

regulatory commissions and the Participants in NEPOOL.

*Comment Date:* 5 p.m. eastern time on February 22, 2005.

### 13. Coral Canada US Inc.

[Docket No. ER05-533-000]

Take notice that on January 31, 2005, Coral Canada US Inc. (Coral Canada) submitted for filing a notice of cancellation of its market-based rate electric tariff, Rate Schedule FERC No. 1. Coral Canada requests an effective date of March 31, 2005.

Coral Canada states that copies of the filing were not served upon any party, because such cancellation affects no purchasers under Coral Canada's Rate Schedule FERC No. 1.

*Comment Date:* 5 p.m. eastern time on February 22, 2005.

### Standard Paragraph

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a notice of intervention or motion to intervene, as appropriate. Such notices, motions, or protests must be filed on or before the comment date. Anyone filing a motion to intervene or protest must serve a copy of that document on the Applicant and all parties to this proceeding.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at <http://www.ferc.gov>. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov), or call

(866) 208-3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502-8659.

Linda Mitry,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5-580 Filed 2-10-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OAR-2003-0017, FRL-7872-3]

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Request for Applications for a Critical Use Exemption From the Phaseout of Methyl Bromide. EPA Number 2031.02, OMB Control Number 2060-0482

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this document announces that EPA is planning to submit a continuing Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). This is a request to renew an existing approved collection. This ICR is scheduled to expire on May 31, 2005. Before submitting the ICR to OMB for review and approval, EPA is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the proposed information collection as described below.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before April 12, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, referencing docket ID number OAR-2003-0017, to EPA online using EDOCKET (our preferred method), by email to [a-and-r-Docket@epa.gov](mailto:a-and-r-Docket@epa.gov), or by mail to: EPA Docket Center, (EPA/DC), Environmental Protection Agency, EPA West, Room B102, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Marta Montoro, Office of Air and Radiation, Stratospheric Protection Division (6205J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (202) 343-9321, fax number: (202) 343-2337; email address: [montoro.marta@epa.gov](mailto:montoro.marta@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** EPA has established a public docket for this ICR under Docket ID number OAR-2003-0017, which is available for public viewing at the EPA Air Docket in the EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), EPA West, Room B102, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC. The EPA

Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the EPA Air Docket is (202) 566-1742. An electronic version of the public docket is available through EPA Dockets (EDOCKET) at <http://www.epa.gov/edocket>. Use EDOCKET to obtain a copy of the draft collection of information, submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, select "search," then key in the docket ID number identified above.

Any comments related to this ICR should be submitted to EPA within 60 days of this notice. EPA's policy is that public comments, whether submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing in EDOCKET as EPA receives them and without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, Confidential Business Information (CBI), or other information whose public disclosure is restricted by statute. When EPA identifies a comment containing copyrighted material, EPA will provide a reference to that material in the version of the comment that is placed in EDOCKET. The entire printed comment, including the copyrighted material, will be available in the public docket. Although identified as an item in the official docket, information claimed as CBI, or whose disclosure is otherwise restricted by statute, is not included in the official public docket, and will not be available for public viewing in EDOCKET. For further information about the electronic docket, see EPA's **Federal Register** notice describing the electronic docket at 67 FR 38102 (May 31, 2002), or go to <http://www.epa.gov/edocket>.

**Affected entities:** Entities potentially affected by this action are users of methyl bromide, *e.g.*, farmers of vegetable crops, fruits, and seedlings, and owners of stored food commodities and structures such as grain mills and processors, agricultural consortia and representative groups, Government and non-government researchers, as well as producers, importers, exporters, and distributors of methyl bromide, and applicators of methyl bromide.

**Title:** Request for Applications of Critical Use Exemptions from the Phaseout of Methyl Bromide.

**Abstract:** With this Information Collection Request (ICR), EPA's Office of Air and Radiation (OAR) and Office of Prevention, Pesticides, and Toxic

Substances (OPPTS) are continuing the existing request for critical use exemption applications for methyl bromide, under the Clean Air Act (CAA) and in accordance with U.S. obligations under the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer (Protocol). The information is collected so that the U.S. government can submit a technically valid methyl bromide critical use exemption nomination to the Ozone Secretariat of the United Nations Environment Programme on an annual basis. Since 2002, this information has primarily been collected through agricultural consortia, though individuals have also submitted applications. If an applicant indicates that the application contains Confidential Business Information (CBI), that information will be treated as such by EPA. Responses to the collection of information are required in order for users to obtain a critical use exemption benefit.

In 2003, EPA created separate applications for methyl bromide pre-plant users and post-harvest users in order to facilitate data collection as the pre-plant and post-harvest fumigation contexts differ. In 2005, EPA is considering proposing to format both the pre-plant and post-harvest applications to more closely resemble the forms for the nominations required by the Methyl Bromide Technical Options Committee (MBTOC), an advisory body to the Parties to the Protocol.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations in 40 CFR are listed in 40 CFR part 9.

EPA initially calculated that 95% of users would apply with a consortia and the remaining 5% would apply independently. EPA also calculated each user's burden prior to submitting data to a consortia. EPA encourages the electronic submission of CUE applications.

The EPA would like to solicit comments to:

(i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii) Evaluate the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(iv) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Burden Statement:*

The annual burden is reported in this notice by annual respondent burden. This estimate includes the time needed to read the CAA request for applications, process, compile, and review the requested data for accuracy and appropriateness, generate application correspondence, and store, file, and maintain the information. This ICR renewal does not include any burden for third-party or public disclosures that were not previously reviewed and approved by OMB.

EPA estimated approximated 80% of the respondents would be pre-plant or soil users, with the remaining 20% being post-harvest users. EPA also initially calculated individual and consortia burden. The annual burden hours for this collection of information were initially estimated and summarized as follows, as stated in a notice published in the **Federal Register** on June 27, 2001 (66 FR 34181):

Respondents/affected entities: 200.

Estimated total number of potential respondents: 200.

Frequency of response: Annual.

Estimated total/average number of responses for each respondent: 1.

Estimated total annual burden hours: 25,000.

Estimated total annual burden costs: \$1,500,000.

EPA seeks comment on the above summary. EPA may revise the calculations based on the critical use exemption applications received annually between 2002–2004.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of

information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Dated: February 2, 2005

**Drusilla Hufford,**

*Director, Stratospheric Protection Division, Office of Air and Radiation.*

[FR Doc. 05–2713 Filed 2–10–05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**[ER–FRL–6660–5]**

### **Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments**

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act, as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in the **Federal Register** dated April 2, 2004 (69 FR 17403).

#### **Draft EISs**

ERP No. D–AFS–D65031–PA Rating LO, Martin Run Project, To Implement Management Direction as Outlined in Allegheny National Forest Plan, Bradford Ranger District, Allegheny National Forest, Warren and McKean Counties, PA.

*Summary:* EPA does not object to the preferred alternative.

ERP No. D–AFS–J65425–00 Rating EC2, Black Hills National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan Phase II Amendment, Proposal to Amend the 1997 Land and Resource Management Plan, Custer, Fall River, Lawrence, Meade, and Pennington Counties, SD and Crook and Weston Counties, WY.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns because the Preferred Alternative may cause adverse impacts to water quality, aquatic, and terrestrial resources, and recommends that the Final EIS include quantitative analysis of water and air quality, and provisions for greater natural resource and water quality protection.

ERP No. D–AFS–L65473–OR Rating LO, Rogue River-Siskiyou National Forest, Special Use Permits for Outfitter and Guide Operations on the Lower Rogue and Lower Illinois Rivers, Gold Beach Ranger District, Rogue River-Siskiyou National Forest, Curry County, OR.