

information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. *Tips for Preparing Your Comments.* When submitting comments, remember to:

a. Identify the rulemaking by docket number and other identifying information (subject heading, **Federal Register** date and page number).

b. Follow directions—The agency may ask you to respond to specific questions or organize comments by referencing a Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part or section number.

c. Explain why you agree or disagree; suggest alternatives and substitute language for your requested changes.

d. Describe any assumptions and provide any technical information and/or data that you used.

e. If you estimate potential costs or burdens, explain how you arrived at your estimate in sufficient detail to allow for it to be reproduced.

f. Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns, and suggest alternatives.

g. Explain your views as clearly as possible, avoiding the use of profanity or personal threats.

h. Make sure to submit your comments by the comment period deadline identified.

II. What Action Is EPA Taking Today?

EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Indiana SIP in three areas: (1) To amend the definition of “particulate matter,” and “ambient air quality standards,” add new rules consistent with these amended definitions, and amend rules pertaining to SO₂ and NO₂ ambient standards; (2) to update the references to the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) from the 2000 edition to the 2002 edition; and (3) to add credible evidence provisions into state rules consistent with federal requirements.

III. Where Can I Find More Information About This Proposal and the Corresponding Direct Final Rule?

For additional information, see the Direct Final Rule which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**. Copies of the request and the EPA’s analysis are available electronically at RME or in hard copy at the above address. (Please telephone Julie

Henning at (312) 886–4882 before visiting the Region 5 Office.)

Dated: September 23, 2005.

Norman Niedergang,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.

[FR Doc. 05–20820 Filed 10–18–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Maritime Administration

46 CFR Part 389

[Docket No. MARAD–2005–22050]

RIN 2133–AB67

Determination of Availability of Coastwise-Qualified Launch Barges

AGENCY: Maritime Administration, Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Notice of reopening and extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: The Maritime Administration is hereby giving notice that the closing date for filing comments on the Determination of Availability of Coastwise-Qualified Launch Barges Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) (Docket No. MARAD 2005–22050) has been extended to the close of business (5 p.m. EST) on December 13, 2005.

DATES: The comment date of the NPRM published in the **Federal Register** on August 15, 2005 (70 FR 47771) is extended from October 14, 2005, to December 13, 2005.

(Authority: 49 CFR 1.66)

Dated: October 12, 2005.

By order of the Maritime Administrator.

Joel C. Richard,

Secretary, Maritime Administration.

[FR Doc. 05–20700 Filed 10–18–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–81–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Parts 22, 24, and 27

[WT Docket Nos. 03–264; FCC 05–144]

Amendment of Various Rules Affecting Wireless Radio Services

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Federal Communications Commission (Commission) requests comment on whether to implement a spectral density model to its radiated power rules for

wireless radio services (WRS); further increase its radiated power limits; specify radiated power as an average rather than peak; and apply the radiated power rule changes to other services. In a related document, the Commission has streamlined and harmonized licensing provisions in the WRS that were identified in part during the Commission’s 2000 and 2002 biennial regulatory reviews.

DATES: Submit comments on or before December 19, 2005, and submit reply comments on or before January 17, 2006. For detailed instructions for submitting comments and additional information on the rulemaking process, see the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Wilbert E. Nixon, Jr. and/or B.C. “Jay” Jackson, Jr. of the Mobility Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, at 202–418–0620 or via e-mail at Wilbert.Nixon@fcc.gov and/or Jay.Jackson@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the *Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FNPRM)* portion of the Commission’s *Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*, FCC 05–144, in WT Docket Nos. 03–264, adopted July 22, 2005, and released August 9, 2005. The Commission is also concurrently publishing a summary of the *Report and Order* in the **Federal Register**. The full text of the document is available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours at the FCC Reference Information Center, 445 12th St., SW., Room CY–A257, Washington, DC 20554. The complete text may be purchased from the Commission’s duplicating contractor: Best Copy & Printing, Inc., 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY–B402, Washington, DC, 20554, telephone 800–378–3160, facsimile 202–488–5563, or via e-mail at fcc@bcpiweb.com. The full text may also be downloaded at: <http://www.fcc.gov>. Alternative formats are available to persons with disabilities by contacting Brian Millin at (202) 418–7426 or TTY (202) 418–7365 or at Brian.Millin@fcc.gov.

Synopsis of the Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

I. Introduction and Background

1. In the Report and Order portion of the *Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*, we revise the broadband PCS transmitting power rule by eliminating the transmitter output power limit portion of that rule. We note, however, that various proposals before us concerning