

Minutes
CVISN Architecture Configuration Control Board
22 June 2006

Attendees:

Tracy Scott (GA)	Hal Rumpca (SD)	Brenda Lantz (NDSU)
Chris Campbell (ID/Iteris)	Tammy Duncan (TX)	Gary DeRusha (Volpe)
Terri Ungerman (LA, OK)	Doug Deckert (WA)	Andrew Wilson (Volpe)
Jodee Alm (MT)	Anne Ford (WA)	Jingfei Wu (Volpe)
John Casteel (NE)	Bill Goforth (WA)	Val Barnes (APL)
Keith Dey (NE)	Ross Morris (WA)	Karen Goldee (APL)
Beth Hartley (NE)	Keith Gottschalk (WI)	Nancy Magnusson (APL)
Linda Forrester (NJ)	Andrew Fredrickson (ACS)	Beth Roberts (APL)
Steve Trudell (NY)	Bill Guiffre (CSI)	Sandy Salazar (APL)
Basheer Shaik (NC)		

A meeting of the CVISN Architecture Configuration Control Board (ACCB) was held 22 June 2006. The list of Change Requests (CRs) that were discussed was distributed to the ACCB members via e-mail prior to the meeting. CRs reviewed at the meeting are attached to these minutes.

Action items assigned appear in the relevant section of the minutes. Comments or corrections to these minutes or the CRs should be sent to Beth Roberts (<mailto:Onna.Roberts@jhuapl.com>).

Items that the ACCB recommends submitting to FMCSA for approval are noted below the action item list. In the attached list of CRs discussed at the meeting, the "Description" section of each CR summarizes the discussion and reflects changes to the CR.

The ACCB minutes and architecture CRs are posted on the Change Request page of the CVISN Web site: <http://cvisn.fmcsa.dot.gov/index.html>.

For those who wish to join the CVISN System Architects' listserv, please contact Mary Beth Dill, mdill@wvadmin.gov and ask her to add you to the list. The URL for the listserv Web site is: http://listserv.wvnet.edu/archives/cvisn_sysarchitects.html.

The next meeting will be held **20 July 2006** at 2:00 PM Eastern.

Discussion Items

Additional topics. A special telecon for 29 June was scheduled to discuss e-screening enrollment processes and SAFER data integrity issues, which we did not have time to discuss at our regular monthly meeting.

SAFER CVIEW Interface Re-certification. States should contact Volpe as soon as they are ready to recertify. This must be accomplished by the end of calendar year 2006. Only the XML transactions the state is currently using need to be recertified with SAFER.

CR Discussion

1. **CR 4674 (SAFER CR 797) – Synopsis:** Modification to data requirement for SAFETY_CARRIER
Discussion: This CR is asking to relax the constraint for CVISN/PRISM states regarding the mandatory data requirement to populate the Safety Carrier field when the IRP_WEIGHT_CARRIED field has a value less than 10,000 lbs. Volpe will rewrite the description of this CR for clarification and repost to the listserv.
2. **CR 4626 – Synopsis:** Modify MCMIS and SAFER to accommodate multiple DBA name fields.
Discussion: The comments received on this CR were tallied and posted to the listserv. After further discussion, the ACCB decided that the time and effort required to implement this CR would be better spent on more critical CRs. Participants agreed that this CR should be disapproved.
3. **CR 3094 (SAFER CR 164) – Synopsis:** Add a check constraint to SAFER for the value of IRP_WEIGHT_CARRIED.
Discussion: Nine states responded to the listserv item that requested comments regarding the lowest boundary allowed for the edit check on IRP_WEIGHT_CARRIED field within their state. The ACCB agreed to recommend this CR for approval and set the lowest boundary to 4,000 lbs.
4. **CR 733 (SAFER CR 16) – Synopsis:** States requested that an XML permit transaction be included in a future version of SAFER.
Summary: This CR was originally proposed by WI in September 2002. In order to share permit data through SAFER, states need to define what data is needed in the transaction. Long or short term permits? OS/OW permits? HazMat permits? Intrastate or interstate?
Discussion: WA requested that this CR be re-posted after last month's meeting to give states more time to respond. Two more states responded – OK and TX. OK, along with several other states, issue alliance hazwaste/hazmat credentials that are good in all of those states. OK requested a XML permit transaction to include five fields:
 - 1) Credential ID,
 - 2) Credential status,
 - 3) Credential expiration date,
 - 4) Last update date, and
 - 5) Status code update date.TX asked for a long-term OS/OW permit to include eight fields:
 - 1) Permit number,
 - 2) Date of issue,
 - 3) Description of load,
 - 4) Route,
 - 5) Vehicle ID,
 - 6) Size and weight allowed by axle,
 - 7) Expiration, and
 - 8) Remarks.

The ACCB agreed that this CR requires more participation from the stakeholders and additional research by Volpe/FMCSA. See action item #3.

Open SAFER CRs for Discussion

1. **SAFER 302 – Synopsis:** Implement capability to revoke e-screening authorization.
Discussion: The ACCB agreed that this CR should be added to the list of e-screening issues and discussed further. Architecture CR 3670 asks Volpe to develop a Business Use Case for E-screening Enrollment and Transponder ID Management. The E-screening Use Case could be used as a mechanism to clarify the existing e-screening process for the stakeholders.
2. **SAFER CR 133 – Synopsis:** Allow SAFER to store one vehicle record per VIN for each jurisdiction.
Discussion: This CR has already been approved and will be implemented in the August release of SAFER. The implementation of this CR will fix the data problems with the existing T0028 baseline. There will be constraints built into the new database table structures envisioning the implementation of CR 50 in the future. The existing T0022 will still be used to send data to SAFER, and SAFER will parse the data to send out the T0028.

Action Items from ACCB Meeting 22 June 2006

1. **Action Item:** Continuing from previous meeting. APL will transfer CR 66 (Diesel Emissions Data in Inspection Reports and Snapshots) to the I-95 Corridor Coalition for further investigation.
2. **Action Item:** 22 States have intrastate SafeStat data in A&I and have asked the A&I group/FMCSA to calculate ISS scores on intrastate data. These are a combination of PRISM and PRISM/CVISN states. Volpe wanted to know if CVISN-only states want to see this data. Right now the field in the T0031 transaction says insufficient data. **Volpe will repost this question to the listserv to give the states more time to respond and take the responses back to the A&I group.** Volpe and the A&I group will investigate further and submit a SAFER CR to the ACCB that will include information regarding the need for additional fields and flags.
3. **CR 733 (SAFER CR 16) – Synopsis:** States requested that an XML permit transaction be included in a future version of SAFER.
Action Item: Terri Ungerman (OK) will contact IL, MI, MN, NV, OH, and WV concerning the requirements for permit data. APL will re-post this CR to the listserv requesting more stakeholder input.
4. **CR 4674 (SAFER CR 797) – Synopsis:** Modification to data requirement for SAFETY_CARRIER.
Action Item: Volpe will clarify the description of the CR and re-post to the listserv for comment.

5. **SAFER CR 302 – Synopsis:** Implement capability to revoke e-screening authorization.
Action Item: This CR will be added to the E-screening Issues list for further discussion.

Items that the ACCB Recommended for FMCSA ECCB Approval

CR 3094 (SAFER CR 164) – Synopsis: Add a check constraint to SAFER for the value of IRP_WEIGHT_CARRIED.

The ACCB recommended a lower limit of 4000 pounds.

Items that the ACCB Disapproved

CR 4626 – Synopsis: Several states support the capability for SAFER to store multiple DBA names.