

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review in part an initial determination (“ID”) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) finding no violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, in the above-captioned investigation. The Commission determined on review to decline to reach the issue of whether one claim term was met by the accused pool cues. The Commission has determined not to review the ALJ’s determination that one other limitation of the claims at issue is not met by the accused products. The investigation is therefore terminated with a finding of no violation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael K. Haldenstein, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3041. Copies of the public version of the ALJ’s ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDISON-LINE) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted the above-referenced investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 on April 4, 2005, based on a complaint filed by J. Pechauer Custom Cues, Inc. (“Pechauer”) of Green Bay, Wisconsin. 70 FR 7112. The complaint alleged infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,582,317 (the ‘317 patent), in the importation, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain pool cues covered by all 29 claims of the ‘317 patent. The Commission named the following companies as respondents in the investigation: Kaokao Industrial Co. LTD., aka Kaokao (Zhang Zhou) Sports (“Kao Kao”) Equipment Co. Ltd. of Taiwan; CueStix International of Lafayette, Colorado; Sterling Gaming of Matthews, North Carolina; CueSight of Matthews, North Carolina; Imperial

International of Hasbrouck Heights, New Jersey; Sigel’s Unlimited Cues & Accessories of Winter Garden, Florida; Nick Varner Cues and Cases of Owensboro, Kentucky; J–S Sales Co. Inc. of Elmsford, New York; and GLD Products of Muskego, Wisconsin.

On September 1, 2005, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 5) granting Kao Kao’s motion for summary determination of noninfringement and finding that Kao Kao’s accused pool cues do not satisfy two limitations of the two independent claims of the ‘317 patent. On September 7, 2005, complainant Pechauer filed a petition for review of the ALJ’s ID, and on September 19, 2005, the Commission Investigative Attorney and Kao Kao filed oppositions to Pechauer’s petition for review. On September 22, 2005, the Commission extended the time for deciding whether to review the ID until October 17, 2005.

Having examined the record in this investigation, including the ID, the petition for review, and the responses thereto, the Commission has determined not to review the portion of the ID concerning the “slightly threaded anterior portion” limitation. The Commission has determined to review, and on review, to decline to reach the issue of whether the accused pool cues meet the “closed posterior end” limitation. Accordingly, the investigation is terminated with a finding of no violation.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.42 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 18, 2005.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 05–21108 Filed 10–20–05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. DEA–270P]

#### Controlled Substances: Proposed Aggregate Production Quotas for 2006

**AGENCY:** Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), Justice.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed year 2006 aggregate production quotas.

**SUMMARY:** This notice proposes initial year 2006 aggregate production quotas for controlled substances in Schedules I

and II of the Controlled Substances Act (CSA).

**DATES:** Comments or objections must be received on or before November 14, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** To ensure proper handling of comments, please reference “Docket No. DEA–270P” on all written and electronic correspondence. Written comments being sent via regular mail should be sent to the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, Washington, DC 20537, Attention: DEA **Federal Register** Representative/ODL. Written comments sent via express mail should be sent to DEA Headquarters, Attention: DEA **Federal Register** Representative/ODL, 2401 Jefferson-Davis Highway, Alexandria, VA 22301. Comments may be directly sent to DEA electronically by sending an electronic message to [dea.diversion.policy@usdoj.gov](mailto:dea.diversion.policy@usdoj.gov). Comments may also be sent electronically through <http://www.regulations.gov> using the electronic comment form provided on that site. An electronic copy of this document is also available at the <http://www.regulations.gov> Web site. DEA will accept attachments to electronic comments in Microsoft Word, WordPerfect, Adobe PDF, or Excel file formats only. DEA will not accept any file format other than those specifically listed here.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Christine A. Sannerud, Ph.D., Chief, Drug and Chemical Evaluation Section, Drug Enforcement Administration, Washington, DC 20537, Telephone: (202) 307–7183.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 306 of the CSA (21 U.S.C. 826) requires that the Attorney General establish aggregate production quotas for each basic class of controlled substance listed in Schedules I and II. This responsibility has been delegated to the Administrator of the DEA by section 0.100 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations. The Administrator, in turn, has redelegated this function to the Deputy Administrator, pursuant to section 0.104 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

The proposed year 2006 aggregate production quotas represent those quantities of controlled substances that may be produced in the United States in 2006 to provide adequate supplies of each substance for: The estimated medical, scientific, research, and industrial needs of the United States; lawful export requirements; and the establishment and maintenance of reserve stocks. These quotas do not

include imports of controlled substances for use in industrial processes.

In determining the proposed year 2006 aggregate production quotas, the Deputy Administrator considered the following factors: Total actual 2004 and estimated 2005 and 2006 net disposals of each substance by all manufacturers; estimates of 2005 year-end inventories of each substance and of any substance manufactured from it and trends in accumulation of such inventories; product development requirements of both bulk and finished dosage form manufacturers; projected demand as

indicated by procurement quota applications filed pursuant to Section 1303.12 of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations; and other pertinent information.

Pursuant to section 1303 of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations, the Deputy Administrator of the DEA will, in early 2006, adjust aggregate production quotas and individual manufacturing quotas allocated for the year based upon 2005 year-end inventory and actual 2005 disposition data supplied by quota recipients for each basic class of Schedule I or II controlled substance.

Therefore, under the authority vested in the Attorney General by section 306 of the CSA of 1970 (21 U.S.C. 826), and delegated to the Administrator of the DEA by section 0.100 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations, and redelegated to the Deputy Administrator pursuant to section 0.104 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations, the Deputy Administrator hereby proposes that the year 2006 aggregate production quotas for the following controlled substances, expressed in grams of anhydrous acid or base, be established as follows:

Basic class—schedule I	Proposed year 2006 quotas
2,5-Dimethoxyamphetamine .....	2,801,000 g
2,5-Dimethoxy-4-ethylamphetamine (DOET) .....	2 g
3-Methylfentanyl .....	2 g
3-Methylthiofentanyl .....	2 g
3,4-Methylenedioxyamphetamine (MDA) .....	15 g
3,4-Methylenedioxy-N-ethylamphetamine (MDEA) .....	5 g
3,4-Methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA) .....	17 g
3,4,5-Trimethoxyamphetamine .....	2 g
4-Bromo-2,5-dimethoxyamphetamine (DOB) .....	2 g
4-Bromo-2,5-dimethoxyphenethylamine (2-CB) .....	2 g
4-Methoxyamphetamine .....	5 g
4-Methylaminorex .....	2 g
4-Methyl-2,5-dimethoxyamphetamine (DOM) .....	2 g
5-Methoxy-3,4-methylenedioxyamphetamine .....	2 g
Acetyl-alpha-methylfentanyl .....	2 g
Acetyldihydrocodeine .....	2 g
Acetylmethadol .....	2 g
Allylprodine .....	2 g
Alphacetylmethadol .....	2 g
Alpha-ethyltryptamine .....	2 g
Alphameprodine .....	2 g
Alphamethadol .....	3 g
Alpha-methylfentanyl .....	2 g
Alpha-methylthiofentanyl .....	2 g
Aminorex .....	2 g
Benzylmorphine .....	2 g
Betacetylmethadol .....	2 g
Beta-hydroxy-3-methylfentanyl .....	2 g
Beta-hydroxyfentanyl .....	2 g
Betameprodine .....	2 g
Betamethadol .....	2 g
Betaprodine .....	2 g
Bufotenine .....	2 g
Cathinone .....	2 g
Codeine-N-oxide .....	252 g
Diethyltryptamine .....	2 g
Difenoxin .....	5,000 g
Dihydromorphine .....	1,826,000 g
Dimethyltryptamine .....	3 g
Gamma-hydroxybutyric acid .....	8,000,000 g
Heroin .....	2 g
Hydromorphinol .....	2 g
Hydroxypethidine .....	2 g
Lysergic acid diethylamide (LSD) .....	61 g
Marihuana .....	4,500,000 g
Mescaline .....	2 g
Methaqualone .....	5 g
Methcathinone .....	4 g
Methyldihydromorphine .....	2 g
Morphine-N-oxide .....	252 g
N,N-Dimethylamphetamine .....	2 g
N-Ethylamphetamine .....	2 g
N-Hydroxy-3,4-methylenedioxyamphetamine .....	2 g
Noracymethadol .....	2 g
Norlevorphanol .....	52 g

Basic class—schedule I	Proposed year 2006 quotas
Normethadone .....	2 g
Normorphine .....	12 g
Para-fluorofentanyl .....	2 g
Phenomorphane .....	2 g
Pholcodine .....	2 g
Psilocybin .....	2 g
Psilocyn .....	7 g
Tetrahydrocannabinols .....	312,500 g
Thiofentanyl .....	2 g
Trimeperidine .....	2 g
Basic class—schedule II	Proposed year 2006 quotas
1-Phenylcyclohexylamine .....	2 g
Alfentanil .....	2,500 g
Alphaprodine .....	2 g
Amobarbital .....	2 g
Amphetamine .....	14,500,000 g
Cocaine .....	228,000 g
Codeine (for sale) .....	39,605,000 g
Codeine (for conversion) .....	55,000,000 g
Dextropropoxyphene .....	167,365,000 g
Dihydrocodeine .....	750,000 g
Diphenoxylate .....	828,000 g
Ecgonine .....	73,000 g
Ethylmorphine .....	2 g
Fentanyl .....	1,428,000 g
Glutethimide .....	2 g
Hydrocodone (for sale) .....	37,604,000 g
Hydrocodone (for conversion) .....	1,500,000 g
Hydromorphone .....	3,300,000 g
Isomethadone .....	2 g
Levo-alphaacetylmethadol (LAAM) .....	2 g
Levomethorphan .....	2 g
Levorphanol .....	5,000 g
Meperidine .....	9,753,000 g
Metazocine .....	1 g
Methadone (for sale) .....	15,490,000 g
Methadone Intermediate .....	19,208,000 g
Methamphetamine .....	2,340,000 g
[680,000 grams of levo-desoxyephedrine for use in a non-controlled, non-prescription product; 1,615,000 grams for methamphetamine mostly for conversion to a Schedule III product; and 45,000 grams for methamphetamine (for sale)]	
Methylphenidate .....	35,000,000 g
Morphine (for sale) .....	35,000,000 g
Morphine (for conversion) .....	110,774,000 g
Nabilone .....	2 g
Noroxymorphone (for sale) .....	1,002 g
Noroxymorphone (for conversion) .....	4,000,000 g
Opium .....	1,280,000 g
Oxycodone (for sale) .....	49,200,000 g
Oxycodone (for conversion) .....	920,000 g
Oxymorphone .....	534,000 g
Pentobarbital .....	18,251,000 g
Phencyclidine .....	2,006 g
Phenmetrazine .....	2 g
Racemethorphan .....	2 g
Remifentanyl .....	1,800 g
Secobarbital .....	2 g
Sufentanil .....	4,000 g
Thebaine .....	72,453,000 g

The Deputy Administrator further proposes that aggregate production quotas for all other Schedules I and II controlled substances included in sections 1308.11 and 1308.12 of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations be established at zero.

All interested persons are invited to submit their comments in writing or electronically regarding this proposal following the procedures in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document. A person may object to or comment on the proposal relating to any of the above-

mentioned substances without filing comments or objections regarding the others. If a person believes that one or more of these issues warrant a hearing, the individual should so state and summarize the reasons for this belief.

In the event that comments or objections to this proposal raise one or more issues which the Deputy Administrator finds warrant a hearing, the Deputy Administrator shall order a public hearing by notice in the **Federal Register**, summarizing the issues to be heard and setting the time for the hearing.

The Office of Management and Budget has determined that notices of aggregate production quotas are not subject to centralized review under Executive Order 12866.

This action does not preempt or modify any provision of state law; nor does it impose enforcement responsibilities on any state; nor does it diminish the power of any state to enforce its own laws. Accordingly, this action does not have federalism implications warranting the application of Executive Order 13132.

The Deputy Administrator hereby certifies that this action will have no significant impact upon small entities whose interests must be considered under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.* The establishment of aggregate production quotas for Schedules I and II controlled substances is mandated by law and by international treaty obligations. The quotas are necessary to provide for the estimated medical, scientific, research and industrial needs of the United States, for export requirements and the establishment and maintenance of reserve stocks. While aggregate production quotas are of primary importance to large manufacturers, their impact upon small entities is neither negative nor beneficial. Accordingly, the Deputy Administrator has determined that this action does not require a regulatory flexibility analysis.

This action meets the applicable standards set forth in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988 Civil Justice Reform.

This action will not result in the expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$115,000,000 or more in any one year, and will not significantly or uniquely affect small governments. Therefore, no actions were deemed necessary under the provisions of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995.

This action is not a major rule as defined by section 804 of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996. This action will not result in an annual effect on the economy of \$100,000,000 or more; a major increase in costs or prices; or significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment,

productivity, innovation, or on the ability of United States-based companies to compete with foreign-based companies in domestic and export markets.

Dated: October 14, 2005.

**Michele M. Leonhart,**

*Deputy Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 05-21038 Filed 10-20-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-09-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Federal Bureau of Investigation

#### Meeting of the CJIS Advisory Policy Board

**AGENCY:** Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI).

**ACTION:** Meeting notice.

**SUMMARY:** The purpose of this notice is to announce the meeting of the Criminal Justice Information Services (CJIS) Advisory Policy Board (APB). The CJIS APB is responsible for reviewing policy issued and appropriate technical and operational issues related to the programs administered by the FBI's CJIS Division, and thereafter, making appropriate recommendations to the FBI Director. The programs administered by the CJIS Division are the Integrated Automated Fingerprint Identification System, the Interstate Identification System, the Interstate Identification Index, Law Enforcement Online, National Crime Information Center, the National Instant Criminal Background Check System, the National Incident-Based Reporting System, Law Enforcement National Data Exchange, and Uniform Crime Reporting.

The meeting will be open to the public on a first-come, first-seated basis. Any member of the public wishing to file a written statement concerning the CJIS Division programs or wishing to address this session should notify Senior CJIS Advisor Roy G. Weise at (304) 625-2730 at least 24 hours prior to the start of the session.

The notification should contain the requestor's name, corporate designation, and consumer affiliation or government designation along with a short statement describing the topic to be addressed and the time needed for the presentation. A requestor will ordinarily be allowed no more than 15 minutes to present a topic.

**DATES:** The APB will meet in open session from 8:30 a.m. until 5 p.m., on December 7-8, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will take place at The Rosen Centre Hotel, 9840 International Drive, Orlando, Florida (407) 996-9840.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Inquiries may be addressed to Mrs. Kimberly S. Parsons, Management Analyst, Advisory Groups Management Unit, Programs Development Section, FBI CJIS Division, Module C3, 1000 Custer Hollow Road, Clarksburg, West Virginia 26306-0149, telephone (304) 625-2404, facsimile (304) 625-5090.

Dated: October 17, 2005.

**Roy G. Weise,**

*Senior CJIS Advisor, Criminal Justice Information Service Division, Federal Bureau of Investigation.*

[FR Doc. 05-21057 Filed 10-20-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-02-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Office of the Secretary

#### Submission for OMB Emergency Review; Comment Request

October 17, 2005.

The Department of Labor has submitted the following (see below) information collection request (ICR), utilizing emergency review procedures, to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). OMB approval has been requested by November 18, 2005. A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by contacting Darrin King at (202) 693-4129 (this is not a toll-free number) or emailing [king.darrin@dol.gov](mailto:king.darrin@dol.gov).

Comments and questions about the ICR listed below should be submitted to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Department of Labor Center for Faith-Based and Community Initiatives, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 (202-395-7316), and received 5 days prior to the requested OMB approval date. The Office of Management and Budget is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and