

Antidumping Duty Proceedings	Period to be Reviewed
Zhangqui Qingyuan Vegetable Co., Ltd. Zhengzhou Harmoni Spice Co., Ltd.. Countervailing Duty Proceedings. None.. Suspension Agreements. UKRAINE: Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate. A-823-808 OJSC Alchevsk Iron and Steel Works. Dnepropetrovsk Iron and Steel Works. OPSC Dneprovsky Iron and Steel Integrated Works (named after F.E. Dzherzhinsky (OPSC DMKD)). Azovstal Iron & Steel Works. JSC Ilyich Iron & Steel Works, Mariupol.	11/1/04 - 10/31/05

¹ Company inadvertently omitted from initiation notice that published December 1, 2005 (70 FR 72107)

² If one of the above-named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate from the People's Republic of China who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part

³ If one of the above-named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from the People's Republic of China who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part

⁴ If one of the above-named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

During any administrative review covering all or part of a period falling between the first and second or third and fourth anniversary of the publication of an antidumping duty order under section 351.211 or a determination under section 351.218(f)(4) to continue an order or suspended investigation (after sunset review), the Secretary, if requested by a domestic interested party within 30 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the review, will determine, consistent with *FAG Italia v. United States*, 291 F.3d 806 (Fed. Cir. 2002), as appropriate, whether antidumping duties have been absorbed by an exporter or producer subject to the review if the subject merchandise is sold in the United States through an importer that is affiliated with such exporter or producer. The request must include the name(s) of the exporter or producer for which the inquiry is requested.

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305.

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 USC 1675(a)), and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: December 16, 2005.

Thomas F. Futtner,

*Acting Office Director, AD/CVD Operations
Office 4 for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E5-7712 Filed 12-21-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-475-703, A-588-707]

Continuation of Antidumping Duty Orders on Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from Italy and Japan

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.
SUMMARY: As a result of the determinations by the Department of Commerce ("the Department") and the International Trade Commission ("ITC") that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on granular polytetrafluoroethylene resin ("PTFE Resin") from Italy and Japan would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, and to material injury to an industry in the United States, the Department is publishing notice of continuation of these antidumping duty orders.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 22, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Martha V. Douthit or Dana Mermelstein, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5050 or (202) 482-1391, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 1, 2004, the Department initiated and the ITC instituted sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on PTFE Resin from Italy and Japan

pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act").¹

As a result of its review, the Department found that revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, and notified the ITC of the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail were the order to be revoked.² On December 8, 2005, the ITC determined, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on PTFE Resin from Italy and Japan would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.³

Scope of the Orders

Italy (A-475-703)

The merchandise covered by this order is PTFE Resin, filled or unfilled, from Italy. The antidumping duty order also covers PTFE Resin wet raw polymer exported from Italy to the United States. See *Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin From Italy; Final Determination of Circumvention of Antidumping Duty Order*, 58 FR 26100 (April 30, 1993). This order excludes PTFE dispersions in water and fine powders. The subject merchandise is classified under subheading 3904.61.00 of the

¹ See *Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 69 FR 69891 (December 1, 2004), and ITC *Investigation Nos. 731-TA-385 and 386 (Second Review)*, 69 FR 69954 (December 1, 2004).

² See *Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from Italy and Japan; Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping Duty Orders; Final Results*, 70 FR 38872 (July 6, 2005).

³ See *Investigation Nos. 731-TA-385 and 386 (Second Review)*, 70 FR 73026 (December 8, 2005).

Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTS”).

Japan (A-588-707)

The merchandise covered by this order is PTFE Resin, filled or unfilled, from Japan. PTFE Resin dispersions in water and PTFE Resin fine powders are excluded from the order. The merchandise covered by this antidumping duty order is currently classifiable under subheading 3904.61.00 of the HTS.

Determinations

As a result of the determinations by the Department and the ITC that revocation of these antidumping duty orders would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, and to material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders the continuation of the antidumping duty orders on PTFE Resin from Italy and Japan.

U.S. Customs and Border Protection will continue to collect antidumping duty cash deposits at the rates in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise.

The effective date of continuation of these orders will be the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this “Notice of Continuation.” Pursuant to sections 751(c)(2) and 751(c)(6)(A) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year reviews of these orders not later than November 2010.

These five-year (sunset) reviews and this notice are published in accordance with sections 751(c) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 15, 2005.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5-7710 Filed 12-21-05; 8:45 am]

Billing Code: 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-506]

Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware from the People’s Republic of China: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (“the Department”) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on porcelain-

on-steel cooking ware from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”). The Department has preliminarily determined that Shanghai Watex Metal Products Co. Ltd. (“Watex”), the only respondent in this review, is not entitled to a separate rate. In addition, the Department has determined to apply adverse facts available to Watex. If these preliminary results are adopted in the final results of this review, the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) to assess antidumping duties on entries of subject merchandise during the period of review (“POR”). Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. See the “Preliminary Results of Review” section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 22, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: P. Lee Smith or Scot Fullerton, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1655 or (202) 482-1386, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In response to a request from Columbian Home Products, LLC (“petitioner”), the Department of Commerce (the “Department”) initiated an administrative review of Shanghai Watex Metal Products Co., Ltd.’s (“Watex”) exports of merchandise covered by the antidumping duty order on porcelain-on-steel cooking ware from the PRC. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 70 FR 4818 (January 31, 2005) (“Initiation Notice”).

On February 3, 2005, the Department issued its antidumping duty questionnaire to Watex, and received the company’s response to section A on February 24, 2005, and sections C and D on March 14, 2005. The Department issued additional supplemental questionnaires to Watex and received responses on April 11, May 23, July 19, September 12, and October 5, 2005.

The Department conducted verification of Watex’s questionnaire responses from October 24 to October 26, 2005. See “Verification Report for Shanghai Watex Metal Co., Ltd.,” dated December 12, 2005 (“Watex Verification Report”). The Department conducted verification of Watex’s questionnaire responses regarding its producer Shanghai Ping An Enamel Products Co. (“Ping An”), from October 26 to October 28, 2005. See “Verification Report for

Shanghai Ping An Enamel Products Co.,” dated December 12, 2005 (“Ping An Verification Report”). On December 13, 2005, petitioner submitted comments on the Department’s verification reports.

Period of Review

The POR is December 1, 2003, through November 30, 2004.

Scope of Order

The merchandise covered by this order is porcelain-on-steel cooking ware from the PRC, including tea kettles, which do not have self-contained electric heating elements. All of the foregoing are constructed of steel and are enameled or glazed with vitreous glasses. The merchandise is currently classifiable under the United States Harmonized Tariff Schedule (“USHTS”) item 7323.94.00. USHTS item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope remains dispositive.

Non-Market Economy

In every case conducted by the Department involving the PRC, the PRC has been treated as a non-market economy (“NME”). Pursuant to section 771(18)(C)(i) of Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), any determination that a foreign country is an NME country shall remain in effect until revoked by the administering authority. See *Fresh Garlic from the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Rescission in Part*, 69 FR 70638 (December 7, 2004). None of the parties to this proceeding has contested such treatment. Accordingly, we calculated normal value (“NV”) in accordance with section 773(c) of the Act, which applies to NME countries.

Surrogate Country

On April 15, 2005, the Department provided interested parties the opportunity to submit comments regarding the selection of a surrogate country and factor valuation in these preliminary results. On July 1, 2005, Watex submitted publicly available information for factor valuation. In its submission, Watex included publicly available Indonesian import statistics obtained from the World Trade Atlas. On May 6, 2005, petitioner submitted publicly available information for surrogate country selection. In its submission, petitioner argued that India should be selected as the surrogate country in this review because India is at a comparable level of economic development to the PRC, a significant