

EPA is also, providing an opportunity for interested parties to provide risk management proposals or otherwise comment on risk management.

EPA seeks to achieve environmental justice, the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of all people, regardless of race, color, national origin, or income, in the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies. To help address potential environmental justice issues, the Agency seeks information on any groups or segments of the population who, as a result of their location, cultural practices, or other factors, may have atypical, unusually high exposure to thidiazuron, compared to the general population.

EPA is applying the principles of public participation to all pesticides undergoing reregistration and tolerance reassessment. The Agency's Pesticide Tolerance Reassessment and Reregistration; Public Participation Process, published in the **Federal Register** on May 14, 2004, (69 FR 26819)(FRL-7357-9) explains that in conducting these programs, the Agency is tailoring its public participation process to be commensurate with the level of risk, extent of use, complexity of the issues, and degree of public concern associated with each pesticide. For thidiazuron, a modified, 4-Phase process with one comment period and ample opportunity for public consultation seems appropriate in view of its overall risk, limited use, use pattern, limited issues, and the few affected stakeholders. However, if as a result of comments received during this comment period EPA finds that additional issues warranting further discussion are raised, the Agency may lengthen the process and include a second comment period, as needed.

All comments should be submitted using the methods in Unit I. of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**, and must be received by EPA on or before the closing date. Comments will become part of the Agency Docket for thidiazuron. Comments received after the close of the comment period will be marked "late." EPA is not required to consider these late comments.

B. What is the Agency's Authority for Taking this Action?

Section 4(g)(2) of FIFRA as amended directs that, after submission of all data concerning a pesticide active ingredient, "the Administrator shall determine whether pesticides containing such active ingredient are eligible for reregistration," before calling in product specific data on individual end-use

products and either reregistering products or taking other "appropriate regulatory action."

Section 408(q) of the FFDCA, 21 U.S.C. 346a(q), requires EPA to review tolerances and exemptions for pesticide residues in effect as of August 2, 1996, to determine whether the tolerance or exemption meets the requirements of section 408(b)(2) or (c)(2) of FFDCA. This review is to be completed by August 3, 2006.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: May 2, 2005.

Peter Caulkins,

Director, Special Review and Reregistration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 05-9398 Filed 5-10-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-S

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission, Comments Requested

May 4, 2005.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before July 11, 2005. If

you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments to Judith B. Herman, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1-C804, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554 or via the Internet to *Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contact Judith B. Herman at (202) 418-0214 or via the Internet at *Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control No.: 3060-1070.

Title: Allocation and Service Rules for the 71-76, 81-86, and 92-95 GHz Bands, WT Docket No. 02-146, FCC 05-45, Memorandum Opinion and Order.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit, not-for-profit institutions, and State, local or tribal government.

Number of Respondents: 1,000.

Estimated Time per Response: 1.5-3.5 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirements, recordkeeping requirement and third party disclosure requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 12,000 hours.

Total Annual Cost: \$1,830,000.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A.

Needs and Uses: In the Memorandum Opinion and Order, the Commission addressed a Petition for Reconsideration filed by the Wireless Communications Association International, Inc. (WCA) on February 23, 2004. WCA sought reconsideration of the Commission's Report and Order, adopted on October 16, 2003, and released on November 4, 2003, 69 FR 3257, January 23, 2004, which adopted service rules to promote the private sector development and use of the spectrum in the 71-76 GHz, 81-86 GHz, and 92-95 GHz bands. The petition and the instant Memorandum Opinion and Order focus exclusively on the licensed use of the 71-76 GHz and 81-86 bands. In the Memorandum Opinion and Order, the Commission granted WCA's request that we adopt an interference analysis requirement. Because licensees are now required to submit an interference analysis to a third party database manager prior to link registration, we are modifying the currently approved collection to accommodate this new rule requirement. The interference will

facilitate entry and development of the 70–80–90 GHz service by lowering the risk of interference and thereby ensuring continued investment.

OMB Control No.: 3060–1081.

Title: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service (ETC Designation), CC Docket No. 96–45.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit.

Number of Respondents: 22.

Estimated Time per Response: .25–3 hours.

Frequency of Response: Annual reporting requirements and recordkeeping requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 242 hours.

Total Annual Cost: N/A.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A.

Needs and Uses: In the ETC Designation Framework Order (FCC 05–46), the Commission adopted additional annual reporting requirements and a recordkeeping requirement for Eligible Telecommunications Carriers (ETCs). ETCs will be required to report: (1) Progress towards meeting its five year service quality improvement plan; (2) information on outages lasting more than 30 minutes; (3) the number of consumer complaints per 1,000 handsets; (4) information detailing the number of unfulfilled requests for service from potential customers for a twelve month period; (5) certify compliance with service quality standards; (6) certify the ability to function in emergency situations; (7) certify local usage plan is comparable to ILEC's; and (8) certify ETC acknowledges it may be required to provide equal access. This information collection is necessary to ensure that each ETC satisfies its obligation under section 214(e) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, to provide services supported by the universal service mechanism throughout the areas for which each ETC is designated.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 05–9406 Filed 5–10–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission for Extension Under Delegated Authority

May 4, 2005.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Persons wishing to comment on this information collection should submit comments July 11, 2005. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments to Judith B. Herman, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Room 1–C804, Washington, DC 20554 or via the Internet to *Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Judith B. Herman at (202) 418–0214 or via the Internet at *Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control No.: 3060–0713.

Title: Alternative Broadcast Inspection Program (ABIP) Compliance Notification.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit and not-for-profit institutions.

Number of Respondents: 53.

Estimated Time per Response: 5 minutes (.084 hours).

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 220 hours.

Annual Cost Burden: N/A.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A.

Needs and Uses: The ABIP is an agreement between the Federal Communications Commission's Enforcement Bureau and an entity, usually a state broadcast association, in which the entity arranges for the inspection of the broadcast station to determine compliance with FCC regulations. The inspections are conducted on a voluntary basis and the entities notify the local FCC District Office or Resident Agent office, in writing via letter of those stations that pass the ABIP inspection and have been granted a Certificate of Compliance. The FCC's Enforcement Bureau standardized the existing Alternative Broadcast Inspection Program (ABIP) in 2003 to establish a specific, uniform arrangement for the inspection of broadcast stations. This information will be used by FCC to determine which broadcast stations comply with FCC Rules and will not be subject to routine inspections conducted by the FCC's District Offices. Without this information, the FCC would not be able to determine which stations should be exempt from random inspections.

OMB Control No.: 3060–0989.

Title: Procedures for Applicants Requiring Section 214 Authorizations for Domestic Interstate Transmission Lines Acquired through Corporate Control, 47 CFR Sections 63.01, 63.03 and 63.04.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit.

Number of Respondents: 86.

Estimated Time per Response: 1.5–12 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 958 hours.

Annual Cost Burden: \$70,000.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A.

Needs and Uses: Procedures for this information collection are set forth for common carriers requiring authorization under section 214 of the Communications Act (Act) of 1934, as amended to acquire domestic interstate transmission lines through a transfer of control. Under section 214 of the Act,