

or subsidies which create significant burdens or complexities for the plan and plan participants unless the amendment adversely affects the rights of any participant in a more than *de minimis* manner). However, in determining whether an amendment reducing a retirement-type subsidy constitutes a significant reduction because it reduces a retirement-type subsidy as permitted under § 1.411(d)-3(e)(6) of this chapter, the amendment is treated in the same manner as an amendment that limits the retirement-type subsidy to benefits that accrue before the applicable amendment date (as defined at § 1.411(d)-3(g)(4) of this chapter) with respect to each participant or alternate payee to whom the reduction is reasonably expected to apply.

(d) *Examples.* The following examples illustrate the rules in this Q&A-8:

Example 1. (i) *Facts.* Pension Plan A is a defined benefit plan that provides a rate of benefit accrual of 1% of highest-5 years pay multiplied by years of service, payable annually for life commencing at normal retirement age (or at actual retirement age, if later). An amendment to Plan A is adopted on August 1, 2009, effective January 1, 2010, to provide that any participant who separates from service after December 31, 2009, and before January 1, 2015, will have the same number of years of service he or she would have had if his or her service continued to December 31, 2014.

(ii) *Conclusion.* In this example, the effective date of the plan amendment is January 1, 2010. While the amendment will result in a reduction in the annual rate of future benefit accrual from 2011 through 2014 (because, under the amendment, benefits based upon an additional 5 years of service accrue on January 1, 2010, and no additional service is credited after January 1, 2010 until January 1, 2015), the amendment does not result in a reduction that is significant because the amount of the annual benefit commencing at normal retirement age (or at actual retirement age, if later) under the terms of the plan as amended is not under any conditions less than the amount of the annual benefit commencing at normal retirement age (or at actual retirement age, if later) to which any participant would have been entitled under the terms of the plan had the amendment not been made.

Example 2. (i) *Facts.* The facts are the same as in *Example 1*, except that the 2009 amendment does not alter the plan provisions relating to a participant's number of years of service, but instead amends the plan's provisions relating to early retirement benefits. Before the amendment, the plan provides for distributions before normal retirement age to be actuarially reduced, but, if a participant retires after attainment of age 55 and completion of 10 years of service, the applicable early retirement reduction factor is 3% per year for the years between the ages 65 and 62 and 6% per year for the ages from 62 to 55. The amendment changes these

provisions so that an actuarial reduction applies in all cases, but, in accordance with section 411(d)(6)(B), provides that no participant's early retirement benefit will be less than the amount provided under the plan as in effect on December 31, 2009 with respect to service before January 1, 2010. For participant X, the reduction is significant.

(ii) *Conclusion.* The amendment will result in a reduction in a retirement-type subsidy provided under Plan A (*i.e.*, Plan A's early retirement subsidy). Section 204(h) notice must be provided to participant X and any other participant for whom the reduction is significant and the notice must be provided at least 45 days before January 1, 2010 (or by such other date as may apply under Q&A-9 of this section).

Example 3. (i) *Facts.* The facts are the same as in *Example 2*, except that, for participant X, the change does not go into effect for any annuity commencement date before January 1, 2011. Participant X continues employment through January 1, 2011.

(ii) *Conclusion.* The conclusion is the same as in *Example 2*. Taking into account the rule in the second sentence of Q&A-8(c) of this section, the reduction that occurs for participant X on January 1, 2011, is treated as the same reduction that occurs under *Example 2*. Accordingly, assuming that the reduction is significant, section 204(h) notice must be provided to participant X at least 45 days before the January 1, 2010 effective date of the amendment (or by such other date as may apply under Q&A-9 of this section).

Mark E. Matthews,
Deputy Commissioner for Services and Enforcement.

Approved: August 1, 2005.

Eric Solomon,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc. 05-15958 Filed 8-11-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830-01-P

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

29 CFR Part 1601

706 Agencies; Georgia Commission on Equal Opportunity, North Carolina Civil Rights Division, Office of Administrative Hearings, North Dakota Department of Labor, Lee County Office of Equal Opportunity, City of Tampa Office of Human Rights, Palm Beach County Office of Equal Opportunity, Madison Equal Opportunity Commission, St. Paul Department of Human Rights

AGENCY: Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission amends its regulations designating certain State and local fair employment practices agencies

as certified designated agencies. The designation permits the Commission to accept the findings and resolutions of State and local fair employment practices agencies in regard to most cases processed under contract without individual, case-by-case substantial weight reviews by the Commission. Publication of this amendment effectuates the designation of the following agencies as certified designated FEP agencies: Georgia Commission on Equal Opportunity; North Carolina Civil Rights Division, Office of Administrative Hearings; North Dakota Department of Labor; Lee County Office of Equal Opportunity; City of Tampa Office of Human Rights; Palm Beach County Office of Equal Opportunity; Madison Equal Opportunity Commission; St. Paul Department of Human Rights.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 12, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary McIver, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, Office of Field Programs, State and Local Programs, 1801 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20507, Telephone (202) 663-4205.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

List of Subjects in 29 CFR Part 1601

Administrative practice and procedure, Equal employment opportunity, Intergovernmental relations.

■ Accordingly, title 29, chapter XIV, part 1601 is amended as follows:

PART 1601—PROCEDURAL REGULATIONS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 1601 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2000e to 2000e-17; 42 U.S.C. 12111 to 12117.

■ 2. Section 1601.80 is amended by adding in alphabetical order the following agencies:

§ 1601.80 Certified designated FEP agencies.

* * *	* * *
City of Tampa Office of Human Rights	* * *
Georgia Commission on Equal Opportunity	* * *
Lee County Office of Equal Opportunity	* * *
Madison Equal Opportunity Commission	* * *
North Carolina Civil Rights Division, Office of Administrative Hearings	* * *
North Dakota Department of Labor	* * *

Palm Beach County Office of Equal
Opportunity

* * * * *

St. Paul Department of Human Rights

* * * * *

Signed at Washington, DC, this 1st day of
August, 2005.

For the Commission.

Cari M. Dominguez,
Chair.

[FR Doc. 05-15978 Filed 8-11-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6570-01-P

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

29 CFR Part 1601

706 Agencies: City of Springfield (IL) Department of Community Relations; Reading (PA) Human Relations Commission

AGENCY: Equal Employment
Opportunity Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Equal Employment
Opportunity Commission amends its
Regulations designating certain state
and local fair employment Practices
agencies (706 Agencies) so that they
may handle employment discrimination
charges within their jurisdictions.
Publication of this amendment
effectuates the designation of the City of
Springfield (IL) Department of
Community Relations and Reading (PA)
Human Relations Commission.

DATES: August 12, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Mary McIver, Equal Employment
Opportunity Commission, Office of
Field Programs, State and Local
Programs, 1801 L Street, NW.,
Washington, DC 20507, Telephone (202)
663-4205.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

List of Subjects in 29 CFR Part 1601

Administrative practice and
procedure, Equal employment
opportunity, Intergovernmental
relations.

■ Accordingly, title 29, chapter XIV, part
1601 is amended as follows:

PART 1601—PROCEDURAL REGULATIONS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 1601
continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2000e to 2000e-17; 42
U.S.C. 12111 to 12117.

■ 2. Section 1601.74(a) is amended by
adding in alphabetical order the
following agencies:

§ 1601.74 Designated and notice agencies.

(a) * * *

City of Springfield (IL) Department of
Community Relations

* * * * *

Reading (PA) Human Relations
Commission

* * * * *

Signed at Washington, DC this 1st day of
August, 2005.

For the Commission.

Cari M. Dominguez,
Chair.

[FR Doc. 05-15979 Filed 8-11-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6570-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Federal Emergency Management Agency

44 CFR Part 67

Final Flood Elevation Determinations

AGENCY: Federal Emergency
Management Agency, Emergency
Preparedness and Response Directorate,
Department of Homeland Security.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Base (1% annual-chance)
Flood Elevations and modified Base
Flood Elevations (BFEs) are made final
for the communities listed below. The
BFEs and modified BFEs are the basis
for the floodplain management
measures that each community is
required either to adopt or to show
evidence of being already in effect in
order to qualify or remain qualified for
participation in the National Flood
Insurance Program (NFIP).

EFFECTIVE DATE: The date of issuance of
the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM)
showing BFEs and modified BFEs for
each community. This date may be
obtained by contacting the office where
the FIRM is available for inspection as
indicated in the table below.

ADDRESSES: The final base flood
elevations for each community are
available for inspection at the office of
the Chief Executive Officer of each
community. The respective addresses
are listed in the table below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Doug Bellomo, P.E., Hazard
Identification Section, Emergency
Preparedness and Response Directorate,
Federal Emergency Management
Agency, 500 C Street, SW., Washington,
DC 20472, (202) 646-2903.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The
Federal Emergency Management Agency

makes the final determinations listed
below for the BFEs and modified BFEs
for each community listed. These
modified elevations have been
published in newspapers of local
circulation and ninety (90) days have
elapsed since that publication. The
Mitigation Division Director of the
Emergency Preparedness and Response
Directorate has resolved any appeals
resulting from this notification..

This final rule is issued in accordance
with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster
Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4105,
and 44 CFR Part 67.

The Federal Emergency Management
Agency has developed criteria for
floodplain management in floodprone
areas in accordance with 44 CFR Part
60.

Interested lessees and owners of real
property are encouraged to review the
proof Flood Insurance Study and FIRM
available at the address cited below for
each community.

The BFEs and modified BFEs are
made final in the communities listed
below. Elevations at selected locations
in each community are shown.

National Environmental Policy Act.
This rule is categorically excluded from
the requirements of 44 CFR Part 10,
Environmental Consideration. No
environmental impact assessment has
been prepared.

Regulatory Flexibility Act. The
Mitigation Division Director of the
Emergency Preparedness and Response
Directorate certifies that this rule is
exempt from the requirements of the
Regulatory Flexibility Act because
modified base flood elevations are
required by the Flood Disaster
Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4105,
and are required to establish and
maintain community eligibility in the
NFIP. No regulatory flexibility analysis
has been prepared.

Regulatory Classification. This final
rule is not a significant regulatory action
under the criteria of Section 3(f) of
Executive Order 12866 of September 30,
1993, Regulatory Planning and Review,
58 FR 51735.

Executive Order 12612, Federalism.
This rule involves no policies that have
federalism implications under Executive
Order 12612, Federalism, dated October
26, 1987.

*Executive Order 12778, Civil Justice
Reform.* This rule meets the applicable
standards of Section 2(b)(2) of Executive
Order 12778.

List of Subjects in 44 CFR Part 67

Administrative practice and
procedure, Flood insurance, Reporting
and recordkeeping requirements.