# **Proposed Rules**

### Federal Register

Vol. 70, No. 104

Wednesday, June 1, 2005

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2004-19565; Directorate Identifier 2004-NM-104-AD]

### RIN 2120-AA64

## Airworthiness Directives; Gulfstream Aerospace LP Model Galaxy and **Gulfstream 200 Airplanes**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; withdrawal.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA withdraws a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) that proposed a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain Gulfstream Aerospace LP Model Galaxy and Gulfstream 200 airplanes. That action would have required inspecting for incorrect torque of the retaining bolt of the aft trunnion of the main landing gear (MLG), and for associated damage to certain components, and adjustments or repairs if necessary. Since the issuance of the NPRM, we have received new data that the identified unsafe condition has been corrected on 100% of the affected worldwide fleet. Accordingly, the NPRM is withdrawn.

ADDRESSES: You can examine the AD docket on the Internet at http:// dms.dot.gov, or in person at the Docket Management Facility office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Docket Management Facility office (telephone (800) 647–5227) is located on the plaza level of the Nassif Building at the U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street SW., room PL-401, Washington, DC. This docket number is FAA-2004-19565; the directorate identifier for this docket is 2004-NM-104-AD.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dan Rodina, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601

Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2125; fax (425) 227-1149.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Discussion

We proposed to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) with a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for a new AD for certain Gulfstream Aerospace LP Model Galaxy and Gulfstream 200 airplanes. That NPRM was published in the Federal Register on November 10, 2004 (69 FR 65095). The NPRM would have required inspecting for incorrect torque of the retaining bolt of the aft trunnion of the main landing gear (MLG), and for associated damage to certain components, and adjustments or repairs if necessary. The NPRM was prompted by a report of a rumbling sound heard by the flightcrew during takeoff, and the rumbling stopped after the MLG was retracted. The proposed actions were intended to prevent damage to the retaining bolt and bearing of the aft trunnion of the MLG, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the MLG and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane on the

## **Actions Since NPRM Was Issued**

Since the issuance of that NPRM, the airplane manufacturer has provided us with data that indicate that the identified unsafe condition (damage to the retaining bolt and bearing of the aft trunnion of the MLG, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the MLG and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane on the ground) has already been corrected on 100% of the affected worldwide fleet. The actions required by the NPRM have been accomplished on all affected airplanes; therefore, the unsafe condition no longer exists on the subject airplanes.

# **FAA's Conclusions**

Upon further consideration, we have determined that the actions that would have been required by the NPRM have already been done on all affected airplanes, and the identified unsafe condition has been corrected. Accordingly, the NPRM is withdrawn.

Withdrawal of the NPRM does not preclude the FAA from issuing another related action or commit the FAA to any course of action in the future.

### **Regulatory Impact**

Since this action only withdraws an NPRM, it is neither a proposed nor a final rule and therefore is not covered under Executive Order 12866, the Regulatory Flexibility Act, or DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979).

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

# The Withdrawal

Accordingly, we withdraw the NPRM, Docket No. FAA-2004-19565; Directorate Identifier 2004-NM-104-AD, which was published in the Federal Register on November 10, 2004 (69 FR 65095).

Issued in Renton, Washington, on May 23, 2005.

#### Ali Bahrami.

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 05-10869 Filed 5-31-05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

# 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2005-21239; Directorate Identifier 2005-CE-27-AD]

## RIN 2120-AA64

# Airworthiness Directives; Raytheon Aircraft Company, Model 390, Premier 1 Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** The FAA proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain Raytheon Aircraft Company (Raytheon), Model 390, Premier 1 airplanes. This proposed AD would require you to install a kit to correct chafing conditions in the powerplant left hand and right hand engine installations. This proposed AD results from reports of inadequate left hand and right hand engine assembly cable, wire, and hose routing clearance. We are issuing this proposed AD to detect and correct chafing conditions in the engine installation, which could result in

leaking flammable fluids near an ignition source. This failure could lead to fire damage or loss of airplane control.

**DATES:** We must receive any comments on this proposed AD by August 1, 2005. **ADDRESSES:** Use one of the following to submit comments on this proposed AD:

- DOT Docket Web site: Go to http://dms.dot.gov and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.
- Government-wide rulemaking Web site: Go to http://www.regulations.gov and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.
- Mail: Docket Management Facility; U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Nassif Building, Room PL-401, Washington, DC 20590– 001.
  - Fax: 1-202-493-2251.
- Hand Delivery: Room PL-401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

To get the service information identified in this proposed AD, contact Raytheon Aircraft Company, P.O. Box 85, Wichita, Kansas 67201–0085; telephone: (800) 429–5372 or 316–676–3140.

To view the comments to this proposed AD, go to http://dms.dot.gov. The docket number is FAA-2005-21239; Directorate Identifier 2005-CE-27-AD.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James P. Galstad, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Wichita ACO, 1801 Airport Road, Wichita, Kansas 67209; telephone: (316) 946–4135; facsimile: (316) 946–4107.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Comments Invited**

How do I comment on this proposed AD? We invite you to submit any written relevant data, views, or arguments regarding this proposal. Send your comments to an address listed under ADDRESSES. Include the docket number, "FAA-2005-21239; Directorate Identifier 2005–CE–27–AD" at the beginning of your comments. We will post all comments we receive, without change, to http://dms.dot.gov, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact with FAA personnel concerning this proposed rulemaking. Using the search

function of our docket web site, anyone can find and read the comments received into any of our dockets, including the name of the individual who sent the comment (or signed the comment on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). This is docket number FAA–2005–21239; Directorate Identifier 2005–CE–27–AD. You may review the DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–78) or you may visit <a href="http://dms.dot.gov.">http://dms.dot.gov.</a>

Are there any specific portions of this proposed AD I should pay attention to? We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this proposed AD. If you contact us through a nonwritten communication and that contact relates to a substantive part of this proposed AD, we will summarize the contact and place the summary in the docket. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this proposed AD in light of those comments and contacts.

### **Docket Information**

Where can I go to view the docket information? You may view the AD docket that contains the proposal, any comments received, and any final disposition in person at the DMS Docket Offices between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. (eastern standard time), Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Docket Office (telephone 1-800-647–5227) is located on the plaza level of the Department of Transportation NASSIF Building at the street address stated in ADDRESSES. You may also view the AD docket on the Internet at http://dms.dot.gov. The comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after the DMS receives them.

## Discussion

What events have caused this proposed AD? The FAA has received reports of chafing conditions in the powerplant left hand and right hand engine assembly cable, wire, and hose routing clearance. The incidents of chafing have been reported on airplane serial numbers: RB–20, RB–50, and RB–101.

Investigation revealed that the areas of concern include control cables, wiring harnesses, fluid and drain hoses, and support structure. Further, FAA has determined that the cause of the unsafe condition relates to the design and quality control.

Raytheon has developed a kit and service information to correct the chafing conditions.

What is the potential impact if FAA took no action? The existence of chafing conditions in the engine installation could result in leaking flammable fluids near an ignition source. This failure could lead to fire damage or loss of airplane control.

Is there service information that applies to this subject? Raytheon has issued Service Bulletin No. SB 71–3685, Issued May 2005.

What are the provisions of this service information? The service bulletin includes procedures for correcting chafing conditions in the engine installation.

# FAA's Determination and Requirements of This Proposed AD

What has FAA decided? We have evaluated all pertinent information and identified an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design. For this reason, we are proposing AD action.

What would this proposed AD require? This proposed AD would require you to incorporate the actions in the previously-referenced service bulletin.

How does the revision to 14 CFR part 39 affect this proposed AD? On July 10, 2002, we published a new version of 14 CFR part 39 (67 FR 47997, July 22, 2002), which governs FAA's AD system. This regulation now includes material that relates to altered products, special flight permits, and alternative methods of compliance. This material previously was included in each individual AD. Since this material is included in 14 CFR part 39, we will not include it in future AD actions.

## **Costs of Compliance**

How many airplanes would this proposed AD impact? We estimate that this proposed AD affects 74 airplanes in the U.S. registry.

What would be the cost impact of this proposed AD on owners/operators of the affected airplanes? We estimate the following costs to do this proposed installation of the kit to correct chafing conditions in the engine installation:

Labor cost	Parts cost	Total cost per airplane	Total cost on U.S. operators
16 work hours × \$65 = \$1,040	\$1,775	\$2,815	\$208,310

The labor and part costs are covered by Raytheon Aircraft Company warranty.

# **Authority for This Rulemaking**

What authority does FAA have for issuing this rulemaking action? Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, Section 106 describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in subtitle VII, part A, subpart III, section 44701, "General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this AD.

## **Regulatory Findings**

Would this proposed AD impact various entities? We have determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

Would this proposed AD involve a significant rule or regulatory action? For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed AD:

- 1. Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;
- 2. Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and
- 3. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a summary of the costs to comply with this proposed AD (and other information as included in the Regulatory Evaluation) and placed it in the AD Docket. You may get a copy of this summary by sending a request to us at the address listed under ADDRESSES. Include "AD Docket FAA–2005–21239; Directorate Identifier 2005–CE–27–AD" in your request.

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

## The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):

Raytheon Aircraft Company: Docket No. FAA–2005–21239; Directorate Identifier 2005–CE–27–AD

# When Is the Last Date I Can Submit Comments on This Proposed AD?

(a) We must receive comments on this proposed airworthiness directive (AD) by August 1, 2005.

# What Other ADs Are Affected by This Action?

(b) None.

## What Airplanes Are Affected by This AD?

- (c) This AD affects Model 390 Premier I airplanes that:
- (1) Incorporate a serial number of RB–1, RB–4 through RB–84, RB–87 through RB–90, RB–92 through RB–96, RB–98 through RB– 101, and RB–103 through RB–107; and
  - (2) Are certificated in any category.

# What Is the Unsafe Condition Presented in This AD?

(d) This AD is the result of reports of inadequate left hand and right hand engine assembly cable, wire, and hose routing clearance. The actions specified in this AD are intended to detect and correct chafing conditions in the engine installation, which could result in leaking flammable fluids near an ignition source. This failure could lead to fire damage or loss of airplane control.

### What Must I Do To Address This Problem?

(e) To address this problem, you must do the following:

Actions	Compliance	Procedures	
Install Kit No. 390–9104–0001 to correct chafing conditions in the powerplant left hand and right hand engine assembly cable, wire, and hose routing clearance.	At the first 100 hour or annual inspection that occurs following the next 30 days after the effective date of this AD, unless already done.	Follow Raytheon Aircraft Company Mandatory Service Bulletin No. SB 71–3685, Issued May 2005.	

# May I Request an Alternative Method of Compliance?

(f) You may request a different method of compliance or a different compliance time for this AD by following the procedures in 14 CFR 39.19. Unless FAA authorizes otherwise, send your request to your principal inspector. The principal inspector may add comments and will send your request to the Manager, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA. For information on any already approved alternative methods of compliance, contact James P. Galstad, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Wichita ACO, 1801 Airport Road, Wichita, Kansas 67209; telephone: (316) 946–4135; facsimile: (316) 946–4107.

# May I Get Copies of the Documents Referenced in This AD?

(g) To get copies of the documents referenced in this AD, contact Raytheon

Aircraft Company, P.O. Box 85, Wichita, Kansas 67201–0085; telephone: (800) 429–5372 or 316–676–3140 or 316–676–3140. To view the AD docket, go to the Docket Management Facility; U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Nassif Building, Room PL–401, Washington, DC, or on the Internet at <a href="http://dms.dot.gov">http://dms.dot.gov</a>. The docket number is Docket No. FAA–2005–21239; Directorate Identifier 2005–CE–27–AD.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on May 24, 2005.

# David R. Showers,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 05–10865 Filed 5–31–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2005-20501; Directorate Identifier 2004-NM-251-ADI

# RIN 2120-AA64

# Airworthiness Directives; Empresa Brasileira de Aeronautica S.A. (EMBRAER) Model ERJ 170 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; withdrawal.