

2.B.2 of the Instruction. Therefore, this rule is categorically excluded, under figure 2-1, paragraph (32)(g), of the Instruction, from further environmental documentation because this rule is not expected to result in any significant adverse environmental impact as described in NEPA.

A final "Environmental Analysis Check List" and a final "Categorical Exclusion Determination" will be available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and record keeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

■ For the reasons discussed in the preamble the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

#### PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1226, 1231; 46 U.S.C. Chapter 701; 50 U.S.C. 191, 195; 33 CFR 1.05-1(g), 6.04-1, 6.04-6, and 160.5; Pub. L. 107-295, 116 Stat. 2064; Department of Homeland Security Delegation no. 0170.1.

■ 2. A new temporary § 165.T08-153 is added to read as follows:

##### § 165.T08-153 Safety Zone; Lower Mississippi River, Mile Marker 529.8 to Mile Marker 532.3, Greenville, MS.

(a) *Location.* The following area is a safety zone: all waters of the Lower Mississippi River (LMR), beginning at mile marker 529.8 and ending at mile marker 532.3, extending the entire width of the river.

(b) *Effective dates.* This section is effective from 8 p.m. on July 18, 2005 until 10 p.m. on November 14, 2005.

(c) *Regulations.*

(1) In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23 of this part, entry into this zone by vessels or mariners is prohibited unless authorized by the COTP Lower Mississippi River or a designated representative.

(2) Persons or vessels requiring entry into or passage through must request permission from the COTP Lower Mississippi River or a designated representative. They may be contacted on VHF-FM Channel 16, or by telephone at (901) 544-3912, extension 2124.

(3) All persons and vessels shall comply with the instructions of the COTP Lower Mississippi River and designated personnel. Designated personnel include commissioned,

warrant, and petty officers of the U.S. Coast Guard.

Dated: July 18, 2005.

**P.J. Maguire,**

*Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Lower Mississippi River.*

[FR Doc. 05-17717 Filed 9-6-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

#### 43 CFR Part 3100

[WO-310-1310-PB-24-1A]

RIN 1004-AD71

#### Oil and Gas Leasing: Onshore Oil and Gas Operations—Fees, Rentals and Royalty Stripper Well Royalty Reductions Retention of Records

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) is revising the regulations to require that records supporting a stripper well royalty reduction be retained for seven years from the last date that an operator claims the reduction.

**DATES:** This rule is effective on September 7, 2005.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Rudy Baier, Fluid Minerals Group, Bureau of Land Management, (202) 452-5024 (Commercial or FTS). Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339, 24 hours a day, seven days a week, except holidays, for assistance in reaching Mr. Baier.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

- I. Background
- II. Final Rule as adopted
- III. Procedural Matters

#### I. Background

The existing regulation at 43 CFR 3103.4-2 authorizes the operator of a stripper well property to pay a reduced royalty tied to the lowest average production of oil per eligible well per well-day for any 12-month period since the initial qualifying period of August 1, 1990 through July 31, 1991. The regulations permit the operator to use the reduced royalty rate upon certifying that the royalty rate was calculated under the instructions and procedures in the regulations using reports of oil production and well-days for the qualifying period. However, current

regulations do not require a submission of supporting evidence or specify the retention of records supporting the reduced royalty.

The Inspector General of the Department, as well as several States, have expressed concern about the inability of auditors to confirm the validity of the claimed production per eligible well per well day during the qualifying period, if it were more than seven years after the qualifying period. Although August 1990 through July 1991 production may be the basis for the royalty rate claimed after September 1992, some operators have inferred from the absence of specific regulatory requirements that they need not retain those records more than seven years from July 1991.

The Secretary is authorized under 30 U.S.C. 1713 and 1724(f) to require the retention of records for seven years from the date of the transactions for which they are required for "determining compliance with rules or orders" or "for the purpose of determining obligations due." Since the royalty rate for stripper well properties depends on the lowest level of production per well since the "qualifying period," BLM is revising the regulations to require that records of production (on which the claimed royalty rate is based) be retained for seven years after the benefit of the reduced royalty is last claimed.

#### II. Final Rule as Adopted

This rulemaking establishes a requirement that records supporting the reduced royalty rate claimed under 43 CFR 3103.4-2 be retained for seven years from the last date on which the operator is relying upon it to support its royalty rate.

#### III. Procedural Matters

*Waiver of Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*

*Waiver of 30-Day Delay of Effective Date*

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553, BLM finds that notice and public comment on this rule is contrary to the public interest, as that concept is defined in 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(B), because to provide advance notice of the requirement prior to its effectiveness would frustrate the public interest, by allowing operators with questionable claims to royalty relief to destroy, without penalty, records in their possession that might document their ineligibility for the royalty relief claimed. The risk of destruction of records is also good cause to waive the 30-day delay of the effective date.

*Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review*

This rulemaking is not a significant regulatory action and is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under Executive Order 12866. This rulemaking will not have an effect of \$100 million or more on the economy. They will not adversely affect in a material way the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local, or tribal governments or communities. This rulemaking will not create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency. This rulemaking does not alter the budgetary effects of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs or the right or obligations of their recipients; nor does it raise novel legal or policy issues. This rule will have little or no impact on operators who are currently eligible for royalty reductions under the stripper well program. The rule merely requires operators to retain records which they currently have in their possession.

*Regulatory Flexibility Act*

Congress enacted the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) of 1980, as amended, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, to ensure that Government regulations do not unnecessarily or disproportionately burden small entities. The RFA requires a regulatory flexibility analysis if a rule would have significant economic impact, either detrimental or beneficial, on a substantial number of small entities. Because this rule would merely require operators receiving a royalty reduction under the program to retain records they currently have, BLM has determined under the RFA that this rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

*Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act*

This rulemaking is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804(2), the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act. This rulemaking does not have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more. It will not cause an increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, State, or local governments agencies, or geographic regions. The rulemaking does not have significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or the ability of U.S.-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises. As stated above, this

rule only requires operators to retain records they currently have.

*Unfunded Mandates Reform Act*

This rulemaking does not impose an unfunded mandate on State, local, or tribal governments or the private sector of more than \$100 million per year; nor does this rule have a significant or unique effect on State, local, or tribal governments or the private sector. The rule does not impose any unfunded mandate on State, local, or tribal governments or the private sector. The rule merely requires operators to retain records which they currently have. Therefore, BLM is not required to prepare a statement containing the information required by the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (2 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

*Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference With Constitutionally Protected Property Rights*

The rulemaking does not represent a government action capable of interfering with constitutionally protected property rights. It merely requires operators to retain records they currently have. Therefore, the DOI has determined that the rule would not cause a taking of private property or require further discussion of takings implications under this Executive Order.

*Executive Order 13132, Federalism*

The rulemaking will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. This records retention rule has no effect on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. The BLM has determined that this rulemaking does not have sufficient Federalism implications to warrant preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

*Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform*

Under Executive Order 12988, the Office of the Solicitor has determined that this rule would not unduly burden the judicial system and that it meets the requirements of paragraph 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of the Order.

*Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995*

BLM has determined this rulemaking does not contain any new information collection requirements that the Office

of Management and Budget must approve under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

*National Environmental Policy Act*

BLM has determined that this rule is administrative and involves only procedural changes addressing the retention of records for the stripper well property royalty rate reduction program. Therefore, it is categorically excluded from environmental review under paragraph 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 pursuant to 516 Departmental Manual (DM) 2.3A and 516 DM 2, Appendix 1, Item 1.10. BLM has further determined that none of the exceptions at 516 DM Appendix 2 apply.

Pursuant to Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR 1508.4) and the environmental policies and procedures of the DOI, the term “categorical exclusions” means categories of actions which do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment and which have no such effect in procedures adopted by a Federal agency and therefore require neither an environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement.

*Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments*

Under Executive Order 13175, we have found that this rulemaking does not include policies that have tribal implications. This rule does not apply to leases of Indian minerals.

*Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use*

Under Executive Order 13211, BLM has determined that the rulemaking will not have substantial direct effects on the energy supply, distribution or use, including a shortfall in supply or price increase. The rule merely requires operators to retain records which they currently have. This rule will not have a significant impact on the national energy supply.

*Author*

The principal author of this rule is Rudy Baier, Fluid Minerals Group, assisted by Shirlean Beshir of the Regulatory Affairs Group.

**List of Subjects in 43 CFR Part 3100**

Government contracts, Mineral royalties, Oil and gas exploration, Public lands-mineral resources, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, and Surety bonds.

Dated: August 24, 2005.

**Chad Calvert,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary, Land and Minerals Management.*

■ Accordingly, for the reasons stated in the preamble and exercising the authorities stated, we amend part 3100 of Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations as set forth below:

**PART 3100—OIL AND GAS LEASING**

■ 1. Revise the authority citation for part 3100 to read as follows:

**Authority:** 30 U.S.C. 189 and 359; 30 U.S.C. 1713 and 1751; and 43 U.S.C. 1732(b), 1733, and 1740.

**Subpart 3103—Fees, Rentals and Royalty**

■ 2. Amend § 3103.4–2 by redesignating paragraph (b)(3)(vi) as paragraph (b)(3)(vii) and adding a new paragraph (b)(3)(vi) to read as follows:

**§ 3103.4–2 Stripper well royalty reductions.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) \* \* \*

(3) \* \* \*

(vi) *Record retention.* For seven years after production on which the operator claims a royalty rate reduction for stripper well properties, the operator must retain and make available to BLM for inspection all documents on which the calculation of the applicable royalty rate under this section relies.

[FR Doc. 05–17618 Filed 9–6–05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–84–P**

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**

**47 CFR Parts 2, 25, 73, 90, and 97**

[ET Docket No. 04–139; FCC 05–70]

**WRC–03 Omnibus**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** On August 10, 2005, (70 FR 46576) the Commission published final

rules in a Report and Order, which implemented allocation changes to the frequency range between 5900 kHz and 27.5 GHz in furtherance of decisions that were made at the World Radiocommunication Conference (Geneva 2003) (WRC–03). This document contains corrections to 47 CFR 2.101 and 2.106.

**DATES:** Effective September 9, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Tom Mooring, Office of Engineering and Technology, (202) 418–2450, e-mail: *Tom.Mooring@fcc.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In rule FR Doc. 05–15213 published August 10, 2005 (70 FR 46576) make the following corrections.

■ 1. On page 46585, in the third column, the table in § 2.101 is corrected by removing the periods at the end of the entries under the column entitled “Metric abbreviations for the bands.” The corrected table reads as follows (the notes are not shown):

Band number	Symbols	Frequency range (lower limit exclusive, upper limit inclusive)	Corresponding metric subdivision	Metric abbreviations for the bands
4 .....	VLF .....	3 to 30 kHz .....	Myriametric waves .....	B.Mam
5 .....	LF .....	30 to 300 kHz .....	Kilometric waves .....	B.km
6 .....	MF .....	300 to 3 000 kHz .....	Hectometric waves .....	B.hm
7 .....	HF .....	3 to 30 MHz .....	Decametric waves .....	B.dam
8 .....	VHF .....	30 to 300 MHz .....	Metric waves .....	B.m
9 .....	UHF .....	300 to 3 000 MHz .....	Decimetric waves .....	B.dm
10 .....	SHF .....	3 to 30 GHz .....	Centimetric waves .....	B.cm
11 .....	EHF .....	30 to 300 GHz .....	Millimetric waves .....	B.mm
12 .....	.....	300 to 3000 GHz .....	Decimillimetric waves.	

**BILLING CODE 6712–01–P**