting comments to—

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed information requirement is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Issued in Arlington, Virginia, on March 24, 2005.

Lisa S. Dean,

Privacy Officer.

[FR Doc. 05-6301 Filed 3-29-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-62-P

INTER-AMERICAN FOUNDATION

Agenda for Meeting of the Board of Directors; Sunshine Act

March 18, 2005; 12:30 p.m.–2 p.m.

The meeting was held via a conference call.

The meeting was closed as provided in 22 CFR 1004.4(f) to discuss matters related to the evaluation of candidates for the position of President of the Inter-American foundation.

12:30 p.m. Call to order; Begin executive session.

2 p.m. Adjourn.

Agenda for Meeting of the Board of Directors, March 28, 2005; 3 p.m.–4:30 p.m.

The meeting will be held via a conference call.

The meeting was closed as provided in 22 CFR 1004.4(f) to discuss matters

related to the evaluation of candidates for the position of President of the Inter-American foundation.

3 p.m. Call to order; Begin executive session.

4:30 p.m. Adjourn.

Jocelyn Nieva,

Acting General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 05-6339 Filed 3-28-05; 10:00 am]

BILLING CODE 7025-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

Roanoke River National Wildlife Refuge

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability of the Draft Comprehensive Conservation Plan and Environmental Impact Statement for Roanoke River National Wildlife Refuge in Bertie County, North Carolina.

SUMMARY: This notice announces that a Draft Comprehensive Conservation Plan and Environmental Impact Statement for the Roanoke River National Wildlife Refuge are available for review and comment. The National Wildlife Refuge System Administration Act of 1966, as amended by the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997, requires the Service to develop a comprehensive conservation plan for each national wildlife refuge. The purpose in developing a comprehensive conservation plan is to provide refuge managers with a 15-year strategy for achieving refuge purposes and contributing toward the mission of the National Wildlife Refuge System, consistent with sound principles of fish and wildlife management, conservation, legal mandates, and Service policies. In addition to outlining broad management direction on conserving wildlife and their habitats, the plan identifies wildlife-dependent recreational opportunities available to the public, including opportunities for hunting, fishing, wildlife observation and photography, and environmental education and interpretation.

DATES: Meetings will be held in early 2005 in Windsor and Halifax, North Carolina, to present the plan to the public. Mailings, newspaper articles, and posters will be the avenues to inform the public of the dates and times of the meetings. Individuals wishing to comment on the Draft Comprehensive Conservation Plan and Environmental Impact Statement for the Roanoke River National Wildlife Refuge should do so

within 90 days following the date of this notice. Public comments were requested, considered, and incorporated throughout the planning process in numerous ways. Public outreach has included scoping meetings, a review of the biological program, an ecosystem planning team newsletter, and a **Federal Register** notice.

ADDRESSES: Requests for copies of the Draft Comprehensive Conservation Plan and Environmental Impact Statement should be addressed to Bob Glennon, Natural Resource Planner, Ecosystem Planning Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1106 West Queen Street, Edenton, N.C. 27932; Telephone 252/482-2364; Fax 252/482-3885. Comments on the draft may be submitted to the above address or via electronic mail to:

bob_glennon@fws.gov. Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review during regular business hours. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home addresses from the record, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Service analyzed three alternatives for future management of the refuge and chose alternative 3 as the preferred alternative.

Proposed goals for the refuge include:

- Protecting, maintaining, and enhancing healthy and viable populations of indigenous migratory birds, wildlife, fish, and plants including Federal and State threatened and endangered species;
- Restoring, maintaining, and enhancing the health and biodiversity of forested wetland habitats to ensure improved ecological productivity;
- Providing the public with safe, quality wildlife-dependent recreational and educational opportunities that focus on the wildlife and habitats of the refuge and the National Wildlife Refuge System; Continuing to participate in local efforts to achieve a sustainable level of economic activity; including nature-based tourism;
- Protecting refuge resources by limiting the adverse impacts of human activities and development; and
- Acquiring and managing adequate funding, human resources, facilities, equipment, and infrastructure to accomplish the other refuge goals.

Also available for review are draft compatibility determinations for recreational hunting, fishing, wildlife observation, wildlife photography, and environmental education and interpretation.

Alternatives

The proposed action is to adopt and implement a comprehensive conservation plan for the refuge that best achieves the refuge's purpose, vision, and goals; contributes to the National Wildlife Refuge System mission; addresses the significant issues and relevant mandates; and is consistent with principles of sound fish and wildlife management. The Service analyzed three alternatives for future management and chose Alternative 3 as the one to best achieve all of these elements. It advances the refuge program considerably and outlines programs that would meet the biological needs of refuge resources and the needs of the public.

Alternative 1 was a proposal to maintain the status quo; *i.e.*, no change from current management of the refuge. The staff would not actively manage habitat or the refuge. The staff would survey populations of neotropical migratory songbirds and forest health and regeneration in bottomland hardwood forests. The refuge would allow the six priority public use activities: Hunting, fishing, wildlife observation, wildlife photography, and environmental education and interpretation. The staff would conduct environmental education and interpretation on a request basis only. The zone law enforcement officer would enforce regulations on the refuge and supervise the law enforcement officers on other nearby refuges.

Alternative 2 proposes moderate program increases. The refuge would develop a habitat management plan and manage all habitats on the refuge. The staff would survey a wide range of wildlife on the refuge. The six priority public use activities would continue to be allowed with the refuge having the capacity to increase the number of opportunities. The staff would conduct regularly scheduled environmental education and interpretation programs. The Service would build a shop and equipment storage facility.

Alternative 3 proposes substantial program increases. The refuge would develop a habitat management plan and manage all habitats on the refuge and selected easements large enough to warrant consideration. The staff would survey all wildlife on the refuge. The refuge would increase further the number of public use opportunities beyond the level proposed in Alternative 2. The Service would build a shop and equipment storage facility.