Senator Specter. Ms. Wells, are you in a position to corroborate Professor Hill's testimony that Judge Thomas spoke to her about pornographic films?

Ms. Wells. No, I am not.

Senator Specter. Are you in a position to corroborate Professor Hill's claim that Judge Thomas spoke to her about women having sex, et cetera, with others than men?

Ms. Wells. No.

Senator Specter. Are you in a position to corroborate that he talked about pornographic materials with large private parts?

Ms. Wells. No, I am not.

Senator Specter. Are you in a position to corroborate that Judge Thomas talked to her about his own sexual prowess?

Ms. Wells. No, I am not.

Senator Specter. Or about the coke incident?

Ms. Wells. No, I am not.

Senator Specter. Mr. Carr, are you in a position to corroborate any of that?

Mr. CARR. Those are all consistent with the things she has told

me but I am not in a position to corroborate them specifically.

Senator Specter. And, Professor Paul, are you in a position to corroborate that Judge Thomas talked to Professor Hill about pornographic films?

Mr. Paul. No.

Senator Specter. About any of the specifics I have asked Ms. Wells and Judge Hoerchner about?

Mr. Paul. All of that, Senator, would be consistent with sexual harassment, but she did not talk to me—I don't recall that she

talked to me about any of those particulars.

Senator Specter. Professor Paul, did you know prior to the time these hearings started, that when Professor Hill accompanied Judge Thomas from the Department of Education to EEOC that as a matter of fact she had a classification at the Department of Education that she could have stayed there?

Mr. Paul. No. Senator.

Senator Specter. Professor Paul, did you know that prior to the time that this hearing started that Professor Hill had made at least 11 calls which were recorded to Judge Thomas, and others unrecorded?

Mr. Paul. No, Senator.

Senator Specter. Did you know, Professor Paul, that Professor Hill drove Judge Thomas to the airport and was with him alone on hat occasion in Oklahoma City or Tulsa?

Mr. Paul. No, Senator.

Senator Specter. Did you know any of that, Mr. Carr?

Mr. Carr. No.

Senator Specter. Did you know any of that, Ms. Wells?

Ms. Wells. No. Senator.

Senator Specter. Did you know any of that, Judge Hoerchner? Judge Hoerchner. I didn't hear her testify about driving him to the airport.

Senator Specter. So you didn't know about that? Judge Hoerchner. No, I did not know about that.

Senator Specter. Judge Hoerchner, if you had to vote on Judge Thomas, yes or no, what would it be?

Judge Hoerchner. Senator, I don't have a vote here.

Senator Specter. Ms. Wells, if you had to vote yes, or no, on

Judge Thomas, what would it be?

Ms. Wells. Senator, the hearings are not over and you have more witnesses for Anita Hill to hear and I think then you would have a better understanding of her and why we are here saying that her allegations are true.

Senator Specter. Mr. Carr, if you had to vote yes or no on Judge

Thomas, what would it be?

Mr. CARR. Senator, I have not followed the hearings earlier before the Senate decided to delay, and so I can't make an informed decision based on that, but I do believe the sexual harassment charges, and I think he would have to be one incredible jurist to get over my view that those are true. So I would vote, no.

Senator Specter. Would you want to hear the rest of the testimo-

ny?

Mr. CARR. I think if I was in the official position to make that choice, then I would definitely hear the rest of the testimony.

Senator Specter. Professor Paul, you have already testified that when they came to you, you wouldn't sign the letter.

Mr. Paul. That's correct, Senator.

Senator Specter. Do you have an opinion today, would you vote yes, or no on Judge Thomas?

Mr. PAUL. If these allegations are proved true, Senator, I would

say that he is not fit for the Supreme Court.

Senator Specter. But you would want to hear the rest of the evidence?

Mr. PAUL. Yes, Senator.

Senator Specter. So that none of you is in a position, sitting there today without hearing the rest of the evidence to reject Judge Thomas solely on the basis of what Professor Hill has said?

Mr. Carr. Sir, I am in a position to do that.

Senator Specter. I thought you wanted to hear the rest of the evidence, Mr. Carr.

Mr. CARR. I said that I would—I think it would be incumbent upon me to review all of the evidence, but that I have great difficulty imagining that he could be such a great jurist as to justify being confirmed in light of my belief that there was sexual harassment.

Senator Specter. No further questions, Mr. Chairman.

The CHAIRMAN. Thank you.

Senator Kohl.

Senator Kohl. Thank you, very much, Mr. Chairman.

Just to follow up on what Senator Specter has been asking you. You are in a position, do I understand it, on the basis of your testimony today, to state as a matter of fact that Professor Hill informed you in a way that satisfied you that she was being sexually harassed by Judge Thomas, by Clarence Thomas? Is there any question in your mind about the clarity of the information that she provided to you? The sense that she provided to you?

Judge Hoerchner.