

water, steam, natural gas, air and other liquids and gasses in plumbing and heating systems, air conditioning units, automatic sprinkler systems, and other related uses. Standard pipes (depending on type and code) may carry liquids at elevated temperatures but must not exceed relevant ASME code requirements.

Seamless line pipes are intended for the conveyance of oil and natural gas or other fluids in pipelines. Seamless line pipes are produced to the API 5L specification. Seamless pipes are commonly produced and certified to meet ASTM A-106, ASTM A-53 and API 5L specifications. Such triple certification of pipes is common because all pipes meeting the stringent ASTM A-106 specification necessarily meet the API 5L and ASTM A-53 specifications. Pipes meeting the API 5L specification necessarily meet the ASTM A-53 specification. However, pipes meeting the A-53 or API 5L specifications do not necessarily meet the A-106 specification. To avoid maintaining separate production runs and separate inventories, manufacturers triple-certify the pipes. Since distributors sell the vast majority of this product, they can thereby maintain a single inventory to service all customers.

The primary application of ASTM A-106 pressure pipes and triple-certified pipes is in pressure piping systems by refineries, petrochemical plants and chemical plants. Other applications are in power generation plants (electrical-fossil fuel or nuclear), and in some oil field uses (on shore and off shore) such as for separator lines, gathering lines and metering runs. A minor application of this product is for use as oil and gas distribution lines for commercial applications. These applications constitute the majority of the market for the subject seamless pipes. However, A-106 pipes may be used in some boiler applications.

The scope of this order includes all seamless pipe meeting the physical parameters described above and produced to one of the specifications listed above, regardless of application, and whether or not also certified to a non-covered specification. Standard, line and pressure applications and the above-listed specifications are defining characteristics of the scope of this order. Therefore, seamless pipes meeting the physical description above, but not produced to the ASTM A-335, ASTM A-106, ASTM A-53, or API 5L standards shall be covered if used in a standard, line or pressure application. For example, there are certain other ASTM specifications of pipe which,

because of overlapping characteristics, could potentially be used in A-106 applications. These specifications generally include A-162, A-192, A-210, A-333, and A-524. When such pipes are used in a standard, line or pressure pipe application, such products are covered by the scope of this order.

The HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Rescission of the Administrative Review

Pursuant to the Department's regulations, the Department will rescind an administrative review "if a party that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review." See 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). Since the petitioner withdrew its request for an administrative review on December 13, 2005, which is within the 90-day deadline, and no other party requested a review with respect to these companies, the Department is rescinding this administrative review in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

Notification Regarding APOs

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APOs") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction. The Department is issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with section 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: December 21, 2005.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5-7980 Filed 12-27-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-122-838]

Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 28, 2005.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has received a request to conduct a new shipper review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on certain softwood lumber from Canada. In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.214(d) (2005), we are initiating an AD new shipper review for International Forest Products Corporation (IFP Corp.).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Constance Handley or Alexander De Filippi at (202) 482-0631 and (202) 482-1832, respectively; Office 1, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 18, 2005, the Department received a timely request from IFP Corp., in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214, for a new shipper review of the AD order on certain softwood lumber products from Canada, which has a May anniversary month.¹ IFP Corp. is a U.S. corporation that purchases Canadian dimensional hemlock lumber for export to customers in the United States. IFP Corp. buys the subject merchandise at the mill from Terrace Lumber Company (Terrace), a newly-opened mill in British Columbia, Canada. IFP Corp. is the exporter (and importer), and Terrace is the producer. Terrace was incorporated in Canada on April 7, 2005.

As required by 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(ii) (A) and (iii)(A), IFP Corp. certified that it did not export certain softwood lumber to the United States during the period of investigation (POI), and that it has never been affiliated with any exporter or producer which exported certain softwood

¹ See Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Softwood Lumber Products From Canada, 67 FR 36068, 36070 (May 22, 2002).

lumber during the POI.² Furthermore, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214 (b)(2)(ii)(B), Terrace certified that it did not export certain softwood lumber to the United States during the period of investigation (POI). Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iv), the company submitted documentation establishing the date on which it first shipped the subject merchandise to the United States, the date of entry of that first shipment, the volume of that and subsequent shipments and, the date of the first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States. In the context of this review, the Department intends to solicit and carefully examine information concerning the first party in the chain of distribution with knowledge of U.S. destination and IFP Corps.' role as the exporter of the shipment(s) under review. Our findings may result in the rescission of this review if we find the party requesting the review was not eligible to make the request.

Initiation of Review

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b), and based on information on the record, we are initiating an AD new shipper review for IFP. We intend to issue the preliminary results of this new shipper review not later than 180 days after initiation of this review. We intend to issue final results of this review no later than 90 days after the date on which the preliminary results are issued. See 19 CFR 351.214(i).

New Shipper Review Proceeding	Period to be Reviewed
International Forest Products Corporation Ltd.	05/01/05 - 10/31/05

We will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to allow, at the option of the importer, the posting, until the completion of the review, of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for each entry of the subject merchandise from the above-listed company in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iii) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(e). Because IFP Corp., certified that it exports the subject merchandise produced by Terrace, the sale of which is the basis for these new shipper review request, we will permit the bonding privilege only with respect to entries of subject merchandise produced by Terrace and exported by IFP Corp.

² See Submission from IFP Corp. to the Department regarding Request for New Shipper Review, dated November 18, 2005.

Interested parties that need access to proprietary information in this new shipper review should submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and 351.306.

This initiation and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act, 19 CFR 351.214(d) and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(i).

Dated: December 21, 2005.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5-7979 Filed 12-27-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-475-824]

Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Italy

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to a request by Allegheny Ludlum Corporation, North American Stainless, United Auto Workers Local 3303, Zanesville Armco Independent Organization, Inc. and the United Steelworkers (collectively, petitioners), the U.S. Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils (SSSS in coils) from Italy with respect to ThyssenKrupp Acciai Speciali Terni S.p.A. (TKAST), and its affiliates. No other interested party requested a review. The period of review (POR) is July 1, 2004, through June 30, 2005. On December 6, 2005, all of the petitioners withdrew their request for an administrative review of TKAST. Accordingly, the Department is now rescinding the administrative review of TKAST.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 28, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Angelica Mendoza or Judy Lao, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3019 and (202) 482-7924, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 27, 1999, the Department published an antidumping duty order on SSSS in coils from Italy. See *Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less than Fair Value and Antidumping Order; Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Italy*, 64 FR 40567 (July 27, 1999). On July 1, 2005, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on SSSS in coils from Italy. See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 70 FR 38099 (July 1, 2005). On July 29, 2005, the Department received a timely request for an administrative review from petitioners of the antidumping duty order on SSSS in coils from Italy with respect to TKAST and its affiliates for the period July 1, 2004, through June 30, 2005. On August 29, 2005, the Department published the initiation of an administrative review of SSSS in coils from Italy covering the period of July 1, 2004, through June 30, 2005. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation In Part*, 70 FR 51009 (August 29, 2005). This review covers imports of SSSS in coils from one producer/exporter, TKAST.

On November 28, 2005, three of the five original petitioners withdrew their request for review (i.e., Allegheny Ludlum Corporation, North American Stainless, and the United Steelworkers). On December 1, 2005, the Department informed petitioners' counsel that it would not rescind the review unless all five petitioners withdraw their request. See Memorandum to the File from Richard O. Weible, Office Director, Regarding "Phone Conversation with David Hartquist," dated December 6, 2005. On December 6, 2005, all five of the petitioners withdrew their request that the Department conduct an administrative review of TKAST.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are certain stainless steel sheet and strip in coils. Stainless steel is an alloy steel containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. The subject sheet and strip is a flat-rolled product in coils that is greater than 9.5 mm in width and less than 4.75 mm in thickness, and that is annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or otherwise descaled. The subject sheet and strip may also be