section is the denial of Suburban Guns (Pty) Ltd.'s export privileges for five (5) years and a civil penalty of forty-four thousand dollars (\$44,000).

On this basis, I concur with BIS and recommend that the Under Secretary enter an Order denying Suburban Guns (Pty) Ltd.'s export privileges for a period of five (5) years and requiring Suburban Guns (Pty) Ltd. to pay a civil penalty in the amount of fortyfour thousand dollars (\$44,000). These penalties are consistent with penalties imposed in recent cases under the Regulations involving violations of denial orders. In the Matters of Yaudat Mustafa Talyi a.k.a. Yaudat Mustafa a.k.a. Joseph Talyi, 41 Chamale Cove East, Slidell, Louisiana, 70460, Respondents; Decision and Order, 69 FR 77177 (Dec. 27, 2004) (affirming the ALJ's recommendations that a twenty year denial and maximum civil penalty of \$11,000 per violation was appropriate where an individual exported oil field parts to Libya without authorization, in violation of the terms and conditions of a BIS order temporarily denying his export privileges and with knowledge that a violation would occur; and solicited a violation of the Regulations by ordering oil field parts from an equipment manufacturer located in the United States without authorization and with knowledge that a violation would occur). A five (5) year denial of Suburban Guns (Pty) Ltd.'s export privileges is warranted because Suburban Guns (Pty) Ltd.'s violations, like those of the defendants in the above-cited case, were deliberate acts in violation of an order denying export privileges.

### Recommended Order—[Redacted]

Accordingly, I am referring this Recommended Decision and Order to the Under Secretary of Commerce for Industry and Security for review and final action for the agency, without further notice to the respondent, as provided in section 766.7 of the Regulations.

Within thirty (30) days after receipt of this Recommended Decision and Order, the Under Secretary will issue a written order affirming, modifying or vacating the Recommended Decision and Order. See 15 CFR 766.22(c).

Done and dated this 21st day of September, 2005.

Walter J. Brudzinski, Administrative Law Judge, U.S. Coast Guard.

### **Certificate of Service**

I hereby certify that I have served the foregoing *Recommended Decision & Order* by Federal Express to the following persons:

Under Secretary for Export Administration, Bureau of Industry and Security, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room H–3839, 14th & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230. Phone: 202–482–5301.

ALJ Docketing Center, Baltimore, 40 S. Gay Street, Room 412, Baltimore, Maryland 21202–4022. Phone: 410–962–7434.

Done and dated this 21st day of September, 2005. New York, NY.

Regina V. Thompson,

Paralegal Specialist, Assistant to the Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc. 05–22607 Filed 11–14–05; 8:45 am]

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration.

## International Trade Administration [A-588-804]

Notice of Correction to Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from Japan

International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On October 21, 2005, the Department of Commerce published in the Federal Register the amended final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on ball bearings and parts thereof from Japan. The period of review is May 1, 2003, through April 30, 2004. Based on the correction of a certain ministerial error, we have changed the margin for Nippon Pillow Block Co., Ltd., for the

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 15, 2005.

and parts thereof from Japan.

administrative review of ball bearings

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Yang Jin Chun or Richard Rimlinger, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5760 or (202) 482–4477, respectively.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Background**

On October 21, 2005, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** the amended final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on ball bearings and parts thereof (ball bearings) from Japan covering the period May 1, 2003, through April 30, 2004 (70 FR 61252) (Amended Final Results Notice).

We received a timely allegation of a ministerial error from Nippon Pillow Block Co., Ltd (NPB). In its comments dated October 26, 2005, NPB alleged that the Department released a correct amended margin percentage for NPB in the Department's October 14, 2005, amended final analysis memorandum but published an incorrect amended margin percentage for NPB in the Amended Final Results Notice. The petitioner did not comment on the alleged ministerial error.

We agree with NPB that the published margin was incorrect. We are now

issuing the correct amended margin percentage for NPB in this notice.

### Amended Final Results of Review

As a result of the correction of a clerical error, the weighted—average margin for exports of ball bearings by NPB for the period May 1, 2003, through April 30, 2004, is 15.51 percent.

The Department will determine and the U.S. Bureau of Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. We will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP within 15 days of publication of these amended final results of review. Where the importer-/customer-specific assessment rate or amount is above de minimis, we will instruct CBP to assess duties on all entries of subject merchandise by that importer or for that customer.

We will also direct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated antidumping duties on all appropriate entries in accordance with the procedures discussed in Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from France, et al.: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, 70 FR 54711 (September 16, 2005), and at the rate as amended by this notice. The amended deposit requirements are effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date these amended final results are published in the Federal Register.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR § 351.224(e).

Dated: November 8, 2005.

### Stephen J. Claeys,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5–6302 Filed 11–14–05; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S** 

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-570-836]

# Glycine from the People's Republic of China; Continuation of Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: As a result of the determinations by the Department of Commerce ("the Department") and the International Trade Commission ("ITC") that revocation of the antidumping duty order on glycine from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, the Department is publishing this notice of continuation of this antidumping duty order.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 15, 2005. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Maureen Flannery, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3020.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Background

On June 1, 2005, the Department initiated and the ITC instituted a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on glycine from the PRC pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). See Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews, 70 FR 31423 (June 1, 2005), and ITC Investigation No. 731-TA-718 (Second Review), Glycine from China, 70 FR 31534 (June 1, 2005). As a result of its review, the Department found that revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and notified the ITC of the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail were the order to be revoked. See Glycine from the People's Republic of China; Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order, 70 FR 58185 (October 5, 2005). On October 31, 2005, the ITC determined, pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Act, that revocation of the antidumping duty order on glycine from the PRC would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

### Scope of the Order

The product covered by the order is glycine, which is a free-flowing crystalline material, like salt or sugar. Glycine is produced at varying levels of purity and is used as a sweetener/taste enhancer, a buffering agent, reabsorbable amino acid, chemical intermediate, and a metal complexing agent. This order covers glycine of all purity levels. Glycine is currently classified under subheading 2922.49.4020 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). In a separate scope ruling, the Department determined that D(-) Phenylglycine Ethyl Dane Salt is outside the scope of the order. See Notice of

Scope Rulings and Anticircumvention Inquiries, 62 FR 62288 (November 21, 1997). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under the order is dispositive.

#### Determination

As a result of the determinations by the Department and the ITC that revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders the continuation of the antidumping duty order on glycine from the PRC. U.S. Customs and Border Protection will continue to collect antidumping duty cash deposits at the rates in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise.

The effective date of continuation of this order will be the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this Notice of Continuation. Pursuant to sections 751(c)(2) and 751(c)(6) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of this order not later than October 2010.

This five-year (sunset) review and notice are in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and published pursuant to section 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: November 7, 2005.

### Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5–6300 Filed 11–14–05; 8:45 am]  $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 3510-DS-S$ 

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### **International Trade Administration**

[A-351-828]

### Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Brazil: Notice of Final Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has determined that the antidumping duty administrative review for the period March 1, 2004, through February 28, 2005, of Companhia Siderurgica Nacional (CSN) and Companhia Siderurgica de Tubarao (CST) should be rescinded.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 15, 2005. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Helen Kramer or Kristin Najdi, Office 7,

AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0405 and (202) 482–8221, respectively.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Background

On March 1, 2005, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain hotrolled carbon steel flat products from Brazil for the period of review (POR) of March 1, 2004, through February 28, 2005. See Notice of Opportunity to Request Administrative Review of Antidumping Duty Order, Finding or Suspended Investigation, 70 FR 9918 (March 1, 2005). On March 31, 2005, United States Steel Corporation (USSC) and Nucor Corporation (Nucor), domestic producers of the subject merchandise, made timely requests that the Department conduct an administrative review of CSN and CST. On April 22, 2005, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 as amended (the Act), the Department published in the Federal Register a notice of initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review. See Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 70 FR 20862 (April 22, 2005). On April 28, 2005, the Department issued its antidumping duty questionnaire to CSN and CST. Both CSN and CST requested rescission of this administrative review, CSN certifying that there were no shipments or entries of subject merchandise during the POR, and CST certifying that the only shipments or entries it had during the POR were being reviewed by the Department as part of a new shipper review. On October 7, 2005, after conducting an internal customs data query to confirm these certifications, the Department published in the **Federal Register** its notice of intent to rescind this administrative review, and invited comments from interested parties. See Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Brazil: Notice of Intent to Rescind Administrative Review, 70 FR 58680 (October 7, 2005) (Notice of Intent to Rescind). The Department did not receive comments from any interested party.

### Scope of the Order

For purposes of this order, the products covered are certain hot–rolled flat–rolled carbon–quality steel products of a rectangular shape, of a width of 0.5 inch or greater, neither clad, plated, nor