injuries, and amount of property damage.

As used in this notice, "information collection" includes all work related to preparing and disseminating information related to this recordkeeping requirement including completing paperwork, gathering information and conducting telephone calls.

Type of Information Collection Request: Renewal of Existing Collection.

Title of Information Collection:
Pipeline Safety Reports of Abandoned
Underwater Pipelines

Respondents: Gas and hazardous liquid pipeline operators.

Estimated Number of Respondents per Year: 10.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 1.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 60 hours.

Issued in Washington DC on August 5, 2005.

Florence L. Hamn,

Director, Office of Regulations.
[FR Doc. 05–15955 Filed 8–10–05; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–60–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

Office of Hazardous Materials Safety; Notice of Delays in Processing of Exemption Applications

AGENCY: Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, DOT.

ACTION: List of application delayed more than 180 days.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the requirements of 49 U.S.C. 5117(C), PHMSA is publishing the following list of exemption applications that have been in process for 180 days or more. The reason(s) for delay and the expected completion date for action on each application is provided in association with each identified application.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Delmer Billings, Office of Hazardous Materials Exemptions and Approvals, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590–0001, (202) 366–4535.

Key to "Reason for Delay"

- 1. Awaiting additional information from applicant.
- 2. Extensive public comment under review.
- 3. Application is technically complex and is of significant impact or precedent-setting and requires extensive analysis.
- 4. Staff review delayed by other priority issues or volume of exemption applications.

Meaning of Application Number Suffixes

N—New application.

M—Modification request.

X—Renewal.

PM—Party to application with modification request.

Issued in Washington, DC, on August 5, 2005.

R. Ryan Posten,

Exemptions Program Officer, Office of Hazardous Materials Safety Exemptions & Approvals.

NEW EXEMPTION APPLICATIONS

Application No.	Applicant	Rea- son for delay	Estimated date of completion
13183-N	Becton Dickinson, Sandy, UT	4	09-30-2005
13281-N	The Dow Chemical Company, Midland, MI	4	09-30-2005
13266-N	Luxfer Gas Cylinder, Riverside, CA	4	09-30-2005
13302-N	FIBA Technologies, Inc., Westboro, MA	4	09-30-2005
13341-N	National Propane Gas Association, Washington, DC	3	09-30-2005
13314–N	Sunoco Inc., Philadelphia, PA	4	09-30-2005
13309-N	OPW Engineered Systems, Lebanon, OH	4	09-30-2005
13347-N	ShipMate, Inc., Torrance, CA	4	09-30-2005
13346-N	Stand-By-Systems, Inc., Dallas, TX	1	09-30-2005
14149-N	Digital Wave Corporation, Englewood, CO	4	09-30-2005
14140-N	Albermarle Corporation, Baton Rouge, LA	4	09-30-2005
14141–N	Nalco Company, Naperville, IL	4	09-30-2005
14146–N	Brunswick Corporation, Lake Forest, IL	4	09-30-2005
14138-N	INO Therapeutics, Inc., Port Allen, LA	4	09-30-2005
14038-N	Dow Chemical Company, Midland, MI	1	09-30-2005
14010-N	Varsal, LLC, Warminster, PA	4	09-30-2005
13999-N	Kompozit-Praha s.r.o., Dysina u Plzne, Czech Republic, CZ	4	09-30-2005
13957-N	T.L.C.C.I, Inc., Franklin, TN	4	09-30-2005
14154–N	Carleton Technologies, Inc.	4	09-30-2005
14151-N	Chevron Texaco, Houston, TX	4	09-30-2005
13582-N		4	09-30-2005
13563-N		4	09-30-2005
13547-N	CP Industries, McKeesport, PA	4	08-31-2005

MODIFICATION TO EXEMPTIONS

Application No.	Applicant	Rea- son for delay	Estimated date of completion
7277–M	Structural Composites Industries, Pomona,CA	4	08–31–2005
10019-M	Structural Composites Industries, Pomona,CA	4	08-31-2005
10915-M	Luxfer Gas Cylinders (Composite, Cylinder Division), Riverside, CA	1	08-31-2005
6263-M	Amtrol, Inc., West Warwick, RI	4	08-31-2005
10319-M	Amtrol, Inc., West Warwick, RI	4	08-31-2005
11970-M	ExxonMobile Chemical Company, Mont Belvieu, TX	4	08-31-2005

MODIFICATION TO EXEMPTIONS—Continued

Application No.	Applicant	Rea- son for delay	Estimated date of completion
13580–M	Carleton Technologies Inc., Orchard Park, NY	4	09–30–2005
12384–M	OilAir Hydraulics, Inc., Houston, TX	4	09-30-2005
13327–M	Hawk FRP LLC, Ardmore, OK	1	08-31-2005
7774–M		4	08-31-2005
13488-M	Faber Industries Spa, (U.S. Agent: Kaplan Industries, Maple Shade, NJ)	4	08-31-2005
12988-M	Air Products & Chemicals, Inc., Allentown, PA	4	08-31-2005
12284-M	The American Traffic Safety Services, Assn. (ATSSA), Fredericksburg, VA	1	08-31-2005
11579-M	Dyno Nobel, Inc., Salt Lake City, UT	4	08-31-2005
11241-M	Rohm and Haas Co., Philadelphia, PA	1	09-30-2005
7280-M	Department of Defense, Ft. Eustis, VA	4	08-31-2005
10878-M	Tankcon FRP Inc., Boisbriand, Qc	1, 3	08-31-2005
8162-M	Structural Composites Industries, Pomona, CA	4	08-31-2005
8718–M	Structural Composites Industries, Pomona, CA	4	08–31–2005

RENEWAL OF EXEMPTIONS

Application No.	Applicant	Reason for delay	Estimated date of completion
9649–X	U.S. Department of Defense, Fort Eustis, VA	1, 3	08–31–2005

[FR Doc. 05–15860 Filed 8–10–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–60-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

Pipeline Safety: Countermeasures to Prevent Human Fatigue in the Control Room

AGENCY: Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice; Issuance of Advisory Bulletin.

SUMMARY: The Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) issues this advisory bulletin to owners and operators of natural gas and hazardous liquid pipelines and liquefied natural gas facilities. The purpose of this advisory is to help operators ensure that controllers are not assigned to shift duties while fatigued, to advise pipeline operators on considerations which could cause a reduction of mental alertness or decision making ability, and to encourage safe management practices.

This advisory also responds to the National Transportation Safety Board's (NTSB) Safety Recommendation P–98–30, which urges PHMSA to establish industry guidelines for pipeline controller work schedules to reduce the likelihood of accidents attributable to controller fatigue.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Florence Hamn by telephone at (202)

366–3015; by fax at (202) 366–4566, or by e-mail at *Florence.Hamn@dot.gov*. General information about the PHMSA's Office of Pipeline Safety (OPS) programs may be obtained by accessing the Web site home page at *http://ops.dot.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

NTSB Recommendations

On November 18, 1998, the NTSB issued Safety Recommendation P-98-30, which urges PHMSA, formerly RSPA, to "assess the potential safety risks associated with rotating pipeline controller shifts and establish industry guidelines for the development and implementation of pipeline controller work schedules that reduce the likelihood of accidents attributable to controller fatigue." This recommendation resulted from NTSB's investigation into the rupture of a hazardous liquid pipeline that released about 957,600 gallons of fuel oil into a river and surrounding areas.

NTSB determined that the probable cause of the rupture was, in part, the failure to ensure that pipeline controllers were properly trained to recognize and respond to operational emergencies, abnormal conditions, and pipeline leaks. NTSB noted that the controller responsible for operation of the failed pipeline had worked under a rotating shift schedule that may have contributed to operator fatigue. NTSB expressed concern about the potential for pipeline controller fatigue from rotating shift schedules.

In 1999, NTSB issued Safety Recommendation P–99–12, which urges PHMSA to establish within two years scientifically based hours of service regulations that set limits on hours of service, provide predictable work and rest schedules, and consider circadian rhythms and human sleep and rest requirements.

This recommendation resulted from the NTSB's review of all transportation accidents reported to U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) modal administrations over a 10 year period. NTSB noted that it had issued over 70 fatigue-related safety recommendations that resulted from its investigations of major accidents, special investigations, or safety studies that identified operator fatigue as a causal factor. The NTSB noted that scientific research has shown that certain sleep factors can affect fatigue and performance, such as insufficient sleep, irregular schedules, and unpredictable schedules.

PHMSA Actions and Guidance

In response to these recommendations, PHMSA has been aggressively working with the pipeline community and federal agencies to evaluate how rotating controller schedules in the pipeline industry may be related to human fatigue and safety outcomes. From this work, PHMSA has developed the following guidance, which can be applied in the pipeline environment:

Work Schedules/Hours of Service

Fatigue is a critical safety concern for shift workers, especially workers in the