

When the Commission completes a study of a river basin, it determines headwater benefits charges that will be apportioned among the various downstream beneficiaries. A headwater benefits charge, and the cost incurred by the Commission to complete an evaluation are paid by downstream hydropower project owners. In essence, the owners of non-federal hydropower projects that directly benefit from a headwater(s) improvement must pay an

equitable portion of the annual charges for interest, maintenance, and depreciation of the headwater project to the U.S. Treasury. The regulations provide for apportionment of these costs between the headwater project and downstream projects based on downstream energy gains and propose equitable apportionment methodology that can be applied to all rivers basins in which headwater improvements are built. The data the Commission requires

owners of non-federal hydropower projects to file for determining annual charges is specified in 18 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 11.

*Action:* The Commission is requesting a three-year extension of the current expiration date, with no changes to the existing collection of data.

*Burden Statement:* Public reporting burden for this collection is estimated as:

Number of respondents annually (1)	Number of responses per respondent (2)	Average burden hours per response (3)	Total annual burden hours (1)×(2)×(3)
3 .....	1	40	120

Estimated cost burden to respondents is \$6,262.00. (120 hours/2080 hours per year times \$108,558 per year average per employee = \$ 6,263). The cost per respondent is \$191.00.

The reporting burden includes the total time, effort, or financial resources expended to generate, maintain, retain, disclose, or provide the information including: (1) Reviewing instructions; (2) developing, acquiring, installing, and utilizing technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, verifying, processing, maintaining, disclosing and providing information; (3) adjusting the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; (4) training personnel to respond to a collection of information; (5) searching data sources; (6) completing and reviewing the collection of information; and (7) transmitting, or otherwise disclosing the information.

The estimate of cost for respondents is based upon salaries for professional and clerical support, as well as direct and indirect overhead costs. Direct costs include all costs directly attributable to providing this information, such as administrative costs and the cost for information technology. Indirect or overhead costs are costs incurred by an organization in support of its mission. These costs apply to activities which benefit the whole organization rather than any one particular function or activity.

Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility and

clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

**Linda Mitry,**  
*Deputy Secretary.*  
[FR Doc. E5-1298 Filed 3-24-05; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

**[Docket Nos. ER05-283-000, ER05-283-001 and ER05-283-002]**

**JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.; Notice of Issuance of Order**

March 18, 2005.

JPMorgan Chase, N.A. (JPMorgan) filed an application for market-based rate authority, with an accompanying rate schedule. The proposed rate schedule provides for wholesale sales of energy, capacity and ancillary services at market-based rates. JPMorgan also requested waiver of various Commission regulations. In particular, JPMorgan requested that the Commission grant blanket approval under 18 CFR part 34 of all future issuances of securities and assumptions of liability by JPMorgan.

On March 17, 2005, the Commission granted the request for blanket approval under part 34, subject to the following:

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest the blanket approval of issuances of securities or assumptions of liability by JPMorgan should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,

888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. 18 CFR 385.211, 385.214 (2004).

Notice is hereby given that the deadline for filing motions to intervene or protest, is April 18, 2005.

Absent a request to be heard in opposition by the deadline above, JPMorgan is authorized to issue securities and assume obligations or liabilities as a guarantor, indorser, surety, or otherwise in respect of any security of another person; provided that such issuance or assumption is for some lawful object within the corporate purposes of JPMorgan, compatible with the public interest, and is reasonably necessary or appropriate for such purposes.

The Commission reserves the right to require a further showing that neither public nor private interests will be adversely affected by continued approval of JPMorgan's issuances of securities or assumptions of liability.

Copies of the full text of the Commission's Order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Room, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. The Order may also be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the eLibrary link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number filed to access the document. Comments, protests, and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The

Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

**Linda Mitry,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E5-1299 Filed 3-24-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER04-230-009, et al.]

#### New York Independent System Operator, Inc., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Filings

March 18, 2005.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

##### 1. New York Independent System Operator, Inc.

[Docket No. ER04-230-009]

Take notice that on March 9, 2005, the New York Independent Operator, Inc. (NYISO) submitted a request for waiver of tariff provisions as needed to enable the NYISO to correct errors in price determinations resulting from certain problems encountered in the implementation of the NYISO's Standard Market Design version 2 software. The NYISO has requested expedited action.

*Comment Date:* 5 p.m. eastern time on March 28, 2005.

##### 2. PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

[Docket No. ER05-626-001]

Take notice that on March 4, 2005, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (PJM) submitted a clarification to its February 18, 2005, filing submitting revised tariff sheets in compliance with the Order No. 2003-B issued December 20, 2004, *Standardization of Generator Interconnection Agreements and Procedures*, 109 FERC ¶ 61,287 (2004). PJM states that the revised tariff sheets should have an effective date of January 19, 2005, not February 18, 2005 as previously stated in the notice issued February 24, 2005 in Docket No. ER05-626-000.

*Comment Date:* 5 p.m. eastern time on March 25, 2005.

##### 3. Premcor Power Marketing LLC

[Docket No. ER05-680-000]

Take notice that on March 4, 2005, Premcor Power Marketing LLC (Premcor Power) submitted for filing a petition for acceptance of its initial rate schedule and the grant of certain blanket

approvals, including the authority to sell electricity at market-based rates, and the grant of waiver of certain Commission regulations. Premcor Power states that it intends to engage in wholesale electric power and energy purchases and sales as a marketer. Premcor Power further states it is not engaged in the business of generating or transmitting electric power.

*Comment Date:* 5 p.m. eastern time on March 25, 2005.

#### Standard Paragraph

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a notice of intervention or motion to intervene, as appropriate. Such notices, motions, or protests must be filed on or before the comment date. Anyone filing a motion to intervene or protest must serve a copy of that document on the Applicant and all parties to this proceeding.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at <http://www.ferc.gov>. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov), or call (866) 208-3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502-8659.

**Linda Mitry,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E5-1302 Filed 3-24-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6661-8]

### Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act, as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in the **Federal Register** dated April 2, 2004 (69 FR 17403).

#### Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-F65048-WI Rating EC2, Lakewood/Laona Plantation Thinning Project, To Implement Vegetation Management Activities, Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forest, Lakewood Ranger District, Forest, Langlade, and Oconto Counties, WI.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns about the proposed project's cumulative and indirect impacts.

ERP No. D-COE-B09801-MA Rating 3, Cape Wind Energy Project, Construct and Operate 130 Wind Turbine Generators on Horseshoe Shoal in Nantucket Sound, MA.

*Summary:* EPA commented that the information and analysis provided in the Draft EIS was inadequate, noting that the DEIS does not provide enough information to fully characterize baseline environmental conditions, the environmental impacts of the project, and alternatives that avoid or minimize those impacts.

ERP No. D-COE-G32057-TX Rating LO, Cedar Bayou Navigation Channel (CBNC) Improvements Project, Implementation, Near Baytown in Harris and Chambers Counties, TX.

*Summary:* EPA had no objections to the proposed alternative.

ERP No. D-SFW-K99034-CA Rating EC2, Coachella Valley Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP), Santa Rosa and San Jacinto Mountains Trails Plan, Issuance of Incidental Take Permits, Riverside County, CA.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns about water and air quality impacts, and requested additional information regarding consultation with tribal governments, environmental justice issues, enforcement of the Plan, and impacts to cultural resources and migratory birds.