

convenience and U.S. Customs and Border Protection purposes; however, the written description of the scope of the investigation is dispositive.

[FR Doc. E5-5515 Filed 10-5-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-427-818]

#### Low Enriched Uranium From France; Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 6, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Mark Hoadley or Myrna Lobo, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3148 or (202) 482-2371.

#### Background

On March 23, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the **Federal Register** the notice of initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on low enriched uranium from France, covering the period February 1, 2004, through January 31, 2005. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 70 FR 14643 (March 23, 2005).

#### Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") requires the Department to issue the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an antidumping duty order for which a review is requested and issue the final results within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if the Department finds it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend these deadlines to a maximum of 365 days and 180 days, respectively.

Due to the complex nature of the case and the need to issue supplemental

questionnaires, the Department finds that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results in this administrative review of low enriched uranium from France by October 31, 2005. Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results until no later than February 28, 2006, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act. The deadline for the final results of the administrative review continues to be 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 29, 2005.

**Barbara E. Tillman,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 05-20162 Filed 10-5-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

### International Trade Administration

A-570-851

#### Notice of Extension of the Preliminary Results of the Administrative Antidumping Duty Review: Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the People's Republic of China

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 6, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Irene Gorelik or Paul Walker, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-6905 and (202) 482-0413, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### Background

On February 19, 1999, the Department published in the **Federal Register** an amended final determination and antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the PRC. See *Notice of Amendment of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the People's Republic of China*, 64 FR 8308 (February 19, 1999).

On February 1, 2005, the Department published a *Notice of Opportunity to Request Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended*

*Investigation*, 70 FR 5136. On February 28, 2005, the Petitioner requested, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") and 19 CFR 351.213(b), an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the PRC for thirty companies covering the period February 1, 2004, through January 31, 2005. On February 7, 2005, and February 25, 2005, four Chinese companies, Gerber Food (Yunnan) Co., Ltd., Green Fresh Foods (Zhangzhou) Co., Ltd., Primera Harvest (Xiangfan) Co., Ltd., and Raoping Yucun Canned Foods Factory requested an administrative review. The Department notes that these four companies were also included in the Petitioner's February 28, 2005, request for an administrative review of thirty companies.

On March 23, 2005, the Department initiated an administrative review of thirty Chinese companies. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 70 FR 14643 (March 23, 2005). On June 29, 2005, the Petitioner filed a timely letter withdrawing its request for review of twenty-five companies. On July 21, 2005, the Department rescinded the reviews for the twenty-five companies. See *Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 70 FR 42038 (July 21, 2005).

#### Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department shall issue preliminary results in an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. The Act further provides, however, that the Department may extend that 245-day period to 365 days if it determines it is not practicable to complete the review within the foregoing time period. The Department finds that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results within the originally anticipated time limit of October 31, 2005 due to complex respondent specific issues of production processes and sales. The Department has deemed it necessary to provide additional time to conduct a thorough analysis prior to issuing the preliminary results.

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and section 351.213(h)(2) of the Department's regulations allow the Department to extend the deadline for

the preliminary results to a maximum of 365 days from the last of the anniversary month of the order. Accordingly, the Department is extending the time limit for the completion of the preliminary results until no later than February 28, 2006. The deadline for the final results of this administrative review continues to be 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results, unless extended.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: September 29, 2005.

**Barbara E. Tillman,**  
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5-5516 Filed 10-5-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[A-570-804]

**Sparklers From the People's Republic of China; Notice of Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On June 1, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated the sunset review of the antidumping duty order on sparklers from the People's Republic of China ("China") pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). On the basis of Notices of Intent to Participate, adequate substantive responses filed on behalf of domestic interested parties, and lack of response from respondent interested parties, the Department conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review. As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping. The dumping margins likely

to prevail if the order were revoked are identified in the *Final Results of Review* section of this notice.

**DATES:** October 6, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION:** Maureen Flannery, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3020.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On June 1, 2005, the Department published the notice of initiation of the sunset review of the antidumping duty order on sparklers from China. See *Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 70 FR 31423 (June 1, 2005). On June 8, 2005 and June 16, 2005, the Department received Notices of Intent to Participate from Diamond Sparkler Manufacturing Company and Elkton Sparkler Company (collectively "domestic interested parties") within the deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the Department's regulations. The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as a manufacturer, producer, or wholesaler in the United States of a domestic like product. On June 22, 2005, and July 1, 2005, the Department received complete substantive responses from the domestic interested parties within the deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(3)(i) of the Department's regulations. We did not receive a response from any respondent interested party to this proceeding. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and section 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2) of the Department's regulations, the Department conducted an expedited review of this order.

**Scope of the Order**

The products subject to this order are fireworks each comprising a cut-to-length wire, one end of which is coated with a chemical mix that emits bright

sparks while burning. Sparklers are currently classified under subheadings 3604.10.10.00, 3604.10.90.10, and 3604.10.90.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Sparklers were formerly classified under HTSUS subcategory 3604.10.00. The Department has reviewed current categories and has determined that sparklers are currently classified in the above subcategories. Although HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under the order is dispositive.

**Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" ("Decision Memo") from Barbara E. Tillman, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to Joseph A. Spetrini, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated September 29, 2005, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the order were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in room B-099 of the main Commerce building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/index.html>, under the heading "October 2005." The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

**Final Results of Review**

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty order on sparklers from China would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted-average percentage margins:

Manufacturers/Exporters/Producers	Weighted average margin (percent)
Guangxi Native Produce Import & Export Corporation, Behai Fireworks and Firecrackers Branch .....	41.75
Hunan Provincial Firecrackers & Fireworks Import & Export Corporation .....	93.54
Jiangxi Native Produce Import & Export Corporation, Guangzhou Fireworks Company .....	93.54
China-wide rate .....	93.54

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO")

of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in

accordance with section 351.305 of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of the return or destruction