However, because cash deposits are only estimates of the amount of antidumping duties that will be due. changes in cash deposit rates are not made retroactive. If WFP believes that the deposits paid exceed the actual amount of dumping, it is entitled to request an administrative review during the anniversary month of the publication of the order of those entries to determine the proper assessment rate and receive a refund of any excess deposits. See Certain Hot-Rolled Lead and Bismuth Carbon Steel Products From the United Kingdom: Final Results of Changed–Circumstances Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 64 FR 66880 (November 30, 1999). As a result, if these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of this changed circumstances review, we will instruct CBP to suspend shipments of subject merchandise made by the WFP Entities at the Doman Entities' cash deposit rate (*i.e.*, 3.78 percent). Until that time, the cash deposit rate assigned to WFP's entries is the rate in effect at the time of entry (*i.e.*, the "all others" rate).

Public Comment

Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice. See 19 CFR 351.310(c). A hearing, if requested, will be held 44 days after the date of publication of this notice, or the first working day thereafter. Interested parties may submit case briefs and/or written comments not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, which must be limited to issues raised in such briefs or comments, may be filed not later than 37 days after the date of publication of this notice. Parties who submit arguments are requested to submit with the argument (1) a statement of the issue, (2) a brief summary of the argument, and (3) a table of authorities.

Consistent with section 351.216(e) of the Department's regulations, we will issue the final results of this changed circumstances review no later than 270 days after the date on which this review was initiated, or within 45 days if all parties agree to our preliminary finding. We are issuing and publishing this finding and notice in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and section 351.216 of the Department's regulations. Dated: June 23, 2005. Joseph A. Spetrini, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration. [FR Doc. E5–3394 Filed 6–28–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-122-839]

Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Countervailing Duty New Shipper Review: Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 29, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kristen Johnson, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–4793.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department of Commerce (the Department) to issue the final results of a new shipper review within 90 days after the date the preliminary results are issued. However, if the Department determines that the case is extraordinarily complicated, section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act allows the Department to extend the 90-day deadline for the final results to 150 days.

Background

On July 8, 2004, the Department initiated a new shipper review relating to the countervailing duty order on certain softwood lumber products from Canada, covering the period January 1, 2003, through December 31, 2003. See Certain Softwood Lumber Products From Canada: Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review for the Period May 1, 2003, through April 30, 2004, and Notice of Initiation of Countervailing Duty New Shipper Review for the Period January 1, 2003. through December 31, 2003, 69 FR 41229 (July 8, 2004).¹ The respondent in this review is Seed Timber Co. Ltd.

(Seed Timber). The Department completed the preliminary results of this new shipper review on April 26, 2005. See Certain Softwood Lumber Products From Canada: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty New Shipper Review, 70 FR 22848 (May 3, 2005). The current deadline for the final results is July 25, 2005.

Extension of Time Limits for Final Results

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act, the Department may extend the deadline for completion of the final results of a new shipper review if the case is extraordinarily complicated. The Department determines that this review is extraordinarily complicated and cannot be completed within the statutory time limit of 90 days because of the complexity of issues that interested parties raised in case briefs concerning the Department's applied benefit methodology.² Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and section 351.214(i)(2) of the Department's regulations, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the final results to 150 days. The final results are now due no later than September 23, 2005.

This notice is published pursuant to sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 17, 2005.

Barbara E. Tillman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5–3395 Filed 6–28–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Institute of Standards and Technology

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; National Voluntary Conformity Assessment System Evaluation (NVCASE) Program

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (DOC), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on the continuing and proposed information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

¹ Seed Timber's antidumping new shipper review was subsequently rescinded as a result of the company's withdrawal of its request for a review (69 FR 54766, September 10, 2004).

 $^{^{2}\,\}mathrm{Case}$ briefs were submitted to the Department on June 2, 2005.