

Weave	Plain
Average Yarn No.	43 to 48
Fabric 6	
HTSUS	5210.51.40
Fiber Content	51 to 60% cotton, 49 to 40% polyester
Grams/Square Meter	107 to 113
Finish	Printed
Weave	Plain
Average Yarn No.	33 to 37
Fabric 7	
HTSUS	5208.42.40
Fiber Content	100% cotton
Grams/Square Meter	100 to 105
Finish	Yarn Dyed
Weave	Plain
Average Yarn No.	47 to 53
Fabric 8	
HTSUS	5208.41.40
Fiber Content	100% cotton
Grams/Square Meter	95 to 100
Finish	Yarn Dyed
Weave	Plain
Average Yarn No.	37 to 42
Fabric 9	
HTSUS	5208.52.30
Fiber Content	100% cotton
Grams/Square Meter	112 to 118
Finish	Printed
Weave	Plain
Average Yarn No.	38 to 42
Fabric 10	
HTSUS	5208.51.40
Fiber Content	100% cotton
Grams/Square Meter	93 to 97
Finish	Printed
Weave	Plain
Average Yarn No.	38 to 42

CITA is soliciting public comments regarding this request, particularly with respect to whether the woven fabrics

described above can be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner. Comments must be received no later than April 29, 2005. Interested persons are invited to submit six copies of such comments or information to the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements, room 3100, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230.

If a comment alleges that these woven fabrics can be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner, CITA will closely review any supporting documentation, such as a signed statement by a manufacturer of woven fabrics stating that it produces one or more of the woven fabrics that are the subject of the request, including the quantities that can be supplied and the time necessary to fill an order, as well as any relevant information regarding past production.

CITA will protect any business confidential information that is marked "business confidential" from disclosure to the full extent permitted by law. CITA will make available to the public non-confidential versions of the request and non-confidential versions of any public comments received with respect to a request in room 3100 in the Herbert Hoover Building, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230. Persons submitting comments on a request are encouraged to include a non-confidential version and a non-confidential summary.

James C. Leonard III,
Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.
[FR Doc. E5-1398 Filed 3-29-05; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Threat Reduction Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Department of Defense, Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics).
ACTION: Notice of advisory committee meeting.

SUMMARY: The Threat Reduction Advisory Committee will meet in closed session on Thursday, April 7, 2005, at the Institute for Defense Analyses (IDA), and on Friday, April 8, 2005 in the Pentagon, Washington, DC.

The Committee meets twice per year to advise the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics) on reducing the threat from weapons of

mass destruction. The Committee will receive classified briefings on chemical and biological warfare defense, nuclear deterrence transformation, combating weapons of mass destruction, and intelligence requirements. The Committee will hold classified discussions on these and related national security matters.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, as amended (5 U.S.C. Appendix II), it has been determined that this Committee meeting concerns matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1), and that accordingly the meeting will be closed to the public.

DATES: Thursday, April 7, 2005, (8 a.m. to 4 p.m.) and Friday, April 8, 2005, (8 a.m. to 9:30 a.m.)

ADDRESSES: Institute for Defense Analyses, Board Room, 4850 Mark Center Drive, Alexandria, Virginia and the USD (AT&L) Conference Room (3D1019), the Pentagon, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lieutenant Colonel Don Culp, USAF, Defense Threat Reduction Agency/AST, 8725 John J. Kingman Road MS 6201, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-6201. *Phone:* (703) 767-5717.

Dated: March 23, 2005.

L.M. Bynum,

*OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer,
Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 05-6207 Filed 3-29-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Revised Non-Foreign Overseas Per Diem Rates

AGENCY: DoD, Per Diem, Travel and Transportation Allowance Committee.

ACTION: Notice of revised non-foreign overseas *per diem* rates.

SUMMARY: The Per Diem, Travel and Transportation Allowance Committee is publishing Civilian Personnel Per Diem Bulletin Number 238. This bulletin lists revisions in the *per diem* rates prescribed for U.S. Government employees for official travel in Alaska, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, the Northern Mariana Islands and Possessions of the United States. AEA changes announced in Bulletin Number 194 remain in effect. Bulletin Number 238 is being published in the **Federal Register** to assure that travelers are paid *per diem* at the most current rates.

DATES: *Effective Date:* April 1, 2005.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document gives notice of revisions in *per diem* rates prescribed by the Per Diem Travel and Transportation Allowance Committee for non-foreign areas outside the continental United States. It supersedes Civilian Personnel

Per Diem Bulletin Number 237. Distribution of Civilian Personnel Per Diem Bulletins by mail was discontinued. Per Diem Bulletins published periodically in the **Federal Register** now constitute the only notification of revisions in *per diem*

rates to agencies and establishments outside the Department of Defense. For more information or questions about *per diem* rates, please contact your local travel office. The text of the Bulletin follows:

BILLING CODE 5001-06-M

Maximum Per Diem Rates for official travel in Alaska, Hawaii, the Commonwealths of Puerto Rico and the Northern Mariana Islands and Possessions of the United States by Federal Government civilian employees.

LOCALITY	MAXIMUM		MAXIMUM	EFFECTIVE
	LODGING	M&IE	PER DIEM	
	AMOUNT	RATE	RATE	DATE
	(A) +	(B) =	(C)	
THE ONLY CHANGE IN CIVILIAN BULLETIN 238 ARE UPDATES TO THE RATES FOR ALASKA.				
ALASKA				
ADAK	120	79	199	07/01/2003
ANCHORAGE [INCL NAV RES]				
05/01 - 09/15	170	89	259	06/01/2004
09/16 - 04/30	95	81	176	06/01/2004
BARROW	159	95	254	05/01/2002
BETHEL	119	77	196	06/01/2004
BETTLES	135	62	197	10/01/2004
CLEAR AB	80	55	135	09/01/2001
COLD BAY	90	73	163	05/01/2002
COLDFOOT	135	71	206	10/01/1999
COPPER CENTER				
05/16 - 09/15	109	63	172	07/01/2003
09/16 - 05/15	99	63	162	07/01/2003
CORDOVA				
05/01 - 09/30	110	74	184	04/01/2005
10/01 - 04/30	85	72	157	04/01/2005
CRAIG				
04/15 - 09/14	95	61	156	04/01/2005
09/15 - 04/14	125	64	189	04/01/2005
DEADHORSE	95	67	162	05/01/2002
DELTA JUNCTION	89	75	164	06/01/2004
DENALI NATIONAL PARK				
06/01 - 08/31	114	60	174	04/01/2005
09/01 - 05/31	80	57	137	04/01/2005
DILLINGHAM	114	69	183	06/01/2004
DUTCH HARBOR-UNALASKA	121	73	194	04/01/2005
EARECKSON AIR STATION	80	55	135	09/01/2001
EIELSON AFB				
05/01 - 09/15	159	88	247	06/01/2004
09/16 - 04/30	75	79	154	06/01/2004
ELMENDORF AFB				
05/01 - 09/15	170	89	259	06/01/2004
09/16 - 04/30	95	81	176	06/01/2004
FAIRBANKS				
05/01 - 09/15	159	88	247	06/01/2004
09/16 - 04/30	75	79	154	06/01/2004
FOOTLOOSE	175	18	193	06/01/2002
FT. GREELY	89	75	164	06/01/2004
FT. RICHARDSON				
05/01 - 09/15	170	89	259	06/01/2004
09/16 - 04/30	95	81	176	06/01/2004
FT. WAINWRIGHT				
05/01 - 09/15	159	88	247	06/01/2004
09/16 - 04/30	75	79	154	06/01/2004
GLENNALLEN				
05/01 - 09/30	125	73	198	04/01/2005
10/01 - 04/30	89	69	158	04/01/2005

Maximum Per Diem Rates for official travel in Alaska, Hawaii, the Commonwealths of Puerto Rico and the Northern Mariana Islands and Possessions of the United States by Federal Government civilian employees.

LOCALITY	MAXIMUM	+	M&IE	=	MAXIMUM	EFFECTIVE
	LODGING		RATE		PER DIEM	
	AMOUNT				RATE	DATE
	(A)		(B)		(C)	
HEALY						
06/01 - 08/31	114		60		174	04/01/2005
09/01 - 05/31	80		57		137	04/01/2005
HOMER						
05/15 - 09/15	125		73		198	04/01/2005
09/16 - 05/14	76		68		144	04/01/2005
JUNEAU						
05/01 - 09/30	109		80		189	04/01/2005
10/01 - 04/30	79		77		156	04/01/2005
KAKTOVIK	165		86		251	05/01/2002
KAVIK CAMP	150		69		219	05/01/2002
KENAI-SOLDOTNA						
05/01 - 08/31	129		82		211	04/01/2005
09/01 - 04/30	79		77		156	04/01/2005
11/01 - 03/31	69		75		144	04/01/2003
KENNICOTT	189		85		274	04/01/2005
KETCHIKAN						
05/01 - 09/30	135		82		217	04/01/2005
10/01 - 04/30	98		78		176	04/01/2005
KING SALMON						
05/01 - 10/01	225		91		316	05/01/2002
10/02 - 04/30	125		81		206	05/01/2002
KLAWOCK						
04/15 - 09/14	95		61		156	04/01/2005
09/15 - 04/14	125		64		189	04/01/2005
KODIAK	112		80		192	04/01/2005
KOTZEBUE						
05/15 - 09/30	141		86		227	02/01/2005
10/01 - 05/14	135		85		220	02/01/2005
KULIS AGS						
05/01 - 09/15	170		89		259	06/01/2004
09/16 - 04/30	95		81		176	06/01/2004
MCCARTHY	189		85		274	04/01/2005
METLAKATLA						
05/30 - 10/01	98		48		146	05/01/2002
10/02 - 05/29	78		47		125	05/01/2002
MURPHY DOME						
05/01 - 09/15	159		88		247	06/01/2004
09/16 - 04/30	75		79		154	06/01/2004
NOME	120		84		204	04/01/2005
NUIQSUT	180		53		233	05/01/2002
PETERSEBURG	90		64		154	06/01/2004
POINT HOPE	130		70		200	03/01/1999
POINT LAY	105		67		172	03/01/1999
PORT ALSWORTH	135		88		223	05/01/2002
PRUDHOE BAY	95		67		162	05/01/2002
SEWARD						
05/01 - 09/30	145		79		224	04/01/2005
10/01 - 04/30	62		71		133	04/01/2005
SITKA-MT. EDGE CUMBE						

Maximum Per Diem Rates for official travel in Alaska, Hawaii, the Commonwealths of Puerto Rico and the Northern Mariana Islands and Possessions of the United States by Federal Government civilian employees.

LOCALITY	MAXIMUM	+	M&IE	=	MAXIMUM	EFFECTIVE
	LODGING		RATE		PER DIEM	
	AMOUNT		RATE		RATE	DATE
	(A)		(B)		(C)	
05/01 - 09/30	119		66		185	04/01/2005
10/01 - 04/30	99		64		163	04/01/2005
SKAGWAY						
05/01 - 09/30	135		82		217	04/01/2005
10/01 - 04/30	98		78		176	04/01/2005
SLANA						
05/01 - 09/30	139		55		194	02/01/2005
10/01 - 04/30	99		55		154	02/01/2005
SPRUCE CAPE	112		80		192	04/01/2005
ST. GEORGE	129		55		184	06/01/2004
TALKEETNA	100		89		189	07/01/2002
TANANA	120		84		204	04/01/2005
TOGIAC	100		39		139	07/01/2002
TOK						
05/01 - 09/30	90		66		156	06/01/2004
10/01 - 04/30	60		63		123	06/01/2004
UMIAT	180		107		287	04/01/2005
UNALAKLEET	79		80		159	04/01/2003
VALDEZ						
05/01 - 10/01	129		74		203	04/01/2005
10/02 - 04/30	79		69		148	04/01/2005
WASILLA						
05/01 - 09/30	134		78		212	04/01/2005
10/01 - 04/30	80		73		153	04/01/2005
WRANGELL						
05/01 - 09/30	135		82		217	04/01/2005
10/01 - 04/30	98		78		176	04/01/2005
YAKUTAT	110		68		178	03/01/1999
[OTHER]	80		55		135	09/01/2001
AMERICAN SAMOA						
AMERICAN SAMOA	135		67		202	06/01/2004
GUAM						
GUAM (INCL ALL MIL INSTAL)	135		89		224	09/01/2004
HAWAII						
CAMP H M SMITH	129		91		220	06/01/2004
EASTPAC NAVAL COMP TELE AREA	129		91		220	06/01/2004
FT. DERUSSEY	129		91		220	06/01/2004
FT. SHAFTER	129		91		220	06/01/2004
HICKAM AFB	129		91		220	06/01/2004
HONOLULU (INCL NAV & MC RES CTR)	129		91		220	06/01/2004
ISLE OF HAWAII: HILO	100		80		180	06/01/2003
ISLE OF HAWAII: OTHER	150		79		229	06/01/2003
ISLE OF KAUAI	158		93		251	06/01/2004
ISLE OF MAUI	159		95		254	06/01/2004
ISLE OF OAHU	129		91		220	06/01/2004
KEKAHA PACIFIC MISSILE RANGE FAC	158		93		251	06/01/2004
KILAUEA MILITARY CAMP	100		80		180	06/01/2003
LANAI	400		148		548	06/01/2004
LUALUALEI NAVAL MAGAZINE	129		91		220	06/01/2004
MCB HAWAII	129		91		220	06/01/2004

Maximum Per Diem Rates for official travel in Alaska, Hawaii, the Commonwealths of Puerto Rico and the Northern Mariana Islands and Possessions of the United States by Federal Government civilian employees.

LOCALITY	MAXIMUM	+	M&IE	=	MAXIMUM	EFFECTIVE
	LODGING		RATE		PER DIEM	
	AMOUNT				RATE	DATE
	(A)		(B)		(C)	
MOLOKAI	93		91		184	06/01/2004
NAS BARBERS POINT	129		91		220	06/01/2004
PEARL HARBOR [INCL ALL MILITARY]	129		91		220	06/01/2004
SCHOFIELD BARRACKS	129		91		220	06/01/2004
WHEELER ARMY AIRFIELD	129		91		220	06/01/2004
[OTHER]	72		61		133	01/01/2000
JOHNSTON ATOLL						
JOHNSTON ATOLL	0		14		14	05/01/2002
MIDWAY ISLANDS						
MIDWAY ISLANDS [INCL ALL MILITAR	150		47		197	02/01/2000
NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS						
ROTA	129		90		219	09/01/2004
SAIPAN	121		92		213	09/01/2004
TINIAN	85		70		155	09/01/2004
[OTHER]	55		72		127	04/01/2000
PUERTO RICO						
BAYAMON						
04/11 - 12/23	155		71		226	01/01/2000
12/24 - 04/10	195		75		270	01/01/2000
CAROLINA						
04/11 - 12/23	155		71		226	01/01/2000
12/24 - 04/10	195		75		270	01/01/2000
FAJARDO [INCL CEIBA & LUQUILLO]	82		54		136	01/01/2000
FT. BUCHANAN [INCL GSA SVC CTR,						
04/11 - 12/23	155		71		226	01/01/2000
12/24 - 04/10	195		75		270	01/01/2000
HUMACAO	82		54		136	01/01/2000
LUIS MUNOZ MARIN IAP AGS						
04/11 - 12/23	155		71		226	01/01/2000
12/24 - 04/10	195		75		270	01/01/2000
MAYAGUEZ	85		59		144	01/01/2000
PONCE	96		69		165	01/01/2000
ROOSEVELT RDS & NAV STA	82		54		136	01/01/2000
SABANA SECA [INCL ALL MILITARY]						
04/11 - 12/23	155		71		226	01/01/2000
12/24 - 04/10	195		75		270	01/01/2000
SAN JUAN & NAV RES STA						
04/11 - 12/23	155		71		226	01/01/2000
12/24 - 04/10	195		75		270	01/01/2000
[OTHER]	62		57		119	01/01/2000
VIRGIN ISLANDS (U.S.)						
ST. CROIX						
04/15 - 12/14	98		83		181	08/01/2003
12/15 - 04/14	135		87		222	08/01/2003
ST. JOHN						
04/15 - 12/14	110		91		201	08/01/2003
12/15 - 04/14	185		98		283	08/01/2003
ST. THOMAS						
04/15 - 12/14	163		95		258	08/01/2003
12/15 - 04/14	220		99		319	08/01/2003

Maximum Per Diem Rates for official travel in Alaska, Hawaii, the Commonwealths of Puerto Rico and the Northern Mariana Islands and Possessions of the United States by Federal Government civilian employees.

LOCALITY	MAXIMUM LODGING AMOUNT (A)	+	M&IE RATE (B)	=	MAXIMUM PER DIEM RATE (C)	EFFECTIVE DATE
WAKE ISLAND WAKE ISLAND	60		32		92	09/01/1998

Dated: March 23, 2005.

L.M. Bynum,

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison
Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 05-6206 Filed 3-29-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-C

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Arts in Education Model Development and Dissemination Program

AGENCY: Office of Innovation and Improvement, Department of Education.

ACTION: Notice of final priority, requirements, and definitions.

SUMMARY: The Assistant Deputy Secretary for Innovation and Improvement announces a priority, requirements, and definitions under the Arts in Education Model Development and Dissemination program. We may use this priority, and these requirements and definitions for competitions in fiscal year (FY) 2005 and later years. We take this action to focus Federal financial assistance on an identified national need for the enhancement, expansion, documentation, evaluation, and dissemination of innovative, cohesive models that are based on research and have demonstrated that they effectively: (1) Integrate standards-based arts education into the core elementary and middle school curricula; (2) strengthen standards-based arts instruction in these grades; and (3) improve students' academic performance, including their skills in creating, performing, and responding to the arts. We intend the priority, requirements, and definitions to enable the Department to award grants that increase the amount of information on effective models for arts education that is available nationally and that integrate the arts with standards-based education programs.

DATES: *Effective Date:* This priority and these requirements and definitions are effective April 29, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Diane Austin, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., room 4W214, Washington, DC 20202-5930. Telephone: (202) 260-1280 or via Internet: Diane.Austin@ed.gov.

If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), you may call the Federal Relay Service (FRS) at 1-800-877-8339.

Individuals with disabilities may obtain this document in an alternative format (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotope, or computer diskette) on request to the contact person listed

under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Arts in Education Model Development and Dissemination Grant program is authorized by Title V, Part D, Subpart 15 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, as reauthorized by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (ESEA). It provides resources that local educational agencies (LEAs) and other eligible applicants can use in pursuit of the objectives of the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (NCLB), which aims for all elementary and secondary students to achieve to high standards. This program provides an opportunity for eligible entities to implement and expand effective model programs in schools identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under Title I, Part A of the ESEA.

We published a notice of proposed priority, requirements, and definitions for this program in the **Federal Register** on January 13, 2005 (70 FR 2397). The notice of proposed priority, requirements, and definitions included a discussion of the significant issues and analysis carried out in the determination of the priority, definitions, and application requirements. (See pages 2398 through 2399 of that notice.)

Except for minor editorial revisions, there are no differences between the notice of proposed priority, requirements, and definitions and this notice of final priority, requirements, and definitions.

Analysis of Comments and Changes

In response to our invitation in the notice of proposed priority, requirements, and definitions, nine parties submitted comments. An analysis of the comments we received and our responses follows.

We discuss substantive issues under the title of the priority, requirement, or definition to which they pertain. Generally, we do not address technical and other minor changes—and suggested changes that we are not authorized to make under the applicable statutory authority.

A. Proposed Priority

Comment: One commenter stated that the priority should give preference to applicants in rural areas because, the commenter believes, rural areas with migrant populations and large second language populations are overlooked while a great deal of funding is directed to urban areas.

Discussion: This program provides an opportunity for eligible entities to

develop programs in schools, including schools identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under Title I, Part A of the ESEA. One of the application selection criteria requires applicants to describe the extent to which specific gaps or weaknesses in services, infrastructure, or opportunities have been identified and will be addressed by the proposed project, including the nature and magnitude of those gaps or weaknesses. This criterion provides an opportunity for applicants, both urban and rural, to provide evidence of need. The criterion should thus give both urban and rural applicants an opportunity to receive funding.

Change: None.

Comment: One commenter suggested that the priority include professional development for teachers and teaching artists.

Discussion: We agree that professional development for both teachers and teaching artists can be an important component of an arts education program. However, requiring that applicants include professional development for teachers and teaching artists would be too prescriptive. For example, not all high-quality projects might include the involvement of teaching artists in the project design, and not all projects might include professional development for both teachers and teaching artists. A broader priority is more appropriate.

Change: None.

Comments: One commenter suggested that the program be expanded to include high schools as well as elementary and middle schools to avoid the “disconnect” between middle and high school arts programs. Two additional comments, however, supported the priority as written.

Discussion: We agree that arts education is important in high school, but believe that this program should continue to serve elementary and middle school only. High school students more frequently have the opportunity to take art classes from teachers who are highly qualified in their subject area. Elementary and middle school teachers often are required to include the arts standards as a part of the core curriculum even if they have little or no pre-service arts instruction. The priority as proposed, therefore, would increase the opportunity for students in elementary and middle school grades to receive high-quality arts-infused instruction.

Change: None.