Hill. We were of the understanding that this was the totality of the witness list.

There was an agreement that there would be no witnesses called other than those witnesses without the entire committee being informed of, and deciding on, whether or not to issue a subpoena to any witness that had not, heretofore, been mentioned.

Now, obviously Mr. Doggett's affidavit, it would seem to me, at a minimum, would require Mr. Doggett to come forward and be under oath. So, by implication, we have changed the groundrules of

who would be witnesses and under what circumstances.

I would suggest that it may not be inappropriate to question Professor Hill on Mr. Doggett's statement, but not absent the opportunity of the majority to be able to question Mr. Doggett. I have insisted that both the majority counsel and the minority counsel simultaneously interview every person on the witness list so that they have an opportunity to listen to and question that potential witness.

In the case of Mr. Doggett that has not occurred. Now, unless my colleague from South Carolina would object, it seems to me that it is not appropriate at this moment to question Professor Hill, notwithstanding her willingness to be questioned, and I am told that Mr. Doggett is scheduled to be interviewed by majority and minority staff at 5 o'clock today.

Senator Thurmond. Yes, this afternoon.

The CHAIRMAN. I would respectfully suggest to my friend from Pennsylvania it would be more appropriate to question Professor Hill on Mr. Doggett's assertions after all parties on the committee have had an opportunity to speak with Mr. Doggett, so that other Senators will have an opportunity to intelligently question Professor Hill on Mr. Doggett's statement, and after the staff has spoken to—Mr. Doggett.

So, unless my colleague from South Carolina objects. I would suggest we postpone any questioning on Mr. Doggett. Although it may be totally appropriate to do so, until the full committee has had a chance, as per our agreement, to interview Mr. Doggett so we are all prepared, and are able to ask intelligent follow-up questions.

Senator Thurmond. Mr. Chairman, I do not object, just provided that we have the opportunity to question Professor Hill after Mr.

Doggett has testified.

The CHAIRMAN. Professor Hill, this may mean that you have to come back. And I would leave the choice to you but I would respectfully suggest that it is better for us to have an opportunity, all of us, to question Mr. Doggett before you are questioned about whatever Mr. Doggett had to say.

Would you like time to confer with your counsel?

Ms. HILL. Yes, just a moment, please.

I will agree to come back if necessary to respond on Mr. Doggett's statement.