# US Department of Transportation Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration Office of Pipeline Safety

### Hazardous Liquid IMP Field Verification Inspection 49 CFR Parts 195.450 and 195.452

#### General Notes:

- 1. This Field Verification Inspection is performed on field activities being performed by an Operator in support of their Integrity Management Program (IMP).
- 2. This is a two part inspection form:
  - i. A review of applicable Operations and Maintenance (O&M) and IMP processes and procedures applicable to the field activity being inspected to ensure the operator is implementing their O&M and IMP Manuals in a consistent manner.
  - ii. A Field Verification Inspection to determine that activities on the pipeline and facilities are being performed in accordance with written procedures or guidance.
- 3. Not all parts of this form may be applicable to a specific Field Verification Inspection, and only those applicable portions of this form need to be completed. The applicable portions are identified in the Table below by a check mark. Only those sections of the form marked immediately below need to be documented as either "Satisfactory"; "Unsatisfactory"; or Not Checked ("N/C"). Those sections not marked below may be left blank.

Operator Inspected:	_
Op ID:	

Perform Activity	Activity	Activity Description
(denoted by mark)	Number	
	1A	In-Line Inspection
	1B	Hydrostatic Pressure Testing
	1C	Other Assessment Technologies
	2A	Remedial Actions
	2B	Remediation – Implementation
	3A	Installed Leak Detection System Information
	3B	Installed Emergency Flow Restrictive Device
	4A	Field Inspection for Verification of HCA Locations
	4B	Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs
	4C	Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Protection
		System
	4D	Field inspection for general system characteristics

#### **Hazardous Liquid IMP Field Verification Inspection Form**

Name of Operator:			
Headquarters Address:			
Company Official:			
Phone Number:			
Fax Number:			
Operator ID:			
Persons Interviewed	Title	Phone No.	E-Mail
:	Primary Contact		
OPS/State Representative(s):	Da	tes of Inspection:	
- ~.			
Inspector Signature:			
<b>Pipeline Segment Descriptions:</b> [note: Descriptions] grade, seam type, coating type, length, pressure,			
Site Location of field activities: [note: Describe milepost/stations/valves/pipe-to-soil readings/rivitems in any PHMSA compliance action or conse	er crossings/etc. In addition, a brie	f description and case nu	mber of the follow up

appropriate.]

Summary:			
Findings:			
Key Documents Reviewed:			
Document Title	Document No.	Rev. No	Date

Document Title	Document No.	Rev. No	Date

#### Part 1 - Performance of Integrity Assessments

1A. In-Line Inspection (Protocol 3.04 & 3.05)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that Operator's O&M and IMP procedural				
requirements (e.g. launching/receiving tools) for				
performance of ILI were followed.				
Verify Operator's ILI procedural requirements were fol			rap	
for launching and receiving of pig, operational control	of flow), as	appropriate.		
Verify ILI tool systems and calibration checks before re				
tool was operating correctly prior to assessment being p	ertormed, a	is appropriate.		
Verify ILI complied with Operator's procedural require	ments for p	erformance of	f a	
successful assessment (e.g. speed of travel within limits				
coverage), as appropriate.	, 1			
Document ILI Tool Vendor and Tool type (e.g. MFL, I	Deformation	). Document		
other pertinent information about Vendor and Tool, as a		,		
Verify that Operator's personnel have access to applica		res		
Other:	•			[Note: Add location specific information,
				as appropriate.]
1D II 1 4 // D	[ C . C .	II C .	N/C	N
1B. Hydrostatic Pressure Testing (Protocol 3.06)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that hydrostatic pressure tests complied with Part 195 Subpart E requirements.				
Review documentation of Hydrostatic Pressure Test pa	rameters and	d results. Ver	ify	
test was performed without leakage and in compliance	with Part 19	5 Subpart E		
requirements.				
Review test procedures and records and verify test acce	ptability and	d validity.		
Review determination of the cause of hydrostatic test fa	ilures, as ap	ppropriate.		
Document Hydrostatic Pressure Test Vendor and equip	mont used a	as a <b>nnronri</b> ata		
Other:	illelli useu, a	as appropriate	•	
Other.				
1C. Other Assessment Technologies (Protocol 3.07)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that application of "Other Assessment				
Technology" complied with Operator's requirements,				
that appropriate notifications had been submitted to				
OPS, and that appropriate data was collected.				
Review documentation of notification to OPS of Opera	tor's applica	ation of "Othe	r	
Assessment Technology", if available. Verify complian				
procedural requirements. If documentation of notificati				
application of "Other Assessment Technology" is available		performance of	of	
assessment within parameters originally submitted to O	PS.			
Verify that appropriate tests are being performed and ap	propriate d	ata is being		
collected, as appropriate.		- 6		
Oal				
Other.				

#### Part 2 - Remediation of Anomalies

	I	1		NY .
2A. Remedial Actions – Process (Protocol 4.1)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that remedial actions complied with the	1			
Operator's procedural requirements.				
Witness anomaly remediation and verify documentation	of remedia	tion (e.g		
Exposed Pipe Reports, Maintenance Report, any Data A			÷.,	
			y	
compliance with Operator's O&M Manual and Part 195	requiremen	nts.		
Verify that Operator's procedures were followed in loca	ting and ex	posing the		
anomaly (e.g. any required pressure reductions, line local				
approximate location of anomaly for excavation, excava				
approximate location of anomary for excavation, excava-	ition, coatm	ig iciliovai).		
Verify that procedures were followed in measuring the			;	
severity of the anomaly, and determining remaining stre	ngth of the	pipe.		
Verify that Operator's personnel have access to applical	ale procedu	rec		
verify that Operator's personner have access to applicat	ne procedu	ics.		
Other:				
2B. Remediation - Implementation (Protocol 4.02)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that the operator has adequately implemented				
its remediation process and procedures to effectively				
remediate conditions identified through integrity				
assessments or information analysis.				
If documentation is available, verify that repairs were co	ompleted in	accordance w	/ith	
the operator's prioritized schedule and within the time f	rames allow	ed in		
§195.452(h).				
§173.132(II).				
Review any documentation for this inspection site for a	, immodiate	namain aan dit	ion	
			поп	
(§195.452(h)(4)(i) where operating pressure was reduce				
shutdown. Verify for an immediate repair condition that	t temporary	operating		
pressure was determined in accordance with the formula	in Section	451.7 of		
ASME/ANSI B31.4 or, if not applicable, the operator sh			ring	
basis justifying the amount of pressure reduction.	iouiu provii	ac an engineer	5	
basis justifying the amount of pressure reduction.				
X7 10 .4	105 422	1.1. 0	,	
Verify that repairs were performed in accordance with §	195.422 an	d the Operato	r's	
O&M Manual, as appropriate.				
Review CP readings at anomaly dig site, if possible. (S	ee Part 4 of	this form –		
"Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Pr				
* * *	otton by	, 40		
appropriate.				Cathodic Protection readings of pipe to
				soil at dig site (if available):
				On Potential:mV
Other:				Off Potential:mV
				[Note: Add location specific information,
				as appropriate.]
				as appropriate.

#### Part 3 - Preventive and Mitigative Actions

3A. Installed Leak Detection System Information (Protocol 6.05)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Identify installed leak detection systems on pipelines and facilities that can affect an HCA.				
Document leak detection system components installed capabilities, as appropriate.	on system to	enhance		
Document the frequency of monitoring of installed leak connection of installed components to leak detection me appropriate,			erify	
Other:				[Note: Add location specific information, as appropriate.]
3B. Installed Emergency Flow Restrictive Device (Protocol 6.06)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify additional preventive and mitigative actions implemented by Operator.				
Document Emergency Flow Restrictive Device (EFRD) system.	) componen	t(s) installed o	on	
Note that EFRD per §195.450 means a check valve or remote control valve as follows:  (1) Check valve means a valve that permits fluid to flow freely in one direction and contains a mechanism to automatically prevent flow in the other direction.  (2) Remote control valve or RCV means any valve that is operated from a location remote from where the valve is installed. The RCV is usually operated by the supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) system. The linkage between the pipeline control center and the RCV may be by fiber optics, microwave, telephone lines, or satellite.				
Document the frequency of monitoring of installed EFRDs and verify connection of installed components to monitoring/operating system, as appropriate.			n of	
Verify operation of remote control valve by having operation of partially open or close the valve, as appropriate.	rator send re	emote comma	nd	
Comment on the perceived effectiveness of the EFRD in mitigating the consequences of a release on the HCA that it is designed to protect.				[Note: Add location specific information, as appropriate.]
Other:				

#### Part 4 - Field Investigations (Additional Activities as appropriate)

*	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Review HCAs locations as identified by the Operator.				
Utilize NPMS, as appropriate.		<u> </u>		
Verify population derived HCAs in the field are as they			ps	
and NPMS, as appropriate. Document newly constructe population and/or commercial areas that could be affected			20	
appropriate.	ed by a pipe	enne reiease, a	18	
Note that population derived HCAs are defined in §195.				
Verify drinking water and ecological HCAs in the field a				
Operator's maps and NPMS, as appropriate. Document				
water sources and/or ecological resources areas (within	last 2-3 yea	rs) that could	be	
affected by a pipeline release, as appropriate.	0405			
Note that unusually sensitive areas (USAs) are defined in		1		
Verify commercially navigable waterway HCAs in the f				
Operator's maps and NPMS, as appropriate. Document nature) that could affect the waterways status as a comm			ı ın	
waterway, as appropriate.	lercially ha	vigable		[Note: Add location specific information,
Note that commercially navigable waterway HCAs are c	lefined in 8	195 450		as appropriate.]
Trote that commercially haviguete waterway from the co	ornica in 5	198.186		
4B. Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify repair areas, ILI verification sites, etc.				
Document the anomaly dig sites reviewed as part of this	field activi	ty and actions	S	[Note: Add location specific information,
taken by the operator.				as appropriate.]
4C. Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the	G		27/0	Notes:
Cathodic Protection System	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	
In case of hydrostatic pressure testing, Cathodic				
Protection (CP) systems must be evaluated for general				
adequacy.				
The operator should review the CP system performance				
hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessme				
threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure		ie CP system		
Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual		neura minimu	m	Cathodic Protection readings of pipe to
code requirements are being met, if available.	survey to ci	iisuic iiiiiiiiu	111	soil at dig site (if available):
code requirements are semig met, if available.				On Potential:mV
Review results of random field CP readings performed d	luring this a	activity to ens	ure	Off Potential:mV
minimum code requirements are being met, if possible.				
checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are opera-	ating correc	tly, if possibl	e.	[Note: Add location specific information,
				as appropriate.]
4D. Field inspection for general system characteristics	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Through field inspection determine overall condition of				Trotes.
pipeline and associated facilities for a general				
estimation of the effectiveness of the operator's IMP				
implementation.				
Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to ensu				
requirements are being met, as appropriate.				
Comment on Operator's apparent commitment to the integrity and safe operation of				
their system, as appropriate.				
Other				

# **Anomaly Evaluation Report** (to be completed as appropriate)

Pipeline Sys	stem and Line Pip	ne Information		
Operator (OpID and System Name):				
Unit ID (Pipeline Name)				
Pipe Manufacturer and Year:	Sea	nm Type and Orientation:		
Pipe Nominal OD (inch):		nm Orientation:		
Pipe Nominal Wall thickness (inch):		ating Type:		
Grade of Pipe:	MC	¥		
	I Reported Inform	nation		
ILI Technology (e.g., Vendor, Tools):				
Anomaly Type (e.g., Mechanical, Metal Lo	oss):			
Is anomaly in a segment that can affect an				
Date of Tool Run (MM/DD/YY):	Date of Inspec	ction Report (MM/DD/YY):		
Date of "Discovery of Anomaly" (MM/DI	D/YY):			
Type of "Condition" (e.g.; Immediate; 60-	day; 180-day):			
Anomaly Feature (Int/Ext):	Orientation:			
Anomaly Details: Length (in):	Width (in):	Depth (in):		
Anomaly Log Distance (ft):	Distance from U	pstream weld (ft):		
Length of joint of pipe in which anomaly i	s identified (ft):			
Anomaly 1	Dig Site Informati	on Summary		
Date of Anomaly Dig (MM/DD/YY):				
Location Information:				
Mile Post Number:	Distance from A	/G Reference (ft):		
Distance from Upstream weld (ft):				
GPS Readings (if available) Longitude:		Latitude:		
Anomaly Feature (Int/Ext):	Orientation:			
Length of joint of pipe in which anomaly i	s found (ft):			
For Me	echanical Damage	Anomaly		
Damage Type (e.g., original construction,	plain dent, gouge):	·		
Length (in):	Width (in):	Depth (in):		
Near a weld? (Yes / No):				
Gouge or metal loss associated with dent?	(Yes / No):			
Did operator perform additional NDE to ev	valuate presence of cra	acks in dent? (Yes / No):		
Cracks associated with dent? (Yes / No):				
For Con	rrosion Metal Los	s Anomaly		
Anomaly Type (e.g., pitting, general):		•		
Length (in):	Width (in):	Max. Depth (in):		
Remaining minimum wall thickness (in): Maximum % Wall Loss measurement(%):				
Safe pressure calculation (psi), as appropri	ate:			
For "(	Other Types" of A	nomalies		
Describe anomaly (e.g., dent with metal lo				
Length (in):	Width (in):	Max. Depth (in):		
Other Information, as appropriate:				
	1	1 0 (XZ / NI )		
Did operator perform additional NDE to ev	valuate presence of cra	acks? (Yes / No):		

# **Anomaly Repair Report** (to be completed as appropriate)

Rep	air Information	
Was a repair of the anomaly made? (Yes / No):		
Was defect ground out to eliminate need for rep	air? (Yes / No):	
If grinding used, complete the following for affe	ected area:	
	dth (in):	Depth (in):
If NO repair of an anomaly for which RSTREN	G is applicable, were the Opera	tor's RSTRENG calculations
reviewed? (Yes / No):		
If Repair made, complete the following:		
Repair Type (e.g., Type B-sleeve, composite wi	rap)	
Length of Repair:		
Comments on Repair material, as appropriate (e	e.g., grade of steel):	
Pipe re-coating material used following excavat	ion:	
General Obs	ervations and Comments	
Was a diagram (e.g., corrosion map) of the anor	naly made? (Yes / No):	(Include in report if available)
Were pipe-to-soil cathodic protection readings t	aken? (Yes / No):	
If readings taken, Record: On Potential:	mV; Off Potenti	al:mV
Describe method used to Operator to locate ano	maly (as appropriate):	
Comments regarding procedures followed during	g excavation, repair of anomaly	y, and backfill (as appropriate):
General Observations and Comments (Note: att	ach photographs, sketches, etc.,	, as appropriate):