a lining consisting of tin 2.3 to 4.2%, lead 20 to 25%, iron 1.5 to 4.5%, phosphorus 0.2 to 2.0%, other materials (other than copper) less than 1%, with a balance copper.

Product 21 Flat—rolled coated SAE 1009 steel in coils with thickness 1.59 mm or more but not over 1.64 mm; width 11.5 mm or more but not over 12.1 mm; with a lining consisting of tin 2.3 to 4.2%, lead 20 to 25%, iron 1.5 to 4.5%, phosphorus 0.2 to 2.0%, other materials (other than copper) less than 1%, balance copper.

Product 22 Flat—rolled coated SAE 1009 steel in coils with thickness 1.59 mm or more but not over 1.64 mm; width 11.2 mm or more but not over 11.8 mm, with a lining consisting of copper 0.7 to 1.3%, tin 17.5 to 22.5%, silicon less than 0.3%, nickel less than 0.15%, other materials less than 1%, balance aluminum.

Product 23 Flat—rolled coated SAE 1009 steel in coils with thickness 1.59 mm or more but not over1.64 mm; width 7.2 mm or more but not over 7.8 mm; with a lining consisting of copper 0.7 to 1.3%, tin 17.5 to 22.5%, silicon less than 0.3%, nickel less than 0.15%, other materials (other than copper) less than 1%, balance copper.

Product 24 Flat—rolled coated SAE 1009 steel in coils with thickness 1.72 mm or more but not over 1.77 mm; width 7.7 mm or more but not over 8.3 mm; with a lining consisting of copper 0.7 to 1.3%, tin 17.5 to 22.5%, silicon less than 0.3%, nickel less than 0.15%, other materials (other than copper) less than 1%, balance copper. See Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review and Revocation, In Part: Certain Corrosion—Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From Japan, 70 FR 5137 (February 1, 2005).

Rescission of Review

Section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations provides that a party that requests an administrative review may withdraw the request within 90 days after the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested administrative review. Additionally, section 351.213(d)(1) provides that the Department may extend the time limit for withdrawal of requests where it is reasonable. On May 20, 2005, Nucor withdrew its request for an administrative review. Since the review was initiated on August 31, 2004, more than 90 days have passed since the initiation of the review. The Department finds that it is reasonable to extend the 90-day limit for Nucor to withdraw its request for review because (1) Nucor was the only party to request a review in this case, (2) the Department

has not yet made a preliminary determination in this proceeding and neither the Department nor the participating parties have expended significant resources, and (3) no other interested party has objected to Nucor's request. 1 See Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, form Hungary: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 65 Fed. Reg. 35610 (June 5, 2000); Cotton Shop Towels from Pakistan: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review, 66 Fed. Reg. 18444 (April 9, 2001); Frozen Concentrated Orange Juice from Brazil: Final Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 67 Fed. Reg. 40913 (June 14,

Therefore, for the above–stated reasons, the Department is rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain corrosion–resistant carbon steel flat products from Japan covering the period August 1, 2003, through July 31, 2004. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to U.S. Customs and Border Protection.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under section 351.402(f) of the Department's regulations to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's assumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties. This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with section 351.305(a)(3) of the Department's regulations. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and section 351.213(d)(4) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: June 17, 2005.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5–3270 Filed 6–22–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE: 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-357-812

Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review: Honey from Argentina

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 23, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Cordell or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0408 and (202) 482–0469, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department of Commerce (the Department) published an antidumping duty order on honey from Argentina on December 10, 2001 (see Notice of Antidumping Duty Order; Honey From Argentina, 66 FR 63672 (December 10, 2001)). On December 30, 2004, El Mana S.A., an Argentine exporter of subject merchandise requested that the Department conduct a new shipper review. On January 31, 2005, the Department initiated this new shipper review, which was published in the Federal Register on February 4, 2005, (Honey from Argentina: Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Review, 70 FR 5965 (February 4, 2005)). The preliminary results of this administrative review are currently due no later than July 30, 2005.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act), the Department shall issue preliminary results in an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 180 days after the date on which the new shipper review was initiated. The Act further provides, however, that the Department may extend the deadline for completion of the preliminary results of a new shipper review from 180 days to

¹ As noted above, U.S. Steel withdrew its objection.

300 days if it determines that the case is extraordinarily complicated. See section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act. Due to the complexity of issues present in this new shipper review, such as issues related to third country sales and packaging, the Department has determined that it is not practicable to complete this review within the original time period.

Section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and section 351.214(h)(i)(2) of the Department's regulations allow the Department to extend the deadline for the preliminary results to a maximum of 300 days from the date on which the new shipper review was initiated. For the reasons noted above, we are extending the time for the completion of preliminary results until no later than November 28, 2005, which is the next business day after 300 days from the date on which the new shipper review was initiated. The deadline for the final results of this administrative review continues to be 90 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: June 17, 2005.

Barbara E. Tillman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5–3271 Filed 6–21–05; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S**

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-570-879

Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Polyvinyl Alcohol from the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 23, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lilit Astvatsatrian, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–6412.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department of Commerce ("the Department") published an antidumping duty order on polyvinyl alcohol ("PVA") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") on October 1, 2003 (see Antidumping Duty Order: Polyvinyl Alcohol from the People's Republic of China, 68 FR 56620). On October 29, 2004, Petitioners¹ requested that the Department conduct an antidumping review of Sinopec Sichuan Vinylon Works.

On November 19, 2004, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of the initiation of the antidumping duty administrative review of PVA from the PRC for the period March 20, 2003, through September 30, 2004. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 69 FR 67701 (November 19, 2004).² The preliminary results of review are currently due no later than July 3, 2005.

Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department shall issue preliminary results in an antidumping administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order.

The Act further provides, however, that the Department may extend the deadline for completion of the preliminary results if review from 245 days to 365 days if it determines that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results within the 245-day period. Completion of the preliminary results of this review within the 245day period is not practicable because the Department needs additional time to analyze a significant amount of information pertaining to the respondent company's sales practices, factors of production involved in the four stages of the

PVA production process, and corporate relationships, to send supplemental questionnaires and to review responses to these questionnaires.

Because it is not practicable to complete this review within the time specified under the Act, we are extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of review by 30 days

until August 2, 2005, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act. The final results continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

Dated: June 17, 2005.

Barbara E. Tillman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5–3272 Filed 6–21–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE: 3510–DS–S

CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

Sunshine Act Meeting

The Board of Directors of the Corporation for National and Community Service gives notice of the following meeting:

DATE AND TIME: Tuesday, June 28, 2005, 10:30 a.m.–12:30 p.m.

PLACE: Shriners Sphinx Club, Oasis Meeting Room, 1315 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20005.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

I. Chair's Opening Remarks

II. Consideration of Prior Meeting's Minutes

III. Committee Reports

IV. CEO Report

V. AmeriCorps Rulemaking

VI. Recognition of Juanita Doty

VII. Public Comment

Accommodations: Anyone who needs an interpreter or other accommodation should notify the Corporation's contact person by 5 p.m. Friday, June 24, 2005.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

David Premo, Public Affairs Associate, Public Affairs, Corporation for National and Community Service, 8th Floor, Room 8612C, 1201 New York Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20525. Phone (202) 606–6717. Fax (202) 606–3460. TDD: (202) 606–3472. E-mail: dpremo@cns.gov.

Dated: June 20, 2005.

Frank R. Trinity,

General Counsel

[FR Doc. 05–12502 Filed 6–21–05; 10:33 am] BILLING CODE 6050–\$\$–P

¹ Celanese, Ltd. and E.I. du Pont de Nemours & Co.

²We note that the beginning date (*i.e.*, March 20, 2003) of the announced period of review ("POR") was not correct. The Department inadvertently published an incorrect beginning date which was the date of the preliminary determination of the investigation. Because the only respondent in this proceeding had a *de minimis* rate in the preliminary determination, the correct POR beginning date should have been the date of the final determination in the investigation. Thus, the Department corrected the beginning date of the POR to reflect the correct POR which is August 11, 2003, through September 30, 2004. *See Memorandum to the File from Lilit Astvatsatrian, Case Analyst, through Robert Bolling, Program Manager*, dated May 9, 2005.