**PLACE:** Board Room, 7th Floor, Room 7047, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314–3428.

STATUS: Open.

#### MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

- 1. Request from a Federal Credit Union to Convert to a Community Charter.
- 2. NCUA's Operating Budget for 2006/2007.
- 3. NCUA's Overhead Transfer Rate.
- 4. NCUA's Operating Fee Scale for 2006
- 5. Interim Final Rule and Request for Comments: Part 707 of NCUA's Rules and Regulations, Truth in Savings.
- 6. Final Rule: Part 796 of NCUA's Rules and Regulations, Post-Employment Restrictions for Certain NCUA Examiners.

**RECESS:** 11:15 a.m.

TIME AND DATE: 11:30 a.m., Tuesday, November 29, 2005.

**PLACE:** Board Room, 7th Floor, Room 7047, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314–3428.

STATUS: Closed.

#### **MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:**

1. Part 703 of NCUA's Rules and Regulations, Pilot Program Request. Closed pursuant to Exemption (4).

# **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mary Rupp, Secretary of the Board, Telephone: 703–518–6304.

#### Mary Rupp,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 05-23375 Filed 11-22-05; 3:20 pm]

BILLING CODE 7535-01-M

#### NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

# Agency Information Collection Activities: Comment Request

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The National Science Foundation (NSF) is announcing plans to request reinstatement and approval of this data collection. In accordance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we are providing opportunity for public comment on this information collection.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information on respondents, including through the use

of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Written comments should be received by January 24, 2006 to be assured of consideration. Comments received after that date will be considered to the extent practicable.

ADDRESSES: Written comments regarding the information collection and requests for copies of the proposed information collection request should be addressed to Suzanne Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Rm. 295, Arlington, VA 22230, or by e-mail to splimpto@nsf.gov.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Suzanne Plimpton on (703) 292–7556 or send e-mail to *splimpto@nsf.gov*. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m, eastern time, Monday through Friday.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title of Collection: 2007 and 2009 Survey of Science and Engineering Research Facilities.

Expiration Date of Approval: April 31, 2006.

Type of Request: Intent to seek approval to renew an information collection for three years.

Proposed Project: The National Science Foundation Survey of Science and Engineering Research Facilities is a Congressionally mandated (Pub. L. 99-159), biennial survey that has been conducted since 1986. The survey collects data on the amount, condition, and costs of the physical facilities used to conduct science and engineering research. It was expected by Congress that this survey would provide the data necessary to describe the status and needs of science and engineering research facilities and to formulate appropriate solutions to documented needs. During the 2003 and 2005 survey cycles, data were collected from a population of approximately 465 research-performing colleges and universities. This survey population was supplemented with approximately 190 nonprofit biomedical research institutions receiving research support from the National Institutes of Health. Beginning with the FY 2003 cycle, a new section was added to the survey requesting information on the computing and networking capacity at the surveyed institutions, an

increasingly important part of the infrastructure for science and engineering research. Other important changes include updating the networking and computing section, based on technological changes and issues that may occur.

Use of the Information: Analysis of the Facilities Survey data will provide updated information on the status of scientific and engineering research facilities and capabilities. The information can be used by Federal policy makers, planners, and budget analysts in making policy decisions, as well as by institutional academic officials, the scientific/engineering establishment, and state agencies and legislatures that fund universities.

Burden on the Public: The Facilities Survey will be sent by mail to approximately 465 academic institutions and 190 nonprofit research organizations and hospitals. The completion time per academic institution is expected to average 41 hours and the completion time per research organization/hospitals is expected to average 7 hours. Assuming a 90% response rate, this would result in an estimated burden of 17,159 hours for academic institutions and 1,197 hours for nonprofit research organizations/hospitals.

Dated: November 21, 2005.

# Suzanne H. Plimpton,

Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.

[FR Doc. 05–23290 Filed 11–23–05; 8:45 am]

# NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Notice of Availability of Final Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Final Environmental Assessment (EA) and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the Construction of Northern Arizona University's Merriam-Powell Research Station at The Arboretum at Flagstaff, Flagstaff, Arizona.

DATES: November 25, 2005.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION OR COMMENTS:

Electronic copies of the Final EA and FONSI of the National Science Foundation (NSF) are available for review at <a href="http://www.nsf.gov/bio/pubs/reports/ea\_mprs.doc">http://www.nsf.gov/bio/pubs/reports/ea\_mprs.doc</a>. Please direct any requests for paper copies of the Final EA and FONSI to Amy V. Whipple, Merriam-Powell Center for Environmental Research, PO Box 5640,

Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ 86011 or *Amy.Whipple@NAU.EDU*. The NSF Program contact for this project is Gerald B. Selzer, Director of the Field Stations and Marine Laboratories Program, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22230 or *gselzer@nsf.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Northern Arizona University proposes to construct and operate the Merriam-Powell Research Station (MPRS) in collaboration with an on property leased from The Arboretum at Flagstaff. This project is funded by the NSF, which is the Federal agency responsible for the environmental review process. The environmental review process was conducted in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and other applicable Federal laws and regulations. The proposed research station will provide facilities for visiting researchers, students, instructors, and agency personnel. It will leverage productive collaborations involving NAU researchers and the numerous field research opportunities in Northern Arizona, including the adjacent NAU Centennial Forest. The two alternative sites considered for the MPRS were on undeveloped land on the NAU Centennial Forest and adjacent to existing development at The Arboretum at Flagstaff. It is expected that construction will be completed in July of 2006.

A Draft Environmental Assessment (EA) was prepared and made available for a period of public comment that ended November 7, 2005. A Final EA was prepared after NSF considered all comments received on the Draft EA. From the information contained in the Final EA, NSF determined that no significant environmental impacts would result from construction of the Merriam-Powell Research Station on the preferred site at the Arboretum at Flagstaff. Therefore, NSF has issued a Finding of No significant Impact (FONSI) in conformance with Federal regulations at 45 Code of Federal Regulations 640.4(e).

#### Gerald B. Selzer,

Program Director for Field Stations and Marine Laboratories, Division of Biological Infrastructure, National Science Foundation. [FR Doc. 05–23298 Filed 11–23–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 1-10606]

Issuer Delisting; Notice of Application of Cadence Design Systems, Inc. To Withdraw Its Common Stock, \$.01 Par Value, and the Preferred Share Purchase Rights From Listing and Registration on the New York Stock Exchange, Inc.

November 18, 2005.

On October 27, 2005, Cadence Design Systems, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("Issuer"), filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 12(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") <sup>1</sup> and Rule 12d2–2(d) thereunder, <sup>2</sup> to withdraw its common stock, \$.01 par value, and the preferred share purchase rights (collectively "Securities"), from listing and registration on the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE").

Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE").

The Board of Directors ("Board") of the Issuer unanimously approved a resolution on October 24, 2005, to withdraw the Securities from listing and registration on NYSE and to list the Securities on the Nasdaq National Market ("Nasdaq"). The Issuer stated that the Board determined that it is in the best interests of the Issuer to withdraw the Securities from NYSE and list the Securities on Nasdaq. In addition, the Issuer stated that as a result of the Issuer's participation in Nasdaq's dual-listing program, pursuant to which the Issuer's common stock was listed on both NYSE and Nasdaq, the Board has determined that Nasdag is the preferred marketplace for many of the Issuer's institutional investors and that listing solely on Nasdaq would be costeffective for the Issuer without adversely affecting the market for the Issuer's common stock.

The Issuer stated in its application that it has complied with NYSE's rules governing an issuer's voluntary withdrawal of a security from listing and registration by providing NYSE with the required documents governing the removal of securities from listing and registration on NYSE.

The Issuer's application relates solely to the withdrawal of the Security from listing on NYSE and from registration under Section 12(b) of the Act,<sup>3</sup> and shall not affect its obligation to be registered under Section 12(g) of the Act.<sup>4</sup>

Any interested person may, on or before December 14, 2005, comment on the facts bearing upon whether the application has been made in accordance with the rules of NYSE, and what terms, if any, should be imposed by the Commission for the protection of investors. All comment letters may be submitted by either of the following methods:

#### Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/delist.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to *rule-comments@sec.gov*. Please include the File Number 1–10606 or;

## Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549–9303.

All submissions should refer to File Number 1–10606. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help us process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/delist.shtml). Comments are also available for public inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. All comments received will be posted without change; we do not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

The Commission, based on the information submitted to it, will issue an order granting the application after the date mentioned above, unless the Commission determines to order a hearing on the matter.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>5</sup>

#### Jonathan G. Katz,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5-6512 Filed 11-23-05; 8:45 am]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78*l*(d).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.12d2-2(d).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78*l*(b).

<sup>4 15</sup> U.S.C. 78 l(g).

<sup>5 17</sup> CFR 200.30-3(a)(1).