Activity/operator	Location	Date
Marlin Energy Offshore, LLC, Structure Removal SEA ES/SR 04-150, 04-151.	South Timbalier, Block 28, Lease OCS-G 01362, located 5 miles from the nearest Louisiana shoreline.	12/6/2004
Anadarko Petroleum Corporation, Initial Exploration Plan, SEA N-8236.	Lloyd Ridge, Blocks 94 & 95, OCS–G 23462 & 23463, located in the eastern Gulf of Mexico.	12/7/2004
Chevron U.S.A., Inc., Initial Exploration Plan SEA N-8241	Main Pass, Block 221, Lease OCS–G 26163, located 62 miles from the nearest Alabama shoreline.	12/8/2004
TDC Energy, Structure Removal SEA ES/SR 04-153	South Timbalier, Block 112, Lease OCS–G 10828, located 25 miles from the nearest Louisiana shoreline.	12/8/2004
SPN Resources, Structure Removal SEA ES/SR 04-143	West Cameron, Block 280, Lease OCS–G 00911, located 60 miles from the nearest Louisiana shoreline.	12/8/2004
Forest Oil Corporation, Structure Removal SEA ES/SR 99–027A.	Main Pass, Block 98, Lease OCS–G 05694, located 38 miles from the nearest Louisiana shoreline.	12/9/2004
WesternGeco, Geological & Geophysical Exploration Plan for Total SEA L04–70.	Located in the central Gulf of Mexico	12/10/2004
Chevron U.S.A., Inc., Initial Exploration Plan SEA N-8251	Viosca Knoll, Block 248, Lease OCS–G 25054, located 31 miles from the nearest Alabama shoreline.	12/14/2004
Fugro GeoServices, Inc., Geological & Geophysical Explo- ration Plan SEA L04–72.	Located in the central Gulf of Mexico south of Patterson, LA	12/28/2004
Veritas DGC Corporation, Geological & Geophysical Explo- ration Plan SEA T04–28.	Located in the western Gulf of Mexico east of Galveston, TX	12/28/2004

Persons interested in reviewing environmental documents for the proposals listed above or obtaining information about SEAs and FONSIs prepared for activities on the Gulf of Mexico OCS are encouraged to contact MMS at the address or telephone listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

Dated: January 14, 2005.

#### Chris C. Oynes,

Regional Director, Gulf of Mexico OCS Region. [FR Doc. 05–3915 Filed 2–28–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–MR–P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

## **Bureau of Reclamation**

# Battle Creek Salmon and Steelhead Restoration Project (Restoration Project) Tehama and Shasta Counties, CA

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Department of the Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability of draft supplemental environmental impact statement/revised environmental impact report (Draft SEIS/REIR).

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), CEQ NEPA Regulations (40 CFR 1502.9[c][1]), and Public Resources Code, sections 21000–21177 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the California Code of Regulations, title 14, section 15088.5 of the CEQA Guidelines, the Bureau of Reclamation the lead Federal agency, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), a cooperating Federal agency, and the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB), the

lead State agency, have made available for public review and comment a Draft SEIS/REIR for the Battle Creek Salmon and Steelhead Restoration Project (Restoration Project).

The notice of availability of the original joint Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report) (Draft EIS/EIR) and notice of public workshop and notice of public hearing was published in the **Federal Register** on Friday July 18, 2003 (68 FR 42758). Numerous comments addressing a wide range of issues were received on the Draft EIS/EIR, with some warranting circulation of supplemental/revised information to the original joint environmental document.

The Draft SEIS/REIR will disclose a new environmental analysis and propose mitigation regarding the increased risk of fish disease infection at private aquaculture facilities through the increase of naturally-spawning Battle Creek salmon and steelhead species under the Restoration Project. In addition, the Draft SEIS/REIR will disclose the potentially significant environmental effects of spreading certain harmful fish diseases from Battle Creek via private trout aquaculture operations that transfer trout from facilities in the Project Area to other State waters. The Draft SEIS/REIR will also disclose information regarding the 8 Dam Removal alternative and its dismissal from further consideration. DATES: Public comments on the Draft SEIS/REIR should be submitted on or before April 29, 2005, to both Ms. Mary Marshall and Mr. Jim Canaday at the addresses below.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on the Draft SEIS/REIR should be addressed to Ms. Mary Marshall, Bureau of Reclamation, 2800 Cottage Way,

Sacramento, CA 95825 (e-mail: *mmarshall@mp.usbr.gov*); and Mr. Jim Canaday, State Water Resources Control Board, 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 (e-mail:

*jcanaday@waterrights.swrcb.ca.gov*). Requests for a compact disk or a bound copy of the Draft SEIS/REIR should be addressed to Dr. Rosemary Stefani, Bureau of Reclamation, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, CA 95825, telephone: (916) 978–5309, or e-mail: *rstefani@mp.usbr.gov*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Mary Marshall at (916) 978–5248, TDD (916) 978–5608, e-mail: mmarshall@mp.usbr.gov or Mr. Jim Canaday at (916) 341–5308, e-mail: jcanaday@waterrights.swrcb.ca.gov.

**SUPPLMENTARY INFORMATION:** Copies of the Draft SEIS/REIR are available for public review at the following locations:

- Tehama County Library, 645 Madison, Red Bluff, CA 96080, (530) 527–0604
- Shasta County Library, 1855 Shasta Street, Redding, CA 96001, (530) 225– 5769
- Susanville Library, Lassen County, 1618 Main Street, Susanville, CA 96130, (530) 251–8127
- Bureau of Reclamation, Red Bluff Field Office, 22500 Altube Avenue, Red Bluff, CA 96080, (530) 529–3890
- Bureau of Reclamation, Office of Public Affairs, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, CA 95825–1898, (916) 978–5100
- Bureau of Reclamation, Denver Office Library, Building 67, Room 167, Denver Federal Center, 6th and Kipling, Denver, CO 80225, (303) 445–2072
- Natural Resources Library, U.S. Department of the Interior, 1849 C Street NW., Main Interior Building, Washington, DC 20240–0001

 State Water Resources Control Board, Division of Water Rights, 1001 I Street, 14th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814, (916) 341–5300

## Public Disclosure Statement

Comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, will be made available for public review. Individual respondents may request that their home address be withheld from public disclosure, which will be honored to the extent allowable by law. There may be circumstances in which a respondent's identity may also be withheld from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish to have your name and/or address withheld, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. All submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, will be made available for public disclosure in their entirety.

Dated: January 7, 2005. Kirk C. Rodgers, Regional Director, Mid-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 05–3930 Filed 2–28–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–MN–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

#### **Bureau of Reclamation**

## Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement on the AB Lateral Hydropower Project in Montrose County, CO

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of cancellation.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Reclamation is canceling plans to prepare a supplemental environmental impact statement (EIS) on the AB Lateral Hydropower Project. The hydropower project planned to use existing facilities of the Uncompahgre Valley Reclamation Project and would have been located in Montrose County, Colorado. The reason for canceling is that the project proponents, including the Uncompahgre Valley Water User's Association, have elected not to pursue approval of the project at this time.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Steve McCall, Chief, Environmental Staff, Bureau of Reclamation, Western Colorado Area Office, 2764 Compass Drive, Suite 106, Grand Junction, Colorado 81506, telephone, (970) 248– 0638.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Reclamation filed a final EIS on the project in 1990 and the supplemental EIS was planned to address changes in the project plan and changes in resource evaluations. The primary federal actions on this project would have been execution of a lease of power privilege (a type of contract) with Reclamation and the granting of a Section 404 permit under the Clean Water Act from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Dated: February 9, 2005.

#### Connie L. Rupp,

Assistant Regional Director—UC Region, Bureau of Reclamation. [FR Doc. 05–3896 Filed 2–28–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–MN–P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–486 Enforcement Proceedings]

### Certain Agricultural Tractors, Lawn Tractors, Riding Lawnmowers, and Components Thereof; Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Finding Two Respondents in Default

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (ID) of the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) in the above-captioned investigation finding two respondents, Beiqi Foton Motor Co., Ltd. and Shandong Worldbest Shantou Co., Ltd., in default, and to have waived their respective rights to appear, to be served with documents, and to contest the allegations at issue in the investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael K. Haldenstein, Esq., telephone 202-205-3041, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Copies of all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's

electronic docket (EDIS–ON–LINE) at *http://edis.usitc.gov.* Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On

August, 2, 2004, the complainant, CNH America LLC, filed a complaint seeking enforcement proceedings of the limited exclusion order issued in Certain Agricultural Tractors, Inv. No. 337-TA-486. The complainant asserted that the recipient of the limited order, now known as Beigi Foton Motor Co., Ltd., continues to export infringing tractors to the United States. Complainant also requested that the Commission modify the limited exclusion order by replacing it with a general exclusion order and various cease and desist orders in order to prevent alleged circumvention of the limited exclusion order.

On November 19, 2004, the Commission instituted formal enforcement proceedings to determine whether Beiqi Foton Motor Co. Ltd. and Shandong Worldbest Shantou Co., Ltd., an allegedly related company, are in violation of the Commission's limited exclusion order issued in the investigation, and what if any enforcement measures are appropriate. The Commission set a seven-month deadline for issuance of the enforcement initial determination by the ALJ and named the following entities as parties to the enforcement proceeding: (1) Complainant CNH America LLC; (2) respondent Beiqi Foton Motor Co., Ltd.; (3) respondent Shandong Worldbest Shantou Co., Ltd.; and (4) a Commission investigative attorney (IA) to be designated by OUII. 69 FR 67757 (Nov. 19, 2004). The Commission subsequently set a target date of November 21, 2005 for completion of the investigation.

The complaint was mailed to the two respondents on November 17, 2004, and they were notified in the letter accompanying the complaint that they could be found in default if they failed to respond. When they did not respond, the ALJ issued a show cause order (Order 1E) on December 29, 2004, which required the two respondents to show cause why they should not be found in default. A deadline of January 18, 2005, was set for the respondents to respond to that order. While respondents did not respond to the show cause order, complainant and the IA responded on January 18, 2005, and urged the ALJ to find the two respondents in default.

The ALJ issued the subject ID (Order 2E) on February 4, 2005. The ALJ found in the ID that the two respondents did