Depository Selection Mechanisms: Questions for the Community



As the review of the item number system used by the Federal depository libraries to select publications continues, GPO would like feedback from the depository community on the proposals put forward in the briefing papers. Please assist us by responding to the following questions. In order to accurately gauge the views of all conference participants, please fill-out only one questionnaire. Please return the completed questionnaire to the registration desk.

			the revised briefing papers selection/index.html	in your folders or online at
(Optional) N	Name		Library	
I attended V	Vednesday'	s session on selecti	ion mechanisms Yes	No
General Bac	ekground			
requirements	for online			ications are different enough from the or selection and distribution?
of online pub	olications?	existing item num No	•	vised to reflect the increasing number
		system needs to be No	revised to allow more flex	sibility for tangible selection?
(Circle the ap a) b)	of collection ppropriate re Bibliograph New titles	n development tool esponse) nies on specific top lists issued more fre	ics and geographic regions	
consider usin a) b)	ng to select of Collection	online publications? development tools sed item numbers	line publications is implen? (Circle the appropriate re	nented, which option will you esponse)
•	•	use the "Locate Lib No	oraries" feature of the CGP	for online publications?
agrees to pro Do you agree	vide access that this fu	to, i.e. assist users	in locating and using that paintained in any new mod	ne publications a depository library publication and others on that subject? el for online selection?

development tools before implementing the proposed changes to item numbers? (Circle the appropriate response)				
a) 30 days				
b) 60 days				
c) 90 days				
Selection of Tangible Publications				
9. If the proposed model for selection of tangible publications were adopted, depository libraries will have				
a specified time period to review and select titles with items they have designated as "review." What is a				
reasonable time period for review and selection of these items? (Circle the appropriate response)				
a) 2 weeks				
b) 30 days				
c) 45 days d) 60 days				
u) oo days				
10. If your library is not a regional, how would you use the review items? (Circle the appropriate response)				
a) I would only use the selected and non-selected itemsb) I would use the review items primarily for category classes, such as General Publications				
c) I would use the review items primarily for category classes, such as General Lubications c) I would use the review items heavily to tailor my collection more closely to my users' needs				
11. Would you consider the additional workload of having to review some titles before receipt an acceptable tradeoff to allow more specificity in selection of tangible items and reduce unwanted items held				
for 5 years?				
Yes No				
12. What is an appropriate claims period for selected items (similar to standing orders)? This period would begin at time of shipment. a) 30 days b) 45 days c) 60 days				
 13. What is an appropriate claims period for review items (similar to review copies)? This period would begin at time of shipment. (circle the appropriate response) a) 30 days b) 45 days c) 60 days 				
Comments on Either or Both Proposals (If you have additional comments, please use the comment cards.)				

8. If the proposed model were adopted, how much time would be needed to review the new collection