

practical utility; (2) the accuracy of NASA's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including automated collection techniques or the use of other forms of information technology.

Dated: November 1, 2005.

**Patricia L. Dunnington,**

*Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 05-22666 Filed 11-15-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-13-P

## NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[05-153]

### Notice of Information Collection

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

**ACTION:** Notice of information collection.

**SUMMARY:** The National Aeronautics and Space Administration, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** All comments should be submitted within 60 calendar days from the date of this publication.

**ADDRESSES:** All comments should be addressed to Mr. Walter Kit, Office ID JA000, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546-0001.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Mr. Walter Kit, NASA Reports Officer, NASA Headquarters, 300 E Street, SW., Mail Code V, Washington, DC 20546, 202-358-1350, [Walter.Kit-1@nasa.gov](mailto:Walter.Kit-1@nasa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Abstract

The National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) is requesting renewal of an existing collection that is used to help NASA ensure proper accounting of Federal funds provided under grants and cooperative agreements with institutions of higher education and other non-profit organizations. Reporting and

recordkeeping are prescribed in 14 CFR 1260.10, 1260.20 1260.21, 1260.22, 1260.24, 1260.26, 1260.32, 1260.33, 1260.35, 1260.73, 1260.75, and 1260.77. Furthermore, collection constitutes NASA's implementation of those parts of OMB Circular A-110 deemed applicable to Agency awards; i.e., submission of SF 272's, recordkeeping, and prudent stewardship of Government-provided funds.

##### II. Method of Collection

NASA uses electronic methods to collect information from collection respondents.

##### III. Data

*Title:* Financial Monitoring and Control—Grants and Cooperative Agreements.

*OMB Number:* 2700-0049.

*Type of Review:* Renewal of a currently approved collection.

*Affected Public:* Not-for-profit institutions.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 1,172.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* Varies.

*Estimated Number of Responses Per Respondent:* Varies.

*Number of Annual Responses:* 47,710.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 291,326.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost:* \$0.

*Frequency of Report:* As needed.

##### IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of NASA, including whether the information collected has practical utility; (2) the accuracy of NASA's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of information on respondents, including automated collection techniques or the use of other forms of information technology.

Dated: November 7, 2005.

**Patricia L. Dunnington,**

*Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 05-22667 Filed 11-15-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-13-P

## NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

### Appointments of Individuals to Serve as Members of Performance Review Boards

5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4) requires that the appointments of individuals to serve as members of performance review boards be published in the **Federal Register**. Therefore, in compliance with this requirement, notice is hereby given that the individuals whose names and position titles appear below have been appointed to serve as members of performance review boards in the National Labor Relations Board for the rating year beginning October 1, 2004 and ending September 30, 2005.

#### Name and Title

Richard L. Ahearn—Regional Director, Region 19  
 Frank V. Battle—Deputy Director of Administration  
 John F. Colwell—Chief Counsel to Board Member  
 Harold J. Datz—Chief Counsel to the Chairman  
 John H. Ferguson—Associate General Counsel, Enforcement Litigation  
 Terence Flynn—Chief Counsel to Board Member  
 Robert A. Giannasi—Chief Administrative Law Judge  
 Lester A. Heltzer—Executive Secretary  
 John E. Higgins—Deputy General Counsel  
 Peter B. Hoffman—Regional Director, Region 34  
 Gloria Joseph—Director of Administration  
 Barry J. Kearney—Associate General Counsel, Advice  
 David B. Parker—Deputy Executive Secretary  
 Gary W. Shinnners—Chief Counsel to Board Member  
 Richard A. Siegel—Associate General Counsel, Operations-Management  
 Lafe E. Solomon—Director, Office of Representation Appeals  
 Peter D. Winkler—Chief Counsel to Board Member

Dated: November 10, 2005.

By Direction of the Board.

**Lester A. Heltzer,**

*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 05-22669 Filed 11-15-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7545-01-M

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Notice of Meeting

**AGENCY HOLDING MEETING:** National Science Board.

**DATE AND TIME:** November 22, 2005, 1 p.m.–2 p.m. (ET)

**PLACE:** National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230, Public Meeting Room 120.

**STATUS:** This meeting will be open to the public.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** Tuesday, November 22, 2005, Open Session

*Open Session (1–2 p.m.)*

Discussion of draft NSB report, National Science Board 2020 Vision for the National Science Foundation (*NSB-05-142*), [http://www.nsf.gov/nsb/documents/2005/nsb05142/cover\\_letter.pdf](http://www.nsf.gov/nsb/documents/2005/nsb05142/cover_letter.pdf).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Michael P. Crosby, Executive Officer and NSB Office Director. (703) 292-7000. <http://www.nsf.gov/nsb>.

**Michael P. Crosby,**

*Executive Officer and NSB Office Director.*

[FR Doc. 05-22705 Filed 11-15-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7555-01-M**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. STN 50-454]

[License No. NPF-37]

### Exelon Generation Company, LLC; Notice of Issuance of Director's Decision Under 10 CFR 2.206

Notice is hereby given that the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, has issued a director's decision with regard to a petition dated March 2, 2005, filed by Mr. Barry Quigley, hereinafter referred to as the "petitioner." On March 4, 2005, the petitioner provided additional clarifying information during a conference call with the Petition Review Board. The conference call was recorded; a transcript is publicly available in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) Agencywide Document Access and Management System (ADAMS) at Accession No. ML050870619. The petition concerns the operation of the Byron Station, Unit 1 which is owned and operated by Exelon Generation Company, LLC (Exelon).

The petition requested that the NRC take enforcement action against Exelon's Byron Station for failure to comply with 10 CFR part 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI. Specifically, the petitioner stated that the 1C loop stop isolation valve (LSIV) has been broken for at least 6 years and has not been repaired.

The petition of March 2, 2005, raises concerns originating from the condition that the 1C LSIV can be difficult to

close, to the point that the protective features of the motor actuate. The petitioner indicated that the failure mechanism is metal-to-metal contact between the valve disc and a misaligned valve guide which introduces debris into the reactor coolant system (RCS).

A public meeting with Exelon was held in the NRC Region III offices on March 21, 2005; a summary of the meeting is available at ADAMS Accession No. ML050820530. The petitioner was in attendance and offered comments prior to adjournment of the meeting. The licensee made several submittals containing additional information regarding the LSIV performance and testing as well as a May 27, 2005, response to an NRC staff Request for Additional Information.

As a result of evaluation of the information provided, the NRC prepared a proposed Director's Decision, copies of which were sent to the petitioner and to the licensee for comment on July 29, 2005, and August 1, 2005, respectively. The petitioner responded with comments on August 14, 2005, and the licensee responded on August 12, 2005. The comments and the NRC staff's response to them are included in the Director's Decision.

The Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation has determined that the request to take enforcement action against Exelon's Byron Station for failure to comply with 10 CFR part 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI, be denied. The reasons for this decision are explained in the director's decision pursuant to Title 10 of Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) Section 2.206 DD-05-05, the complete text of which is available in ADAMS for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland, and from the ADAMS Public Library component on the NRC's Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm.html> (the Public Electronic Reading Room).

The staff concluded that the 1C LSIV (which does not perform a safety function) is unlikely to be degraded to a condition where the valve guides, or a portion of the valve guides, can loosen and migrate to the reactor vessel during normal plant operation. Nevertheless, the NRC considered the potential for the release of loose parts into the RCS at Byron Station, Unit 1. The NRC concluded that loose parts from the 1C LSIV have an acceptability low potential of occurrence. Even so, the licensee has provisions to locate, identify, and respond to both large and small loose parts. Further, because the licensee

complies with NRC Staff Position RSB 5-1, "Design Requirements of the Residual Heat Removal System," the NRC is assured that for LSIV loose parts scenarios that postulate obstruction of the chemical and volume control system letdown line or obstruction of the pressurizer spray line/nozzle will not prevent safe shutdown of Byron Station, Unit 1.

A copy of the Director's Decision will be filed with the Secretary of the Commission for the Commission's review in accordance with 10 CFR 2.206 of the Commission's regulations. As provided for by this regulation, the Director's Decision will constitute the final action of the Commission 25 days after the date of the decision, unless the Commission, on its own motion, institutes a review of the director's decision in that time.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 8th day of November, 2005.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**J.E. Dyer,**

*Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. E5-6307 Filed 11-15-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 72-27]

### Notice of Availability of Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for Construction and Operation of the Humboldt Bay Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability and Finding of No Significant Impact.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** James Park, Environmental and Performance Assessment Directorate, Division of Waste Management and Environmental Protection, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555. Telephone: (301) 415-5835; Fax number: (301) 415-5397; E-mail: [jrp@nrc.gov](mailto:jrp@nrc.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Introduction

By letter dated December 15, 2003, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) submitted an application to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), requesting a site-specific license to build and operate an Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI),