# LIME

# By M. Michael Miller

Lime is an important chemical with hundreds of chemical, industrial, and environmental uses in the United States. Its history probably dates back at least 4,000 to 6,000 years. The ancient Egyptians utilized lime as an ingredient in mortar and plaster. The Greeks, Romans, and Chinese utilized lime for construction, agriculture, bleaching, and tanning. Its uses began expanding with the advent of the industrial revolution, but it remained primarily a construction commodity until the rapid growth of the chemical process industries at the beginning of the 20th century. At the turn of the century, over 80% of lime consumed in the United States went for construction uses, but now over 90% of lime is consumed for chemical and industry uses.

Lime is a basic chemical that ranked fifth in total production in the United States in 1994. It is produced in 33 States and Puerto Rico, and its major uses are in steelmaking; pulp and paper manufacturing; construction; and the treatment of water, sewage, and smokestack emissions.

Total lime sold or used by domestic producers, excluding that from Puerto Rico, increased by about 600,000 tons (660,000 short tons) to 17.4 million tons (19.2 million short tons) in 1994. Production included the commercial sale or captive consumption of quicklime, hydrated lime, and dead-burned refractory dolomite. These products were valued at more than \$1,020 million. Commercial sales increased by 600,000 tons (660,000 short tons) to a record high of 15.5 million tons (17.1 million short tons), while captive consumption was essentially unchanged at 1.88 million tons (2.07 million short tons). (See table 1.)

#### Production

The term "lime", as used throughout this chapter, refers primarily to six chemicals produced by the calcination of high-purity calcitic or dolomitic limestone followed by hydration where necessary. They are (1) quicklime, calcium oxide (CaO); (2) hydrated lime, calcium hydroxide [Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>]; (3) dolomitic quicklime (CaOMgO); two types of dolomitic hydrate, (4) type N [Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>MgO] and (5) type S [Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub>]; and (6)

dead-burned dolomite. Nondolomitic quicklime and hydrated lime are also called high-calcium lime. Lime also can be produced from a variety of calcareous materials such as aragonite, chalk, coral, marble, and shell. Lime is also regenerated; that is, produced as a byproduct, by paper mills, carbide plants, and water treatment plants; however, regenerated lime is beyond the scope of this report.

Domestic production data for lime are developed by the U.S. Bureau of Mines from two separate, voluntary surveys of U.S. operations. The survey used to prepare this report is the annual "Lime" survey. Of the 114 operations to which the annual survey request was sent, 98 responded, representing 86% of the total sold or used by producers shown in table 2. Production for 12 nonrespondents was provided based on the monthly survey. Production for four nonrespondents was estimated using reported prior-year production figures.

In 1994, 64 companies produced lime. Leading producing companies, in descending order, were Chemical Lime Co., with two plants each in Alabama, Arizona, California, Nevada, and Texas and one each in Idaho and Utah; Dravo Lime Co., with two plants in Kentucky and one plant in Alabama; Mississippi Lime Co. in Missouri; Marblehead Lime Co., with two plants in Illinois and one each in Indiana and Michigan; Continental Lime Inc., with one plant each in Montana, Nevada, Utah, and Washington: Martin Marietta Magnesia Specialties in Ohio; APG Lime Co., with one plant each in Texas and Virginia; Wimpey Minerals PA, Inc., with two plants in Pennsylvania, U.S. Lime & Minerals, Inc., with one plant each in Arkansas, Pennsylvania, and Texas; and LTV Steel in Ohio. These 10 companies operated 32 plants and accounted for nearly 64% of total lime production.

Domestic lime plant capacity is based on 365 days minus the average number of days for maintenance times the average 24-hour capacity of quicklime production, including quicklime converted to hydrated lime, and reported in short tons per year. Specific plant capacity data were unavailable for all commercial lime plants. (See tables 2 and 3.)

The year was marked by numerous announcements of closures, restructuring, name changes, acquisitions, planned capacity increases, and new plant construction. Some of the most significant announcements came out of the two largest U.S. lime companies, Chemical Lime Co. and Dravo Lime Co.

The Chemical Lime group of companies was reorganized under a single company name, Chemical Lime Co. The company organized itself into an eastern division consisting of the former Allied Lime plants in Alabama and the Chemical Lime plants in Texas, and a western division consisting of the former Chemstar plants in Arizona, California, Idaho, Nevada, and Utah.<sup>1</sup>

Chemical Lime Co. announced plans to build a new lime plant near Ste. Genevieve, MO, containing two 1,100-ton-per-day (1,200short-ton) Kennedy Van Saun (KVS) preheater rotary kilns. The construction of this plant was necessitated by the signing of a major long-term contract to supply Monongahela Power Company's Harrison Station near Shinnston, WV. The powerplant will require about 363,000 tons per year (400,000 short tons) of magnesium enhanced lime, which Chemical Lime markets as MAG-ON-DEMAND.<sup>2</sup>

Chemical Lime also announced plans to expand its production of liquid calcium hydroxide slurry. The company anticipates increased demand for neutralization products because of the short supply and volatility of caustic soda prices. Expansions were completed in Florida and in Texas, and the California expansion was scheduled for early 1994.<sup>3</sup>

Dravo Lime Co. reached a renewal agreement to supply about 159,000 tons per year (175,000 short tons) of lime for 10 years to Monongahela Power's Pleasants Station in West Virginia.<sup>4</sup> It also reached a renewal agreement to supply about 408,000 tons per year (450,000 short tons) for 13 years to Pennsylvania Power Company's Bruce Mansfield Station in Pennsylvania.<sup>5</sup> These renewal agreements are in addition to the 15-year contract to supply about 408,000 tons per year (450,000 short tons) to Ohio Power Company's James M. Gavin Station in southeastern Ohio.<sup>6</sup> As a result of these major flue gas desulfurization contracts, Dravo Lime Co. announced plans to expand capacity at its Black River Division at Carntown, KY. The planned expansion called for the installation of two 1000-ton-per-day (1,100-short-ton) KVS preheater rotary kilns;

two lime storage silos; a barge loadout system; three coal storage silos; and a limestone processing, storage, and reclaim system. Computerized process control is also being installed for all surface operations.<sup>7</sup>

Additional industry changes were reported by Global Stone Corp., Marblehead Lime Co., Marine Magnesium Co., and Scottish Heritable, Inc. Global Stone Corp. of Canada purchased Chemstone Corp., Strasburg, VA, a commercial producer of quicklime, hydrate, chemical grade limestone, and construction aggregates.8 Global Stone also signed an agreement to lease for a period of 10 years the assets of Detroit Lime Co. from Edward C. Levy Co. The agreement includes an option to purchase the assets, which takes effect after Dec. 31, 1999.9 Calcitherm Nederland N.V. of the Netherlands finalized the purchase of Marblehead Lime Co. from General Dynamics Corp. in early 1994.<sup>10</sup> Marine Magnesium Co. of South San Francisco, CA, a captive producer of dolomitic lime, sold its trademarks, tradenames, rights, and technology for the manufacture of magnesium chemicals to Morton International, Inc. All manufacturing was transferred to Morton's Michigan facilities and the South San Francisco facility was scheduled for demolition.<sup>11</sup> Scottish Heritable, Inc.; parent company of Arkansas Lime, Corson Lime, and Texas Lime; changed its name to United States Lime & Minerals, Inc. The former name was associated with the company's previous majority stockholder.12

Several companies announced capacity increases. Germany Valley Limestone Co., Riverton, WV, announced plans to install a 450-ton-per-day (500-short-ton) Kennedy Van Saun (KVS) preheater rotary kiln, due to come on line the last quarter of 1995.<sup>13</sup> Continental Lime Inc. installed hydrators at its plants at Townsend, MT, and Wendhover, NV, and also announced plans to add a 635-ton-per-day (700short-ton) preheater rotary at Wendhover, NV.<sup>14</sup> Tenn Luttrell Co., Luttrell, TN, which had been purchased by Global Stone Corp. in October 1993, finished permitting and began construction of a 300-ton-per-day (330-shortton) vertical kiln.<sup>15</sup> (*See table 4.*)

### **Consumption and Uses**

Lime was consumed in every State. The breakdown of consumption by major end uses was as follows: 64% for chemical and industrial uses, 26% for environmental uses, 8% for construction uses, and 2% for refractory dolomite. Captive lime was used mainly in sugar refining and in the production of steel in basic oxygen furnaces.

In steel refining, quicklime was used as a flux to remove impurities such as phosphorus,

silica, and sulfur. Dolomitic lime was often substituted for a fraction of the high-calcium lime to extend refractory life. Dead-burned dolomite, also called refractory lime, was used as a component in tar-bonded refractory brick used in basic oxygen furnaces. Lime consumption by the steel industry increased by nearly 4% to 5.3 million tons (5.8 million short tons) and accounted for about 31% of all lime consumed in the United States.

In nonferrous metallurgy, lime was used in the beneficiation of copper ores to neutralize the acidic effects of pyrite and other iron sulfides and maintain the proper pH in the flotation process. It was used to process alumina and magnesia, to extract uranium from gold slimes, and in the recovery of nickel by precipitation. It was used in gold and silver recovery operations to control the pH of the sodium cvanide solution used to leach the gold and silver from the ore. Such leaching processes are called dump leaching when large pieces of ore are involved, heap leaching when small pieces of ore are involved, and carbon-in-pulp cyanidation when the ore is leached in agitated tanks. Dump and heap leaching involve crushing the ore, mixing it with lime for pH control and agglomeration, and stacking the ore in heaps for treatment with cyanide solution. Lime is used to maintain the pH of the cyanide solution at a pH level between 10 and 11 to maximize precious-metals recovery and to prevent the creation of hydrogen cyanide gas.

In the environmental sector, lime was used in the softening and clarification of municipal potable water. In sewage treatment, lime was used to control pH in the sludge digester, which removes dissolved and suspended solids that contain phosphates and nitrogen compounds. It also aided clarification and killing of bacteria. Lime was used to neutralize acid mine and industrial discharges. In FGD systems serving utility and industrial plants, lime was used to react with sulfur oxides in the flue gas. Lime was used to stabilize sludges from sewage and desulfurization plants before disposal.

The paper industry used lime as a coagulant aid in the clarification of plant process water. It was used, generally in conjunction with soda ash, for softening plant process water. This is a precipitation process to remove bivalent soluble calcium and magnesium cations (and to a lesser extent manganese, ferrous iron, zinc, and strontium), which contribute to the hardness of water. This process also reduces carbonate alkalinity and dissolved solids content.

In the basic Kraft pulping process, wood chips and an aqueous solution (called liquor) of sodium hydroxide and sodium sulfide are heated in a digester. The cooked wood chips (pulp) are discharged under pressure along with

the spent liquor. The pulp is screened, washed, and sent directly to the paper machine or for bleaching. Lime is sometimes used to produce calcium hypochlorite bleach for bleaching the paper pulp. The spent liquor is processed through a recovery furnace where dissolved organics are burned to recover waste heat and where sodium sulfide and sodium carbonate are recovered. The recovered sodium sulfide and sodium carbonate are diluted with water and then treated with slaked lime to recausticize the sodium carbonate into sodium hydroxide (caustic soda) for reuse.

Lime was used to make precipitated calcium carbonate (PCC), a specialty pigment used in premium-quality coated and uncoated papers. The most common PCC production process used in the United States is the carbonation process. Carbon dioxide is bubbled through milk-of-lime to form a precipitate of calcium carbonate and water. The reaction conditions determine the size and shape of the resulting PCC crystals.

Specialty Minerals Inc. (SMI) and Continental Lime Inc. entered into an agreement where SMI would distribute and resell precipitated calcium carbonate produced by Continental at its facility in Tacoma, WA. This agreement eliminates the need for SMI to build a new mill in the Pacific Northwest and provides Continental with SMI's greater experience in servicing existing customers and developing new customers. Continental has also agreed to increase precipitated calcium carbonate production capacity, if SMI can generate new sales. SMI also announced plans to expand production capacity at its merchant precipitated calcium carbonate plant in Adams, MA.16

The chemical industry used lime in the manufacture of alkalies. Quicklime was combined with coke to produce calcium carbide, which was used to make acetylene and calcium cyanide. Lime was used to make calcium hypochlorite, citric acid, petrochemicals, and other chemicals.

In sugar refining, milk of lime, a suspension of hydrated lime in water, was used to raise the pH of the product stream, precipitating colloidal impurities. The lime itself was then removed by reaction with carbon dioxide to precipitate calcium carbonate. The carbon dioxide was obtained as a byproduct of lime production.

Dolomitic quicklime was used as a flux in the manufacture of glass. Quicklime was used to make calcium silicate building products such as sand-lime brick; hydrated lime was used to produce silica refractory brick.

In construction, lime was used for soil stabilization to upgrade clay soils into satisfactory base and subbase materials.

Common applications included the construction of roads, airfields, building foundations, earthen dams, and parking areas. Hydrated lime was used with fly ash to make a base material, in asphalt mixes to act as an antistripping agent, and in plaster, stucco, and mortar to improve durability. *(See table 5.)* 

# Prices

The average value of lime sold or used by producers, as reported to the U.S. Bureau of Mines on an f.o.b. plant basis, increased in 1994 to \$58.73 per ton (\$53.28 per short ton). Average values per ton were \$58.20 (\$52.81 per short ton) for chemical and industrial lime, \$56.64 (\$51.39 per short ton) for environmental lime, \$64.11 (\$58.16 per short ton) for construction lime, \$76.79 (\$69.66 per short ton) for agricultural lime, and \$83.16 (\$75.44 per short ton) for refractory dolomite.

The average value of quicklime sold increased to \$56.43 per ton (\$51.19 per short ton). Average values per ton were \$57.02 (\$51.73 per short ton) for chemical and industrial lime, \$53.91 (\$48.91 per short ton) for environmental lime, \$56.77 (\$51.50 per short ton) for construction lime, and \$80.43 (\$72.97 per short ton) for refractory deadburned dolomite. Almost no quicklime was sold as aglime.

The average value of hydrated lime sold decreased to \$67.71 per ton (\$61.43 per short ton). Average values per ton were \$64.13 (\$58.18 per short ton) for chemical lime, \$69.72 (63.25 per short ton) for environmental lime, \$67.81(\$61.51 per short ton) for construction lime, and \$76.18 (\$69.11 per short ton) for agricultural lime.

# **Foreign Trade**

According to the Bureau of the Census, exports of lime increased by 7% to 74,000 tons (82,000 short tons). Imports of lime were essentially unchanged at 204,000 tons (225,000 short tons). Most U.S. trade was with Canada and Mexico, which together accounted for nearly 100% of the U.S. exports and imports of lime. Canada was the major trading partner, receiving 85% of U.S. exports and shipping 95% of U.S. imports. (*See table 1.*)

#### **Current Research**

The Zedmark Division of Minteq International Inc. has designed and field tested a new kiln lining for rotary kilns. The new lining, which operates as an internal heat exchanger, converts the internal surface of the kiln from circular to multi-sided. This overcomes the problems caused by the kiln load sliding on the refractory. The polygonal shape allows the lifting and tumbling of the load over itself resulting in more efficient mixing and improved heat transfer. The lining is more mechanically and thermally stable and can be used throughout the kiln, including in the burn zone. Other benefits of the new lining include lower maintenance costs than traditional heat linings, lower weight than trefoil linings, and lower dust generation. The new design is quicker and less expensive to install than tumblers, lifters or trefoils.<sup>17</sup>

Current pyrometallurgical copper production methods result in large amounts of sulfur dioxide, which result in the need for expensive pollution control equipment. Research has been conducted to further the understanding of the lime-concentrate roasting process for recovery of copper-bearing sulfides. Such a process, utilizing hydrated lime, captures sulfur as calcium sulfate. As an extension to the research done by R. W. Bartlett and H. H. Haung in the 1970's, researchers in the United Kingdom and Chile analyzed optimum roasting conditions; the effect of the sulfide:lime ratio, air flow rate, pellet size, and porosity on reaction kinetics; and mechanisms of roasting reactions.<sup>18</sup>

# Outlook

Lime has dozens of end uses in the chemical. industrial. and construction industries. Steelmaking is still the largest single end use for lime. The steel industry is adding capacity in the form of 10 new minimills, which will add 9 to 18 million tons per year (10 to 20 million short tons) of new flat-rolled steel capacity. Minimills do not consume as much lime per ton of steel as a large integrated steel producer, but the new mills would require an estimated 370.000 to 740.000 tons (410.000 to 820.000 short tons) of lime per year. This demand would be split between high-calcium and dolomitic lime, approximately 65% and 35%, respectively.

The FGD market is showing the growth expected due to the requirements of Phase I compliance with the Clean Air Act Amendments. The lime industry announced plans to install about 1.8 million tons (2 million short tons) of new capacity in 1995, most of which is intended to supply increased demand for FGD lime. Three midwestern powerplants have begun consuming lime in recently installed scrubbers. Over the course of a year, these plants alone will consume about 725,000 to 815,000 tons (800,000 to 900,000 short tons) per year. Longer-term increases could materialize from the use of lime in dry scrubbers used with small utility boilers (less than 25

Mw), which will be regulated in Phase II of the Clean Air Act Amendments.

Additionally, as a result of the increasing regulation of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, heavy metals, and fly ash from combustion units, an integrated process to treat flue gases has been developed as an alternative to traditional systems that operate a separate system for each pollutant. A three-stage lime system designed to capture sulfur dioxide and fly ash simultaneously and incorporating a selective catalytic reduction unit to recover nitrogen oxides shows cost and operational advantages over traditional systems. These operational and cost advantages may result in switch from limestone systems to lime systems as utility and industrial operators become more familiar with the potential advantages of the integrated lime system.19

The Environmental Protection Agency has proposed new rules regulating emissions of dioxins, heavy metals, and air-borne toxins from smaller municipal incinerators. If the new rules are passed, they would affect about 180 existing incinerators that would probably have to install new pollution control equipment. Current control equipment involves dry-lime scrubbing coupled with bag houses or electrostatic precipitators. Passage of these rules would provide a boost to the incinerator gas scrubbing market in the late 1990's.

Consumption of lime for FGD will likely top 3 million tons (3.3 million short tons) in the next 2 to 3 years, and increase even more when Phase II of the Clean Air Act Amendments goes into effect January 1, 2000.

Other environmental markets as a whole were relatively stable, with a slight increase in the sewage treatment market, which probably reflects the continued growth in the sewage sludge stabilization market. Despite occasional variations in yearly consumption patterns, the individual environmental markets should remain strong, with the greatest potential for growth in sewage sludge stabilization.

Despite downward revisions to the 1993 soil stabilization numbers and the apparent decrease in 1994, soil stabilization will remain a major market, particularly in Texas. The use of lime in asphalt paving should continue to grow.

Lime's traditional pulp and paper market increased in 1994 and paper industry analysts forecast that pulp, paper, and paperboard production will grow faster in 1995 than the overall economy.

The PCC market also increased in 1994 and should continue to show growth as PCC, especially as industry leader Specialty Minerals, Inc., attempts to expand the market share of PCC in the paper coating pigment market.

The three chemical caustic soda plants

constructed in recent years all reopened in 1994, as a result of tight caustic soda supplies and higher prices. When operating, one plant purchases 100% of required lime and the other two regenerate lime needing only to purchase make-up lime. Caustic soda prices increased dramatically in 1994, which made reopening the chemical caustic plants economically feasible. Prices stabilized in the first half of 1995 with contract prices for the remainder of 1995 reported at \$235 per ton. Caustic supplies are expected to become tight in 1996, which would put upward pressure on prices. As long as caustic supplies are tight and prices remain at their current level or go higher, chemical caustic will be economically competitive. The chemical caustic industry remains a regional market for lime, albeit a cyclic market that depends entirely on the price of caustic soda.

- <sup>2</sup>Industrial Minerals (London). Chemical Lime Signs FGD lime Contract. No. 322, July 1994, p. 15. <sup>3</sup>\_\_\_\_\_\_. Chemical Lime Expands Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>
- Production. No. 328, Jan. 1995, p. 15.
- <sup>4</sup>Dravo Corporation. News From Dravo Corporation (news release). Oct. 27, 1994, Dravo Corp., Pittsburgh, PA.

<sup>5</sup>——. News From Dravo Corporation (news release). July 28, 1994, Dravo Corp., Pittsburgh, PA.

<sup>6</sup>\_\_\_\_\_. News From Dravo Corporation (news release). June 21, 1993, Dravo Corp., Pittsburgh, PA.

<sup>7</sup>Industrial Minerals (London). Dravo Lime to Expand Production. Industrial Minerals Annual Review 1994, pp. 17-18.

<sup>8</sup>Global Stone Corporation. Global Stone Corporation Completes Acquisition of Chemstone Corporation of Virginia (news release). July 21, 1994, Global Stone Corp., Oakville, Ontario, Canada.

<sup>9</sup>——. Global Stone and Edw. C. Levy Reach Agreement on Detroit Lime Company (news release). Oct. 26, 1994, Global Stone Corp., Oakville, Ontario, Canada.

<sup>10</sup>Private communication from J. Karsten, Marblehead Lime Co., Aug. 15, 1994.

<sup>11</sup>Industrial Minerals (London). Marine Magnesium Products to Morton. No. 322, July 1994, pp. 13-15.

<sup>12</sup>National Lime Association. Scottish Heritable Inc. Changes Name to United States Lime & Minerals Inc. Lime-Lites, v. LX, Jan.-Dec. 1994, p. 24.

<sup>13</sup>Pit and Quarry. Economic Index. V. 87, No. 3, Sept. 1994, p. 16.

<sup>14</sup>Industrial Minerals (London). SMI and Continental Lime in PCC jv. No. 323, Aug. 1994, p. 13.

<sup>15</sup>Global Stone Corporation. Global Stone Announces Unaudited Results for the Quarter to December 31, 1994 (news release). Jan. 26, 1995, Global Stone Corp., Oakville, Ontario, Canada.

<sup>16</sup>Work cited in footnote 14.

<sup>17</sup>Industrial Minerals (London). Revolutionary Kiln Lining Concept. Processing/Equipment. No. 330, Mar. 1995, p. 79.

<sup>18</sup>Terry, B. S., Riveros, G., Sanchez, M., and J. H. E. Jeffes. Lime-Concentrate Process for Roasting of Copper-Bearing Sulphides--Parts 1-3. Transactions of the Institution of Mining and Metallurgy, Section C, Mineral Processing and Extractive Metallurgy, Sept.-Dec. 1994, pp. C193-C216.

<sup>9</sup>Rinaldi, N. U. Wet Scrubbers: Choose the Best Chemical Reagent. Environmental Engineering World, March-April 1995, pp. 18-24.

# OTHER SOURCES OF INFORMATION

### **U.S. Bureau of Mines Publications**

Lime. Ch. in Minerals Yearbook, annual.

Lime. Ch. in Mineral Commodity Summaries, annual.

Lime. Mineral Industry Surveys, monthly.

**Other Sources** 

Chemical Economics Handbook, Lime.

Chemical Marketing Reporter.

Industrial Minerals (London).

Industrial Minerals and Rocks.

Industrial Specialty News.

Lime Lites (quarterly newsletter of National Lime Association).

Pit and Quarry.

Rock Products.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Chemical Marketing Reporter. Chemical Lime Shifts Divisions. V. 245, No. 10, Mar. 7, 1994, p. 19.

#### TABLE 1 SALIENT LIME STATISTICS 1/

(Thousand metric tons unless otherwise specified) 2/

		1990	1991	1992	1993	1994
United States: 3/						
Number of plants		113	112	112	112	108
Sold or used by producers:						
Quicklime		13,400	13,200	13,700	14,200 r/	14,800
Hydrated lime		2,100	2,170	2,230	2,250 r/	2,290
Dead-burned dolomite		342	308	302	315	300
Total		15,800	15,700	16,200	16,800 r/	17,400
Value 4/	thousands	\$902,000	\$890,000	\$950,000	\$965,000 r/	\$1,020,000
Average value per ton		\$57.09 r/	\$56.69 r/	\$58.64 r/	\$57.44 r/	\$58.62
Lime sold		14,000	13,800	14,300	14,900 r/	15,500
Lime used		1,820	1,820	1,890	1,870 r/	1,880
Exports 5/		49	47	59	69	74
Value	thousands	\$6,150	\$6,060	\$7,540	\$7,830	\$7,800
Imports for consumption 5/		157	158	193	201	204
Value	thousands	\$10,400	\$11,100	\$15,000	\$13,300	\$13,100
Consumption, apparent 6/		15,900	15,800	16,300	16,900 r/	17,530
World: Production		136,000	132 000	127.000 r/	125.000 r/	118.000 e/

e/ Estimated. r/ Revised.

1/ Previously published and 1994 data are rounded by the U.S. Bureau of Mines to three significant digits, may not add to totals shown.

2/ To convert metric tons to short tons multiply metric tons by 1.10231.

3/ Excludes regenerated lime. Excludes Puerto Rico.

4/ Selling value, f.o.b. plant, excluding cost of containers.

5/ Bureau of the Census.

6/ Calculated by sold or used plus imports minus exports.

TABLE 2	
LIME SOLD OR USED BY PRODUCERS IN THE UNITED STATES, B	BY STATE 1/2/

			1993					1994		
		Hydrated	Quicklime	Total			Hydrated	Quicklime	Total	
State	Plants	(thousand	(thousand	(thousand	Value	Plants	(thousand	(thousand	(thousand	Value
		metric tons)	metric tons)	metric tons)	(thousands)		metric tons)	metric tons)	metric tons)	(thousands)
Alabama	4	178	1,450	1,630	\$89,500	4	184	1,470	1,660	\$88,300
Arizona, Nevada, Utah	8	199	1,410	1,610	72,400	8	243	1,570	1,810	114,000
California	9	22	171	193	14,800	7	26	178	203	16,900
Colorado, Montana, Wyoming	10		369	369	24,200	10		335	335	20,900
Idaho, Oregon, Washington	8	17	547	565	40,900	8	25	597	622	44,600
Illinois, Indiana, Missouri	8	455	2,730	3,190	175,000	8	464	2,910	3,380	184,000
Iowa, Nebraska, South Dakota	5	W	W	235	14,400	5	W	W	242	13,700
Kentucky, Tennessee, West Virginia	5	129	1,730	1,850	105,000	5	132	1,800	1,930	106,000
Michigan	8	25	592 r/	617 r/	32,100 r/	9	26	611	637	33,000
North Dakota	3		112 r/	112 r/	4,800 r/	3		108	108	6,590
Ohio	9	W	W	1,700	101,000	9	W	W	1,850	113,000
Pennsylvania	9	286	1,250	1,540	95,400	8	263	1,330	1,590	95,500
Puerto Rico	1	27		27	3,650	1	23	(3/)	23	2,970
Texas	7	522 r/	850 r/	1,370 r/	86,400 r/	6	471	740	1,210	76,200
Virginia	5	117	639	756	40,000	5	121	621	742	40,200
Wisconsin	4	116	395	511	30,900	4	124	383	507	30,300
Other 4/	9	181	2,260 r/	507 r/	35,200 r/	9	213	2,430	548	35,300
Total	112	2,270 r/	14,500 r/	16,800 r/	965,000 r/	109	2,310	15,100	17,400	1,020,000

r/ Revised. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data; included with "Other."

 $1/\ensuremath{\,\text{Excludes}}$  regenerated lime. Includes Puerto Rico.

2/ Previously published and 1994 data are rounded by the U.S. Bureau of Mines to three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

3/ Less than 1/2 unit.

4/ Includes Arkansas, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Oklahoma, and data indicated by the symbol W.

# TABLE 3

# LIME SOLD OR USED BY PRODUCERS IN THE UNITED STATES, 1/ BY RANGE OF PRODUCTION 2/

		1993	1994				
		Quantity 3/		Quantity 3/			
Range of production	Plants	(thousand	Percent	Plants	(thousand	Percent	
		metric tons)	of total		metric tons)	of total	
Less than 10,000 tons	8	57 r/	(4/)	9	52	(4/)	
10,000 to 25,000 tons	19	288 r/	2	16	238	1	
25,000 to 50,000 tons	13	385 r/	2	13	370	2	
50,000 to 100,000 tons	23	1,670 r/	10	15	1,000	6	
100,000 to 200,000 tons	17	2,140	13	24	3,070	18	
200,000 to 400,000 tons	20	4,980 r/	32	21	5,220	31	
More than 400,000 tons	12	7,260 r/	41	11	7,450	42	
Total	112	16,800 r/	100	109	17,400	100	

r/ Revised.

1/ Excludes regenerated lime. Includes Puerto Rico.

2/ Previously published and 1994 data are rounded by the U.S. Bureau of Mines to three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

3/ To convert metric tons to short tons multiply metric tons by 1.10231.

4/ Less than 1/2 unit.

#### TABLE 4

# DESTINATION OF SHIPMENTS OF LIME SOLD OR USED BY PRODUCERS IN THE UNITED STATES, BY STATE $1/\,2/$

# (Thousand metric tons) 3/

State         Hydrated         Hydrated           Quicklime         lime         Total         Quicklime         lime         Total           Alabama         661         47         708         619         53           Alaska         5         1         6         7         1           Arizona         321         47         368         488         59           Arkansas         124         25         149         180         25           California         343         67         410         402         83           Colorado         156         32         189         73         38           Connecticut         22         2         24         21         6           Delaware         17         2         19         54         4           Ocorado         216         86         302         233         79           Hawaii          (4/)         (4/)         (4/)         (4/)           Idaho         162         2         163         210         2           Ilinois         504         161         664         448         162	otal 673 8 547 205 485 111
QuicklimelimeTotalQuicklimelimeTotalAlabama6614770861953Alaska51671Arizona3214736848859Arkansas1242514918025California3436741040283Colorado156321897338Connecticut22224216Delaware17219544District of Columbia88161313Florida4002242239024Georgia2168630223379Hawaii(4/)(4/)(4/)(4/)Idaho16221632102Ilinois504161664448162Indiana1,400r/311,430r/75034Iowa56258165255Kansas83r/21104r/8125Kentucky371r/36r/407r/37337Louisiana221r/86306r/25291Maine4(4/)43(4/)Masachusetts1431215514713Michigan918r/32950r/940 </td <td>btal 673 8 547 205 485 111</td>	btal 673 8 547 205 485 111
Alabama6614770861953Alaska51671Arizona3214736848859Arkansas1242514918025California3436741040283Colorado156321897338Connecticut22224216Delaware17219544District of Columbia88161313Florida4002242239024Georgia2168630223379Hawaii(4/)(4/)(4/)(4/)Idabo16221632102Illinois504161664448162Indiana1,400 r/311,430 r/1,55034Iowa5625816525Kansas83 r/21104 r/8125Kentucky371 r/36 r/407 r/37337Louisiana4(4/)43(4/)Maine4(4/)43(4/)Masachusetts1431215514713Michigan918 r/32950 r/94030	673 8 547 205 485 111
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	8 547 205 485 111
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	547 205 485 111
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	205 485 111
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	485 111
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	111
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	27
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	58
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	26
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	414
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	312
Inimi16221632102Idabo16221632102Indiana1,400 r/311,430 r/1,55034Iowa5625816525Kansas83 r/21104 r/8125Kentucky371 r/36 r/407 r/37337Louisiana221 r/86306 r/25291Maine4(4/)43(4/)Maryland1241714110417Michigan918 r/32950 r/94030	(4/)
Indice1021216314016Illinois504161664448162Indiana1,400 r/311,430 r/1,55034Iowa5625816525Kansas83 r/21104 r/8125Kentucky371 r/36 r/407 r/37337Louisiana221 r/86306 r/25291Maine4(4/)43(4/)Maryland1241714110417Massachusetts1431215514713Michigan918 r/32950 r/94030	213
Initial101001110102Indiana1,400 r/311,430 r/1,55034Iowa5625816525Kansas83 r/21104 r/8125Kentucky371 r/36 r/407 r/37337Louisiana221 r/86306 r/25291Maine4(4/)43(4/)Maryland1241714110417Massachusetts1431215514713Michigan918 r/32950 r/94030	610
Initial1,400 f/311,400 f/1,500 f/1,500 f/34Iowa5625816525Kansas83 r/21104 r/8125Kentucky371 r/36 r/407 r/37337Louisiana221 r/86306 r/25291Maine4(4/)43(4/)Maryland1241714110417Massachusetts1431215514713Michigan918 r/32950 r/94030	1 590
Iowa $30$ $25$ $61$ $65$ $25$ Kansas $83$ r/ $21$ $104$ r/ $81$ $25$ Kentucky $371$ r/ $36$ r/ $407$ r/ $373$ $37$ Louisiana $221$ r/ $86$ $306$ r/ $252$ $91$ Maine $4$ $(4/)$ $4$ $3$ $(4/)$ Maryland $124$ $17$ $141$ $104$ $17$ Massachusetts $143$ $12$ $155$ $147$ $13$ Michigan $918$ r/ $32$ $950$ r/ $940$ $30$	90
Kanada $0.5 \text{ fr}$ $2.1 \text{ for fr}$ $0.1 \text{ for fr}$ $2.3 \text{ for fr}$ Kentucky $371 \text{ rr}$ $36 \text{ rr}$ $407 \text{ rr}$ $373 \text{ str}$ Louisiana $221 \text{ rr}$ $86 \text{ str}$ $252 \text{ str}$ $91 \text{ str}$ Maine $4 \text{ (4/)}$ $4 \text{ str}$ $3 \text{ (4/)}$ Maryland $124 \text{ str}$ $17 \text{ str}$ $141 \text{ str}$ Massachusetts $143 \text{ str}$ $12 \text{ str}$ $155 \text{ str}$ Michigan $918 \text{ rr}$ $32 \text{ str}$ $940 \text{ str}$	106
Reflectively $371$ f/ $30$ f/ $407$ f/ $373$ $377$ Louisiana $221$ r/ $86$ $306$ r/ $252$ $91$ Maine4 $(4/)$ 4 $3$ $(4/)$ Maryland $124$ $17$ $141$ $104$ $17$ Massachusetts $143$ $12$ $155$ $147$ $13$ Michigan $918$ r/ $32$ $950$ r/ $940$ $30$	411
Louisian $221$ i/ $30$ $300$ i/ $232$ $91$ Maine4 $(4/)$ 43 $(4/)$ Maryland1241714110417Massachusetts1431215514713Michigan918 r/32950 r/94030	2/2
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	243
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	121
Massachuseus         143         12         155         147         15           Michigan         918 r/         32         950 r/         940         30	121
Michigan 918 f/ 32 950 f/ 940 50	139
$M_{integrate} = 202 \ n/ = 17 = 210 \ n/ = 271 = 19$	200
Mininesola         302 f/         1/         319 f/         2/1         18           Mininesine         197         1/         319 f/         2/1         18	289
Mississippi 187 16 204 194 22	216
Missouri 100 58 225 104 62	226
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	152
<u>Nebraska</u> 54 10 65 58 9	6/
$\frac{\text{Nevada}}{415} \qquad 415 \qquad 36 \qquad 451 \qquad 468 \qquad 46$	515
$\frac{\text{New Hampshire}}{2} \qquad 2 \qquad (4') \qquad 2 \qquad 2 \qquad (4')$	2
<u>New Jersey</u> 115 20 135 146 22	168
<u>New Mexico</u> 130 42 r/ $1/2$ r/ 43 31	74
<u>New York</u> 87 26 113 83 31	114
North Carolina         202         43         245         197         44	241
North Dakota 261 r/ 3 264 r/ 225 3	228
<u>Ohio</u> 1,700 r/ 137 r/ 1,840 r/ 1,830 161	1,990
<u>Oklahoma</u> 120 9 129 138 13	151
<u>Oregon</u> 130 18 148 106 27	133
<u>Pennsylvania</u> 1,540 203 1,740 1,630 173	1,800
Rhode Island81921	3
<u>South Carolina</u> 191 48 239 223 45	268
<u>South Dakota</u> 19 1 20 20 3	22
<u>Tennessee</u> 193 48 241 203 52	256
<u>Texas</u> 836 r/ 526 r/ 1,360 r/ 716 468	1,180
<u>Utah</u> 263 21 284 288 26	314
<u>Virginia</u> 205 56 261 209 43	252
Washington         231         12         243         272         17	289
<u>West Virginia</u> 421 52 473 484 62	546
<u>Wisconsin</u> 136 43 179 136 40	176
Wyoming 124 15 140 97 20	117
Total 14,400 r/ 2,230 r/ 16,700 r/ 14,900 2,270 1	7,300
Puerto Rico 21 21 8 18	18
Canada         55         16         71         62         17	79
Other 5/ 25 8 32 12 6	26
Total 80 45 124 82 41	123
Grand total 14,500 r/ 2,280 r/ 16,800 r/ 15,000 2,310 1	

r/ Revised.

1/ Excludes regenerated lime.

2/ Previously published and 1994 data are rounded by the U.S. Bureau of Mines to three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.3/ To convert metric tons to short tons multiply metric tons by 1.10231.

4/ Less than 1/2 unit.

5/ Includes other countries and U.S. possessions.

# TABLE 5 LIME SOLD OR USED BY PRODUCERS IN THE UNITED STATES, BY USE $1/\ 2/$

#### (Thousand metric tons and thousand dollars) 3/

	Use		199	3			1	994	
Agriculture         19         -         19         1,430         19         -         19         1,430           Alkalies         Mainingum and bauxite         W         W         129         6,200         W         W         115         5700           Copper ore concentration         W         W         W         W         W         W         W         W         23 t/         1,790 t/         177         -         142         8160           Cod products, animal or human         01 wd         H         W         W         W         W         W         24 t/         1,790 t/         177         -         114         7,250           Oil well drilling         01 wd         K         W         1,200 t/         6,450         36         -         36 t/         4,200           Ore concentration, other         366 t/         -         46 d         4,620         36         -         67         5,550           Paper and pulp         W         W         1,400 t/         -         1,400 t/         5,260 t/         1,500 t/         5,400         114         654         35,200           Steel:         W         W         S,560 t/         93,000 t/ <th></th> <th>Sold</th> <th>Used</th> <th>Total</th> <th>Value</th> <th>Sold</th> <th>Used</th> <th>Total</th> <th>Value</th>		Sold	Used	Total	Value	Sold	Used	Total	Value
$ \begin{array}{c} \hline Chemical and industrial: \\ \hline Charger ore concentration \\ \hline Copper ore \\ \hline $	Agriculture	19		19	1,430	19		19	1,440
Alkalies         W         W         129         6,200         W         W         115         5,790           Aluminum and bauxie         Copper ore concentration         57 t'          155 t'          155 t'          142         8,060           Copper ore concentration         23 t'          23 t'         1,790 t'         W         W         W         W         W         25,200           Oil well drilling         109          12 t'          12 t'          12         819           Ore concentration, other         366 t'          366 t'         21,100 t'         613 0t'         63         4,420           Paper and pulp         W         W         1,030 t'         603,00 t'         W         W         3,0300 t'         540         114         654         35200           Steel:         39 t'          140 t'         58,00 t'         193,000 t'         W         3,850         207,000           Steel:         W         W         3,850 t'         193,000 t'         W         3,850         207,000           Ladde desdifunzation, iron or steel         116         -         114	Chemical and industrial:								
Aluminum and bauxie         155 r'          155 r'         8,980 r'         142          142         8,060           Copper or concentration         W         W         W         W         W         W         W         W         W         W         W         V <t< td=""><td>Alkalies</td><td>W</td><td>W</td><td>129</td><td>6,200</td><td>W</td><td>W</td><td>115</td><td>5,790</td></t<>	Alkalies	W	W	129	6,200	W	W	115	5,790
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Aluminum and bauxite	155 r/		155 r/	8,980 r/	142		142	8,060
$ \begin{array}{c cccc} \hline Products animal or human \\ \hline Cilass \\ \hline Cilas \\ \hline C$	Copper ore concentration	W	W	W	18,400 r/	W	W	W	25,200
Glass         109          109         6.650         114          114         7.25           Oil well drilling         12 tr'          12 tr'         751 tr'         12          12 st'         819           Oil and grease         366 tr'          366 tr'         21,100 tr'         611          611         50,000           Per concentration, other         366 tr'          366 tr'         21,100 tr'         611          611         50,000           Per concentration, other         39 tr'          39 tr'         2,300 tr'         W         W         1,160         69,300           Precipitated calcium carbonate         473         99 tr'         2,300 tr'         540         114         654         35,200           Steel:         Basic oxygen furnaces         1,140 tr'          1,140 tr'          10,50         60,600           Argon oxygen decarborization         96          96         5,240 tr'         10,50          10,51         60,600           Total seci:         116          116         -         1110 tr'         271	Food products, animal or human	23 r/		23 r/	1,790 r/	17		17	1,190
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Glass	109		109	6,650	114		114	7,250
	Oil well drilling	12 r/		12 r/	751 r/	12		12	819
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Oil and grease	46		46	4,620	36		36	4,420
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Ore concentration, other	366 r/		366 r/	21,100 r/	611		611	36,000
Percohemicals         39 r/          39 r/         State          67         5.350           Precipitated calcium carbonate         473         99 r/         572 r/         30.300 r/         540         114         654         35.200           Basic oxygen furnaces         W         W         3.560 r/         193.000 r/         W         W         3.850         207.000           Argon oxygen decarburization         96          96         5.240         106          106         5.960           Cher chemical and industrial 4/         191 r/         -         191 r/         10.900 r/         227         -         227         12.800           Sugar refining         32 r/         707 r/         738 r/         50.000 r/         32         691         723         45.800           Other 4/         6.230 r/         883 r/         2.390 r/         15.000 r/         64.10         921         2.210         114.00           Construction:         -         12         1.480         15         -         15         1.050           Goid stabilization         973 r/         1.690 r/         10.700 r/         63.000 r/         9.470         1.730         1	Paper and pulp	W	W	1,030 r/	60,300 r/	W	W	1,160	69,300
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Petrochemicals	39 r/		39 r/	2,840 r/	67		67	5,350
Steel:         W $3,560 r/$ $193,000 r/$ W $3,850$ $207,000$ Basic oxygen furnaces $1,140 r/$ $$ $1,140 r/$ $$ $1,140 r/$ $$ $1,1650$ $$ $1050$ $$ $1050$ $$ $1050$ $$ $1050$ $$ $1050$ $$ $1050$ $$ $1050$ $$ $1050$ $$ $1050$ $$ $1050$ $$ $1050$ $$ $1050$ $$ $1050$ $$ $1050$ $$ $1050$ $$ $127$ $$ $227$	Precipitated calcium carbonate	473	99 r/	572 r/	30,300 r/	540	114	654	35,200
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Steel:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Electric arc furnaces         1,140 r/          1,140 r/         58,200 r/         1,050          1,050         60,600           Argon oxygen decarburization         96          96         5,240         106          106         5,960           Ladle desultirization, iron or steel         116          116         6,580         83          83         4,640           Other chemical and industrial 4/         191 r/          191 r/         10,900 r/         227          227         12,800           Sugar effining         32 r/         707 r/         738 r/         50,000 r/         32         691         723         45,800           Other 4/         6,230 r/         883 r/         2,390 r/         115,000 r/         6,410         921         2,210         114,000           Construction:         -         126 r/          126 r/         -         238         13,000           Mason's line         (5')         (5/)         1640         11,200         (5/)         165/         106         -         188         11,00           Soil stabilization         973 r/          126 r/         - </td <td>Basic oxygen furnaces</td> <td>W</td> <td>W</td> <td>3,560 r/</td> <td>193,000 r/</td> <td>W</td> <td>W</td> <td>3,850</td> <td>207,000</td>	Basic oxygen furnaces	W	W	3,560 r/	193,000 r/	W	W	3,850	207,000
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Electric arc furnaces	1,140 r/		1,140 r/	58,200 r/	1,050		1,050	60,600
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Argon oxygen decarburization	96		96	5.240	106		106	5,960
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Ladle desulfurization, iron or steel	116		116	6.380	83		83	4,640
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Other chemical and industrial 4/	191 r/		191 r/	10,900 r/	227		227	12,800
	Total steel:	1,540 r/	W	5,110 r/	274,000 r/	1,470	W	5,310	291,000
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Sugar refining	32 r/	707 r/	738 r/	50,000 r/	32	691	723	45,800
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Tanning	21		21	1,480	15		15	1,050
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Other 4/	6,230 r/	883 r/	2,390 r/	115,000 r/	6,410	921	2,210	114,000
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Total	9,050 r/	1,690 r/	10,700 r/	603,000 r/	9,470	1,730	11,200	651,000
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Construction:		÷	·	÷		·		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Asphalt paving	126 r/		126 r/	7,370 r/	238		238	13,000
Mason's lime(5/)(5/)16411,200(5/)(5/)16811,100Soil stabilization973 r/973 r/ $60,300$ r/78478444,700Other184 r/184 r/12,300 r/18818819,100Total(5/)(5/)(5/)1,42090,800Environmental:(5/)(5/)1,490 r/94,300 r/(5/)(5/)1,42090,800Environmental:364 r/2365 r/22,500 r/409341224,800Industrial solid waste treatment34341,96053532,910Industrial wastewater treatment39392,32040402,440Scrubber sludge solidification53532,99053532,980Sewage treatment462 r/2464 r/28,800 r/42742727,100Water purification1,060 r/1,060 r/63,300 r/1,1601,16067,600Other4,62 r/24,64 r/28,800 r/42737321,600Other4,62 r/24,210 r/24,200 r/334,480254,000Other4,62 r/24,210 r/245,000 r/4,47034,480254,000Other1,80 r/1,800 r/1,800 r/970,000 r/1,5501,88017,4	Finishing lime	41 r/		41 r/	3,080 r/	38		38	2,970
Soil stabilization973 r/973 r/ $60,300 r/$ $784$ $784$ $44,700$ Other184 r/184 r/12,300 r/18818819,100Total(5/)(5/)1,490 r/94,300 r/(5/)(5/)1,42090,800Environmental:364 r/2365 r/22,500 r/409341224,800Industrial solid waste treatment364 r/341,960531,960104,000Industrial wastewater treatment34392,32040402,440Scrubber sludge solidification53532,99053532,980Sewage treatment462 r/2464 r/28,800 r/42742727,100Water purification1,060 r/1,060 r/37337321,600Other418 r/(6/)418 r/23,600 r/37337321,600Quart of total(5/)(5/)31526,200(5/)(5/)30025,000(5/)(5/)(5/)31526,200(5/)(5/)30025,000(5/)(5/)(5/)31526,200(5/)(5/)1,020,000	Mason's lime	(5/)	(5/)	164	11,200	(5/)	(5/)	168	11,100
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Soil stabilization	973 r/		973 r/	60,300 r/	784		784	44,700
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Other	184 r/		184 r/	12,300 r/	188		188	19,100
Environmental:Acid water, mine or plant $364 \text{ r/}$ 2 $365 \text{ r/}$ $22,500 \text{ r/}$ $409$ 3 $412$ $24,800$ Flue gas sulfur removal $1,780 \text{ r/}$ $$ $1,780 \text{ r/}$ $$ $1,960$ $$ $1,960$ $104,000$ Industrial solid waste treatment $34$ $$ $34$ $1,960$ $53$ $$ $53$ $2,910$ Industrial wastewater treatment $39$ $$ $39$ $2,320$ $40$ $$ $40$ $2,440$ Scrubber sludge solidification $53$ $$ $53$ $2,990$ $53$ $$ $53$ $2,980$ Sewage treatment $462 \text{ r/}$ $2$ $464 \text{ r/}$ $28,800 \text{ r/}$ $427$ $$ $427$ $27,100$ Water purification $1,060 \text{ r/}$ $$ $1,060 \text{ r/}$ $63,300 \text{ r/}$ $1,160$ $$ $1,160$ $67,600$ Other $418 \text{ r/}$ $(6/)$ $418 \text{ r/}$ $23,600 \text{ r/}$ $373$ $$ $373$ $21,600$ Total $4,210 \text{ r/}$ $2$ $4,210 \text{ r/}$ $245,000 \text{ r/}$ $4,470$ $3$ $4,480$ $254,000$ Refractory lime (dead-burned dolomite) $(5/)$ $(5/)$ $(5/)$ $315$ $26,200$ $(5/)$ $(5/)$ $300$ $25,000$ Grand total $14,900 \text{ r/}$ $1,870 \text{ r/}$ $16,800 \text{ r/}$ $970,000 \text{ r/}$ $15,500$ $1,880$ $17,400$ $1,020,000$	Total	(5/)	(5/)	1,490 r/	94,300 r/	(5/)	(5/)	1,420	90,800
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Environmental:			,		\$ Z			,
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Acid water, mine or plant	364 r/	2	365 r/	22,500 r/	409	3	412	24,800
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Flue gas sulfur removal	1,780 r/		1,780 r/	99,700 r/	1,960		1,960	104,000
	Industrial solid waste treatment	34		34	1,960	53		53	2,910
	Industrial wastewater treatment	39		39	2,320	40		40	2,440
	Scrubber sludge solidification	53		53	2,990	53		53	2,980
	Sewage treatment	462 r/	2	464 r/	28,800 r/	427		427	27,100
	Water purification	1,060 r/		1,060 r/	63,300 r/	1,160		1,160	67,600
Total         4,210 r/         2         4,210 r/         245,000 r/         4,470         3         4,480         254,000           Refractory lime (dead-burned dolomite)         (5/)         (5/)         315         26,200         (5/)         (5/)         300         25,000           Grand total         14,900 r/         1,870 r/         16,800 r/         970,000 r/         15,500         1,880         17,400         1,020,000	Other	418 r/	(6/)	418 r/	23,600 r/	373		373	21,600
Refractory lime (dead-burned dolomite)         (5/)         (5/)         315         26,200         (5/)         (5/)         300         25,000           Grand total         14,900 r/         1,870 r/         16,800 r/         970,000 r/         15,500         1,880         17,400         1,020,000	Total	4,210 r/	2	4,210 r/	245,000 r/	4,470	3	4,480	254,000
Grand total 14,900 r/ 1,870 r/ 16,800 r/ 970,000 r/ 15,500 1,880 17,400 1,020,000	Refractory lime (dead-burned dolomite)	(5/)	(5/)	315	26,200	(5/)	(5/)	300	25,000
	Grand total	14,900 r/	1,870 r/	16,800 r/	970,000 r/	15,500	1,880	17,400	1,020,000

r/ Revised. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data; included in "Other Chemical and Industrial."

1/ Excludes regenerated lime. Includes Puerto Rico.

2/ Previously published and 1994 data are rounded by the U.S. Bureau of Mines to three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

3/ To convert metric tons to short tons multiply metric tons by 1.10231.

4/ Includes briquetting, brokers, calcium carbide, chrome, citric acid, commerical hydrators, desiccants, ferroalloys, fiberglass, glue, insecticides, magnesia from seawater or brine, magnesium metal, metallurgy, pelletizing, pharmaceuticals, rubber, silica brick, soap.

5/ Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data; included in "Grand total."

6/ Revised to zero.

# TABLE 6 QUICKLIME AND HYDRATED LIME, INCLUDING DEAD-BURNED DOLOMITE: WORLD PRODUCTION, BY COUNTRY 1/ $\ 2/$

# (Thousand metric tons)

Country 3/	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994 e/
Algeria (hydraulic)	32	61	62 e/	62 e/	62
Australia e/	1.500	1.500	1.500	1.500	1.500
Austria	1,640	1.600 e/	1.720	1.810 r/	1.850 4/
Belgium	2,080	2,020	1,870	1,750 e/	1,350
Belize e/	2,000	2,020	1,070	1,730 0	1,730
Bosnia Herzegovina e/	XX	xx	50	50	50
Botswana	(5/)	(5/)	r/	r/	
Brazil e/	5 700	5 700	5 700	5 700	5 700
Bulgaria	1 560	1 030	1,000 e/	1,000 e/	1,000
Burundi	(5/)	(5/)	(5/)	(5/) e/	150
Canada	2 340	2 380	2 380 r/	2380 r/	2 390
Chile (hydraulic) e/	1 300	1,200	1 300	1 300	1,250
China e/	17,000	18 500	19,000	19,500	19 500
Colombia e/	1 300	1 300	671	439 r/	450
Congo e/	(5/)	(5/)	(5/)	(5/)	450 (5/)
Costa Pica e/	(37)	9.4/	(57)	(3/)	(5/)
Croatia e/	VV	VV	200	200	180
	180	180	200	200	130
Cuba e/	180	180	100	100	170
Czash Depublic	/ vv	/ VV		0 e/	2 500
Czech Republic	AA 2 120	2 220	ΔΔ 2 000 a/	2,300 e/	2,300
	5,120	5,250 114 m/	3,000 e/	ΔΔ 124 m/	ΔΔ 125
Deminark (sales)	150 1/	114 1/	128 I/	124 I/	125
Dominican Republic e/	4				
Egypt e/	68	/49 4/	/49	/49	/49
Ethiopia	(5/)	(5/)	(5/) e/	(5/) e/	(5/)
Finland e/	225	225	241 4/	250	315 4/
France e/	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	2,500
Germany:	2 000	<b>X/X/</b>	<b>X7X7</b>	<b>X/X/</b>	<b>X7X7</b>
Eastern states	3,000 e/				
Western states	6,890	XX	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	9,890 e/	7,530	7,540	7,480 r/	7,500
Guadeloupe e/	5	5	5	5	5
Guatemala e/	75	72	70	70	70
Hungary	831	571	446	300 e/	340
India e/	800	820	850	860	860
Iran e/	650	650	650	650	650
Ireland	112	110	110	100 e/	100
Israel	230	208	208 e/	208 e/	210
Italy e/ 7/	3,850	3,800	3,600	3,600 e/	3,500
Jamaica e/	90	95	179 4/	151 r/	170 4/
Japan (quicklime only)	8,980	9,040	8,050	7,960 r/	7,710 4/
Jordan	5	5	7	7 e/	7
Kenya	14	12	12 e/	12 e/	12
Korea, Republic of e/	230	240	240	250	250
Kuwait	50	5	5 e/	35 e/	40
Lebanon e/	10	10	15	15	15
Libya e/	260	260	260	260	260
Macedonia e/	XX	XX	20	20	20
Malawi e/	4 4/	4	4	4	4
Martinique e/	5	5	5	5	5
Mauritius e/	7	7	7	7	7
Mexico e/	6,000	6,500	6,500	6,500	6,500
Mongolia e/	103	76	50	55	55
New Zealand e/	100	90	100	100	100

See footnotes at end of table.

# TABLE 6--Continued QUICKLIME AND HYDRATED LIME, INCLUDING DEADBURNED DOLOMITE: WORLD PRODUCTION, BY COUNTRY 1/2/

### (Thousand metric tons)

Country 3/	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994 e/
Nicaragua	1	2	2	2 e/	2
Norway e/	100	100	100	100	100
Panama e/	3	1 3/	2	2	2
Paraguay e/	100	100	100	100	100
Peru e/	13	14	14	14	14
Philippines	12	7	10 e/	10 e/	10
Poland	3,200	2,410	2,530	2,500 e/	2,500
Portugal e/	200	200	200	200	200
Romania e/	3,030 4/	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
Saudi Arabia e/	12	12	12	12	12
Serbia and Montenegro	XX	XX	565	500 e/	500
Slovakia 6/	XX	XX	XX	1,070 e/	1,000
Slovenia e/	XX	XX	250	250	250
South Africa, Republic of (sales)	1,830	1,770	1,740	1,600	1,600
Spain e/	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,000
Sweden	603	506	460 r/	500 e/	500
Switzerland e/	26 4/	40	30	40	40
Taiwan	554	614	670	650 e/	650
Tanzania (calcined and hydrated)	1	1 e/	2	1 e/	1
Tunisia e/	650	650	600	600	600
Turkey 8/	1,410	1,580	1,580	1,700 e/	1,800
Uganda	2 e/	2 e/	2	2	2
U.S.S.R. e/ 9/	28,000	26,000	23,000 10/	20,000 10/	16,000 10/
United Arab Emirates e/	45	45	45	45	45
United Kingdom e/	2,800	2,800	2,500	2,500	2,500
United States, including Puerto Rico	-				
(sold or used by producers)	15,900	15,700	16,200	16,800 r/	17,400 4/
Uruguay e/	12	12	12	12	12
Yugoslavia 11/	2,120	1,600	XX	XX	XX
Zaire	92	83	65	50 e/	40
Zambia	214	184	212	200 e/	200
Total	136,000	132,000	127,000 r/	125,000 r/	118,000

e/ Estimated. r/ Revised. XX Not applicable.

1/ Previously published and 1994 data are rounded by the U.S. Bureau of Mines to three significant digits; may not add to totals shown. 2/ Table includes data available through June 27, 1995.

3/ Lime is produced in many other countries besides those listed. Argentina, Iraq, Pakistan, and Syria are among the more important countries for which official data are not available. Venezuela does not report production of lime, which is thought to be produced in very small amounts on individual farms. Previous estimates of lime production in Venezuela have lacked any basis, and will not be reported in the future.

4/ Reported figure.

5/ Less than 1/2 unit.

6/ Dissolved Dec. 31, 1992.

7/ Includes hydraulic lime.

8/ Data are lime produced for steel production and do not include the widespread artisanal production of lime for cement whitewash and sanitation purposes.

9/ Dissolved in Dec. 1991.

10/ Total production of the former U.S.S.R.. Production data for the 15 new countries are not yet reported separately.

11/ Dissolved in Apr. 1992.