

MISSOURI**Gentry County**

Peery, Samuel and Pauline, House, 1105 N. Hundley St., Albany, 05000881
St. Louis Independent city Vashon Community Center, 3145 Market St., St. Louis (Independent City), 05000882

MONTANA**Beaverhead County**

Hecla House, Approx. 11 mi. W of Glendale on Trapper Creek Rd. #188, Melrose, 05000885

Lewis and Clark County

Gilpatrick—Root House, 604 Dearborn Ave., Helena, 05000883

NEW JERSEY**Essex County**

Route 1 Extension, US 1 and 9 milepoint: 51.25–54.55, NJ 139 milepoint 0–4.5, Newark, 05000880

Hudson County

Van Wagenen House, 298 Academy St., Jersey City, 05000884

OHIO**Clinton County**

Silk City Diner #4655, 303 Washington St., Sabina, 05000848

OREGON**Lane County**

Lowell Grange, 51 E 2nd St., Lowell, 05000849

Washington County

Mertz, C.W., Rental House #2, (Taylor Process Hollow Concrete Wall Construction in Forest Grove, Oregon MPS) 1933 16th Ave., Forest Grove, 05000852

Parsons, John and Elsie, House, (Taylor Process Hollow Concrete Wall Construction in Forest Grove, Oregon MPS) 1825 Mountain View Ln., Forest Grove, 05000853

Taylor, Dr. W.R. and Eunice, House, (Taylor Process Hollow Concrete Wall Construction in Forest Grove, Oregon MPS) 2212 "A" St., Forest Grove, 05000851

PENNSYLVANIA**Montgomery County**

Sunnybrook, 50 Sunnybrook Rd., Lower Pottsgrove Township, 05000855

TENNESSEE**Giles County**

Maplewood Cemetery, South Sam Davis Ave., Pulaski, 05000854

Shelby County

Normal Station Historic District, (Memphis MPS) Roughly bounded by Highland, Goodlett, Southern RR, and rear property lines of Marion and parcels on Park, Memphis, 05000866

TEXAS**Bexar County**

Fence at Alamo Cement Company, (Sculpture by Dionicio Rodriguez in Texas MPS) 7300 Jones Maltsberger Rd., San Antonio, 05000861

Fountain at Alamo Cement Company, (Sculpture by Dionicio Rodriguez in Texas MPS) 7300 Jones Maltsberger Rd., San Antonio, 05000862

Heubner—Onion Homestead and Stagecoach Stop, 6613 Bandera Rd., Leon Valley, 05000860

Collin County

Plano Station, Texas Electric Railway, 901 E 15th St., Plano, 05000856

Dallas County

Seagoville School, 306 N. Kaufman St., Seagoville, 05000857

Harris County

Aviary at the Houston Zoo, (Sculpture by Dionicio Rodriguez in Texas MPS) 1513 N. McGregor, Houston, 05000858
Jefferson Davis Hospital, 1101 Elder, Houston, 05000859

Polk County

McCardell, William Keenan and Nancy Elizabeth, House, 705 N. Beatty, Livingston, 05000863

Tarrant County

Vaught House, 718 W. Abram St., Arlington, 05000864

Trinity County

Red Schoolhouse, Old, 100 W. San Jacinto, Trinity, 05000865

VERMONT**Windham County**

Grafton Distric Schoolhouse No. 2, (Educational Resources of Vermont MPS) 217 Main St., Grafton, 05000868

VIRGINIA**Richmond Independent city**

Virginia State Library, 1111 E. Broad St., Richmond, 05000867

A request for a MOVE has been made for the following resource:

UTAH**Salt Lake County**

Independent Order of Odd Fellows Hall 41 Post Office Place, Salt Lake 77001308

[FR Doc. 05–14550 Filed 7–22–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312–51–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**Bureau of Reclamation****California Bay-Delta Public Advisory Committee Public Meetings**

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of meetings.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, the California Bay-Delta Public Advisory Committee (Committee) will meet on August 10, September 7, October 12, and November 9, 2005. These meetings will focus on the State's intense effort to fulfill the Governor's mandate for an independent fiscal and management review of the CALFED Bay-Delta Program by the end of 2005. Discussions will include a refocus of CALFED on resolving conflicts in the Delta, priority setting, and development of an action plan to finance CALFED over the next 10 years.

DATES: The next four Committee meetings will be held on Wednesday, August 10, 2005; Wednesday, September 7, 2005; Wednesday, October 12, 2005; and Wednesday, November 9, 2005 from 9 a.m. to 4 p.m. If reasonable accommodation is needed due to a disability, please contact Pauline Nevins at (916) 445–5511 or TDD (800) 735–2929 at least 1 week prior to the meeting.

ADDRESSES: These meetings will be held at the John E. Moss Federal Building located at 650 Capitol Mall, 5th Floor, Sacramento, California.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Keith Coolidge, California Bay-Delta Authority, at 916–445–0092, or Diane Buzzard, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, at 916–978–5022.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Committee was established to provide advice and recommendations to the Secretary of the Interior on implementation of the CALFED Bay-Delta Program. The Committee makes recommendations on annual priorities, integration of the eleven Program elements, and overall balancing of the four Program objectives of ecosystem restoration, water quality, levee system integrity, and water supply reliability. The Program is a consortium of State and Federal agencies with the mission to develop and implement a long-term comprehensive plan that will restore ecological health and improve water management for beneficial uses of the San Francisco/Sacramento and San Joaquin Bay Delta.

Committee agendas and meeting materials will be available prior to all meetings on the California Bay-Delta Authority Web site at <http://calwater.ca.gov> and at the meetings. These meetings are open to the public. Oral comments will be accepted from members of the public at each meeting and will be limited to 3–5 minutes.

(Authority: The Committee was established pursuant to the Department of the Interior's

authority to implement the Water Supply, Reliability, and Environmental Improvement Act, Pub. L. 108-361; the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, 16 U.S.C. 661 *et. seq.*; the Endangered Species Act, 16 U.S.C. 1531 *et. seq.*; and the Reclamation Act of 1902, 43 U.S.C. 391 *et. seq.*, and the acts amendatory thereof or supplementary thereto, all collectively referred to as the Federal Reclamation laws, and in particular, the Central Valley Project Improvement Act, 34 U.S.C. 3401.)

Dated: July 7, 2005.

Allan Oto,

*Special Projects Officer, Mid-Pacific Region,
U.S. Bureau of Reclamation.*

[FR Doc. 05-14577 Filed 7-22-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-506]

In the Matter of Certain Optical Disk Controller Chips and Chipsets and Products Containing Same, Including DVD Players and PC Optical Storage Devices; Notice of Commission Decision To Review Portions of an Initial Determination Finding A Violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade
Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review certain portions of a final initial determination (“ID”) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) finding a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, in the above-captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clara Kuehn, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3012. Copies of the public version of the ALJ’s ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS-ON-LINE) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on April 14, 2004, based on a complaint filed on behalf of Zoran Corporation and Oak Technology, Inc. both of Sunnyvale, CA (collectively “complainants”). 69 FR 19876. The complaint, as supplemented, alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain optical disk controller chips and chipsets and products containing same, including DVD players and PC optical storage devices, by reason of infringement of claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,466,736 (the ‘736 patent), claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,584,527, and claims 1-35 of U.S. Patent No. 6,546,440 (the ‘440 patent). Id.

The notice of investigation identified 12 respondents. 69 FR 19876. On June 7, 2004, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 5) terminating the investigation as to two respondents on the basis of a consent order and settlement agreement. On June 22, 2004, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 7) granting complainants’ motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to add nine additional respondents. Those IDs were not reviewed by the Commission.

On December 22, 2004, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 33) granting complainants’ motion to terminate the investigation in part with respect to claims 2-6, 8-10, and 11 of the ‘736 patent and claims 2-4, 6, 9, 11, 12, 15-18, 20, 22-34, and 35 of the ‘440 patent. On January 28, 2005, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 37) granting complainants’ motion to terminate the investigation in part with respect to claim 12 of the ‘736 patent. Neither ID was reviewed by the Commission. The claims remaining in issue are claims 1 and 7 of the ‘736 patent; claims 1, 5, 7, 8, 10, 13, 14, 19, and 21 of the ‘440 patent; and claims 1, 2, and 3 of the ‘527 patent.

An eight-day evidentiary hearing was held on February 7-12, and 14-15, 2005.

On May 16, 2005, the ALJ issued his final ID, findings of fact and conclusions of law, and recommended determination on remedy and bonding. The ALJ concluded that there was a violation of section 337 based on his findings that (a) The accused products infringe claim 3 of the ‘527 patent, (b)

the ‘527 patent is not unenforceable, (c) claim 3 is not invalid, and (d) complainants have satisfied the domestic industry requirement with respect to the ‘527 patent. Although the ALJ found that the other asserted claims of the ‘527 patent (claims 1 and 2) are not invalid, he found that the accused products do not infringe those claims. The ALJ found no violation with respect to the other patents in issue. He found that the accused products do not infringe any asserted claim of the ‘440 or ‘736 patents and that complainants have not satisfied the domestic industry requirement with respect to those patents. He also found that the asserted claims of the ‘440 and ‘736 patents are not invalid and that those patents are not unenforceable.

On May 27, 2005, complainants and respondents each petitioned for review of portions of the final ID. On June 6, 2005, complainants, respondents, and the IA filed responses to the petitions for review.

Having examined the record in this investigation, including the ID, the petitions for review, and the responses thereto, the Commission has determined (1) to review the ID’s findings of fact and conclusions of law with respect to the ‘527 and ‘440 patents and (2) not to review the ID’s findings of fact and conclusions of law with respect to the ‘736 patent. Thus, the Commission finds no violation of section 337 with respect to the ‘736 patent. The Commission has further determined to review and modify the ID to clarify that respondents accused only of infringing asserted claims of the ‘736 patent (*viz.*, respondents Audiovox Corporation; Initial Technology, Inc.; Mintek Digital, Inc.; Shinco International AV Co., Ltd.; Changzhou Shinco Digital Technology Co., Ltd.; Jiangsu Shinco Electronic Group Co., Ltd.; Terapin Technology Pte., Ltd. [formerly known as Teraoptix d/b/a Terapin Technology] of Singapore; and Terapin Technology U.S. [formerly also known as Teraoptix]) are not in violation of Section 337.

In connection with its review, the Commission is particularly interested in responses to the following questions, with all answers supported by citations to legal authority and the evidentiary record:

1. Have respondents waived the argument that the ‘527 and ‘440 patents are invalid under 35 U.S.C. 102(f) for nonjoinder of unidentified “Western Digital engineers” as co-inventors by failing to present it to the ALJ? (See respondents’ petition for review at 51.) Identify with citations to previous briefing where this specific argument