Washington counties in southern Utah. Because the purpose of this process is to determine the applicability of the EPAMP PMI to the P–DP, these issues are outside the scope of this public process. These issues may be addressed in a future public process regarding resource pool marketing criteria.

Western received comments to reopen the comment period. Some commenters wanted to respond to comments submitted during the first comment period. Western accepted comments after the official comment period ended, which gave commenters an opportunity to respond. However, Western received no new or additional information beyond that submitted during the comment period. We believe a new comment period is unnecessary. Western has enough information to make a decision.

Some commenters asked Western to recognize the agency relationship between a generation and transmission cooperative and a distribution cooperative. Another asked Western to prevent windfalls for utilities providing service to tribal customers that establish their own utility or change utility services providers. The commenter said the original provider's allocation should be reduced proportionately. These requests are outside the scope of this decision, and Western will resolve questions regarding cooperatives' and providing utilities' relationships and allocations as they arise.

Western was also asked to replace generation lost through water transfers caused by water use and operational needs. Western does not have control of water transfer decisions. The Bureau of Reclamation decides when to make water transfers, so this comment is outside the scope of this decision.

## I. Review Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.*) requires Federal agencies to do a regulatory flexibility analysis if a rule is likely to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities and there is a legal requirement to issue a general notice of proposed rulemaking. Western has determined that this action does not require a regulatory flexibility analysis since it is a rulemaking of particular applicability involving rates or services applicable to public property.

# II. Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act

Western determined this rule is exempt from congressional notification requirements under 5 U.S.C. 801 because the action is a rulemaking of particular applicability relating to rates or services and involving matters of procedure.

## III. Determination Under Executive Order 12866

Western has an exemption from centralized regulatory review under Executive Order 12866; accordingly, we require no clearance of this notice by the Office of Management and Budget.

# **IV. Environmental Compliance**

Western completed an environmental impact statement (EIS) on EPAMP under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA). Western published the Record of Decision in the **Federal Register** (60 FR 53181, October 12, 1995). Western's NEPA review assured all environmental effects related to these actions have been analyzed.

Dated: April 17, 2003.

#### Michael S. Hacskaylo,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 03–11009 Filed 5–2–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[WI115-01-7345; FRL-7493-7]

## Adequacy Status of the MOBILE6 Transportation Conformity Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets for Wisconsin

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of adequacy.

**SUMMARY:** In this notice, EPA is notifying the public that EPA has found that the Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets (MVEB) in the January 31, 2003, Wisconsin State Implementation Plans (SIP) revision are adequate for conformity purposes. The submittal included MOBILE6 MVEB updates for the Milwaukee severe ozone area and the Sheboygan ozone maintenance area, and new maintenance plan MVEBs for the Manitowoc moderate ozone area and the Door marginal ozone area. On March 2, 1999, the DC Circuit Court ruled that submitted SIPs cannot be used for conformity determinations until EPA has affirmatively found them adequate. As a result of our finding, Milwaukee, Sheboygan, Manitowoc, and Door areas can use the MVEBs from the submitted plan for future conformity determinations. These budgets are effective May 20, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The finding and the response to comments

will be available at EPA's conformity Web site: http://www.epa.gov/otaq/ transp/, (once there, click on the "Conformity" button, then look for "Adequacy Review of SIP Submissions for Conformity").

Michael Leslie, Environmental Engineer, Regulation Development Section (AR–18J), Air Programs Branch, Air and Radiation Division, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353–6680, *leslie.michael@epa.gov.* 

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## Background

Today's notice is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. EPA Region 5 sent a letter to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources on March 25, 2003, stating that the Milwaukee, Sheboygan, Manitowoc, and Door MVEBs in the submitted are adequate. This finding will also be announced on EPA's conformity Web site: *http:// www.epa.gov/otaq/transp/*, (once there, click on the "Conformity" button, then look for "Adequacy Review of SIP Submissions for Conformity").

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, programs, and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do. Transportation conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and it also should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the EPA, may later disapprove the SIP.

We've described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in guidance (May 14, 1999, memo titled "Conformity Guidance on Implementation of March 2, 1999, Conformity Court Decision"). We followed the guidance in making our adequacy determination.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: April 22, 2003. Bharat Mathur, Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5. [FR Doc. 03–11000 Filed 5–2–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7494-2]

## Microbial and Disinfectants/ Disinfection Byproducts Advisory Committee; Notice of Charter Renewal

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of charter renewal.

The Charter for the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Microbial and Disinfectants/Disinfection **Byproducts Advisory Committee** (MDBPAC) was renewed on March 7. 2003, for an additional two-year period, in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), 5 U.S.C. App. 2, section 9(c). The MDBPAC provides advice and recommendations to the EPA Administrator on issues associated with the development of regulations to address microorganisms and disinfectants/disinfection byproducts in public water supplies. EPA has determined that continuation of the MDBPAC is necessary and that it is in the public interest to enable the Agency to perform its duties under the Safe Drinking Water Act.

Inquiries may be directed to Sharon Gonder, Designated Federal Officer, MDBPAC, U.S. EPA, MC–4607M, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460 or by e-mail at *gonder.sharon@epa.gov.* 

Dated: April 28, 2003.

G. Tracy Mehan III,

Assistant Administrator, Office of Water. [FR Doc. 03–11001 Filed 5–2–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

#### FEDERAL ACCOUNTING STANDARDS ADVISORY BOARD

#### Accounting for Fiduciary Activities

**AGENCY:** Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board. **ACTION:** Notice of New Exposure Draft

Accounting for Fiduciary Activities.

*Board Action:* Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92– 463), as amended, and the FASAB Rules Of Procedure, as amended in October, 1999, notice is hereby given that the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB) has published a new exposure draft, *Accounting for Fiduciary Activities.* 

A summary of the proposed statements follows: On April 22, 2003, the Federal Accounting Standard Advisory Board (FASAB) released for public comment an exposure draft (ED), Accounting for Fiduciary Activities. The proposed standard provides guidance about how to account for and report fiduciary activity.

The exposure draft is available on the FASAB home page *http:// www.fasab.gov/exposure draft.htm.* Copies can be obtained by contacting FASAB at (202) 512–7350, or *fontenroser@fasab.gov.* 

Respondents are encouraged to comment on any part of the exposure draft. Written comments are requested by June 8, 2003, and should be sent to: Wendy M. Comes, Executive Director, Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board, 441 G Street, NW., Suite 6814, Mail Stop 6K17V, Washington, DC 20548.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Wendy Comes, Executive Director, 441 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20548, or call (202) 512–7350.

Authority: Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. 92–463.

Dated: April 28, 2003.

## Wendy M. Comes,

*Executive Director.* [FR Doc. 03–10931 Filed 5–2–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 1610–01–M

#### FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

#### [Report No. 2607]

## Petitions for Reconsideration of Action in Rulemaking Proceedings

Petitions for Reconsideration have been filed in the Commission's rulemaking proceedings listed in this Public Notice and published pursuant to 47 CFR 1.429(e). The full text of this document is available for viewing and copying in Room CY-A257, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC or may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, Qualex International (202) 863-2893. Oppositions to these petitions must be filed by May 20, 2003. See section 1.4(b)(1) of the Commission's rules (47 CFR 1.4(b)(1)). Replies to an opposition must be filed within 10 days after the time for filing oppositions have expired.

Subject:

- In the Matter of the Revisions to Broadcast Auxiliary Service Rules in Part 74 and Conforming Technical Rules for Broadcast Auxiliary Service, Cable Television Relay Service and Fixed Services in Parts 74, 78 and 101 of the Commission's Rules (ET Docket No. 01–75)
- Telecommunications Industry Association, Petition for Rulemaking Regarding Digital Modulation for the Television Broadcast Auxiliary Service (RM–9418)
- Alliance of Motion Picture and Television Producers, Petition for Rulemaking Regarding Low-Power Video Assist Devices in Portions of the UHF and VHF Television Bands (RM–9856)

Number of Petitions Filed: 2. Subject:

- In the Matter of the Stale or Moot docketed Proceedings, 1993
- Annual Access Tariff Filings phase I (CC Docket No. 93–193)
- 1994 Annual Access Tariff Filings (CC Docket No. 94–65)
- AT&T Communications Tariff F.C.C. Nos. 1 and 2, Transmittal Nos. 5460, 5461, 5462, And 5464 Phase II (CC Docket No. 93–193)
- Bell Atlantic Telephone Companies Tariff F.C.C. No. 1, Transmittal No. 690 (CC Docket No. 94–157) Number of Petitions Filed: 1.

#### Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–10969 Filed 5–2–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–M

# FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

#### **Notice of Agency Meeting**

Pursuant to the provisions of the "Government in the Sunshine Act" (5 U.S.C. 552b), notice is hereby given that the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation's Board of Directors will meet in open session at 10:00 a.m. on Wednesday, May7, 2003, to consider the following matters:

Summary Agenda: No substantive discussion of the following items is anticipated. These matters will be resolved with a single vote unless a member of the Board of Directors requests that an item be moved to the discussion agenda.

- Disposition of minutes of previous Board of Directors' meetings.
- Summary reports, status reports, and reports of actions taken pursuant to authority delegated by the Board of Directors.