changes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

#### IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

# Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to *rule-comments@sec.gov*. Please include File Number SR–CHX–2005–24 on the subject line.

# Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549–9303.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CHX-2005-24. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of CHX. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File

Number SR-CHX-2005-24 and should be submitted on or before November 10, 2005.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.  $^9$ 

# Jonathan G. Katz,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5–5786 Filed 10–19–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-52603; File No. SR-NASD-2005-082]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc.; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change and Amendment No. 1 Thereto To Clarify the Listing Standards Applicable to Companies in Bankruptcy Proceedings

October 13, 2005.

On June 22, 2005, the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD"), through its subsidiary, The Nasdag Stock Market, Inc. ("Nasdag"), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") 1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> a proposed rule change to clarify the listing standards applicable to companies in bankruptcy proceedings. On August 23, 2005, Nasdag filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.3 The proposed rule change was published for comment in the Federal Register on September 13, 2005.4 The Commission received no comments on the proposal. This order approves the proposed rule change.

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change, as amended, is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities association,<sup>5</sup> the requirements of Section 15A of the Act,<sup>6</sup> in general, and Section 15A(b)(6) of the Act,<sup>7</sup> in particular, which requires, among other things, that NASD's rules be designed to

prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The Commission believes the proposed rule change may remove ambiguity surrounding the standards applicable to companies involved in bankruptcy proceedings and may require such companies to meet heightened initial inclusion standards upon emerging from bankruptcy, thereby protecting investors and the public interest.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to section 19(b)(2) of the Act,<sup>8</sup> that the proposed rule change (SR–NASD–2005–082), as amended, is approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>9</sup>

# Jonathan G. Katz,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5–5787 Filed 10–19–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# **Federal Aviation Administration**

Agency Information Collection Activity Under OMB Review, Request for Comments; Renewal of an Approved Information Collection Activity, Procedures for Non-Federal Navigation Facilities

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice and request for

comments.

**SUMMARY:** The information kept is used by the FAA as proof that non-Federal navigation facilities are maintained within certain specified tolerances.

**DATES:** Please submit comments by November 21, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Judy Street on (202) 267–9895.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Federal Aviation Administration (FAA).

*Title:* Procedures for Non-Federal Navigation Facilities.

*Type of Request:* Renewal of an approved collection.

OMB Control Number: 2120–0014. Forms(s): None.

Affected Public: A total of 2413 navigation facility operators.

<sup>9 17</sup> CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In Amendment No. 1, Nasdaq made typographical corrections to the rule text and a correction to the stated purpose of the proposed rule change.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 52385 (September 7, 2005), 70 FR 54096.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

<sup>6 15</sup> U.S.C. 78o-3.

<sup>7 15</sup> U.S.C. 78o-3(b)(6).

<sup>8 15</sup> U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

<sup>9 17</sup> CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

Frequency: The information is conducted on an as-needed basis.

Estimated Average Burden Per Response: Approximately 14 hours per response.

Estimated Annual Burden Hours: An estimated 33,116 hours annually.

Abstract: The non-Federal navigation facilities are electrical/electronic aids to air navigation which are purchased, installed, operated, and maintained by an entity other than the FAA and are available for use by the flying public. These aids may be located at unattended remote sites or airport terminals. The information kept is used by the FAA as proof that the facility is maintained within certain specified tolerances.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attention FAA Desk Officer.

Comments are invited on: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; the accuracy of the Department's estimates of the burden of the proposed information collection; ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Issued in Washington, DC, on October 14, 2005.

# Judith D. Street,

FAA Information Collection Clearance Officer, Information Systems and Technology Services Staff, ABA–20.

[FR Doc. 05–21001 Filed 10–19–05; 8:45am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

Agency Information Collection Activity Under OMB Review, Request for Comments; Renewal of an Approved Information Collection Activity, Use of Certain Personal Oxygen Concentrator (POC) Devices on Board Aircraft

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA invites public comments about our intention to request the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) renewal of a current information

collection. The rule requires passengers who intend to use an approved POC to present a physician statement before boarding. The flight crew must then inform the pilot-in-command that a POC is on board.

**DATES:** Please submit comments by November 21, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Judy Street on (202) 267–9895.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)

Title: Use of Certain Personal Oxygen Concentrator (POC) Devices on Board Aircraft.

Type of Request: Renewal of an approved collection.

*OMB Control Number:* 2120–0702. *Forms(s):* None.

Affected Public: A total of 1,735,000 airline passengers and personnel.

Frequency: The information is conducted on an as-needed basis.

Estimated Average Burden Per Response: Approximately 0.1 hours per response.

Estimated Annual Burden Hours: An estimated 172,694 hours annually.

Abstract: The rule requires passengers who intend to use an approved POC to present a physician statement before boarding. The flight crew must then inform the pilot-in-command that a POC is on board.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attention FAA Desk Officer.

Comments are invited on: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; the accuracy of the Department's estimates of the burden of the proposed information collection; ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Issued in Washington, DC, on October 14, 2005.

# Judith D. Street,

FAA Information Collection Clearance Officer, Information Systems and Technology Services Staff, ABA–20.

[FR Doc. 05–21003 Filed 10–19–05; 8:45am]
BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

Notice of Availability of Record of Decision (ROD) for the Final Environmental Impact Statement, Washington Dulles International Airport, Chantilly, VA

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of record of decision.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) is issuing this notice to advise the public that it has issued a Record of Decision (ROD) for the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) that evaluated proposed New Runways and Associated Development at Washington Dulles International Airport, Chantilly, VA.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) has completed and issued its Record of Decision (ROD) for proposed New Runways and Associated Development at Washington Dulles International Airport, Chantilly, VA. FAA had published its Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) containing a Final Air Quality General Conformity Determination (FGCD), (Preliminary) Final Section 106 Historic Resources Report and a Virginia Coastal Zone Consistency Determination on August 11, 2005. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) was a cooperating Federal agency, having jurisdiction by law because the proposed project has the potential for significant wetland impacts.

The FEIS presented the purpose and need for the proposed project, a comprehensive analysis of the alternatives to the proposed project, including the No-Action Alternative and potential environmental impacts associated with the proposed development of two new air carrier runways and related improvements at IAD. The FEIS also identified the FAA's Preferred Alternative (Build Alternative 3) and described the proposed Mitigation Program for the Preferred Alternative that will be implemented by the Metropolitan Washington Airports Authority (MWAA) to off-set unavoidable environmental impacts.

In accordance with section 176(c) of the Federal Clean Air Act, FAA has assessed whether the air emissions that would result from FAA's action in approving the proposed projects conform to the State Implementation Plan (SIP). The results of this assessment indicated that the Preferred Alternative has demonstrated