



US Department
of Transportation
**Federal Railroad
Administration**

Research Results

**RR07-15
April 2007**

Preliminary Impact Load Assessment of Ballast Deck Prestressed Concrete Bridges

SUMMARY

Concrete bridges at the Transportation Technology Center's Facility for Accelerated Service Testing (FAST) in Pueblo, CO, provide a unique opportunity to study the dynamic behavior of bridges when subjected to heavy axle load (HAL) traffic. Improved dynamic behavior will result in reduced maintenance and extended service life of concrete bridges.

Researchers tested two prestressed concrete bridges located on curved track at FAST to assess their initial stress-state. The distribution of vertical loads across the widths of the spans was also measured.

Summaries from this study include that wheels on bridge spans with standard bolted rail joints are capable of producing high dynamic impacts that may exceed the design impact load. Higher impact loads are not typically a safety concern but will lead into a reduction in bridge life and increased track and bridge maintenance costs.

The railroad industry spends about half of its bridge capital on concrete bridge construction, now comprising up to 20 percent of the total railroad bridge inventory. These investment levels make it especially important to evaluate short- and long-term performance of concrete bridges under HAL traffic.



Figure 1. Test Train Over the State-of-the-Art Concrete Bridge at FAST

INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

Concrete bridges at FAST provide a unique opportunity to study the dynamic behavior of bridges when subjected to HAL traffic. Improved dynamic behavior will result in reduced maintenance and extended service life of concrete bridges. Due to low cost and rapid construction, concrete bridges are a convenient alternative to timber trestles. Concrete bridges make up more than 20 percent of the railroad bridge inventory based on length, and current construction accounts for about half of railroad bridge capital investment. This makes it especially important to assess the performance of concrete bridges under HAL conditions.

An important aspect of a bridge is its service lifespan, which greatly depends on its stress-state. Bolted joints create higher impacts that may exceed design impact loads. In addition, flat wheels induce higher impacts in bridge spans than normal wheels. Removing these factors will reduce the stress-state, as well as prevent crack growth and initiation, resulting in increased service life and reduced maintenance.

The stress distribution across the width is not uniform in the spans of bridges on tangent and curved track. The stress-state could be reduced if a more uniform load distribution could be achieved.

The stress-state in bridges also depends in part on vehicle suspension systems, wheel maintenance practices, and track-induced vehicle dynamics. Controlling these factors is the subject of other industry initiatives. Stress introduced by these factors, however, may also be decreased by reducing bridge stiffness and increasing damping of the track structure on the bridge.

The purpose of the FAST bridge research program is to study the dynamic behavior of bridge spans caused by impact loads. The stress-state in girders was studied by changing the ballast depth and tie types, as well as installing pads of different damping materials under ties. Installing a ballast mat is also a possibility. These techniques should reduce support stiffness, increase damping, and improve overall dynamic performance of bridges. The current test assesses the initial dynamic behavior of the FAST bridges and is the basis of comparison for further testing.

BRIDGE DESCRIPTIONS

The high tonnage loop at FAST has two ballasted deck concrete bridges. The first bridge has 24- and

32-foot double-cell-box spans and is usually called a conventional bridge. The second bridge is known as a state-of-the-art bridge. The intermediate span is 42 feet, has double-cell-box type girders, and is made of high-performance concrete. The flanking spans are a 30-foot double-cell-box and a 15-foot slab span. Construction of both of the bridges was completed in late 2003. The bridges are subjected to mostly 315-kips loaded cars. Both of the bridges have standard concrete ties.

Designs of all but the 42-foot spans are based on Cooper E-80 loadings and follow the American Railway Engineering and Maintenance of Way Association (AREMA) Chapter 8 design guidelines and BNSF/Union Pacific Railroad design practices. CN designed the 42-foot span based on E-90 loading. Foundations of both the bridges are based on E-100 design. The girders are supported on precast pile caps laid over H-piles. The bridges are on a 5-degree curve; they have a ballast depth of 16 inches under the high rail of the curve and 12 inches under the low rail of the curve.

METHODOLOGY

Strain gages were installed on the concrete bridges at FAST to measure the bending strains at midspans, shear strains at end of spans, and the axial strains in piles. Figure 2 shows the strain gage locations. A test train passed at 2 mph in each direction; the speed was then increased in 5 mph increments starting with 5 mph and ending at 45 mph. The test train had two 4-axle locomotives on both ends. The train had two 263-kip, two 286-kip, and twelve 315-kip cars.




Figure 2. Concrete Bridge at FAST

MEASUREMENTS

The measured data from bending, shear, and axial strain circuits were used to determine the statistical distribution of impacts and centrifugal forces, as well as to investigate the effects of span length and train speed on bridge dynamic behavior. Impact was calculated as the ratio of peak strain at a

particular train speed to the corresponding peak strain for the 2 mph run at FAST. Most bridge members experienced about one load per cycle per group of four closely spaced axles of the test train. Each pass generated 21 distinct load cycles per train for most members for the test train used.

IMPACT LOADS

Centrifugal force effects were removed from individual channels by quadratic regression curve fitting to calculate the impacts. Figure 3 shows the measured impact data plotted as a function of span length. Negative impact indicates that dynamic wheel loads are less than static wheel loads. As the plot indicates, impacts reduce with increasing span length. Longer spans support more axles, so the effect of impacts from a single axle is reduced. The measured design impact and centrifugal force values follow the trend of AREMA 2005 recommended guidelines for smooth continuously welded rail to ensure adequate bridge safety.¹

Figure 4 illustrates that 95.5 percentile impacts show a trend of increasing with speed. This increase in impact at higher speeds is likely caused by vehicle dynamics effects.




Figure 3. Impacts as Function of Span Length without Centrifugal Force Effect




Figure 4. The 99.5 Percentile Values of Impacts in Spans Related to Speed

A standard bolted rail joint was installed on the 15-foot concrete bridge span to study the effects of the impact created due to discontinuity in longitudinal rail profiles. The train passed at 20, 30, and 40 mph. Impact percentages were calculated on the basis of 20 mph. The rail joint was later removed, and the span was tested again. As shown in Figure 5, a comparison of the data indicates that the 15-foot girder experienced more than 70 percent (augment above 20-mph curve) impacts while the rail joint was installed. It is likely that the magnitude of impact forces would have been greater over a larger range of train speeds.




Figure 5. Comparison of Impact with and without Rail Joint on 15-foot Span at FAST

Figure 6 shows the impacts recorded on the end of spans as function of speed.




Figure 6. Impacts Due to Shear in End of Spans

CENTRIFUGAL FORCE EFFECTS

For bridges on curved track, centrifugal forces can be a significant portion of the loading. In order to better understand the stress-state effects caused by centrifugal forces, measurements were taken at speeds both above and below the 34 mph balanced speed for these bridges.

Figure 7 shows a plot of measured centrifugal force as a function of speed. As predicted, the centrifugal force effect accrues with increases in speed. Some spans experienced higher centrifugal force effects. Possible causes of differences in centrifugal force are vehicle dynamics, inconsistencies in the vertical rail profile, and lateral track location on the spans.




Figure 7. Average Measured Vertical Effect of Centrifugal Force in Spans at FAST

SPAN WIDTH VERTICAL LOAD DISTRIBUTION

Figure 8 shows the distribution of vertical load along the width of the bridge spans on the 5-degree curve at FAST. The gages on the outer high rail side edge and lower inner edge tend to experience the highest and lowest strains, which is expected. Centrifugal force from trains operating faster than the balanced speed will result in higher vertical forces in outer girders and lower vertical forces in inner girders.




Figure 8. Bridge at FAST on Curved Track

FUTURE WORK

Impact assessment of the bridges at FAST using standard concrete ties is complete. In the next phase of testing, the bridges at FAST will be tested with a different tie configuration. A set of concrete ties with commercially available noise and vibration pads has been installed on the bridge. The configuration of ties and pads has helped reduce the bridge stiffness at FAST to a value comparable to that of the approach track. A reduction in impact induced in bridge spans and more uniform lateral load distribution is expected. Using ballast mats, further testing is planned with timber ties, plastic ties, and different ballast depths.

REFERENCES

1. Manual for Railway Engineering, American Railway Engineering and Maintenance of Way Association, Landover, MD. 2005.

CONTACT

Leonard Allen
Office of Research and Development, RDV-31
1120 Vermont Ave., NW, Stop 20
Washington, DC 20590
(202) 493-6329
(202) 493-6333
leonard.allen@dot.gov

KEYWORDS:

Standard bolted joints, stress-state, heavy axle load traffic, conventional bridge, state-of-the-art bridge, concrete bridge, and prestressed concrete

Notice and Disclaimer: This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the United States Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. Any opinions, findings and conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this material do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the United States Government, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the United States Government. The United States Government assumes no liability for the content or use of the material contained in this document.