Implement Multiple Resource Management Actions, Mystic Ranger District, Black Hills National Forest, Pennington County, South Dakota, Comment Period Ends: 10/03/2005, Contact: Robert Thompson 605–343– 1567.

EIS No. 20050340, Draft EIS, AFS, UT, West Fork Blacks Fork Allotment Management Plan, Proposes to Authorize Continued Livestock Grazing, Township 1 North, Range 11 East, Salt Lake Principle Merida, Evanston Ranger District, Wasatch-Cache National Forest, Summit County, UT, Comment Period Ends: 10/03/2005, Contact: Richard Zobell 307–782–6555.

EIS No. 20050341, Final EIS, COE, 00,
Arkansas River Navigation Study, To
Maintain and Improve the Navigation
Channel in Order to Enhance
Commercial Navigation on the
McCellan Kerr Arkansas River
Navigation System (MKARNS),
Several Counties, AR and Several
Counties, OK, Wait Period Ends: 09/
19/2005, Contact: Renee Wright 501–
324–6139.

EIS No. 20050342, Draft EIS, NOA, 00, Consolidated Atlantic Highly Migratory Species Fishery Management Plan for Atlantic Tunas, Swordfish, and Shark and the Atlantic Billfish Fishery Management Plan, Implementation, Atlantic Coast, Caribbean and Gulf of Mexico, Comment Period Ends: 10/03/2005, Contact: Karyl Brewster Geisz 301– 713–2347.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 20050044, Draft EIS, BLM, WY, Jonah Infill Drilling Project, Propose to Expand Development of Natural Gas Drilling, Sublette County, WY, Comment Period Ends: 10/07/2005, Contact: Carol Kruse 307–367–5352. Revision of Notice Published in Federal Register 02/11/2005: This Comment Period is Only for the Supplement Air Quality Information Portion; the Comment Period will end on 10/07/2005.

EIS No. 20050216, Draft EIS, IBR, CA,
San Luis Drainage Feature Reevaluation Project, Provide
Agricultural Drainage Service to the
San Luis Unit, Several Counties, CA,
Comment Period Ends: 9/01/2005,
Contact: Gerald Robbins 916–978–
5061. Revision of Notice Published in
Federal Register 6/03/2005:
Extending the Comment Period from
8/03/2005 to 9/01/2005.

EIS No. 20050231, Draft EIS, AFS, MT, Gallatin National Forest, Proposed Travel Management Plan, Implementation, Forest Land and Resource Management, Madison, Gallatin, Park, Meagher, Sweetgrass and Carbon Counties, MT, Comment Period Ends: 9/02/2005, Contact: Steve Christiansen 406–587–6750 Revision of Notice Published in **Federal Register** 6/17/2005: Extending Comment Period from 8/ 01/2005 to 9/02/2005.

EIS No. 20050325, Draft EIS, AFS, WV, Programmatic—Monongahela
National Forest Plan Revision, Proposes to Revise Land and Resource
Management Plan, Barbour, Grant, Greebrier, Nicholas, Pendleton,
Pocahontab, Preston, Randolph, Tucker, Webster Counties, WV, Comment Period Ends: 11/14/2005, Contact: Clyde
Thompson 304–636–1800.

Revision of Notice Published in **Federal Register:** 8/12/2005: Correction to Comment Period from 9/26/2005 to 11/14/2005.

Dated: August 16, 2005.

Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 05–16473 Filed 8–18–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6666-6]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202–564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in **Federal Register** dated April 1, 2005 (70 FR 16815).

Draft EISs

EIS No. 20050229, ERP No. D-AFS-L65487-0R, Blue Mountain Land Exchange—Oregon Project, Proposed Exchange of Federal and Non-Federal Lands, Malheur, Umatilla, and Wallowa-Whitman National Forests, Baker, Grant, Morrow, Umatilla, Union and Wallowa Counties, OR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to water quality and forest habitat as well as on the loss of dedicated old growth and net loss of Late and Old Structure habitat. EPA supports the identified prioritization of road restoration efforts proposed for acquired lands, but is concerned about uncertain funding to implement restoration efforts.

Rating EC2.

Final EISs

EIS No. 20050267, ERP No. F–NRC– G09804–NM, National Enrichment Facility (NEF), Construction, Operation, and Decommission of a Gas Centrifuge Uranium Enrichment Facility, License Application, NUREG–1790, near Eunice, Lea County, NM.

Summary: No formal comment letter was to the preparing agency.

EIS No. 20050275, ERP No. F-FHW-F40389-WI, WI-26 State Trunk Highway (STH) Improvements, Janesville at IH-90 to STH-60-East north of Watertown Road, Funding, (Project ID 1390-04-00), Rock, Jefferson, and Dodge Counties, WI

Summary: Many of EPA's earlier objections to the project have been satisfactory addressed; however, EPA continues to have concerns about the proposed project because of the need for additional refinement on compensatory mitigation for wetlands impacts.

EIS No. 20050279, ERP No. F–NPS– E65070–AL, Selma to Montgomery National Historic Trail Comprehensive Management Plan, Implementation, Dallas, Lowndes, and Montgomery Counties, AL.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the preferred alternative.

EIS No. 20050302, ERP No. FC-IBW-K24017-00, South Bay International Wastewater Treatment Plan (SBIWTP), To Address Treatment Alternatives from Tijuana, Mexico that cross into United States/Mexico Border in San Diego County, CA.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: August 16, 2005.

Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 05–16474 Filed 8–18–05; 8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7956-6]

Science Advisory Board Staff Office; Notification of an Upcoming Science Advisory Board Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The EPA Science Advisory Board (SAB) Staff Office announces a public teleconference of an SAB Quality Review Committee (QRC) to review and discuss the SAB Draft Advisory Report on EPA's Regional Vulnerability Assessment (ReVA) Program.

DATES: September 8, 2005. A public telephone conference of the SAB Quality Review Committee (QRC) will be held on September 8, 2005, from 1 p.m. until 3 p.m. (eastern time).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Members of the public who wish to obtain the call-in number and access code for this teleconference may contact Mr. Thomas O. Miller, Designated Federal Officer, via telephone at (202) 343–9982 or via e-mail at miller.tom@epa.gov. An agenda and the documents that are the subject of this teleconference will be posted on the SAB Web site at: http://www.epa.gov/sab. The SAB mailing address is: U.S. EPA, Science Advisory Board (1400F), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The EPA Office of Research and Development requested a consultation with the SAB to review the methods and predictive tools used in ReVA, and the effectiveness of the ReVA integration toolkit (the ReVA Web-based Environmental Decision Toolkit or EDT) for communicating risk and uncertainty to clients and users. EPA's ReVA Program develops approaches to conducting comprehensive, regionalscale environmental assessments that can inform decision-makers about the magnitude, extent, distribution, and uncertainty of current and anticipated environmental vulnerabilities. In the context of ReVA, environmental vulnerabilities are risks of serious degradation of ecological goods and services that are valued by society. ReVA approaches make use of existing spatial data to depict: (1) The current patterns of condition and distribution of resources and human demographics, (2) variability in sensitivity of resources and human populations to various stresses, and (3) estimated spatial distribution of stressors. Future vulnerability estimates derived by ReVA include syntheses of: (1) Modeled estimates of ecological drivers of change (i.e. changes in pollution and pollutants, resource extraction, spread of nonindigenous species, land use change, and climate change) and resulting changes in stressor patterns; and (2) changes in resource sensitivity and projected changes in human

demographics. The predictive tools in ReVA provide decision-makers with information about current and future cumulative stresses and spatiallyexplicit identification of anticipated environmental problems. These predictive tools can also be used to illustrate the trade-offs associated with alternative environmental and economic policies in the context of dynamic stakeholder values. ReVA relies heavily on the use of geographic information system technologies and quantitative integration and assessment methods to develop useful measures of a suite of decision-criteria for decision-makers at multiple scales. The SAB Panel held several meetings to discuss and draft its advisory as announced in Federal Register notices published on October 13, 2004 (69 FR 60864), and March 24, 2005 (70 FR 15084). These notices can be found on the SAB Web site at: http://www.epa.gov/sab/panels/ reva_rev_panel.htm.

The SAB is now conducting a quality review of the Panel's draft advisory report. The purpose of the QRC is to determine whether: (i) The original charge questions to the SAB review panel have been adequately addressed, (ii) the report is clear and logical, and (iii) any conclusions drawn, or recommendations provided, are supported by the body of information in the advisory report. The outcome of the QRC review will be referred to the SAB for action during the Board's final public review of the draft report.

Procedures for Providing Public Comment: The SAB Staff Office accepts written public comments of any length, and will accommodate oral public comments whenever possible. The SAB Staff Office expects that public statements at the SAB Quality Review Committee review of the Draft Advisory Report on EPA's Regional Vulnerability Assessment (ReVA) Program will not repeat previously submitted oral or written statements. Oral Comments: Requests to provide oral comments must be in writing (e-mail or fax) and received by Mr. Miller no later than September 1, 2005, to reserve time on the September 8, 2005, agenda. For teleconferences, opportunities for oral comment will be limited to no more than five minutes per speaker. Written Comments: Written comments should be received in the SAB Staff Office by the date specified above so that the comments may be made available to the committee for their consideration. Comments should be supplied to the DFO at the address/contact information above in the following formats: one hard copy with original signature, and one electronic copy via e-mail (acceptable

file format: Adobe Acrobat, WordPerfect, Word, or Rich Text files in IBM-PC/Windows 98/2000/XP format).

Meeting Accommodations: Individuals requiring special accommodation to access these meetings, should contact the DFO at least five business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

Dated: August 12, 2005.

Anthony Maciorowski,

Acting Director, EPA Science Advisory Board Staff Office.

[FR Doc. 05–16491 Filed 8–18–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OEI-2005-0009; FRL-7952-6]

Office of Environmental Information; Announcement of Availability and Comment Period for Revised Chemical Identification and Latitude/Longitude Data Standards

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of data availability and request for comment.

SUMMARY: Notice of availability for a 40 day review and comment period is hereby given for two revised data standards—(1) Chemical Identification Data Standard, and (2) Latitude/Longitude Data Standard.

The Chemical Identification Data Standard provides for the use of common identifiers for chemical substances regulated or monitored by environmental programs. The major revision to this standard is the inclusion of optional data element 2.15 "Chemical Preferred Acronym Name." Use of the data element is not mandatory.

The Latitude/Longitude Data
Standard is a set of data elements that
can be used for recording horizontal and
vertical coordinates and associated
metadata that define a point on the
earth. The major revision to this
standard is a reformatting which
includes all permitted value lists in
appendices and the addition of more
specific permitted values to data
element 1.7 "Reference Point Code" and
1.8 "Reference Point Name." The use of
the more specific permitted values is
not mandatory.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Linda Spencer; Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., MC 2822T, Washington, DC 20460; phone: 202–566–1651; Fax: