

the requirements of the Export Administration Regulations (EAR), 15 CFR Parts 730–774 (2003), and should communicate the appropriate Export Control Classification Number (ECCN) or other classification information for each export to the Trade Facilitator/Freight Forwarder and the end-user involved in that export (even if the shipment is made under an EAR License Exception). Each Company involved in the transaction should also maintain a record of such classification for every export.

7. A Company should screen all parties to the transaction against all relevant lists (such as the Denied Persons List, Unverified List, Entities List, and lists of U.S. Government-sanctioned parties), and should maintain a record of such screening.

8. A Company should screen all exports/re-exports against a list of embargoed destinations, and should maintain a record of such screening.

Compliance Activities: Transshipment Hub²-Specific

9. With respect to transactions to, from, or through transshipment hubs, Exporters/Re-Exporters should take appropriate steps to know who the end-user is and to determine whether the item will be re-exported or incorporated in an item to be re-exported. An Exporter/Re-Exporter of a dual-use item under license should inform the end-user, distributor, or other appropriate recipient of the item of the license terms and conditions for such export.

10. With respect to transactions to, from, or through transshipment hubs, Companies should have in place compliance and/or business procedures to be immediately responsive to theft or unauthorized delivery. This include procedures—including documented confirmation—to ensure that the item exported has reached the proper end-user.

11. With respect to transactions to, from, or through transshipment hubs, Companies should pay heightened attention to the Red Flag Indicators on the BIS Web site (*see* <http://www.bis.doc.gov/Enforcement/redflags.htm>) and in the “Know Your Customer Guidance” set forth in Supplement 3 to part 732 of the EAR.

Responding to Suspicious Transactions

12. When a Company encounters a suspicious transaction, it should halt the shipment and consult with its export control compliance specialist. If

the transaction is determined to involve a potential or actual violation of the EAR, the Company should contact BIS or another U.S. law enforcement agency immediately and maintain all relevant records.

Request for Comments

Parties submitting comments are asked to be as specific as possible. BIS encourages interested persons who wish to comment to do so at the earliest possible time. The period for submission of comments will close June 16, 2003. BIS will consider comments on any aspect or consequence of any part or all of this proposal. Comments received after the end of the comment period will be considered if possible, but their consideration cannot be assured. BIS will not accept comments accompanied by a request that a part or all of the material be treated confidentially because of its business proprietary nature or for any other reason. BIS will return such comments and materials to the persons submitting them and will not consider them in developing any final “Best Practices” document that it may publish. All comments on this proposal will be a matter of public record and will be available for public inspection and copying. All comments must be submitted in writing (including facsimile or e-mail).

The public record concerning these comments will be maintained in the Bureau of Industry and Security, Office of Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 6883, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; (202) 482–0637. This component does not maintain a separate public inspection facility. Requesters should first view BIS’s FOIA website (which can be reached through <http://www.bis.doc.gov/foia>). If the records sought cannot be located at this site, or if the requester does not have access to a computer, please call the phone number above for assistance.

Kenneth I. Juster,

Under Secretary for Industry and Security.

[FR Doc. 03–12265 Filed 5–15–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–33–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Industry and Security

[Docket No. 030509121–3121–01]

Addition of Persons to Unverified List—Guidance as to “Red Flags” Under Supplement No. 3 to 15 CFR Part 732

AGENCY: Bureau of Industry and Security, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: On June 14, 2002, the Bureau of Industry and Security (“BIS”) published a notice in the **Federal Register** that set forth a list of persons in foreign countries who were parties to past export transactions where pre-license checks (“PLC”) or post-shipment verifications (“PSV”) could not be conducted for reasons outside the control of the U.S. Government (“Unverified List”). This notice also advised exporters that the involvement of a listed person as a party to a proposed transaction constitutes a “red flag” as described in the guidance set forth in Supplement No. 3 to 15 CFR part 732, requiring heightened scrutiny by the exporter before proceeding with such a transaction. The notice also stated that, when warranted, BIS would add persons to the Unverified List. This notice adds Lucktrade International PTE Ltd. and Peluang Teguh which are located in Singapore, and Lucktrade International which is located in Hong Kong to the Unverified List.

DATES: This notice is effective May 16, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas W. Andrukonis, Office of Enforcement Analysis, Bureau of Industry and Security, Telephone: (202) 482–4255.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In administering export controls under the Export Administration Regulations (15 CFR parts 730 to 774) (“EAR”), BIS carries out a number of preventive enforcement activities with respect to individual export transactions. Such activities are intended to assess diversion risks, identify potential violations, verify end-uses, and determine the suitability of end-users to receive U.S. commodities or technology. In carrying out these activities, BIS officials, or officials of other federal agencies acting on BIS’s behalf, selectively conduct PLCs to verify the bona fides of the transaction and the suitability of the end-user or ultimate consignee. In addition, such officials sometimes carry out PSVs to ensure that U.S. exports have actually been

²DOC’s TECI has focused its efforts on the following transshipment hubs: Cyprus, Hong Kong, Malaysia, Malta, Panama, Singapore, Taiwan, Thailand, and the United Arab Emirates.

delivered to the authorized end-user, are being used in a manner consistent with the terms of a license or license exception, and are otherwise consistent with the EAR.

In certain instances BIS officials, or other federal officials acting on BIS's behalf, have been unable to perform a PLC or PSV with respect to certain export control transactions for reasons outside the control of the U.S. Government (including a lack of cooperation by the host government authority, the end-user, or the ultimate consignee). In a notice issued on June 14, 2002 (67 FR 40910), BIS set forth an Unverified List of certain foreign end-users and consignees involved in such transactions.

The June 14 notice also advised exporters that participation of a person on the Unverified List in a proposed transaction will be considered by BIS to raise a "red flag" under the "Know Your Customer" guidance set forth in Supplement No. 3 to 15 CFR part 732 of the EAR. Under that guidance, whenever there is a "red flag," exporters have an affirmative duty to inquire, verify, or otherwise substantiate the proposed transaction to satisfy themselves that the transaction does not

involve a proliferation activity prohibited in 15 CFR part 744, and does not violate the EAR.

The **Federal Register** notice further stated that BIS may periodically add persons to the Unverified List based on the criteria set forth above, and remove names of persons from the Unverified List when warranted. BIS has attempted, and was unable to conduct, a PSV in transactions involving the following persons:

Lucktrade International PTE Ltd., 35 Tannery Road #01-07 Tannery Block, Ruby Industrial Complex, Singapore 347740.

Pelug Teguh, 203 Henderson Road #09-05H, Henderson Industrial Park, Singapore.

Lucktrade International, P.O. Box 91150, Tsim Sha Tsui, Hong Kong.

This notice advises exporters that Lucktrade International PTE Ltd.; Pelug Teguh; and Lucktrade International are added to the Unverified List, and a "red flag" now exists for transactions involving these persons due to their inclusion on the Unverified List. As a result, exporters have an affirmative duty to inquire, verify, or otherwise substantiate the proposed transaction to satisfy

themselves that the transaction does not involve a proliferation activity prohibited in 15 CFR part 744, and does not violate the EAR.

The Unverified List, as modified by this notice, is set forth below.

Dated: May 12, 2003.

Thomas W. Andrukonis,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Export Enforcement.

Unverified List

(as of May 16, 2003)

The Unverified List includes names and countries of foreign persons who in the past were parties to a transaction with respect to which BIS could not conduct a pre-license check ("PLC") or a post-shipment verification ("PSV") for reasons outside of the U.S. Government's control. Any transaction to which a listed person is a party will be deemed by BIS to raise a "red flag" with respect to such transaction within the meaning of the guidance set forth in Supplement No. 3 to 15 CFR part 732. The "red flag" applies to the person on the Unverified List regardless of where the person is located in the country included on the list.

Name	Country	Last known address
Lucktrade International	Hong Kong Special Administrative Region.	P.O. Box 91150, Tsim Sha Tsui, Hong Kong.
Brilliant Intervest	Malaysia	14-1, Persian 65C, Jalan Pahang Barat, Kuala Lumpur, 53000.
Dee Communications M SDN, BHD	Malaysia	G5/G6, Ground Floor, Jin Gereja, Johor Bahru.
Shaanxi Telecom Measuring Station.	People's Republic of China	39 Jixiang Road, Yanta District Xian, Shaanxi.
Yunma Aircraft Mfg.	People's Republic of China	Yaopu Anshun, Guizhou.
Civil Airport Construction Corporation.	People's Republic of China	111 Bei Sihuan Str. East Chao Yang District, Beijing.
Power Test & Research Institute of Guangzhou..	People's Republic of China	No. 38 East Huangshi Road, Guangzhou.
Beijing San Zhong Electronic Equipment Engineer Co., Ltd.	People's Republic of China	Hai Dian Fu Yuau Men Hao 1 Hao, Beijing.
Huabei Petroleum Administration Bureau Logging Company.	People's Republic of China	South Yanshan Road Ren Qiu City, Hebei.
Daqing Production Logging Institute	People's Republic of China	No. 3 Fengshou Village Sartu District Daqing City, Heilongjiang.
Peluang Teguh	Singapore	203 Henderson Road, #09-05H, Henderson Industrial Park, Singapore.
Lucktrade International PTE Ltd	Singapore	35 Tannery Road, #01-07 Tannery Block, Ruby Industrial Complex, Singapore 347740.
Arrow Electronics Industries	United Arab Emirates	204 Arbift Tower, Benyas Road, Dubai.

[FR Doc. 03-12266 Filed 5-15-03; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-33-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-829]

Stainless Steel Wire Rod from South Korea: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 16, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Karine Gziryan, Jeffrey Pedersen or Crystal Scherr Crittenden, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4081, (202) 482-2747, or (202) 482-0989, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

TIME LIMITS:

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department of Commerce (the Department) to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order or finding for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the 245-day time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days and the time limit for the final determination to 180 days (or 300 days if the Department does not extend the time limit for the preliminary determination) from the date of publication of the preliminary determination.

Background

On October 24, 2002, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel wire rod from South Korea, covering the period September 1, 2001, through August 31, 2002. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 67 FR 65336 (October 24, 2002). The

preliminary results are currently due no later than June 2, 2003.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the original time limit. Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results until no later than September 30, 2003. See Decision Memorandum from Thomas F. Futtner to Holly A. Kuga, dated concurrently with this notice, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the Department's main building. We intend to issue the final results no later than 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results notice.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: May 9, 2003.

Holly A. Kuga,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group II.

[FR Doc. 03-12312 Filed 5-15-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be filed within 20 days with the Statutory Import Programs Staff, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Suite 4100W, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 03-021.

Applicant: University of Colorado, JILA, UCB 440, JILA Building, Room S/175, Boulder, CO 80309.

Instrument: YAG Laser and Intensity Noise Eater.

Manufacturer: InnoLight GmbH, Germany.

Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used to study gases of the alkalis potassium and rubidium.

Experiments to be conducted will involve optically trapping and manipulating the ultracold gases using light from the laser for understanding metals, insulators, and superconductors and the phase transitions between them.

Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: April 23, 2003.

Docket Number: 03-022.

Applicant: University of California, Berkeley, Physics Department, 366 Le Conte Hall, #7300, Berkeley, CA 94720-7300.

Instrument: Low Temperature UHV Scanning Tunneling Microscope.

Manufacturer: Omicron Vakuumphysik GmbH, Germany.

Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used to study magnetic nanostructures at metal and semiconductor surfaces. One of the main goals is to determine if magnetic nanostructures are suitable for use as "quantum bits" in a quantum computer (qubits) and if it is possible to detect and control the quantum states of a single spin center, and determine its level of quantum decoherence.

Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: April 29, 2003.

Gerald A. Zerdy,

Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 03-12310 Filed 5-15-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

University of Wisconsin—Eau Claire; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Suite 4100W, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 03-016.

Applicant: University of Wisconsin—Eau Claire, Eau Claire, WI 54701.

Instrument: Automatic Fusion Machine, Model AutoFluxer 4.

Manufacturer: Breitlander Eichproben und Labormaterial GmbH, Germany.

Intended Use: See notice at 68 FR 16472, April 4, 2003.

Comments: None received.

Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign