

acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements which have subsequently changed; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

The ICR provides a detailed explanation of this estimate, which is only briefly summarized here:

*Estimated total number of potential respondents:* 576,811.

*Frequency of response:* On occasion.

*Estimated total average number of responses for each respondent:* 1.

*Estimated total annual burden hours:* 696,055 hours.

*Estimated total annual costs:* \$21,034,240. This includes an estimated burden cost of \$21,021,640 and an estimated cost of \$12,600 for capital investment and maintenance and operational costs.

#### IV. Are There Changes in the Estimates from the Last Approval?

There is a net decrease of 128,723 hours (from 824,778 hours to 696,055 hours) in the total estimated respondent burden compared with that identified in the ICR currently approved by OMB. This decrease primarily reflects EPA's revised estimates in the total number of respondents. This change is an adjustment.

#### V. What is the Next Step in the Process for this ICR?

EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICR as appropriate. The final ICR package will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.12. EPA will issue another **Federal Register** notice pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.5(a)(1)(iv) to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB. If you have any questions about this ICR or the approval process, please contact the technical person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

#### List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: May 30, 2007.

**James B. Gulliford,**

*Assistant Administrator, Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances.*

[FR Doc. E7-11415 Filed 6-12-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-S**

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[R08-CO-2007-0002; FRL-8326-9]

#### Adequacy Determination for the Denver and Longmont, CO, Carbon Monoxide and Denver PM<sub>10</sub> Maintenance Plans' Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets for Transportation Conformity Purposes; State of Colorado

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of adequacy.

**SUMMARY:** With a letter signed September 25, 2006, the State of Colorado submitted revised maintenance plans for carbon monoxide for Denver and Longmont, Colorado, and a revised maintenance plan for PM<sub>10</sub> for Denver, Colorado (the "maintenance plans"). In this document, EPA is notifying the public that we have found adequate for transportation conformity purposes the following motor vehicle emissions budgets from the maintenance plans: The 2021 carbon monoxide motor vehicle emissions budget from the Denver carbon monoxide maintenance plan, the 2020 carbon monoxide motor vehicle emissions budget from the Longmont carbon monoxide maintenance plan, and the 2022 PM<sub>10</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> motor vehicle emissions budgets from the Denver PM<sub>10</sub> maintenance plan. 40 CFR 93.118(e)(2) requires that EPA declare an implementation plan submission's motor vehicle emissions budgets adequate for conformity purposes prior to the budgets being used to satisfy the conformity requirements of 40 CFR part 93. As a result of our finding, the Denver Regional Council of Governments as the Metropolitan Planning Organization, the Colorado Department of Transportation and the U.S. Department of Transportation are required to use the motor vehicle emissions budgets identified above for future transportation conformity determinations.

**DATES:** This finding is effective June 28, 2007.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jeffrey Kimes, Air & Radiation Program (8P-AR), United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8, 1595 Wynkoop, Denver, Colorado 80202, (303) 312-6445, [kimes.jeffrey@epa.gov](mailto:kimes.jeffrey@epa.gov).

The letters documenting our finding are available at EPA's conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/reg8sips.htm>.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document we, us, or our, are used to mean EPA.

This action is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. We sent letters to the State of Colorado, Department of Public Health and Environment on May 3, 2007, stating that the 2021, 2020, and 2022 motor vehicle emissions budgets (MVEB) in the submitted Denver and Longmont carbon monoxide maintenance plans and the Denver PM<sub>10</sub> maintenance plan are adequate. These findings will also be announced on our conformity Web site at <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/reg8sips.htm>.

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. The conformity rules at 40 CFR part 93 require that transportation plans, programs, and projects conform to SIPs and establish the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they demonstrate conformity. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's MVEBs are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from our completeness review, and it also should not be used to prejudge our ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved, and vice versa.

The process for determining the adequacy of a transportation conformity budget is described at 40 CFR 93.118(f).

The 2021, 2020, and 2022 MVEBs from the Denver and Longmont, Colorado carbon monoxide and PM<sub>10</sub> maintenance plans are as follows:

## CARBON MONOXIDE

Year	Maintenance area	Pollutant	Emission budget (tons per day)
2021 .....	Denver .....	Carbon Monoxide .....	1600
2020 .....	Longmont .....	Carbon Monoxide .....	43

PM<sub>10</sub>

Year	Maintenance area	Pollutant	Emission budget (tons per day)
2022 .....	Denver .....	PM <sub>10</sub> .....	55
2022 .....	Denver .....	Nitrogen Oxides (NO <sub>x</sub> ) .....	56

40 CFR 93.118(e)(1) requires that upon a finding of adequacy these budgets must be used in transportation conformity determinations unless the maintenance plan is later disapproved by EPA.

We note that the submitted Denver, Colorado, carbon monoxide maintenance plan proposes revisions to the previously approved 2013 carbon monoxide MVEB for Denver. The submitted Longmont, Colorado, carbon monoxide maintenance plan proposes changes to the previously approved 2010 and 2015 carbon monoxide MVEBs for Longmont. The submitted Denver, Colorado, PM<sub>10</sub> maintenance plan proposes changes to the previously approved 2015 PM<sub>10</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> MVEBs for Denver. However, 40 CFR 93.118 (e)(1) does not allow budgets in submitted plans to supersede MVEBs in approved implementation plans for the same Clean Air Act requirement and the same years addressed by a previously approved implementation plan unless EPA specifies otherwise in its approval of a SIP, a circumstance that does not apply here. Thus, this adequacy finding does not make any changes to these previously approved budgets. These budgets will be addressed in EPA's action regarding the approval or disapproval of the maintenance plans.

The submitted Denver PM<sub>10</sub> maintenance plan includes a budget trading protocol for calculating the PM<sub>10</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> budgets for each conformity determination. That protocol will be addressed in EPA's action to approve or disapprove the maintenance plan; this adequacy finding has no bearing on that action and does not authorize the use of the budget trading protocol.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: June 1, 2007.

**Kerrigan G. Clough,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 8.*

[FR Doc. E7-11413 Filed 6-12-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2007-0474; FRL-8135-9]

### Methods for Testing Efficacy of Skin-Applied Repellents; Notice of Public Meeting

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** EPA's Biopesticides and Pollution Prevention Division in cooperation with the Registration Division and the Field and External Affairs Division will host a public workshop on June 19, 2007. The purpose of the workshop is to share technical information on updates and latest developments in repellents efficacy testing, and alternatives to human subjects testing for efficacy of skin-applied repellents. Experts in product performance testing from other agencies of the Federal government, Department of Defense, United States Department of Agriculture, Centers for Disease Control, and private laboratories have been invited and are expected to attend. The invitation is also extended to the general public. Proceedings from this meeting will inform EPA for completing OPPTS Harmonized Guideline 810.3700. The revised OPPTS Harmonized 810.3700 testing guideline, *Product Performance of Skin-Applied Repellents of Insect and other Arthropods*, has already been through the Science Advisory Panel and the Human Studies Review Board review in recent years. The guideline provides guidance for adequate testing of performance of skin-applied repellents on insects and other arthropods of human health significance.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on June 19, 2007, from 9 a.m. to 3 p.m.

To request accommodation of a disability, please contact the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION**

**CONTACT**, as soon as possible to give EPA time to process your request.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held in Room 4830 (4th Floor) of One Potomac Yard (South Building), 2777 S. Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Clara Fuentes, Biopesticides and Pollution Prevention Division (7511C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (703) 308-0171; fax number: (703) 308-7026; e-mail address: [Fuentes.Clara@epa.gov](mailto:Fuentes.Clara@epa.gov).

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. General Information

##### A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

This action is directed to the public in general. This action may be of particular interest to anyone who may be affected by the revised OPPTS Harmonized 810.3700 testing guideline, *Product Performance of Skin-Applied Repellents of Insect and other Arthropods*. You may be potentially affected by this action if you are involved in product performance testing for a Federal government agency or are a private laboratory which conducts product performance testing, repellent efficacy testing and/or human subjects testing. Potentially affected entities may include, but are not limited to:

- Testing Laboratories (NAICS code 541380), e.g., product testing laboratories or services, testing laboratories (except medical, veterinary), biological (except medical, veterinary) testing laboratories or services.

- Research and Development in Physical, Engineering, and Life Sciences (NAICS code 541710), e.g., agriculture research and development laboratories or services, biology research and development laboratories or services, environmental research and development laboratories or services,