



# DHS Exhibit 300 Public Release BY09 (Form) / USCG - Integrated Deepwater System - LIMS (2009) (Item)

Form Report, printed by: Administrator, System, Mar 17, 2008

## OVERVIEW

### General Information

1. Date of Submission:	Sep 10, 2007
2. Agency:	Department of Homeland Security
3. Bureau:	United States Coast Guard (USCG)
4. Name of this Capital Asset:	USCG - Integrated Deepwater System - LIMS (2009)
5. Unique ID:	024-60-01-06-01-6115-00
(For IT investments only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.)	

### All investments

6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2009?

(Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M ONLY in FY2009, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2009 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.)

Full-Acquisition

7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?

FY2001 or earlier

8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap. [LIMIT: 2500 char]

Deepwater Logistics is designed to support the Integrated Deepwater System as new ships, planes and information systems are delivered, or as current Coast Guard aircraft are converted into or modernized as Deepwater end-state assets. Logistics support is designed to be provided through an enterprise-wide information system tool called Logistics Information Management Systems (LIMS), which requires software development and installation at specific Coast Guard units that will be accessing logistics support for Deepwater systems. LIMS is intended to automatically collect and process logistics data so that the software can be used to project support requirements. This will relieve the Coast Guard workforce of the hard work associated with current manual keying of millions of individual data items across the Coast Guard. With its ability to bring the right information to the right people at the right time, LIMS is expected to enhance productivity for the personnel involved in logistics, and provide operationally relevant information to Coast Guard operators and maintainers. Eventually, Coast Guard crews will have maintenance support technologies to provide step-by-step, computer-generated instructions and 24-hour expert assistance made available through remote support services. Increased availability and reliability of Coast Guard cutters and aircraft will result in more operational time for these assets."

9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?

Yes

9.a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval?

Jun 25, 2002

10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?

Yes

12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project?

Yes

12.a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?

Yes

12.b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)

No

12.b.1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?

12.b.2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?

12.b.3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?

13. Does this investment support one of the PMA initiatives?

Yes

If "yes," select the initiatives that apply:

<b>Human Capital</b>	No
<b>Budget Performance Integration</b>	Yes
<b>Financial Performance</b>	Yes
<b>Expanded E-Government</b>	No
<b>Competitive Sourcing</b>	No
<b>Faith Based and Community</b>	No
<b>Real Property Asset Management</b>	No
<b>Eliminating Improper Payments</b>	No
<b>Privatization of Military Housing</b>	No
<b>R and D Investment Criteria</b>	No
<b>Housing and Urban Development Management and Performance</b>	No
<b>Broadening Health Insurance Coverage through State Initiatives</b>	No
<b>Right Sized Overseas Presence</b>	No
<b>Coordination of VA and DoD Programs and Systems</b>	No

13.a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?)

LIMS data will improve asset management by allowing commanders to make informed operational, sustainment and investment decisions. LIMS will improve USCG budget and financial management processes through the expansion of web-based technologies with cost management, ledger, accounts, contract and project management functions and statistical/financial reporting. LIMS data will provide consistent and comparable trend analysis need for operational, maintenance and fiscal activities.

14. Does this investment support a program assessed using Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)?

Yes

14.a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during the PART review?

Yes

14.b. If "yes," what is the name of the PART program assessed by OMB's Program Assessment Rating Tool?

USCG - Ports Waterways and Coastal Security

14.c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?

Moderately Effective

15. Is this investment for information technology (See section 53 for definition)?

Yes

**For information technology investments only:**

16. What is the level of the IT Project (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)?

Level 3

17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)

(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment

18. Is this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4-FY 2007 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23)

No

19. Is this a financial management system?

No

19.a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area?

19.a.1. If "yes," which compliance area: [LIMIT: 250 char]

19.a.2. If "no," what does it address? [LIMIT: 500 char]

19.b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52. [LIMIT: 2500 char]

20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2009 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

Area	Percentage
Hardware	0.00

<b>Software</b>		0.00	
<b>Services</b>		0.00	
<b>Other</b>		100.00	
<b>Total</b>		100.00	★

21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?

N/A

23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?

Yes

Question 24 must be answered by all Investments:

24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas?

No

<b>SUMMARY OF SPENDING</b>
----------------------------

<b>SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (In Millions)</b>
---

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The total estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

All amounts represent Budget Authority

	PY-1 & Earlier	PY	CY	BY
	-2006	2007	2008	2009
<b>Planning:</b>				
<b>Budgetary Resources</b>	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<b>Acquisition:</b>				
<b>Budgetary Resources</b>	82.958	17.278	26.200	14.400
<b>TOTAL, sum of stages:</b>				
<b>Budgetary Resources</b>	82.958	17.278	26.200	14.400
<b>Maintenance:</b>				
<b>Budgetary Resources</b>	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<b>TOTAL, All Stages</b>				
<b>Budgetary Resources</b>	82.958	17.278	26.200	14.400
<b>Government FTE Costs</b>	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<b># of FTEs</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>Total, BR + FTE Cost</b>	82.958	17.278	26.200	14.400

Note: For the multi-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies).

Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's?

No

2.a. If "yes," how many and in what year? [LIMIT: 500 char]

3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2008 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes. [LIMIT: 2500 char]

The summary of spending table above reflects earlier estimates for FY09. The FY09 request is \$14.400 million for acquisition budgetary resources.