Dated: December 29, 2004. Barbara E. Tillman, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration. [FR Doc. 05–194 Filed 1–4–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-122-822

Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Corrosion–Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Canada

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 5, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sean Carey or Douglas Kirby, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–3964 and (202) 482–3782, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department published the antidumping duty order on certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products (CORE) from Canada on August 19, 1993 (58 FR 44162). Based on timely requests, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act, on September 30, 2003, the Department initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on CORE from Canada, covering the period August 1, 2002, through July 31, 2003. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, Request for Revocation in Part and Deferral of Administrative Reviews, 68 FR 56262 (September 30, 2003). This administrative review was initiated on the following exporters: Continuous Colour Coat, Ltd. ("CCC"), Dofasco Inc. ("Dofasco"), Ideal Roofing Company, Ltd. ("Ideal Roofing"), Impact Steel Canada, Ltd. ("Impact Steel"), Russel Metals Export ("Russel Metals"), Sorevco and Company, Ltd. ("Sorevco"), and Stelco Inc. ("Stelco"). On December 19, 2003, the Department published a rescission, in part, of its administrative review with respect to CCC, Impact Steel, and Ideal Roofing. See Corrosion–Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From Canada: Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty

Administrative Review, 68 FR 70764 (December 19, 2003). On March 30, 2004, the Department published a rescission, in part, of its administrative review with respect to Russell Metals. See Notice of Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Corrosion–Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From Canada, 69 FR 16521 (March 30, 2004).

On April 29, 2004, the Department extended the deadline for the preliminary results of this antidumping duty administrative review from May 2, 2004, until no later than August 30, 2004. See Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Corrosion–Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From Canada, 69 FR 23495 (April 29, 2004). On August 30, 2004, the Department issued the preliminary results on CORE from Canada. See Certain Corrosion–Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Canada: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 69 FR 555138 (September 13, 2004) (Preliminary Results).

Extension of Time Limits for Final Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act requires the Department to issue the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an antidumping duty order for which a review is requested and issue the final results within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend these deadlines to a maximum of 365 days and 180 days, respectively.

The Department recently received case briefs and rebuttal briefs from the interested parties involved in this administrative review. The Department has determined that it is not practicable to complete the review within the statutory time limit due to the need for analysis of certain complex issues, including the treatment of certain U.S. sales and considering whether the Department should accept certain "surface type" product characteristics reported by Dofasco for purposes of the Department's model match and cost reporting methodologies. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time limit for the final results from January 11, 2004, to no later than March 14, 2005, which is the next business day since 180 days from the date of

publication of the *Preliminary Results* occurs on a weekend. This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act and section 351.213(h)(2) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: December 28, 2004.

Barbara E. Tillman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/ CVD Operations. [FR Doc. 05–193 Filed 1–4–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-831]

Fresh Garlic From the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. EFFECTIVE DATE: January 5, 2005. SUMMARY: In November 2004, the Department of Commerce received three requests to conduct new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China. We have determined that these requests meet the statutory and regulatory requirements for the initiation of a new shipper review.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sochieta Moth or Brian Ledgerwood at (202) 482–0168 and (202) 482–3836, respectively, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The notice announcing the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China (PRC) was published on November 16, 1994. On November 22, 2004, we received a request for a new shipper review from Zhangqui Quingyuan Vegetable Co., Ltd. (Quingyuan). On November 30, 2004, we received requests for new shipper reviews from Shanghai LJ International Trading Co., Ltd. (Shanghai LJ) and Huaiyang Huamei Foodstuff Co., Ltd. (Huamei).

Qingyuan and Huamei certified that they both grew and exported the subject merchandise on which they based their requests for a new shipper review. Shanghai LJ certified that it exported the subject merchandise on which it based its request for a new shipper review, but that it did not grow the subject