Dr. Shaw, as you may be aware, I have been very much involved in the historically black colleges portion of the Higher Education Act. Much of that was written with the great leadership of Dr. Pat-

terson in my office when I was over on the House side.

As I follow the legal theories of Judge Thomas, he would say we can assist people on the basis of economic need. And in fairness to him, he has not suggested this, but as I follow the theories logically—and the commissioner referred to the racial preference issue that he believes is unconstitutional and unsound—he would rule that we could not have the kind of legislation that we now have for the historically black colleges and universities.

If you knew on the Supreme Court he was going to rule against funding for historically black colleges and universities, would you

still be supporting him?

Mr. Shaw. If I knew—let me, Senator Simon, say that a certain settlement that he made with General Motors some years ago, a large settlement, he deliberately saw to it that \$10 million of that went to historically black colleges. And I might say to you, sir, that initially I was opposed to Judge Thomas until I heard his posture with reference to historically black colleges. He believes they ought to be retained and strengthened.

If that documented decision of him is to presage his behavior on

the Court——

Senator Simon. If I may interrupt, are you saying—and maybe he has said this. I am not suggesting that he is opposed to the historically black colleges. What I am suggesting is that his legal theory, if it is followed, would suggest that Federal assistance on the basis of race would be unconstitutional. Are you saying that he has said that he follows a legal theory that that can continue?

Mr. Shaw. I do not know that he is against opportunity for all Americans. And although I am not conversant to the fact regarding a legal theory of his which if extended would eliminate black colleges, I think I understand him. His position on civil rights would in fact support institutions that would give opportunities to all Americans, Senator. He is for civil rights. He is for opportunity. This has, in fact, made him what he is.

If any person would overturn the instruments that are made to enforce the American dream, I would be against him or her getting on the Supreme Court. But I do not see any necessary implication in his legal theory that would, in fact, eliminate black colleges.

Senator Simon. All right. Well, we are both arguing theories at

this point, and I did not ask Judge Thomas that. Thank you.

Senator Thurmond.

Senator Thurmond. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

I want to take this opportunity to welcome this panel here. Dr. Shaw, you are from Raleigh, NC, I believe. Was Shaw University named after you?

Mr. Shaw. No, sir. I don't own the place, sir. It is 126 years old

this year. [Laughter.]

It is one of the accidents of history, sir.

Senator Thurmond. Ms. Talkin, I understand you and Ms. King have worked with Clarence Thomas and know him personally well. Ms. Talkin. Yes, Senator.