administrative review for all shipments of SSWR from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these amended final results, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act): (1) The cash-deposit rate for Isibars will be 30.10 percent; (2) for merchandise exported by other producers or exporters that were reviewed or investigated previously, the cashdeposit rate will continue to be the most recent rate published in the final determination or final results for which the producer or exporter received an individual rate; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fairvalue (LTFV) investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash-deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the subject merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review, the cash-deposit rate shall be 48.80 percent, the allothers rate established in the LTFV investigation. See Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Stainless Steel Wire Rods from India, 58 FR 54110 (October 20, 1993). These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until the publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

## **Duty Assessment**

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we will direct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to assess the resulting per—unit dollar amount against each unit of merchandise entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption during the review period. We will issue the liquidation instructions within 15 days of publication of these amended final results of review.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and (h) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(e).

Dated: August 5, 2005.

## Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 05–16050 Filed 8–11–05; 8:45 am]

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-489-807]

Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from Turkey; Notice of Extension of Time Limits for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 12, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Irina Itkin or Greg Kalbaugh, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–0656 and (202) 482–3693, respectively.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Background**

The Department of Commerce (the Department) published an antidumping duty order on certain steel concrete reinforcing bars (rebar) from Turkev on April 17, 1997 (See Antidumpting Duty Order: Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars From Turkey, 62 FR 18748). On May 21, 2004, the Department published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the order on rebar from Turkey for the period April 1, 2003, through March 31, 2004. See 69 FR 30282. The respondents in this administrative review are: Colakoglu Metalurji A.S.; Diler Demir Celik Endustrisi ve Ticaret A.S., Yazici Demir Celik Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S., and Diler Dis Ticaret A.S. (collectively, Diler); Habas Tibbi ve Sinai Gazlar Istihsal Endustrisi A.S.; and ICDAS Celik Enerji Tersane ve Ulasim Sanayi, A.S. (ICDAS). On May 6, 2005, the Department published in the Federal Register its preliminary results. See Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from Turkey; Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Notice of Intent To Revoke in Part, 70 FR 23990. The final results are currently due no later than September 3, 2005.

## Extension of the Time Limit for Final Results of Administrative Review

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) requires the Department to make a final determination in an administrative review within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, if it is not

practicable to complete the review within this time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the final results to 180 days (or 300 days if the Department does not extend the time limit for the preliminary results) from the date of publication of the preliminary results.

In accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2), the Department finds that it is not practicable to complete the review within the original time frame because it involves a number of complicated issues for certain of the respondents, including the determination of the appropriate cost averaging periods, date of sale, and affiliated producers. Moreover, one respondent, ICDAS, has requested revocation in this review. Analysis of these issues requires additional time. Because it is not practicable to complete this administrative review within the time limit mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2), the Department is fully extending the time limit for completion of the final results of this administrative review to 180 days, until November 2,

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: August 8, 2005.

## Barbara E. Tillman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5–4386 Filed 8–11–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## **International Trade Administration**

## **Export Trade Certificate of Review**

**ACTION:** Notice of issuance of an amended Export Trade Certificate of Review, application no. 88–9A016.

**SUMMARY:** On August 8, 2005, The U.S. Department of Commerce issued an amended Export Trade Certificate of Review to Wood Machinery Manufacturers of America ("WMMA").

## **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jeffrey C. Anspacher, Director, Export

Jeffrey C. Anspacher, Director, Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, (202) 482–5131 (this is not a toll-free number) or E-mail at *oetca@ita.doc.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 (15 U.S.C. Sections 4001–21) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of

Review. The regulations implementing Title III are found at 15 CFR part 325 (2003).

Export Trading Company Affairs ("ETCA") is issuing this notice pursuant to 15 CFR 325.6(b), which requires the U.S. Department of Commerce to publish a summary of the certification in the **Federal Register**. Under Section 305(a) of the Act and 15 CFR 325.11(a), any person aggrieved by the Secretary's determination may, within 30 days of the date of this notice, bring an action in any appropriate district court of the United States to set aside the determination on the ground that the determination is erroneous.

## **Description of Amended Certificate**

Export Trade Certificate of Review No. 88–00016, was issued to WMMA on February 3, 1989 (54 FR 6312, February 9, 1989) and previously amended on June 22, 1990 (55 FR 27292, July 2, 1990); August 20, 1991 (56 FR 42596, August 28, 1991); December 13, 1993 (58 FR 66344, December 20, 1993); August 23, 1994 (59 FR 44408, August 29, 1994); September 20, 1996 (61 FR 50471, September 26, 1996); June 20, 1997 (62 FR 34440, June 26, 1997); and June 8, 1998 (63 FR 35567, June 30, 1998).

WMMA's Export Trade Certificate of Review has been Amended to:

- 1. Add each of the following companies as a new "Member" of the Certificate within the meaning of section 325.2(1) of the Regulations (15 CFR 325.2(1)): Wood-Mizer Products, Inc., Indianapolis, Indiana; and The Original Saw Co., Britt, Iowa;
- 2. Delete the following companies as "Members" of the Certificate: CEMCO, Inc., Whitesburg, Tennessee; Delta International Machinery Corporation, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; Industrial Woodworking Machine Company, Garland, Texas; Jenkins Division, Kohler General Corporation, Sheboygan Falls, Wisconsin; Machine Systems L.L.C., Bend, Oregon; Midwest Automation, Inc., Minneapolis, Minnesota; Onsrud Machine Corporation, Wheeling, Illinois; A.G. Raymond & Company, Inc., Raleigh, North Carolina; Powermatic, McMinnville, Tennessee; Ritter Manufacturing, Inc., Antioch, California; Terrco, Inc., Waterloo, South Dakota; Timesavers, Inc., Minneapolis, Minnesota; Viking Engineering and Development, Inc., Fridley, Minnesota; Wisconsin Knife Works, Beloit, Wisconsin; Yates-American Machine Co., Beloit, Wisconsin; North American Products Corporation, Jasper, Indiana; and Alexander Dodds Company, Grand Rapids, Michigan; and

3. Change the listing of the following Members: "Unique Machine & Tool Co., Tempe, Arizona" to the new listing "Unique Machine & Tool Co., Phoenix, Arizona"; "Carter Products, Inc., Grand Rapids, Michigan" to the new listing "Carter Products Co., Inc., Grand Rapids, Michigan"; "Safranek Ent., Inc., Atascadero, California" to the new listing "Safranek Enterprises, Inc., Atascadero, California"; and "Tyler Machinery Company, Inc., Warsaw, Indiana" to the new listing "Warsaw Machinery, Inc., Warsaw, Indiana."

The effective date of the amended certificate is May 9, 2005. A copy of the amended certificate will be kept in the International Trade Administration's Freedom of Information Records Inspection Facility, Room 4100, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: August 8, 2005.

### Jeffrey C. Anspacher,

Director, Export Trading Company Affairs. [FR Doc. E5–4385 Filed 8–11–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DR–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## **International Trade Administration**

North American Free-Trade Agreement, Article 1904; NAFTA Panel Reviews; Request for Panel Review

**AGENCY:** NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of first request for panel review.

**SUMMARY:** On August 5, 2005, Quimica Amtex, S.A. de C.V. filed a First Request for Panel Review with the United States Section of the NAFTA Secretariat pursuant to Article 1904 of the North American Free Trade Agreement. Panel review was requested of the Final Antidumping Duty Determination and Order made by the International Trade Commission, respecting Purified Carboxymethylcellulose ("CMC") from Mexico. A second request was filed on August 8, 2005 on behalf of Noviant AB, Noviant OY, Noviant BV, Noviant Inc., and JM Huber Corp. on the International Trade Commission's final determination. The order was published in the Federal Register (70 FR 39734) on July 11, 2005 and the final determination was published in the Federal Register (70 Fed. Reg. 39334) on July 7, 2005 The NAFTA Secretariat has assigned Case Number USA-MEX-2005-1904-05 to this request.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Caratina L. Alston, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Suite 2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue, Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482–5438.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Chapter** 19 of the North American Free-Trade Agreement ("Agreement") establishes a mechanism to replace domestic judicial review of final determinations in antidumping and countervailing duty cases involving imports from a NAFTA country with review by independent binational panels. When a Request for Panel Review is filed, a panel is established to act in place of national courts to review expeditiously the final determination to determine whether it conforms with the antidumping or countervailing duty law of the country that made the determination.

Under Article 1904 of the Agreement, which came into force on January 1, 1994, the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada and the Government of Mexico established Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews ("Rules"). These Rules were published in the Federal Register on February 23, 1994 (59 FR 8686).

A first Request for Panel Review was filed with the United States Section of the NAFTA Secretariat, pursuant to Article 1904 of the Agreement, on August 5, 2005, requesting panel review of the determination and order described above.

The Rules provide that:

- (a) A Party or interested person may challenge the final determination in whole or in part by filing a Complaint in accordance with Rule 39 within 30 days after the filing of the first Request for Panel Review (the deadline for filing a Complaint is September 6, 2005);
- (b) a Party, investigating authority or interested person that does not file a Complaint but that intends to appear in support of any reviewable portion of the final determination may participate in the panel review by filing a Notice of Appearance in accordance with Rule 40 within 45 days after the filing of the first Request for Panel Review (the deadline for filing a Notice of Appearance is September 19, 2005); and
- (c) the panel review shall be limited to the allegations of error of fact or law, including the jurisdiction of the investigating authority, that are set out in the Complaints filed in the panel review and the procedural and substantive defenses raised in the panel review.