- prevent compromise of the material? A. Yes
- B. No
- C. I do not know
- D. Examination/evaluations are not performed
- 190. Is the development, approval, security, administration, and maintenance of both oral and written examinations and performance evaluations controlled by a program to limit access to the material to only designated personnel to prevent compromise of the material?
  - A. Yes
  - B. No
  - C. I do not know
  - D. Examination/evaluations are not performed
- 191. Is remedial training and reevaluation of students provided by your training program when student examination or performance standards are not met?

  - B. No
  - C. I do not know
  - D. Examination/evaluations are not performed
- 192. Are remedial training plans specified in advance of testing?
  - A. Yes
  - B. No
  - C. I do not know
  - D. Examination/evaluations are not performed
- 193. Is the remedial training program identified to the students and student acknowledgement of the remedial program required prior to testing?
  - A. Yes
  - B. No
  - C. I do not know
  - D. Examination/evaluations are not performed
- 194. Is a method of documenting completion of remedial training provided for in your
  - A. Yes
  - B. No
  - C. I do not know
  - D. Examination/evaluations are not performed

## Part H—Evaluation of the Training Program

- 195. Is your training program structured to provide a systematic evaluation of training effectiveness as it relates to on the job performance by personnel at your location?
  - A. Yes
  - B. No
  - C. I do not know
  - D. Evaluations are not performed
- 196. Is your training program evaluated to ensure that the program conveys all required knowledge and skills to personnel at you location for performance of their duties?
  - A. Yes
  - B. No
  - C. I do not know
  - D. Evaluations are not performed
- 197. Are policies or procedures in place defining the when, how, and by whom of conducting evaluations?
  - A. Yes

- B. No
- C. I do not know
- D. Examination/evaluations are not performed
- 198. Are instructors in your training program evaluated periodically by management against an established set of criteria in all settings in which they provide instruction?
- A. Yes
- B. No
- C. I do not know
- D. Evaluations are not performed
- 199. Are evaluations of instructors used to ensure consistent instructor performance and/or identify instructional skills in need of improvement?
  - A. Yes
  - B. No
  - C. I do not know
- D. Evaluations are not performed
- 200. Are trainees provided an opportunity to provide feedback on the effectiveness of the instructor(s) in presentation of training material and the overall quality of the training?
  - A. Yes
  - B. No
  - C. I do not know
- D. Feedback is not solicited
- 201. Is feedback from the trainees and the trainee's supervisor after the trainee has had an opportunity to apply his training to actual job duties solicited to help determine the effectiveness of the training provided?

  - B. No
  - C. I do not know
  - D. Feedback is not solicited
- 202. Is the feedback obtained from the trainees and their supervisors used to determine areas in which improvements to the training program are needed?
  - A. Yes
  - B. No
  - C. I do not know
  - D. Feedback is not solicited
- 203. Is a program in place at your location to review changes to procedures, equipment and/or facilities to ensure that changes are reflected in the training program in a timely manner as applicable?
  - A. Ŷes
  - B. No
  - C. I do not know
  - D. Changes are not considered for incorporation into established training
- 204. Does your training program have in place a policy or procedure to identify required changes to both your initial and continuing training programs and provide guidance on documenting, evaluating, tracking and incorporating changes to your training programs?
  - A. Yes
  - B. No
  - C. I do not know
  - D. Changes are not considered for incorporation into established training
- 205. Are the facilities used for training

periodically evaluated to determine their adequacy for use as training facilities and to ensure they remain conducive to providing a disturbance and distraction free learning environment?

- A. Yes
- B. No
- C. I do not know
- D. Facilities are not provide for exclusive use of training

[FR Doc. 05-18 Filed 1-3-05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

## Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP05-37-000]

**Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation; Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Assessment** for the Proposed Station 170 Clean Air Modifications Project and Request for **Comments on Environmental Issues** 

December 27, 2004.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission will prepare an environmental assessment (EA) that will discuss the environmental impacts of the Station 170 Clean Air Modifications Project involving construction and operation of facilities by Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco) in Appomattox County, Virginia.<sup>1</sup> These facilities would consist of 11 reciprocating engines to be upgraded to comply with the State of Virginia's requirements to reduce nitrogen oxide emissions. This EA will be used by the Commission in its decision-making process to determine whether the project is in the public convenience and necessity.

## **Summary of the Proposed Project**

Transco proposes to modify 11 of its existing reciprocating engines at Compressor Station No. 170 in Appomattox County, Virginia in order to reduce oxides of nitrogen emissions to comply with the State of Virginia's plans to implement the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 (CAA). In order to reduce emissions and comply with the CAA, Transco seeks authority to:

- Install turbochargers and associated equipment on 7 of the 11 reciprocating engines;
- Increase the capacity of the turbochargers on the remaining 4 reciprocating engines and install associated equipment;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Transco's application was filed with the Commission under section 7 of the Natural Gas Act and part 157 of the Commission's regulations.

- Install a high-pressure fuel gas header:
- Install a new 13-by-28 foot power supply building; and
- Install new fin-fan coolers for the new turbochargers.

The general location of the project facilities is shown in Appendix 1.<sup>2</sup>

## Land Requirements for Construction

Construction of the proposed facilities would occur on about one acre of previously disturbed land. No new land would be required for this project. All land would be restored according to the FERC staff's Upland Erosion Control, Revegetation, and Maintenance Plan.

## The EA Process

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires the Commission to take into account the environmental impacts that could result from an action whenever it considers the issuance of a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity. NEPA also requires us to discover and address concerns the public may have about proposals. This process is referred to as "scoping". The main goal of the scoping process is to focus the analysis in the EA on the important environmental issues. By this Notice of Intent, the Commission staff requests public comments on the scope of the issues to address in the EA. Al comments received are considered during the preparation of the EA. State and local government representatives are encouraged to notify their constituents of this proposed action and encourage them to comment on their areas of concern.

In the EA we <sup>3</sup> will discuss impacts that could occur as a result of the construction and operation of the proposed project under these general headings:

- Geology and soils.
- Land use.
- Cultural resources.
- Vegetation and wildlife.
- · Air quality and noise.
- Endangered and threatened species.
- Hazardous waste.
- Water resources and fisheries.

We will also evaluate possible alternatives to the proposed project or

portions of the project, and make recommendations on how to lessen or avoid impacts on the various resource areas.

Our independent analysis of the issues will be in the EA. Depending on the comments received during the scoping process, the EA may be published and mailed to federal, state, and local agencies, public interest groups, interested individuals, affected landowners, newspapers, libraries, and the Commission's official service list for this proceeding. A comment period will be allotted for review if the EA is published. We will consider all comments on the EA before we make our recommendations to the Commission.

To ensure your comments are considered, please carefully follow the instructions in the public participation section below.

# **Currently Identified Environmental Issues**

We have already identified air and noise impacts as issues that we think deserves attention based on a preliminary review of the proposed modifications and the environmental information provided by Transco. This preliminary list of issues may be changed based on your comments and our analysis.

# **Public Participation**

You can make a difference by providing us with your specific comments or concerns about the project. By becoming a commentor, your concerns will be addressed in the EA and considered by the Commission. You should focus on the potential environmental effects of the proposal, alternatives to the proposal, and measures to avoid or lessen environmental impact. The more specific your comments, the more useful they will be. Please carefully follow these instructions to ensure that your comments are received in time and properly recorded.

- Send an original and two copies of your letter to: Magalie R. Salas, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First St., NE., Room 1A, Washington, DC 20426.
- Label one copy of the comments for the attention of Gas Branch 2.
- Reference Docket No. CP05–37–000.
- Mail your comments so that they will be received in Washington, DC on or before January 27, 2005.

Please note that we are continuing to experience delays in mail deliveries from the U.S. Postal Service. As a result, we will include all comments that we receive within a reasonable time frame in our environmental analysis of this project. However, the Commission strongly encourages electronic filing of any comments or interventions or protests to this proceeding. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site at <a href="http://www.ferc.gov">http://www.ferc.gov</a> under the "e-Filing" link and the link to the User's Guide. Before you can file comments you will need to create a free account which can be created on-line.

We may mail the EA for comment. If you are interested in receiving it, please return the Information Request (Appendix 3). If you do not return the Information Request, you will be taken off the mailing list.

## **Becoming an Intervenor**

In addition to involvement in the EA scoping process, you may want to become an official party to the proceeding known as an "intervenor". Intervenors play a more formal role in the process. Among other things, intervenors have the right to receive copies of case-related Commission documents and filings by other intervenors. Likewise, each intervenor must send one electronic copy (using the Commission's eFiling system) or 14 paper copies of its filings to the Secretary of the Commission and must send a copy of its filings to all other parties on the Commission's service list for this proceeding. If you want to become an intervenor you must file a motion to intervene according to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214) (see Appendix 2).4 Only intervenors have the right to seek rehearing of the Commission's decision.

Affected landowners and parties with environmental concerns may be granted intervenor status upon showing good cause by stating that they have a clear and direct interest in this proceeding which would not be adequately represented by any other parties. You do not need intervenor status to have your environmental comments considered.

## **Environmental Mailing List**

An effort is being made to send this notice to all individuals, organizations, and government entities interested in and/or potentially affected by the proposed project. This includes all landowners whose property may be used temporarily for project purposes, or who own homes within distances

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The appendices referenced in this notice are not being printed in the **Federal Register**. Copies of all appendices, other than Appendix 1 (maps), are available on the Commission's Web site at the "eLibrary" link or from the Commission's Public Reference Room, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, or call (202) 502–8371. For instructions on connecting to eLibrary refer to the last page of this notice. Copies of the appendices were sent to

all those receiving this notice in the mail.

3"We", "us", and "our" refer to the
environmental staff of the Office of Energy Projects
(OEP).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Interventions may also be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See the previous discussion on filing comments electronically.

defined in the Commission's regulations of certain aboveground facilities.

## **Additional Information**

Additional information about the project is available from the Commission's Office of External Affairs, at 1-866-208-FERC or on the FERC Internet Web site (http://www.ferc.gov) using the eLibrary link. Click on the eLibrary link, click on "General Search" and enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the Docket Number field. Be sure you have selected an appropriate date range. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at FercOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll free at 1-866-208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502-8659. The eLibrary link also provides access to the texts of formal documents issued by the Commission, such as orders, notices, and rulemakings.

In addition, the Commission now offers a free service called eSubscription which allows you to keep track of all formal issuances and submittals in specific dockets. This can reduce the amount of time you spend researching proceedings by automatically providing you with notification of these filings, document summaries and direct links to the documents. Go to http://www.ferc.gov/esubscribenow.htm.

Finally, public meetings or site visits will be posted on the Commission's calendar located at http://www.ferc.gov/EventCalendar/EventsList.aspx along with other related information.

## Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E4–3921 Filed 1–3–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[RCRA-2004-0020, FRL-7857-3]

Agency Information Collection
Activities: Proposed Collection;
Comment Request; State Program
Adequacy Determination: Municipal
Solid Waste Landfills (MSWLFs) and
Non-Municipal, Non-Hazardous Waste
Disposal Units That Receive
Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity
Generator (CESQG) Hazardous Waste,
EPA ICR Number 1608.04, OMB
Control Number 2050-0152

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this document announces

that EPA is planning to submit a continuing Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). This is a request to renew an existing approved collection. This ICR is scheduled to expire on June 30, 2005. Before submitting the ICR to OMB for review and approval, EPA is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the proposed information collection as described below.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before March 7, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, referencing docket ID number RCRA—2004—0020, to EPA online using EDOCKET (our preferred method), by email to rcra-docket@epa.gov, or by mail to: EPA Docket Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Mail Code 5303T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Craig Dufficy, Municipal and Industrial Solid Waste Division of the Office of Solid Waste (Mail Code 5306W), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (703) 308–9037; fax number: (703) 308–8686; e-mail address: dufficy.craig@epa.gov. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA has established a public docket for this ICR under Docket ID number RCRA-2004-0020, which is available for public viewing at the Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (OSWER) Docket in the EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), EPA West, Room B102, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Reading Room is (202) 566–1744, and the telephone number for the OSWER Docket is (202) 566-0270. An electronic version of the public docket is available through EPA Dockets (EDOCKET) at http://www.epa.gov/ edocket. Use EDOCKET to obtain a copy of the draft collection of information, submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, select "search," then key in the docket ID number identified above.

Any comments related to this ICR should be submitted to EPA within 60 days of this notice. EPA's policy is that public comments, whether submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing in EDOCKET as EPA receives them and without change, unless the comment

contains copyrighted material, CBI, or other information whose public disclosure is restricted by statute. When EPA identifies a comment containing copyrighted material, EPA will provide a reference to that material in the version of the comment that is placed in EDOCKET. The entire printed comment, including the copyrighted material, will be available in the public docket. Although identified as an item in the official docket, information claimed as CBI, or whose disclosure is otherwise restricted by statute, is not included in the official public docket, and will not be available for public viewing in EDOCKET. For further information about the electronic docket, see EPA's Federal Register notice describing the electronic docket at 67 FR 38102 (May 31, 2002), or go to http://www.epa.gov./ edocket.

Affected entities: Entities potentially affected by this action are states that seek approval of permit programs for MSWLF's and for non-municipal, non-hazardous waste disposal units that receive CESQG waste.

Title: State Program Adequacy
Determination: Municipal Solid Waste
Landfills (MSWLFs) and NonMunicipal, Non-Hazardous Waste
Disposal Units that Receive
Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity
Generator (CESQG) Hazardous Waste,
EPA ICR Number 1608.04, OMB Control
Number 2050–0152.

Abstract: Section 4010(c) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1976 requires that EPA revise the landfill criteria promulgated under paragraph (1) of section 4004(a) and section 1008(a)(3). Section 4005(c) of RCRA, as amended by the Hazardous Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA) of 1984, requires States to develop and implement permit programs to ensure that MSWLFs and non-municipal, nonhazardous waste disposal units that receive household hazardous waste or CESQG hazardous waste are in compliance with the revised criteria for the design and operation of nonmunicipal, non-hazardous waste disposal units under 40 CFR part 257. subpart B and MSWLFs under 40 CFR part 258. (40 CFR part 257, subpart B and 40 CFR part 258 are henceforth referred to as the "revised federal criteria".) Section 4005(c) of RCRA further mandates the EPA Administrator to determine the adequacy of State permit programs to ensure owner and/ or operator compliance with the revised federal criteria. A State program that is deemed adequate to ensure compliance may afford flexibility to owners or operators in the approaches they use to meet federal requirements, significantly