

351.218(d)(1)(I). The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(c) of the Act, as U.S. manufacturers of glycine, and sections 771(9)(E) and (F) of the Act, as a trade or business association of domestic manufacturers of glycine whose members are engaged in the production of glycine in the United States. The Department received a complete substantive response from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). However, the Department did not receive any responses from the respondent interested parties to this proceeding. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted an expedited sunset review of this antidumping duty order.

#### Scope of the Order

The product covered by the order is glycine, which is a free-flowing crystalline material, like salt or sugar. Glycine is produced at varying levels of purity and is used as a sweetener/taste enhancer, a buffering agent, reabsorbable amino acid, chemical intermediate, and a metal complexing agent. This order covers glycine of all purity levels. Glycine is currently classified under subheading 2922.49.4020 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). In a separate scope ruling, the Department determined that D(-) Phenylglycine Ethyl Dane Salt is outside the scope of the order. *See Notice of Scope Rulings*, 62 FR 62288 (November 21, 1997). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under the order is dispositive.

#### Analysis of Comments Received:

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum ("Decision Memorandum") from Barbara E. Tillman, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration, to Joseph A. Spetrini, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated September 29, 2005, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the accompanying Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping were the order revoked and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the

Central Records Unit, room B-099 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

#### Final Results of Review

The Department determines that revocation of the antidumping duty order on glycine from the People's Republic of China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the rates listed below:

Producers/Exporters	Weighted-Average Margin (percent)
Baoding Mantong Fine Chemistry Co., Ltd. ...	155.89
Nantong Dongchang Chemical Industry Corp. ....	155.89
PRC-wide rate .....	155.89

#### Notification regarding Administrative Protective Order:

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing the results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 29, 2005.

**Barbara E. Tillman,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E5-5461 Filed 10-4-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

**A-580-839**

#### **Certain Polyester Staple Fiber from the Republic of Korea: Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce is extending the time limit for the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain polyester staple fiber from the Republic of Korea. The period of review is May 1, 2003, through April 30, 2004. This extension is made pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 5, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Andrew McAllister or Yasmin Bordas, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1174 or (202) 482-3813, respectively.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### **Background**

On June 6, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain polyester staple fiber from the Republic of Korea covering the period May 1, 2003, through April 30, 2004 (70 FR 32756). The final results for the antidumping duty administrative review of certain polyester staple fiber from the Republic of Korea are currently due no later than October 4, 2005.

#### **Extension of Time Limits for Final Results**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("the Act"), requires the Department to issue the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an antidumping duty order for which a review is requested and issue the final results within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend these deadlines to a maximum of 365 days and 180 days, respectively.

Because the Department requires additional time to review and analyze the comments submitted by interested parties regarding complex physical characteristic codes of control numbers, it is not practicable to complete this review within the originally anticipated time limit (*i.e.*, by October 4, 2005). Accordingly, the Department is extending the time limit for completion

of the final results to no later than December 5, 2005, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 29, 2005.

**Barbara E. Tillman,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E5-5459 Filed 10-4-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE: 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

(A-570-506, A-583-508)

#### Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware from the People's Republic of China and Taiwan; Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping Duty Orders; Final Results

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On March 1, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty orders on porcelain-on-steel cooking ware from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") and Taiwan, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, ("the Act"). On the basis of the notice of intent to participate and adequate substantive responses filed on behalf of the domestic interested parties, and inadequate responses from respondent interested parties, the Department conducted expedited sunset reviews. As a result of these sunset reviews, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Reviews."

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 5, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Maureen Flannery, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC, 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3020.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### Background

On March 1, 2005, the Department initiated sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on porcelain-on-steel cooking ware from the PRC and Taiwan pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. *See Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 70 FR 9919 (March

1, 2005). The Department received notices of intent to participate from a domestic interested party, Columbian Home Products, LLC ("Columbian"), within the deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the Department's regulations. Columbian claimed interested party status pursuant to section 771(9)(C) of the Act as a U.S. producer of the domestic like product. We received a submission from the domestic interested party within the 30-day deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(3)(I) of the Department's regulations. However, we did not receive submissions from any respondent interested parties. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and section 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2) of the Department's regulations, the Department conducted expedited sunset reviews of these orders.

#### Scope of the Orders

##### PRC

The merchandise covered by this order is porcelain-on-steel cooking ware from the PRC, including tea kettles, which do not have self-contained electric heating elements. All of the foregoing are constructed of steel and are enameled or glazed with vitreous glasses. The merchandise is currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule ("HTS") item 7323.94.00. HTS items numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope remains dispositive.

In response to a request from CGS International, on January 30, 1991, the Department clarified that high quality, hand finished cookware, including the small basin, medium basin, large basin, small colander, large colander, 8" bowl, 6" bowl, mugs, ash tray, napkin rings, utensil holder and utensils, ladle, cream & sugar, and mixing bowls are properly considered kitchen ware and are, therefore, outside the scope of the order. Further, the Department clarified that CGS International's casserole, 12-cup coffee pot, 6-cup coffee pot, roasting pan, oval roaster, and butter warmer are within the scope of the order (*see Notice of Scope Rulings*, 56 FR 19833 (April 30, 1991)).

In response to a request from Texpot, on August 8, 1990, the Department determined that camping sets, with the exception of the cups and plates included in those sets, are within the scope of the order (*see Notice of Scope Rulings*, 55 FR 43020 (October 25, 1990)).

On March 8, 2000, Tristar Products' grill set with aluminum grill plate was

found to be outside the scope of the order (*see Notice of Scope Rulings*, 65 FR 41957 (July 7, 2000)).

On October 29, 2003, Target Corporation's certain enamel-clad beverage holders and dispensers were found to be outside the scope of the order (*see Notice of Scope Rulings*, 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005)).

On January 4, 2005, Taybek International's Pro Popper professional popcorn popper was found to be within the scope of the order (*see Notice of Scope Rulings*, 70 FR 41374 (July 19, 2005)).

##### Taiwan

The merchandise covered by this order is porcelain-on-steel cooking ware from Taiwan that do not have self-contained electric heating elements. All of the foregoing are constructed of steel and are enameled or glazed with vitreous glasses. Kitchen ware and teakettles are not subject to this order. The merchandise is currently classifiable under the HTS item number 7323.94.00. The HTS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope remains dispositive.

On August 23, 1990, in response to a request from RSVP, BBQ grill baskets were found to be outside the scope of the order (*see Notice of Scope Rulings*, 55 FR 43020 (October 25, 1990)).

On September 3, 1992, in response to a request from Mr. Stove Ltd., stove top grills and drip pans were found to be outside the scope of the order (*see Notice of Scope Rulings*, 57 FR 57420 (December 4, 1992)).

On September 25, 1992, in response to a request from Metrokane Inc., the "Pasta Time" pasta cooker was found to be within the scope of the order (*see Notice of Scope Rulings*, 57 FR 57420 (December 4, 1992)).

On August 18, 1995, Blair Corporation's Blair cooking ware items #1101 (seven piece cookware set), #271911 (eight-quart stock pot), and #271921 (twelve-quart stock pot) were found to be outside the scope of the order (*see Notice of Scope Rulings*, 60 FR 54213 (October 20, 1995)).

On October 30, 1996, Cost Plus, Inc.'s 10 piece porcelain-on-steel fondue set was found to be within the scope of the order (*see Notice of Scope Rulings*, 62 FR 9176 (February 28, 1997)).

#### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in these cases are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" from Barbara E. Tillman, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to Holly A. Kuga, Acting Assistant Secretary for