

Memorandum

U.S. Department of Transportation

Office of the Secretary of Transportation

Office of Inspector General

Subject: <u>INFORMATION</u>: Airline Industry Metrics

Date: January 7, 2003

From: Kenneth M. Mead

Inspector General

Reply to Attn of: JA-50 x69970

To: The Secretary

Deputy Secretary

Chief of Staff

Associate Deputy Secretary

Assistant Secretary for Aviation

and International Affairs

Assistant Secretary for Transportation Policy

Federal Aviation Administrator

Director, Bureau of Transportation Statistics

Attached is the third in a series of periodic updates to our airline industry metrics. These metrics were developed for monitoring airline industry trends relating to domestic system demand and capacity, performance, finances, and air service at small airports.

If you have any questions or if I can be of further assistance, please feel free to contact me at (202) 366-1959, or Mark R. Dayton, Assistant Inspector General for Competition and Economic Analysis, at (202) 366-9970.

Attachment

AIRLINE INDUSTRY METRICS

Trends on Demand and Capacity,
Aviation System Performance,
Airline Finances, and Service to Small Airports

Number: CC-2003-007

Date Issued: January 7, 2003

SUMMARY OF AIRLINE INDUSTRY METRICS

This is the third in a series of periodic updates to our airline industry metrics. As a result of the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks and the downturn in business travel that has persisted since early last year, the airline industry is facing its greatest challenge since deregulation.

Based on data obtained from the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), and Air Transport Association (ATA), the Office of Inspector General has developed 30 metrics (see Figures 1 through 30, pages 5 through 8) for monitoring airline industry trends relating to domestic system demand and capacity, performance, finances, and air service at small airports.¹ Although subject to change, these metrics provide decisionmakers with past, present, and future indicators of domestic service levels and general state of the airline industry.

I. Air Service Demand and Capacity

- ✓ <u>AIR TRAFFIC DEMAND</u>: The September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks, combined with a cut-back in business travel, had a major, and perhaps, long-lasting impact on air traffic demand. Although air travelers had begun to return from the sharp decline following September 11, the numbers appear to be softening again. Overall, domestic enplanements were down nearly 18 percent in November 2002 from November 2000. [Figure 1]
- ✓ <u>CAPACITY VERSUS DEMAND</u>: Actual domestic capacity as measured in available seat miles (ASMs) tended to return to pre-September 11, 2001 levels at a faster rate than passenger demand as measured by revenue passenger miles—especially during the spring and early summer of 2002. While capacity and demand reached some level of equilibrium as of August 2002, recent declines in air travelers have forced the airlines to make further cuts in their flight schedules. Overall, actual capacity was down nearly 10 percent between November 2000 and November 2002, as compared to a 14 percent decline in passenger demand. [Figure 2]
- ✓ <u>FLIGHT OPERATIONS</u>: FAA's Air Route Traffic Control Centers reported handling a growing number of flight operations during the spring and summer, with July 2002 numbers off only about 1 percent from July 2000.² By November 2002, however, flight operations were down nearly 7 percent from November 2000. [Figure 3]
- ✓ <u>AIRLINE SCHEDULES—FALL/WINTER 2002</u>: Although airline schedules showed some initial improvement during the spring and summer months from the sharp drop off after September 11, 2001, this trend appears to be reversing, with the airlines scheduling 11 and 12 percent fewer flights and passenger seats, respectively (as well as 9 percent

_

Due to the sizable impact that the terrorist attacks had on domestic flight service during the latter part of 2001, we used 2000 as the base year in many of our metrics.

Includes both scheduled and non-scheduled (e.g., general aviation and military) air traffic.

fewer ASMs) in December 2002 versus December 2000. Moreover, airline schedules for the first 3 months of 2003 show no improvement, with flights and passenger seats remaining down between 11 and 12 percent from the same period in 2000. [Figure 4]

- ✓ **REGIONAL DIFFERENCES:** When comparing all airports, the Northeast region continues to experience the largest decline in air service as compared to other parts of the country. For example, between December 2000 and December 2002, the Northeast experienced an 18 percent drop in scheduled passenger seats, versus the South (-12 percent), West (-12 percent), and Midwest (-10 percent). [Figure 5]
- ✓ <u>AIRPORT RECOVERY RATES</u>: The recovery rate among the Nation's largest airports has varied significantly as measured in scheduled passenger seats. For example, only Fort Lauderdale saw a small increase (+1 percent) between December 2000 and December 2002. All other large airports witnessed varying levels of decline during this time period—the 10 worst being: Boston (-26 percent), San Francisco (-26 percent), Pittsburgh (-26 percent), Honolulu (-24 percent), Los Angeles (-24 percent), LaGuardia (-22 percent), Reagan National (-20 percent), Newark (-18 percent), Dulles (-16 percent), and Orlando (-14 percent). [Figure 6]
- ✓ <u>Loss of Short Haul Air Service</u>: For scheduled flights less than 250 miles, nearly one in five (or 19 percent) were dropped between December 2000 and December 2002. In comparison, flights of 500 miles or more experienced far smaller declines. [Figure 7]
- ✓ <u>Low-fare Airlines Gain Market Share</u>: In contrast to the major airlines, many low-fare airlines have continued to expand, with offered capacity (as measured in passenger seats) increasing over 6 percent between December 2000 and December 2002.³ Low-fare airlines have also seen their share of domestic air service grow from 16 percent to 20 percent during this same period. [Figure 8]
- ✓ GROWTH IN REGIONAL JET (RJ) FLIGHTS: Another significant development involves the phenomenal growth in RJ flights. Scheduled flights involving RJs increased 80 percent (from 88,474 to 159,662) between December 2000 and December 2002. This compares to flights involving other aircraft types, which experienced either far less growth or sharp declines, including piston (+11 percent), turboprop (-36 percent), and large jets (-18 percent). Overall, the portion of scheduled flights involving RJs has grown from 7 percent to 20 percent between December 1999 and December 2002. [Figures 9 and 10]

II. Air System Performance

✓ FLIGHT DELAYS AND CANCELLATIONS: So far this year, both flight delays and cancellations remained well below levels established in 2000 (as well as 1999). For example, between November 2000 and November 2002, arrival delays were down

Low fare carriers are AirTran Airways, American Trans Air, Frontier Airlines, JetBlue Airways, National Airlines, Pan American Airways, Southwest Airlines, Spirit Airlines, Sun Country, and Vanguard Airlines. However, Vanguard Airlines and National Airlines ceased operations in July and November 2002, respectively.

- 54 percent (81,731 to 37,357), while cancellations dropped over 78 percent (8,150 to 1,767). Likewise, gate departure delays were down nearly 60 percent (70,997 to 28,495). [Figures 11, 12, and 17]
- ✓ OTHER INDICATORS OF DELAYS: Other indicators of flight delays were also down in November 2002 from November 2000, including the percentage of flights arriving late (from 26 percent to 14 percent), the percentage of flights departing late (from 22 percent to 11 percent), the average length of arrival delays (from 48 minutes to 43 minutes), and the average length of departure delays (from 50 minutes to 46 minutes). [Figures 13, 14, 15, and 16]

III. Airline Finances

- ✓ <u>Business and Leisure Travel</u>: The drop in higher-fare business travelers, which began before September 11, has especially hurt the airlines. Although business (first-class and full-fare coach) and leisure traffic numbers improved significantly in the months immediately following the terrorist attacks, both have remained consistently down for the first 11 months of 2002 versus 2000—with November numbers showing a decline of approximately 32 and 19 percent, respectively. [Figure 18]
- ✓ <u>AIRLINE YIELDS</u>: The loss in business travel significantly affected airline yields, which were down for most of 2001 and into 2002. As of November 2002, airline yields from passenger traffic were down nearly 20 percent from November 2000. [Figure 19]
- ✓ <u>AIRLINE LOAD FACTORS</u>: Due to continued limits in capacity and the gradual return of passengers, aircraft load factors for the quarter ending June 2002 have returned to last year's levels of approximately 74 percent. Yet, the "break even" load factor (the average percentage of paying passengers on all flights needed to cover airline costs) has risen 7 percentage points (76 to 83) during this same time period. Among the major airlines, the break even load factor ranged from a low of 59 percent for Southwest to a high of 90 percent for United. [Figure 20]
- ✓ <u>AIRLINE REVENUES AND EXPENSES</u>: Airline operating revenue declined at a much higher rate than expenses during 2002. For the 3 months ending June 2002 as compared to the same period in 2000, operating revenue declined 20 percent whereas operating expenses declined 3 percent. ⁴ [Figure 21]
- ✓ <u>AIRLINE DEBTS TO INVESTMENTS</u>: Due to large operating losses, airline debt to investment ratios climbed from 50 percent in 2000 to 66 percent in 2001. For the quarter ending June 2002, ratios for the major airlines ranged from 29 percent for Southwest to 129 percent for US Airways. Debts to investments provide an indicator of airline exposure to fluctuations in demand and revenue. [Figures 22 and 23]


Airline operating revenue was also affected by a sharp drop in domestic mail shipments, which declined nearly 60 percent during the first 11 months of 2002, versus the same period in 2000.

DOT publishes debt to total investment ratios in the Major Airline Quarterly Financial Review. Debt is defined as long-term debt, capital leases, and advances from associated companies, less unamortized debt expenses. Total investment includes all the debt items plus stockholder's equity.

✓ <u>AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND</u>: Lower demand and ticket prices have also reduced tax collections for the airport and airway trust fund. Prior to September 11, 2001, FAA projected overall collections of \$11.2 billion for Fiscal Year (FY) 2002. FAA now estimates \$8.8 billion in tax collections in FY 2002, a drop of over 21 percent. [Figure 24]

IV. Air Service at Small Airports

- ✓ CHANGES IN AIR SERVICE: Since early 2001, the smallest airports (non-hubs) have experienced deeper cuts in air service than their larger counterparts. As of December 2002, non-hub airports saw nearly a 15 percent reduction in scheduled passenger seats from December 2000. This compares to a 12 percent reduction for the larger airports. Airline schedules currently project additional cuts by March 2003, with non-hub and larger sized airports down 19 percent and 11 percent, respectively, from March 2000. [Figure 25]
- ✓ **REGIONAL DIFFERENCES:** Non-hub airports in the Northeast and Midwest saw a far larger drop in air service than other parts of the country. For example, between December 2000 and December 2002, these two regions lost 31 and 23 percent of their scheduled passenger seats, respectively, as compared to smaller declines in the South (-17 percent) and West (-7 percent). [Figure 26]
- ✓ <u>ACCESS TO 31 LARGE AIRPORTS</u>: Non-hub airports also experienced a greater loss of direct service to and from the 31 largest airports than did other airports. Non-hub airports lost 20 percent of scheduled flights to the 31 largest airports between December 2000 and December 2002. In comparison, small, medium, and large airports experienced reductions of only 8 percent to 12 percent. [Figure 27]
- ✓ RJS REPLACING LARGER JETS AND TURBOPROPS: The loss in air service at non-hub airports is being partially offset by a large increase in RJ flights. Overall, scheduled flights involving RJs increased 96 percent between December 2000 and December 2002. In comparison, flights involving other aircraft types experienced either far less growth or sharp declines, including piston (+13 percent), turboprop (-29 percent), and large jets (-28 percent). [Figure 28]
- ✓ Low Fare Service to Non-Hub Airports: Non-hub airports can anticipate little or no relief from the low-fare airlines—even though these airlines are one of the few segments of the industry experiencing continued expansion. For example, between December 2000 and December 2002, low-fare airlines increased offered capacity (as measured in passenger seats) to large, medium, and small airports from 5 to 11 percent, while cutting service at non-hub airports by almost 25 percent. [Figure 29]
- ✓ ESSENTIAL AIR SERVICE (EAS): In the aftermath of September 11, 2001, congressional funding and the number of small communities requesting EAS subsidies increased significantly. For example, between FYs 2001 and 2003, funding is projected to grow 126 percent (\$50 million to \$113 million), while the number of subsidized communities will increase 25 percent (100 to 125). [Figure 30]






Figure 6: Percent Change in Scheduled Flights and

Available Seats at the 30 Largest Airports

12/00 vs. 12/02 (FAA Data)*

12%

Fort Lauderdale

Cincinnati Salt Lake City

Houston Chicago O'Hare Denver Minneapolis

Detroit

Atlanta

San Diego

Philadelphia Baltimore

Tampa

Seattle

Dulles

Las Vegas Miami

Dallas-Ft. Worth Charlotte Orlando


Reagan National


LaGuardia

■ Available Seats

Los Angeles Honolulu Pittsburgh San Francisco


-12%







-36%

-24%





■ Scheduled Flights













































































Attachment

Note: the following pages contain textual versions of the charts presented previously in this document. This attachment is provided for electronic distribution of this report and was not part of the original document.

Figure 1: Percent Change in Revenue Passenger Enplanements from 2000 (ATA Data)

	2001	2002
Month	(Percent Change	(Percent Change
	from 2000)	from 2000)
January	4.14%	-10.63%
February	-3.31%	-14.87%
March	-1.75%	-11.83%
April	-0.48%	-13.52%
May	-2.32%	-12.84%
June	-2.23%	-12.83%
July	0.12%	-10.54%
August	3.19%	-7.84%
September	-34.49%	-15.56%
October	-21.52%	-13.60%
November	-18.54%	-17.96%
December	-13.61%	Not Given

Figure 2: Percent Change in Available Seat Miles Versus Revenue Passenger Miles from 2000 (ATA Data)

Month	2001 Change in Available Seat Miles	2001 Change in Revenue Passenger Miles	2002 Change in Available Seat Miles	2002 Change in Revenue Passenger Miles
January	5.04%	5.54%	-8.79%	-7.26%
February	-1.30%	-1.95%	-12.48%	-11.41%
March	0.06%	-0.34%	-9.53%	-7.74%
April	2.16%	0.04%	-7.34%	-10.61%
May	3.41%	-1.83%	-6.65%	-9.62%
June	3.37%	-1.47%	-4.09%	-8.35%
July	4.40%	1.12%	-3.10%	-6.02%
August	3.84%	4.04%	-3.93%	-3.56%
September	-19.07%	-31.86%	-7.50%	-12.03%
October	-15.07%	-20.13%	-8.67%	-9.58%
November	-13.70%	-16.56%	-9.79%	-14.49%
December	-10.09%	-11.93%	Not Given	Not Given

Figure 3: Percent Change in Air Route Traffic Control Center Operations from 2000 (FAA Data)

	2001	2002
Month	Percent Change	Percent Change
	in Operations	in Operations
January	4.51%	-2.63%
February	-3.68%	-8.02%
March	-2.00%	-7.00%
April	-0.31%	-2.57%
May	-1.30%	-3.65%
June	-2.73%	-4.46%
July	0.73%	-1.22%
August	-0.30%	-4.39%
September	-16.38%	-5.01%
October	-8.35%	-3.73%
November	-8.01%	-6.70%
December	-5.18%	Not Given

Figure 4: Percent Change in Scheduled Flights and Available Seats at All Airports from 2000 (FAA Data)

	2001	2001	2002	2002	2003	2003
Month	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Month	Change	Change	Change	Change	Change	Change in
	in Flights	in Seats	in Flights	in Seats	in Flights	Seats
January	2.39%	3.45%	-10.69%	-9.96%	-10.75%	-10.79%
February	0.24%	1.65%	-10.94%	-10.18%	-11.33%	-11.55%
March	-0.62%	0.53%	-11.23%	-10.07%	-11.66%	-11.81%
April	0.71%	1.38%	-8.47%	-8.06%	Not Given	Not Given
May	0.09%	0.96%	-8.70%	-8.39%	Not Given	Not Given
June	-0.39%	0.93%	-8.39%	-7.12%	Not Given	Not Given
July	1.15%	2.21%	-6.57%	-5.68%	Not Given	Not Given
August	-0.27%	1.37%	-7.33%	-6.75%	Not Given	Not Given
September	-1.46%	0.46%	-9.51%	-9.60%	Not Given	Not Given
October	-4.88%	-3.66%	-10.72%	-10.72%	Not Given	Not Given
November	-15.27%	-14.64%	-11.60%	-11.99%	Not Given	Not Given
December	-14.52%	-14.24%	-11.42%	-12.47%	Not Given	Not Given

Figure 5: Percent Change in Available Seats at All Airports December 2000 Versus December 2002 (FAA Data)

Region	Percent Change in Available Seats
Northeast (includes Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont)	-18.3%
South (includes Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia)	-11.7%
Mid-west (includes Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, Wisconsin)	-10.4%
West (includes Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, Wyoming)	-12.4%
National Average	-12.6%

Figure 6: Percent Change in Scheduled Flights and Available Seats at the 30 Largest Airports December 2000 Versus December 2002 (FAA Data)

Ranking	Largest Airports	Percent Change	Percent Change in
Ü		in Flights	Available Seats
1	Fort Lauderdale	-2.2%	1.4%
2	Kennedy	-15.2%	-1.1%
3	Cincinnati	6.7%	-4.2%
4	Salt Lake City	7.0%	-4.8%
5	Houston	-7.3%	-4.9%
6	Chicago O'Hare	-2.2%	-5.2%
7	Denver	-2.9%	-5.6%
8	Minneapolis	-2.0%	-6.1%
9	Detroit	-3.0%	-6.8%
10	Atlanta	-4.6%	-7.1%
11	Phoenix	-6.8%	-8.2%
12	San Diego	-9.7%	-8.5%
13	Tampa	-17.2%	-9.0%
14	Philadelphia	-7.9%	-9.5%
15	Baltimore	-16.7%	-10.1%
16	Seattle	-17.3%	-10.2%
17	Las Vegas	-11.3%	-11.8%
18	Miami	-24.8%	-12.6%
19	Dallas-Ft. Worth	-8.0%	-13.0%
20	Charlotte	-11.1%	-13.2%
21	Orlando	-19.4%	-13.5%
22	Dulles	-23.8%	-15.7%
23	Newark	-13.0%	-18.3%
24	Reagan National	-5.8%	-20.0%
25	LaGuardia	-20.6%	-22.1%
26	Los Angeles	-25.0%	-23.5%
27	Honolulu	-20.5%	-24.1%
28	Pittsburgh	-19.0%	-25.6%
29	San Francisco	-24.0%	-26.1%
30	Boston	-26.4%	-26.3%

Note: Saint Louis was excluded from Figure 6 due to incomplete data. Once this problem is resolved, we plan to include Saint Louis in future publications of the metrics.

Figure 7: Percent Change in Number of Scheduled Flights by Length of Flight December 2000 Versus December 2002 (FAA Data)

Range in Miles	2002 Percent Change in Flights
0 to 249 miles	-19%
250 to 499 miles	-13%
500 to 999 miles	-2%
1,000 miles or more	-6%

Figure 8: Airline Market Share by Available Seats (FAA Data)

Carrier Type	December 1999	December 2000	December 2001	December 2002
Majors	73%	73%	75%	73%
Low Fare	15%	16%	19%	20%
All Others	12%	11%	6%	8%

Note: All percentages are rounded.

Figure 9: Percent Change in Number of Scheduled Flights by Type of Aircraft December 2000 Versus December 2002 (FAA Data)

Aircraft Type	Percent Change in Flights by Aircraft Type
Turboprop	-36%
Large Jets	-18%
Piston	11%
Regional Jets	80%

Figure 10: Percent Share of Scheduled Flights by Type of Aircraft (FAA Data)

Aircraft Type	December 1999	December 2000	December 2001	December 2002
Large Jets	58%	59%	57%	55%
Regional Jets	7%	10%	15%	20%
Turboprop	30%	26%	22%	19%
Piston	6%	5%	6%	6%

Note: All percentages are rounded.

Figure 11: Number of Arrival Delays (FAA Data)

Month	1999	2000	2001	2002
January	86,811	71,485	69,926	49,657
February	52,772	69,499	72,135	36,355
March	62,668	71,757	73,004	57,281
April	72,648	74,655	61,285	46,842
May	70,944	77,400	56,141	47,038
June	86,682	100,115	72,641	56,011
July	87,078	93,399	69,392	54,355
August	74,482	96,550	76,237	47,160
September	58,649	66,251	38,967	30,598
October	62,387	75,543	39,694	41,050
November	54,570	81,731	38,464	37,357
December	67,667	105,180	52,064	Not Given

Figure 12: Number of Gate Departure Delays (FAA Data)

Month	1999	2000	2001	2002
January	77,784	59,344	62,032	40,524
February	45,977	59,316	61,044	30,542
March	55,133	61,678	65,503	49,324
April	58,097	63,372	53,421	37,751
May	57,439	67,571	47,207	37,706
June	72,879	90,115	63,980	49,880
July	77,267	85,049	62,108	49,973
August	65,153	85,760	67,209	42,680
September	48,034	55,667	39,229	25,672
October	52,933	63,742	41,444	32,072
November	47,697	70,997	35,169	28,495
December	58,032	98,386	48,710	Not Given

Figure 13: Percent of Flights Arriving Late (FAA Data)

Month	1999	2000	2001	2002
January	28.94%	22.90%	21.43%	18.08%
February	18.71%	22.96%	24.47%	14.38%
March	20.25%	21.58%	22.28%	20.13%
April	23.38%	23.46%	19.06%	16.77%
May	22.36%	23.80%	16.89%	16.36%
June	27.48%	31.55%	22.49%	19.65%
July	26.89%	28.33%	20.56%	18.29%
August	22.61%	28.85%	22.38%	15.75%
September	18.79%	20.79%	15.80%	11.12%
October	18.92%	22.58%	14.35%	14.40%
November	17.34%	25.70%	14.48%	14.09%
December	20.83%	33.42%	18.92%	Not Given

Figure 14: Percent of Flights Departing Late (FAA Data)

Month	1999	2000	2001	2002
January	25.81%	18.94%	18.96%	14.73%
February	16.26%	19.55%	20.67%	12.06%
March	17.79%	18.51%	19.96%	17.31%
April	18.66%	19.87%	16.59%	13.50%
May	18.05%	20.73%	14.19%	13.10%
June	23.02%	28.31%	19.78%	17.48%
July	23.78%	25.76%	18.37%	16.79%
August	19.73%	25.57%	19.70%	14.24%
September	15.36%	17.46%	15.91%	9.32%
October	16.03%	19.03%	14.96%	11.23%
November	15.13%	22.29%	13.22%	10.73%
December	17.85%	31.18%	17.69%	Not Given

Figure 15: Length of Arrival Delays, Shown in Minutes (FAA Data)

Month	1999	2000	2001	2002
January	56.54	49.26	47.30	44.47
February	47.17	51.08	50.18	41.05
March	50.16	50.45	49.12	44.32
April	50.11	52.22	50.82	46.58
May	54.29	58.14	46.55	46.83
June	56.58	59.19	56.73	54.53
July	57.68	58.40	52.05	51.18
August	51.32	54.85	55.95	49.14
September	47.27	50.43	55.15	46.78
October	45.16	49.10	43.52	41.97
November	46.43	47.90	43.25	43.33
December	44.11	54.24	41.81	Not Given

Figure 16: Length of Gate Departure Delays, Shown in Minutes (FAA Data)

Month	1999	2000	2001	2002
January	57.16	50.87	49.22	46.05
February	49.12	53.36	51.76	43.10
March	51.75	52.87	51.21	45.46
April	52.62	54.47	52.91	48.57
May	56.22	59.65	49.06	48.03
June	57.94	59.19	58.22	53.97
July	58.55	59.57	53.71	51.34
August	53.41	55.93	57.38	49.64
September	50.40	51.98	55.12	47.63
October	47.60	51.65	41.86	44.80
November	48.77	49.83	43.53	45.92
December	45.93	54.68	42.05	Not Given

Figure 17: Number of Cancellations (FAA Data)

Month	1999	2000	2001	2002
January	19,727	18,512	12,077	4,199
February	11,104	11,477	10,706	2,361
March	9,409	7,585	11,753	3,063
April	6,724	8,853	7,086	2,265
May	8,926	12,835	5,796	2,399
June	9,824	14,407	10,135	4,621
July	11,356	11,985	7,189	3,659
August	8,755	11,538	8,528	2,834
September	11,780	8,057	64,947	1,861
October	7,571	7,977	2,966	2,188
November	5,599	8,150	2,371	1,767
December	6,003	21,333	2,161	Not Given

Figure 18: Percent Change in Business and Leisure Travel from 2000, analyzed by Deutsche Bank Securities Incorporated (ATA Data)

	2001	2001	2002	2002
Month	Change in	Change in	Change in	Change in
Month	Business Year	Leisure Year	Business Year	Leisure Year
	Over Year	Over Year	Over Year	Over Year
January	-10.4%	4.2%	-30.2%	-11.1%
February	-19.2%	-2.9%	-35.1%	-14.6%
March	-20.3%	-0.8%	-33.5%	-11.7%
April	-17.8%	0.3%	-28.7%	-14.6%
May	-22.5%	-0.9%	-32.3%	-13.9%
June	-20.3%	-1.3%	-32.2%	-13.4%
July	-17.7%	0.5%	-25.6%	-11.5%
August	-18.8%	4.2%	-28.2%	-8.3%
September	-47.3%	-34.3%	-30.8%	-16.0%
October	-36.5%	-22.7%	-30.2%	-14.1%
November	-30.8%	-19.7%	-31.5%	-19.0%
December	-23.4%	-15.1%	Not Given	Not Given

Figure 19: Percent Change in Yield from 2000 (ATA Data)

	2001	2002
Month	Percent Change in Yield	Percent Change in Yield
	Over 2000	Over 2000
January	4.06%	-12.72%
February	0.31%	-13.32%
March	-2.49%	-15.36%
April	-2.53%	-14.20%
May	-7.00%	-15.88%
June	-8.38%	-17.38%
July	-9.19%	-17.51%
August	-12.63%	-20.82%
September	-18.22%	-17.66%
October	-19.22%	-18.46%
November	-16.35%	-19.88%
December	-14.63%	Not Given

Figure 20: Passenger Load Factor Percentage: Actual Versus Breakeven (DOT Data)

Quarter	Actual Load Factor	Breakeven Load Factor
First Quarter 2000	68.6%	68.1%
Second Quarter 2000	76.4%	67.1%
Third Quarter 2000	75.8%	70.6%
Fourth Quarter 2000	70.2%	71.9%
First Quarter 2001	68.4%	73.6%
Second Quarter 2001	73.5%	76.1%
Third Quarter 2001	72.4%	87.8%
Fourth Quarter 2001	66.1%	89.5%
First Quarter 2002	70.4%	85.2%
Second Quarter 2002	73.8%	83.1%

Figure 21: Airline Operating Revenues Versus Operating Expenses (DOT Data)

Quarter	Operating Revenues In Billions	Operating Expenses In Billions
First Quarter 2000	\$22.56	\$21.85
Second Quarter 2000	\$25.45	\$22.64
Third Quarter 2000	\$25.92	\$23.97
Fourth Quarter 2000	\$24.16	\$24.13
First Quarter 2001	\$23.27	\$24.13
Second Quarter 2001	\$24.26	\$24.98
Third Quarter 2001	\$21.16	\$24.37
Fourth Quarter 2001	\$16.61	\$20.93
First Quarter 2002	\$18.14	\$20.91
Second Quarter 2002	\$20.30	\$21.93

Figure 22: Debt to Investment Ratios for All Major Airlines (DOT Data)

Quarter	Ratio (Percentage)
First Quarter 2000	50%
Second Quarter 2000	48%
Third Quarter 2000	48%
Fourth Quarter 2000	53%
First Quarter 2001	54%
Second Quarter 2001	54%
Third Quarter 2001	60%
Fourth Quarter 2001	66%
First Quarter 2002	67%
Second Quarter 2002	69%

Figure 23: Airline Debt to Investment Ratio for the Quarter Ending June 2002 (DOT Data)

Airlines	Ratio (Percentage)
Southwest	29%
Alaska	59%
Northwest	60%
American	62%
America West	64%
United	73%
Delta	73%
Continental	80%
US Airways	129%

Figure 24: Airport and Airway Trust Fund: Estimated Tax Revenue Pre-September 11 Versus July 2002, Shown in Billions (FAA Data)

Fiscal Year	Pre-September 11	July 2002
2002	\$11.2	\$8.8
2003	\$11.9	\$10.3
2004	\$12.6	\$10.9
2005	\$13.3	\$11.5
2006	\$14.1	\$12.2
2007	\$14.9	\$12.8

Figure 25: Non-Hub Versus Larger Airports: Percent Change in Available Seats from 2000 (FAA Data)

	2001	2001	2002	2002	2003	2003
	Non-Hub	Larger	Non-Hub	Larger	Non-Hub	Larger
	Airports	Airports	Airports	Airports	Airports	Airports
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Month	Change	Change	Change	Change	Change	Change
January	-2.20%	3.62%	-15.60%	-9.78%	-18.77%	-10.48%
February	-5.33%	1.97%	-16.62%	-9.87%	-19.35%	-11.13%
March	-5.96%	0.88%	-16.86%	-9.70%	-19.03%	-11.42%
April	-4.75%	1.69%	-13.60%	-7.77%	Not Given	Not Given
May	-5.61%	1.30%	-14.91%	-8.06%	Not Given	Not Given
June	-6.20%	1.30%	-14.35%	-6.74%	Not Given	Not Given
July	-5.14%	2.60%	-11.83%	-5.35%	Not Given	Not Given
August	-5.56%	1.73%	-10.65%	-6.54%	Not Given	Not Given
September	-7.52%	0.87%	-15.57%	-9.29%	Not Given	Not Given
October	-9.24%	-3.38%	-17.34%	-10.39%	Not Given	Not Given
November	-18.47%	-14.45%	-17.70%	-11.71%	Not Given	Not Given
December	-15.71%	-14.16%	-15.42%	-12.32%	Not Given	Not Given

Figure 26: Percent Change in Available Seats at Non-Hub Airports December 2000 Versus December 2002 (FAA Data)

Region	Percent Change in Available Seats
Northeast (includes Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont)	-30.8%
Mid-west (includes Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, Wisconsin)	-23.1%
South (includes Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia)	-16.9%
West (includes Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, Wyoming)	-6.7%
National Average	-16.2%

Figure 27: Access to Large Airports, Percent Change in Number of Scheduled Flights December 2000 Versus December 2002 (FAA Data)

Combinations	Percent Change 2000 Versus 2002
Large Hub to Large Hub	-12%
Medium Hub to Large Hub	-8%
Small Hub to Large Hub	-11%
Non-Hub to Large Hub	-20%

Figure 28: Non-Hub Airports – Percent Change in Scheduled Flights by Type of Aircraft December 2000 versus December 2002 (FAA Data)

Aircraft Type	Percent Change December 2000 Versus 2002		
Turboprop	-29%		
Large Jets	-28%		
Piston	13%		
Regional Jets	96%		

Figure 29: Low Fare Airlines - Percent Change in Available Seats by Airport Size December 2000 Versus December 2002 (FAA Data)

Airport Size	Percent Change In Available Seats	
Large	7%	
Medium	5%	
Small	11%	
Non-Hub	-25%	

Figure 30: Essential Air Service Funding and Subsidized Communities (DOT Data)

Fiscal Year	Appropriations In Millions	Number of Communities Supported
1999	\$50	100
2000	\$50	106
2001	\$50	115
2002	\$113	123
Projected 2003	\$113	125