# D. How Should I Submit CBI to the Agency?

Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI electronically through EPA's electronic public docket or by e-mail. You may claim information that you submit to EPA as CBI by marking any part or all of that information as CBI (if you submit CBI on disk or CD ROM, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD ROM the specific information that is CBI). Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes any information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket and EPA's electronic public docket. If you submit the copy that does not contain CBI on disk or CD ROM, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM clearly that it does not contain CBI. Information not marked as CBI will be included in the public docket and EPA's electronic public docket without prior notice. If you have any questions about CBI or the procedures for claiming CBI, please consult the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

### E. What Should I Consider as I Prepare My Comments for EPA?

You may find the following suggestions helpful for preparing your comments:

- 1. Explain your views as clearly as possible.
- 2. Describe any assumptions that you used.
- 3. Provide copies of any technical information and/or data you used that support your views.
- 4. If you estimate potential burden or costs, explain how you arrived at the estimate that you provide.
- 5. Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns.
- 6. Make sure to submit your comments by the deadline in this notice.
- 7. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, be sure to identify the docket ID number assigned to this action and the pesticide petition number of the summary in the subject line on the first page of your response. It would also be helpful if you provided the name, date, and **Federal Register** citation related to your comment.

### II. What Action Is the Agency Taking?

EPA is printing the summary of the pesticide petition received under

section 408 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), 21 U.S.C. 346a, proposing the establishment of regulations in 40 CFR part 180 for residues of the insecticide clothianidin in or on cotton, undelinted seed and cotton, gin byproducts. EPA has determined that this pesticide petition contains data or information regarding the elements set forth in FFDCA section 408(d)(2); however, EPA has not fully evaluated the sufficiency of the submitted data at this time or whether the data support granting of the pesticide petition. Additional data may be needed before EPA rules on this pesticide petition.

Pursuant to 40 CFR 180.7(f), a summary of the petition, prepared by the petitioner along with a description of the analytical methods available for the detection and measurement of the pesticide chemical residues is available on EPA's Electronic Docket at http:// www.epa.gov/edocket. To locate this information, on the home page of EPA's Electronic Docket select "Quick Search" and type the OPP docket ID number for the pesticide petition (as specified in Unit I.B.1.) in the search field. Once the search has located the docket, clicking on the "Docket ID" will bring up a list of all documents in the docket for the pesticide including the petition summary.

### **New Tolerance**

PP 5F6908. Bayer CropScience, 2 T.W. Alexander Drive, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, proposes to establish a tolerance for residues of the insecticide clothianidin in or on raw agricultural commodities cotton, undelinted seed at 0.01 parts per million (ppm); and cotton, gin byproducts at 0.01 ppm. In plants and plant products, the residue of concern, parent clothianidin, can be determined using High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) with Electrospray Mass Spectrometry/Mass Spectrometry Detection (MS/MS detection). In an extraction efficiency testing, the plant residues method has also demonstrated the ability to extract aged clothianidin residue. Although the plant residues Liquid Chromatography (LC)-MS/MS method is highly suitable for enforcement method, an LC-Ultraviolet (UV) method has also been developed which is suitable for enforcement (monitoring) purposes in all relevant matrices.

## **List of Subjects**

Environmental protection, Agricultural commodities, Feed additives, Food additives, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: December 1, 2005.

#### Donald R. Stubbs,

Acting Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 05–23975 Filed 12–13–05; 8:45 am]

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

## Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission for Extension Under Delegated Authority

November 29, 2005.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Persons wishing to comment on this information collection should submit comments February 13, 2006. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: You may submit your Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments by e-mail or U.S. postal mail. To submit you comments by e-mail send them to: PRA@fcc.gov. To submit your comments by U.S. mail, mark it to the attention of Judith B. Herman, Federal

Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Room 1–C804, Washington, DC 20554.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information about the information collection(s) send an e-mail to *PRA@fcc.gov* or contact Judith B. Herman at 202–418–0214.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control No.: 3060–0202. Title: Section 87.37, Developmental License.

Form No.: N/A.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Individuals or households, business or other for-profit, not-for-profit institutions, and state, local or tribal government.

Number of Řespondents: 12. Estimated Time Per Response: 8 hours.

Frequency of Response: Annual reporting requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 96 hours. Annual Cost Burden: N/A. Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A. Needs and Uses: The requirement in § 87.37 is necessary to enable the Commission to gather data on the results of developmental programs conducted in the Aviation Service for which developmental authorizations have been issued. The data is required to determine whether such developmental authorizations should be renewed and/or whether rulemaking proceeding should be initiated to provide generally for such operations in the Aviation Service. The information is used by Commission staff to determine the merits of the program for which a developmental authorization was granted. If such information were not collected, the value of developmental programs in the Aviation Service would be severely limited. The Commission would have little, if any, information available regarding the advantages and disadvantages of the subject

OMB Control No.: 3060–0222. Title: Section 97.213, Remote Control of a Station.

Form No.: N/A.

developmental operations.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Individuals or households and business or other for profit.

Number of Respondents: 500. Estimated Time Per Response: .2 hours (12 minutes).

Frequency of Response:
Recordkeeping requirement.
Total Annual Burden: 100 hours.
Annual Cost Burden: N/A.
Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A.

Needs and Uses: The recordkeeping requirement contained in § 97.213 consists of posting a photocopy of the amateur station license, a label with the name, address, and telephone number of the station licensee, and the name of at least one authorized control operator. This requirement is necessary so that quick resolution of any harmful interference problems can be identified and to ensure that the station is operating in accordance with the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. The information is used by FCC staff during inspections and investigations to assure that remotely controlled amateur radio stations are licensed in accordance with applicable rules, statutes and treaties. In the absence of this recordkeeping requirement, field inspections and investigations related to harmful interference could be severely hampered and needlessly prolonged due to inability to quickly obtain vital information about a remotely controlled station.

OMB Control No.: 3060–0259. Title: Section 90.263, Substitution of Frequencies Below 25 MHz.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for profit and state, local or tribal government.

Number of Respondents: 60. Estimated Time Per Response: .5 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 30 hours. Annual Cost Burden: N/A. Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A.

Needs and Uses: Section 90.263 requires applicants proposing operations in certain frequency bands below 25 MHz to submit supplemental information showing such frequencies are necessary from a safety of life standpoint, and information regarding minimum necessary hours of operation. This requirement will be used by Commission staff in evaluating the applicant's need for such frequencies and the interference potential to other stations operating on the proposed frequencies.

OMB Control No.: 3060–0264. Title: Section 80.413, On-Board Station Equipment Records. Form No.: N/A.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Individuals or households, business or other for profit, not-for-profit institutions, and state, local or tribal government.

Number of Respondents: 1,000. Estimated Time Per Response: 2 nours.

Frequency of Response:
Recordkeeping requirement.
Total Annual Burden: 2,000 hours.
Annual Cost Burden: N/A.
Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A.
Needs and Uses: The recordkeeping
requirement contained in § 80.413 is

Needs and Uses: The recordkeeping requirement contained in § 80.413 is necessary to document the number and type of transmitters operating under an on-board station license. The information is used by FCC staff during inspections and investigations to determine what mobile units and repeaters are associated with on-board stations aboard a particular vessel. If this information were not collected, no means would be available to determine if this type of radio equipment is authorized or who is responsible for its operation. Enforcement and frequency management programs would be negatively affected.

OMB Control No.: 3060–0297. Title: Section 80.503, Cooperative Use of Facilities.

Form No.: N/A.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Individuals or households, business or other for profit, not-for-profit institutions, and state, local or tribal government.

Number of Respondents: 100. Estimated Time Per Response: 16 hours.

Frequency of Response: Recordkeeping requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 1,600 hours. Annual Cost Burden: N/A.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A. *Needs and Uses:* The recordkeeping requirements contained in § 80.503 are necessary to ensure licensees which share private facilities operate within the specified scope of service, on a nonprofit basis, and do not function as communications common carriers providing ship-shore public correspondence services. The information is used by FCC staff during inspections and investigations to insure compliance with applicable rules. If this information was not available, enforcement efforts could be hindered, frequency congestion in certain bands could increase, and the financial viability of some public coast radiotelephone stations could be threatened.

OMB Control No.: 3060–0931. Title: Maritime Mobile Service Identity (MMSI).

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Individuals or households, business or other for profit and Federal Government.

Number of Respondents: 2,000. Estimated Time Per Response: .5 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement and third party disclosure requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 1,000 hours.
Annual Cost Burden: N/A.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A. Needs and Uses: This information collection is necessary to require owners of marine VHF radios with Digital Selective Calling (DSC) capability to register information such as name, address, type of vessel with a private entity issuing marine mobile service identities (MMSI). The information would be used by search and rescue personnel to identify vessels in distress and to select the proper rescue units and search methods. The information is used by the private entities to maintain a database used to provide information about the vessel owner in distress using marine VHF radios with DSC capability. If the collection were not conducted, the U.S. Coast Guard would not have access to this information which would increase the time needed to complete a search and rescue operation.

OMB Control No.: 3060–0936. Title: Section 95.1215, Disclosure Policies and Section 95.1217, Labeling Requirements.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for profit and not-for-profit institutions. Number of Respondents: 20.

Estimated Time Per Response: 1 hour. Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 20 hours. Annual Cost Burden: N/A.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A. Needs and Uses: The information collection requires manufacturers of transmitters for the Medical Implant Communications Service (MICS) to include with each transmitting device a statement regarding harmful interference and to label the device in a conspicuous location on the device. The requirements will allow use of potential life-saving medical technology without causing interference to other users of the 402–405 MHz band.

OMB Control No.: 3060–1004. Title: Revision of the Commission's Rules to Ensure Compatibility with Enhanced 911 Emergency Calling Systems.

Form No.: N/A.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for profit, not-for-profit institutions, and state, local or tribal government.

Number of Respondents: 50 respondents; 213 responses.

Estimated Time Per Response: 5 hours.

Frequency of Response: Quarterly, semi-annual and one-time reporting requirements, third party disclosure requirement and recordkeeping requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 1,202 hours. Annual Cost Burden: N/A.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A. Needs and Uses: The Commission sought and received emergency OMB approval for this collection. However, since OMB only grants emergency OMB approval for six months, the Commission is seeking extension of this information collection (no change in requirements) in order to obtain the full three year clearance from OMB.

The Commission released an order (FCC 05–181) finding that certain Tier III carriers did not sufficiently support their requests for waiver of the E911 rules, but providing the carriers with additional time, until July 21, 2006, to augment the record to show a clear path to full compliance with the E911 requirements. The Commission also imposed conditions and required Tier III carriers to file separate status reports by November 21, 2005, and commencing February 1, 2006, additional status reports on a quarterly basis, for a two year period.

In addition, on October 28, 2005 (FCC 05–182) and on November 3, 2005 (FCC 05–188), in response to requests for relief submitted by certain Tier III carriers, the Commission released orders that granted, in part, limited extensions of the December 31, 2005 requirement, subject to conditions, and required Tier III carriers to file status reports on a quarterly basis, for a two year period beginning on February 1, 2006. Further FCC 05–188 required one Tier III carrier, in addition to the quarterly reporting requirements, to submit a compliance plan by November 3, 2006.

The Commission will use the information submitted by Tier III carriers subject to reporting requirements to ensure that they comply with the Commission's E911 requirements and the terms of the underlying orders addressing their requests for waiver relief.

Federal Communications Commission.

## Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 05–23858 Filed 12–13–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

## Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission, Comments Requested

November 28, 2005.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before February 13, 2006. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: You may submit your Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments by e-mail or U.S. postal mail. To submit your comments by e-mail send them to: *PRA@fcc.gov*. To submit your comments by U.S. mail, mark it to the attention of Judith B. Herman, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Room 1–C804, Washington, DC 20554.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information about the information collection(s) send an e-mail to *PRA@fcc.gov* or contact Judith B. Herman at 202–418–0214.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control No.: 3060-0690.