

Federal Public Key Infrastructure Policy Authority (FPKIPA)

Minutes of the 14 March 2006 Meeting

GSA Headquarters Building; 1800 F Street; Room 5141A; Washington, DC

A. AGENDA

- 1) Welcome & Opening Remarks / Introductions
- 2) Discussion /Vote on Minutes from 14 February 2006 FPKIPA meeting
- 3) Vote to Reinstate NASA
- 4) Vote on the revised Charter Language
- 5) FPKI Certificate Policy Working Group (CPWG) Report
 1. Status Report on DEA Mapping at the Medium Level of Assurance
 2. Status Report on the CertiPath Mapping
- 6) Draft Harmonization Agreement with the E-Authentication Initiative (EAI)
- 7) FPKI Operational Authority (FPKI OA) Report
 - Disaster Recovery Report
 - Siting Study
 - Where are we Headed? PD-Val
 - Microsoft Root Store
 - Status of FBCA/Applicant Cross-Certification Technical Testing
- 8) Final Meeting Items
 - Other topics
 - April 17, 2006 Meeting
- 9) Adjourn Meeting

B. ATTENDANCE LIST

VOTING MEMBERS

The meeting started with a quorum of nine (9) members of a total of eleven voting members, for a majority of 81.8% where a 2/3 vote was required. Another member arrived late, for a total of ten. The Department of Energy continues to be a non-voting member because their audit has not been completed. NASA started the meeting as a non-voting member, but was reinstated during the meeting (Agenda Item 3, below). OMB continues to be an ex-officio member.

Organization	Name	Email	Telephone
Department of Commerce (NIST)	Polk, Tim		
Department of Defense	Hanko, Dave		
Department of Energy	Not currently a voting member		
Department of Health & Human Services	Alterman, Peter		
Department of Homeland Security	Hagerling, Don		
Department of Justice	Morrison, Scott		
Department of State	Caldwell, Sally		
Department of the Treasury	Schminky, James		
GPO	Hannan, John		
GSA	Temoshok, David		
NASA	DeYoung, Tice		
OMB	Ex-Officio Member		
USDA/NFC	Absent		
USPTO	Purcell, Art		

OBSERVERS

Organization	Name	Email	Telephone
FPKI/FICC	Spencer, Judith		
Department of State (ManTech)	Froehlich, Charles R.		
FPKI OA Program Manager	Jenkins, Cheryl		
FPKI/FICC Support (FC Business Systems)	Petrick, Brant		
Enspier (Secretariat)	Fincher, Judy		
Enspier	Lazerowich, Steve		
Department of Energy	Breland, Mary Ann		
Wells Fargo	Drucker, Peri		
DoD PKI PMO	Mitchell, Deborah M.		
Department of the Treasury (eValid8)	Dilley, Brian		
NIST	McDowell, Gene		
Federal Trade Commission (FTC) IT Specialist Infrastructure Operations Branch, ITM	Ruff, Patrick		
Federal Trade Commission (FTC) Customer Services Branch, ITM	Castello, Jennifer		

Organization	Name	Email	Telephone
Federal Trade Commission (FTC) Customer Services Branch, ITM	Richardson, Barry		
Social Security Administration	Barszczewski, Gerry		
CoreStreet , Ltd. (vendor)	Briley, Jr., James		
GSA	Cornell, John		

C. MEETING ACTIVITY

Agenda Item 1

Welcome & Opening Remarks / Introductions—Dr. Peter Alterman

This meeting took place at the GSA Central Office Building, 1800 F Street, Washington, DC, in Room 5141A. Dr. Peter Alterman of HHS and Chair of the FPKIPA called the meeting to order at 9:35 a.m. with attendee introductions.

Agenda Item 2

Discussion/Vote on Minutes from 14 February 2006 FPKIPA Meeting—Ms. Judy Fincher

Ms. Fincher stated that comments had been received from NASA, DoD, DoS and from Mr. Brant Petrick and had been incorporated. Mr. John Hannan requested that the minutes be modified to reflect the fact that the GPO had voted in favor of the Common Policy CP Change Proposal: 2006-01.

The 14 February 2006 minutes, as amended, were approved by nine (9) votes or 81.8% (of 11 voting members), where a simple majority of votes cast was required. These meeting minutes were posted to the [FPKIPA web site](#) by COB March 15, 2006.

Approval vote for 14 February 2006 FPKIPA Minutes			
Voting members	Vote (Motion –GSA; 2nd –GPO)		
	Yes	No	Abstain
Department of Commerce	Yes		
Department of Defense	Yes		
Department of Energy	Not a voting member at present		
Department of Health & Human Services	Yes		
Department of Homeland Security	Yes		
Department of Justice	Yes		
Department of State	Yes		
Department of the Treasury	Yes		
GPO	Yes		
GSA	Yes		
NASA	Not a voting member at present		
OMB	Ex-Officio Member		
USDA/NFC	Absent-Did Not Vote		
USPTO	Absent – Did Not Vote Joined the Meeting Later		

Agenda Item 3

Vote to Reinstate NASA — Dr. Peter Alterman

Dr. Alterman explained that NASA had lapsed in its audit requirements and as a result its cross certification had expired. Dr. Tice DeYoung of NASA emphasized that NASA's cross-certification had not been revoked. Dr. Alterman directed that NASA is now back in compliance and that their cross-certificate with the bridge should be renewed as soon as the Policy Authority authorized it.

Ms. Judy Spencer wanted to know if NASA operations had now moved to Treasury. Dr. DeYoung responded that NASA moved its backup to Treasury, who is operating it on behalf of NASA. Treasury has not yet stood up the new one. NASA has now made the legacy CA system, which resides at the Department of Treasury, the primary NASA CA and the legacy CA at Ames Research Center is now the backup CA system.

The motion to reinstate NASA was approved by nine (9) votes or 81.8% (of 11 voting members), where a 75% majority of votes cast was required. NASA was re-instated and, effective immediately, had full voting privileges restored.

Vote to Reinstate NASA after Lapse of Cross-Certification			
Voting members	Vote (Motion –Commerce; 2nd – Treasury)		
	Yes	No	Abstain
Department of Commerce	Yes		
Department of Defense	Yes		
Department of Energy	Not currently a Voting Member		
Department of Health & Human Services	Yes		
Department of Homeland Security	Yes		
Department of Justice	Yes		
Department of State	Yes		
Department of the Treasury	Yes		
GPO	Yes		
GSA	Yes		
NASA	Not currently a Voting Member		
OMB	Ex Officio Member		
USDA/NFC	Absent-Did Not Vote		
USPTO	Absent-Did Not Vote Joined the Meeting Later		

Agenda Item 4

Vote on the revised Charter Language – Dr. Alterman, Dr. DeYoung

This item was not voted on due to continuing edits of the document by Dr. Alterman, Dr. DeYoung, Mr. Dave Hanko and Ms. Fincher.

Ms. Judy Fincher made two changes. She drafted language that said any charter member could become *ex officio* at its discretion and she added an additional line in Table 1, stating that re-issuance of cross-certificate requires a 75% vote. Dr. Alterman then added a footnote to that line item, qualifying the conditions under which a vote was required.

Dr. DeYoung added a new subsection 3.1.5: Temporary non-Voting Category for Cross-Certified Agencies. This subsection states: "Agencies that do not provide evidence of completion of an annual audit in a timely fashion, or who allow their cross-certificate to expire, will have their voting privileges temporarily suspended."

Dr. DeYoung also identified the need for an additional change to Table 1 regarding the need to vote to reinstate members who had become inactive due to the expiration of the cross-certificate and/or failure to provide documentation of an annual audit.

ACTION: Dr. DeYoung will make the changes to Table 1 regarding the need to vote to reinstate members who had become inactive due to the expiration of the cross-certificate and/or failure to provide documentation of an annual audit and distribute the revised Charter to the FPKIPA Listserv.

Mr. Dave Hanko then questioned the list of Charter Members that appears in Section 3.1.1. Judy Spencer explained that there were five Agencies that are the charter members of the Policy Authority, and who were thus made voting members without having to cross-certify. There were also four founding Agencies that were the first to cross-certify and thereby gain voting membership.

ACTION: Mr. Dave Hanko will modify Section 3.1.2 of the Charter to clarify the distinction and will distribute the revised language to the FPKIPA Listserv.

The vote to accept all these changes to the Charter will held at the April 17, 2006 FPKIPA meeting.

Agenda Item 5

FPKI Certificate Policy Working Group (CPWG) Report – Mr. Tim Polk

1. Status Report on DEA Mapping at the Medium Level of Assurance and Status of USPS cross-certification

Mr. Polk reported that the CPWG is getting close to recommending that the DEA CSOS¹ PKI be approved for mapping at the Medium Level of Assurance. The OMB, has questioned why the FPKIPA is planning to cross certify with an agency PKI, given that after December 31, 2005 all agencies are required to use Shared Service Providers (SSPs) for their PKIs.

Dr. Alterman joined the discussion, pointing out that the FPKIPA views the DEA CSOS as a commercial PKI. This PKI is not government run. PEC Nortel, a contractor, operates the CA. It does not issue certs to federal employees. It is an outward-facing PKI used to issue certs to pharmaceutical manufacturers, distributors, pharmacists and retailers of pharmaceuticals on a global basis.

The DEA regulates to whom the certs can be issued and maintains a registry of approved drug distributors.

The FPKIPA sees the DEA CSOS as “enabling important government programs, such as HHS and SSA.” It is enabling “greater e-authentication,” he said.

¹ Controlled Substances Ordering System

Although we have explained our rationale to OMB it is a political decision and the OMB could rule it an "agency PKI" at some point in the future, if it so chooses, according to Dr. Alterman.

Dr. Alterman noted that the OMB decided to treat the USPS as a federal agency, even though the USPS is often perceived as a quasi-private organization. The OMB granted the USPS a cross-certification waiver through the end of March 2006.

The USPS had completed the policy mapping by the end of December 2005. They are currently waiting for their audit to be completed. Dr. Alterman does not think they will make the March 31, 2006 deadline because the USPS General Counsel has a problem signing a MOA with the Policy Authority. The USPS Board of Governors is not sure what the Policy Authority's legal standing is and is getting legal advice from the USPS attorney.

"We've invested a lot of time and money trying to help them get cross-certified," Dr. Alterman noted, but it may be for naught.

2. Status Report on the CertiPath Mapping

Mr. Tim Polk reported on a recent meeting (March 8, 2006) with CertiPath to evaluate mutual *bona fides* and business processes. At that meeting the FPKIPA identified issues we want CertiPath to clarify and vice versa.

The work product of the meeting was a memorandum summarizing the evaluation. There was mutual agreement of *bona fides* and very substantial comparability of business processes. Only three divergences that were identified at the meeting. Mr. Polk read from the memo:

-
- (a) The CertiPath CA's audit requirements are consistent with the FPKI's requirements for federal agencies, but the FPKI currently requires comprehensive yearly audits from all non-federal PKIs.
 - (b) The CertiPath CA will accept Pre-Operational Compliance Audits, which are not currently within the scope of FPKI procedures.
 - (c) The CertiPath operational testing includes status information distribution using OCSP in addition to CRLs.

Notwithstanding these divergences, the review team determined that the CertiPath BCA's business processes provide an equivalent level of trustworthiness to the procedures implemented by the FBCA.

The business processes were evaluated with respect to (1) corporate status, (2) reliability of policy mapping decisions, (3) standards for compliance audits, including auditor qualifications and audit frequency, and (4) technical interoperability requirements.

Mr. Polk noted that CertiPath's audit practices are more proscriptive than those of the FPKI. Their audit process exceeds our requirements. The CPWG might adopt some of the language that CertiPath uses to specify auditor qualifications and audit requirements. These are consistent with our actual auditing practices, but are not clearly articulated in our documentation.

Mr. Polk also noted that the FPKIPA may adopt the concept of Pre-Operational Compliance audits. This would allow a mini-audit initially against a sub-set of the FBCA CP, with a full compliance audit to follow within six months of production operation, analogous to an IATO/ATO process. CertiPath encourages its applicants not to write their CPS until they have completed the Pre-Operational Compliance audit.

Dr. Alterman noted that we would have to make some policy changes based on our mapping sessions and discussions with CertiPath. We had mapping sessions with them on February 16 and February 21 and will try to finalize the mapping of us to them on March 17, 2006. "We will delay cross-certification with CertiPath, our first bridge to be mapped, until it makes sense," he said.

There will be a CPWG meeting in May 2006 to review the "omnibus" policy modification list generated during our discussions with CertiPath and the harmonization activities between the FBCA CP and the Common Policy CP. "There are no show stoppers," he stated.

Harmonization with the Common Policy

Mr. David Temoshok urged the FPKIPA to establish a policy that "grandfathers" the PKI domains under the Common Policy. "The PKI domains issuing certs will meet HSPD-12 and Common Policy requirements by January 2008." This is needed to integrate PIV solutions with PKI domains that are cross-certified with the Federal Bridge.

Mr. Tim Polk said it might be useful to put out guidance, but that FIPS 201 contains the policy. This is not a policy matter that the FPKIPA can decide.

Dr. Alterman stated that the process of harmonization between FPKIPA and the Common Policy is underway, but that it might be useful to state it in a visible policy. He suggested a statement, such as, "By January 2008 all autonomous Federal PKIs will express the Common Policy OIDs and comply with the Common Policy." This could be dealt with by the CPWG in late April. Do we need a White Paper, he asked?

Agenda Item 6

Draft Harmonization Agreement with the EAI - Dr. Peter Alterman

During the past several months there have been many meetings between the E-Authentication PMO and the FPKIPA to define the relationship between the FPKI Policy Authority and the E-Authentication Initiative (EAI). It was agreed that the FPKIPA is the entity responsible for credential assessment for PKI Credential Providers who want to be part of the E-Authentication Federation.

The Policy Authority is currently drafting a Service Agreement with the E-Auth PMO, stating that the FPKIPA will do credentialing for PKI. In return, the E-Auth PMO will do a Service Agreement for the operation of the FPKI OA. The E-Auth PMO is responsible for 'operationalizing' our policies, Dr. Alterman stated. The FPKI OA will provide consultative services on policy and technical issues to the E-Auth PMO.

There may be an email vote on this draft Service Agreement before the end of the month, he said.

Agenda Item 7

FPKIPA Operational Authority (FPKI OA) Report – Ms. Cheryl Jenkins

- Disaster Recovery Report
Ms. Jenkins characterized the recent Disaster Recovery test as a "success," although it took three weeks to coordinate. Now all the agencies have their firewalls configured. The test highlighted some weaknesses. For example, we did not do daily checks during the 3-day test. "We need to tighten up on processes," she said. For example, this test was conducted during business hours; the next test will not be. Agencies will be given a few months' notice.
- Siting Study
The conclusion of the siting study, "Relocation Impact Analysis Report," prepared by Electrosoft, was that the FPKIA should not be relocated to a non-government facility.

There was some discussion about the impact of announced GSA building closures on the two sites that currently house FPKIA

operations. 7th and D Streets and Willow Woods. Ms. Jenkins's preference is to lease other space at the Willow Woods facility, although a move to the USDA was also mentioned as a possibility.

ACTION: Ms. Judy Spencer is to speak with Acting Federal Acquisition Service Commissioner G. Martin Wagner regarding leasing other space at the Willow Woods facility for the FPKIA operations center.

Ms. Jenkins is developing a staffing plan for a GO-GO (government owned-government operated) operation.

Ms. Judy Spencer observed that the FPKI OA cannot continue to be run out of the current R&D facility and that the options were to 1) contract out with a commercial vendor or, 2) let the government do it.

Ms. Jenkins stated that the GO-GO solution's goal is to reduce costs, currently at \$125/hour.

Dr. Alterman explained that the OA took a "significant financial hit" in the current year budget and that money is "dead critical" to everyone's thinking. Operating a GO-GO facility could compensate for the hundreds of thousands of dollars we lost in the current budget.

Ms. Spencer stated that the GSA made an error in the FPKI OA line item in the budget and that it is being worked.

➤ Where are we Headed? PD-Val

Ms. Jenkins noted that for the past year and a half the GSA and NIST have been working on guidelines for CAs at assurance levels Basic and above to enable applications to work across the Federal Bridge. They have developed requirements, test cases, test processes and a technical synopsis for each vendor tested.

Ms. Jenkins initiated a discussion about what is in scope for PD-Val. Does it include interoperability testing of Relying Parties?

She asked if running PD-Val is part of the technical interoperability testing for cross-certification with the Federal Bridge? Dr. Alterman responded that "we have no choice; we're being driven down this road." He stated we need to make sure the Relying Party piece is added in to the interoperability testing. "To not do it makes no sense," he said.

Several members, including Mr. John Hannan and Ms. Judy Spencer, suggested the need for a formal proposal with a flow chart regarding end-to-end operational testing. Mr. Charles Froehlich expressed a concern that agencies might not have PKI-enabled applications at the time they do their initial interoperability testing.

ACTION: Ms. Cheryl Jenkins is to develop a PD-Val Interoperability Testing Proposal & Flow Chart.

A related discussion, initiated by Mr. Dave Hanko of DoD, ensued about support of PD-Val by legacy desktop environments, e.g., Windows 95 and 98. It was agreed that Windows 95 and 98 are out of scope for PD-Val since no PD-Val technology supports those platforms.

- Microsoft Root Store
Ms. Jenkins reported that the first part of the application to have the Common Policy root CA included in the Microsoft Browser Root Store has been accepted by Microsoft. She is now waiting to hear about the second part of the application.

Ms. Jenkins also reported that she had sent out applications to Mozilla and Apple.

ACTION: Dr. Tice DeYoung is to call Mr. Sean Geddis at Apple regarding the FPKIA's application to have the Common Policy root CA included in their browser.

- *Status of FBCA/Applicant Cross-Certification Technical Testing (any changes in bold)*



<u>Completed</u>			<u>Current</u>	<u>Future</u>
Dept of the Treasury	Dept of Energy	USPTO	Boeing	Adobe
NASA	State of Illinois	DHS		USPS
USDA/NFC	Dept of Labor	HEBCA		DEA
DoD	ACES/DST	Wells Fargo		
DOJ	GPO			
DoD KMI	ACES/ORC			
Government of Canada	DoD ECA	CertiPath		
Dept of State	ACES/AT&T			

Ms. Jenkins reported that the CertiPath testing had been completed, but that there are still directory issues with Boeing. There is no timeframe established yet to fix these issues.

Agenda Item 8

Final Meeting Items – Dr. Peter Alterman

➤ Other Topics

Wells Fargo MOA

Dr. Alterman stated that we need to resolve the issues related to the Wells Fargo Medium Hardware MOA.

Mr. John Cornell stated that a meeting for Agency General Counsels who are cross-certified with the Federal Bridge has been scheduled for March 24, 2006 from 10 a.m. – noon at the GSA Headquarters, 18th and F Streets, NW, in Room 5141A. The purpose of this meeting is to work with agencies that have concerns with the proposed MOA and to draft appropriate language for us to send back to Wells Fargo regarding their Medium Hardware MOA.

ACTION: FPKIPA Member agencies will notify their General Counsels of the Wells Fargo MOA meeting of March 24, 2006 to resolve outstanding issues related to the Medium Hardware Wells Fargo MOA.

ACTION: Mr. John Cornell will send out an email invitation to the FPKIPA member agency General Counsels, inviting them to the March 24, 2006 meeting on the Medium Hardware Wells Fargo MOA.

FBCA-TWG Meeting

ACTION: Ms. Cheryl Jenkins and Dr. Tice DeYoung will schedule a FBCA-TWG meeting in April and will publish the agenda.

April 17, 2006 FPKIPA Meeting

The April 11, 2006 FPKIPA meeting has been rescheduled. The next FPKIPA meeting will be held April 17, 2006 at the GSA Headquarters, 18th and F Streets, NW, Washington, D.C., from 9:30 a.m. until noon, in Room 5141A.

Agenda Item 9

Adjourn Meeting

The meeting adjourned at 11:30 AM.

CURRENT ACTION ITEMS

No.	Action Statement	POC	Start Date	Target Date	Status								
057	Write a short paper that says from here forward the FBCA OA will limit FBCA acceptance testing to systems that demonstrate enhanced assurance through NIAP testing.	Tim Polk, NIST	8 July 2003 Updated – 9 Sept 2003	9 Dec 2003 FPKIPA meeting	Open								
062	Define the NIAP certification requirement for future bridge membrane applications.	Tim Polk, NIST	9 Sept 2003	9 Dec 2003 FPKIPA meeting	Open								
085	Test/evaluate the PKCS-12 usage issue and make a recommendation to the FPKIPA at a meeting in the near future.	Tim Polk, NIST	13 July 2004	12 October 2004 FPKIPA meeting	Open								
136	Mr. Polk will task Mr. David Cooper to test the Adobe software at NIST [using the PKITS test suite for Path Discovery and Validation] by next month and will schedule a meeting with Adobe and the FPKIPA if the testing goes well.	Tim Polk, David Cooper	13 Sept. 2005	11 Oct. 2005	Open								
138	Mr. Tim Polk will draft a White Paper before the September 13 FPKIPA meeting, to be distributed after the policy is finished and voted. Agencies need to know the “gap” between RFC 2527 and RFC 3647, since agencies will be required to re-write their CPs.	Tim Polk	9 August 2005	13 Dec. 2005	Open								
139	Ms Cheryl Jenkins will help Mr. Polk create a delta matrix table for agencies to use to measure their CPs [delta between RFC 3647 and RFC 2527].	Cheryl Jenkins	9 August 2005	13 Sept. 2005	Open								
142	Dr. Peter Alterman needs to provide the following MOAs and LOAs to Mr. Darron Tate. <table border="0" data-bbox="272 1346 781 1476"> <tr> <td><u>Organization</u></td> <td><u>Need to provide</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>ORC</td> <td>LOA</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DoD ECA</td> <td>LOA, MOA</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Wells Fargo</td> <td>LOA, MOA</td> </tr> </table>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>Need to provide</u>	ORC	LOA	DoD ECA	LOA, MOA	Wells Fargo	LOA, MOA	Dr. Peter Alterman	13 Sept. 2005	11 Oct. 2005	Open
<u>Organization</u>	<u>Need to provide</u>												
ORC	LOA												
DoD ECA	LOA, MOA												
Wells Fargo	LOA, MOA												
143	Mr. Tim Polk will schedule a CPWG meeting on the synchronization of the Common Policy, the FBCA Cert Policy, and FIPS 201.	Tim Polk	13 Sept. 2005	17 January 2006	Open								
156	Make sure that Mr. Steve Duncan does this [issues certs under the new Profile] for the ACES vendors.	Steve Duncan	8 Nov. 2005	Ongoing	Open								

No.	Action Statement	POC	Start Date	Target Date	Status
159	Mr. David Temoshok of the GSA Office of Government-wide Policy will circulate a White Paper on the implementation of FPKI end-entity applications.	David Temoshok	8 Nov. 2005	10 Jan. 2006	Open
171	Once the ETSI WG finishes their review of our mapping to the ETSI Qualified CP, they have requested that we post the mapping matrices to a web site they will have access to. Mr. Brant Petrick will post the matrices, once the approved version is received.	Brant Petrick	13 Dec. 2005	January 2006	Open
187	Mr. Tim Polk and Ms. Judy Spencer will meet with DoD to conceptualize a plan to help DoD internally to upgrade its CA's and shore up its infrastructure (repositories).	Tim Polk, Judy Spencer, Dave Hanko	10 Jan. 2006	14 Feb. 2006	Open
188	Ms. Debbie Mitchell, DoD, will respond to Dr. Alterman by 1/13/06 with the answers to these questions: Should the DoD ECA be mapped to the FBCA Medium Hardware Level of Assurance? Is the cross-cert to be bidirectional or only one way?	Debbie Mitchell	10 Jan. 2006	13 Jan. 2006	Open
189	Ms. Cheryl Jenkins is to draft straw man language for the MOA to strengthen members' operational responsibilities, so as to preserve system integrity.	Cheryl Jenkins	10 Jan. 2006	14 Feb. 2006	Open
191	Mr. Art Purcell will be put on the work team to provide information on federal regulations that govern storage of the documents that will be posted to the shared Document Management Services system on behalf of the Policy Authority.	Cheryl Jenkins	10 Jan. 2006	14 Feb. 2006	Open
193	Dr. Peter Alterman and the head of the OA will negotiate terms for the cross-certification process and add this language to the By-Laws document. This will be brought to the Policy Authority for a vote.	Dr. Peter Alterman, Head of the OA (Cheryl Jenkins?)	10 Jan. 2006	14 Feb. 2006	Open
199	DoD will send a list of issues that would need to be addressed to get DoD two-way cross-certified to Ms. Caldwell and Dr. Alterman.	Dave Hanko	14 Feb 2006	14 March 2006	Open
204	Ms. Jenkins will send an email to the FBCA TWG to inform them of the decision made by CPWG and will give them one week to return comments on the decision from the CPWG for moving forward.	Cheryl Jenkins	14 Feb 2006	?	Open

No.	Action Statement	POC	Start Date	Target Date	Status
205	Dr. Alterman will distribute minutes of the Grid PMA meeting to the FPKI PA	Dr. Peter Alterman	14 Feb 2006	?	Open
210	Ms. Cheryl Jenkins and Dr. Tice DeYoung will schedule a FBCA-TWG meeting in April and will publish the agenda.	Cheryl Jenkins, Tice DeYoung	14 March 2006	15 April 2006	Open
211	Ms. Judy Spencer is to speak with Acting Federal Acquisition Service Commissioner G. Martin Wagner regarding leasing other space at the Willow Woods facility for the FPKIA operations center.	Judy Spencer	14 March 2006	31 March 2006	Open
212	Ms. Cheryl Jenkins is to develop a PD-Val Interoperability Testing Proposal & Flow Chart.	Cheryl Jenkins	14 March 2006	17 April 2006	Open