Total Annual Burden: 40 hours. Total Annual Cost: \$2,000. Privacy Impact Assessment: No Impact(s).

*Needs and Uses:* On March 31, 1999, the FCC released a Report and Order. Amendment of Parts 2 and 15 of the **Commission Rules to Further Ensure** That Scanning Receivers Do No Receive Cellular Radio Signals, ET Docket No. 980–76, FCC 99–58. The FCC rules under 47 CFR 2.1033(b)(10) require manufacturers of scanning receivers to design their equipment so that: It has 38 dB of image rejection for Cellular Service frequencies, tuning, control and filtering circuitry are inaccessible, and any attempt to modify the scanning receiver to receive Cellular Service transmissions will likely render the scanning receiver inoperable. The Commission also requires manufacturers to submit information with any application for certification that describes: The testing method used to determine compliance with the 38 dB image rejection ratio, the design features that prevent modification of the scanning receiver to receive Cellular Service transmissions, and the design steps taken to make tuning, control, and filtering circuitry inaccessible. Furthermore, the FCC requires equipment to carry a statement assessing the vulnerability of the scanning receiver to modification and to have a label affixed to the scanning receiver, similar to the following  $(\bar{4}7)$ CFR 15.121):

Warning: Modification of this device to receive cellular radiotelephone service signals is prohibited under FCC Rules and Federal Law.

Federal Communications Commission.

# Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 05–16619 Filed 8–23–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

## Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Submitted to OMB for Review and Approval

August 10, 2005.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commissions, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it

displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRÅ) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Written comments should be submitted on or before September 23, 2005. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: You may submit your Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments by email or U.S. postal mail. To submit your comments by email send them to *PRA@fcc.gov*. To submit your comments by U.S. mail, mark them to the attention of Cathy Williams, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1–C823, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554 and Kristy L. LaLonde, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Room 10236 NEOB, Washington, DC 20503, (202) 395–3087 or via the Internet at

Kristy\_L.\_LaLonde@omb.eop.gov.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information about the information collection(s) send an email to PRA@fcc.gov or contact Cathy Williams at (202) 418–2918. If you would like to obtain a copy of the information collection, you may do so by visiting the FCC PRA web page at: http://www.fcc.gov/omd/pra.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060–0674. Title: Basic Tier Availability. Form Number: Not applicable. Type of Review: Extension of a

currently approved collection. *Respondents:* Business or other forprofit entities.

Number of Respondents: 8,250. Estimated Time per Response: 2.25 hours.

*Frequency of Response:* On occasion reporting requirement; Third party disclosure requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 18,563 hours.

*Total Annual Cost:* None. *Privacy Impact Assessment:* No impact(s).

*Needs and Uses:* 47 CFR 76.1618 states that a cable operator shall provide written notification to subscribers of the availability of basic tier service to new subscribers at the time of installation. This notification shall include the following information: (a) That basic tier service is available; (b) the cost per month for basic tier service; and (c) a list of all services included in the basic service tier. These notification requirements are to ensure that subscribers are made aware of the availability of basic cable service at the time of installation.

Federal Communications Commission.

#### Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 05–16620 Filed 8–23–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

#### FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

## Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission, Comments Requested

August 10, 2005.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, Public Law No. 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information, subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Persons wishing to comment on this information collection should

submit comments by October 24, 2005. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: You may submit your Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments by e-mail or U.S. postal mail. To submit you comments by e-mail send them to: *PRA@fcc.gov.* To submit your comments by U.S. mail, mark it to the attention of Leslie F. Smith, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Room 1–A804, Washington, DC 20554.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For

additional information about the information collection(s) send an e-mail to *PRA@fcc.gov* or contact Leslie F. Smith at (202) 418–0217.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*OMB Control Number:* 3060–0636. *Title:* Equipment Authorization— Declaration of Compliance, Section 2.1075.

Form Number: N/A. Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection. Respondents: Business or other for-

profit entities. Number of Respondents: 4,000.

Estimated Time per Response: 19 hours (avg.).

Frequency of Response:

Recordkeeping; One-time reporting requirement; Third party disclosure. *Total Annual Burden:* 76,000 hours. *Total Annual Cost:* \$12,000,000.

Privacy Impact Assessment: No. Needs and Uses: The equipment authorization procedure requires that

equipment manufacturers or equipment suppliers test a product to ensure compliance with technical standards for limiting radio frequency emissions and include a declaration of compliance (DoC) with the standards in the literature furnished with the equipment. This statement of conformity and supporting technical data would be made available to the FCC by the responsible party, at the request of the FCC. Further, the FCC will permit personal computers to be authorized based on tests and approval of their individual components, without further testing of the completed assembly. Testing and documentation of compliance aids in controlling potential interference to radio communications. The data may be used for investigating complaints of harmful interference; to determine that the equipment marketed complies with the applicable FCC Rules; and to insure that the operation of the equipment is consistent with the initially documented test results.

Federal Communications Commission. **Marlene H. Dortch,** Secretary. [FR Doc. 05–16621 Filed 8–23–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

#### FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[CC Docket Nos. 93–193, 94–65; DA 05– 2194]

### Wireline Competition Bureau Approves Plan To Refund Interstate Access Customers of Ameritech, Nevada Bell, and Pacific Bell for 1993 and 1994 Tariff Periods

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission. ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This document provides notice that the Wireline Competition Bureau has approved the plan to refund interstate access customers of the Ameritech Operating Companies (Ameritech), Nevada Bell Telephone Company (Nevada Bell), and Pacific Bell Telephone Company (Pacific Bell) for refunds associated with their 1993 and 1994 annual interstate access tariffs. It also provides information as to how refunds may be obtained by interstate access customers of Ameritech, Nevada Bell. and Pacific Bell that are either no longer readily identifiable or that are due refunds of less than \$100. **DATES:** Former interstate access customers may submit refund claims to SBC Communications, Inc. (SBC) through October 24, 2005. ADDRESSES: See SUPPLEMENTARY **INFORMATION** for address postings. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Margaret Dailey, Wireline Competition Bureau, Pricing Policy Division, (202) 418-1520, margaret.dailey@fcc.gov. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On July 30, 2004, the Commission released the Add-Back Tariff Investigation Order, FCC 04-151, in CC Docket Nos. 93-193 and 94-65. In that Order, the Commission concluded its investigation of the 1993 and 1994 interstate access tariffs of price cap local exchange carriers (LECs) that implemented a sharing or lower formula adjustment. The Commission found unjust and unreasonable the 1993 annual access tariffs of price cap LECs that implemented a sharing or lower formula adjustment in their 1992 Price Cap Indexes (PCIs) and that failed to apply add-back in computing their 1992 earnings and rates of return and resulting 1993 PCIs. The Commission made the same findings for the 1994

interstate access tariffs of price cap LECs that implemented a sharing or lower formula adjustment in their 1993 PCIs. Finally, the Commission ordered affected price cap LECs to: (1) Recalculate their 1992 and 1993 earnings and rates of return, making an add-back adjustment; (2) determine the appropriate sharing or lower formula adjustment to their PCIs for the subsequent tariff year; (3) compute the amount of any resulting access rate decrease; and (4) submit a plan for refunding the amounts owed to customers plus interest as a result of any such rate decrease.

On August 30, 2004, SBC filed the refund plans required by the Add-Back Tariff Investigation Order and determined that refunds are due to interstate access customers of Pacific Bell for the 1994 tariff period and to interstate access customers of Ameritech and Nevada Bell for both the 1993 and 1994 tariff periods. In the Add-Back Refund Order, DA 05-719, released March 17, 2005, the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) completed its review and approved SBC's refund plans as further detailed in that Order. The Bureau recognized that, due to factors such as bankruptcy, changes in ownership, or simple passage of time, some customers of Ameritech, Nevada Bell, and Pacific Bell may no longer be readily identifiable. Further, the Bureau did not require SBC to identify and notify customers that may be due refunds of less than \$100. Customers that SBC cannot identify and customers due refunds of less than \$100 may, however, obtain refunds through the following procedure, as specified in paragraph 22 of the Add-Back Refund Order: For at least 60 days after this notice is published in the Federal **Register**, SBC must post this notice on its company web sites that are most often consulted by its interstate access customers. SBC must also provide an address to which former access customers may submit refund claims by a specified date that is at least 60 days after the refund notice is first posted.

Federal Communications Commission.

## Thomas J. Navin,

Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau. [FR Doc. 05–16614 Filed 8–23–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P