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## By Wallace P. Bolen

## Domestic survey data and tables were prepared by Virginia C. Harper, statistical assistant, and the world production table was prepared by Linder Roberts, international data coordinator.

In 2004, the amount of domestic processed crude and expanded perlite sold and used increased slightly compared with that of 2003. Domestic production of processed crude perlite rose for the first time since 1999 when production was 711,000 metric tons (t). In 2004, imports of crude processed perlite decreased by about $3 \%$ to about $238,000 \mathrm{t}$. Exports of crude processed perlite were estimated to have been unchanged when compared with those of 2003. Trade data in this report are from the U.S. Census Bureau. Percentages in this report were computed using unrounded data.

## Production

According to the data collected by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), the amount of U.S.-processed crude perlite sold or used increased by about $3 \%$ to 508,000 t in 2004 compared with that of 2003. The value of processed crude perlite sold or used increased by $9.4 \%$ to $\$ 20.6$ million compared with that of 2003. The amount of expanded perlite sold or used by domestic producers increased slightly to $670,000 \mathrm{t}$ and the associated value increased to $\$ 151$ million (table 1).

Domestic production data for perlite were derived by the USGS from two voluntary annual surveys-one for domestic mine operations (processed crude perlite) and one for expanding plants. The expanding plants used domestic and imported processed crude perlite. All the processed crude perlite described in table 1 was produced at 10 mines operated by 8 companies; 8 of the 10 mine operators responded to the USGS survey, representing about $98 \%$ of the processed crude perlite sold or used in 2004. The processed crude perlite that is reported in table 1 was mined in Arizona, California, Idaho, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, and Utah. The mines in New Mexico and Oregon accounted for most of the tonnage mined. Ore producers, in alphabetical order by State, were Harborlite Corp. in Arizona and New Mexico; American Perlite Co. in California; Idaho Minerals LLC in Idaho; Eagle-Picher Minerals, Inc. and Wilkins Mining and Trucking, Inc. in Nevada; Cornerstone Industrial Minerals Corp. in Oregon; Dicaperl Corp. in New Mexico; and Basin Perlite Co. in Utah.

Of the 62 expanding plants canvassed, 61 were active. Of those, 40 plant operators ( $66 \%$ ) responded, reporting about $76 \%$ of the total expanded perlite sold or used. Production information for nonresponding companies was estimated based on previously reported data. The top seven producers of expanded perlite, each with production of more than 25,000 metric tons per year, accounted for about $81 \%$ of expanded perlite sold or used in the United States in 2004. The remaining $19 \%$ was produced by 27 companies. Sun Gro Horticulture Ltd. reported the closure of its Seneca, IL, plant as of May 2003. The plant produced expanded perlite for potting soils and horticultural uses. Sun Gro continued to operate expanders in Arkansas, Michigan, and Texas. In

September 2004, Noble Materials Inc. sold its Fallon, NV, perlite expander to Noble Acquistions LLC, which will be operated under the name Noble Perlite. Noble Materials continued to operate an expander in Oklahoma City, OK.

## Consumption

In 2004, domestic apparent consumption of processed crude perlite was 709,000 t, a slight increase compared with that of 2003. Expanded perlite consumed for construction-related uses, the major market for expanded material, remained at about $415,000 \mathrm{t}$, the same as in 2003. Construction uses of expanded perlite, which consisted of concrete aggregate, formed products, masonry- and cavity-fill insulation, and plaster aggregate, accounted for about $62 \%$ of total domestic sales in 2004. Expanded perlite consumption increased for fillers, horticultural aggregates, laundries, plaster aggregate, and miscellaneous uses, while consumption decreased for concrete aggregate, filter aid, formed products, low-temperature insulation, and masonry- and cavity-fill insulation (table 3). Perlite was expanded, usually for local consumption, in 30 States. The leading States in production of expanded perlite sold or used, in descending order, were Illinois, Georgia, Mississippi, Pennsylvania, Minnesota, Alabama, Virginia, Oregon, California, and Florida (table 2).

## Prices

Processed crude perlite sold at an average value of $\$ 40.94$ per metric ton, which was an increase of about $5.4 \%$ compared with that of 2003. Perlite consumed by expanding plants operated by the mining companies was valued at $\$ 39.09$ per ton, which was a increase of about $7.8 \%$ compared with that of 2003. The average price for all perlite sold or used by mining companies was $\$ 40.57$ per ton, which was an increase of $6.2 \%$ compared with that of 2003. The average price of expanded perlite was $\$ 226$ per ton, up from $\$ 221$ per ton in 2003 ; the range in reported prices, however, was wide-from less than $\$ 100$ per ton to more than $\$ 1,000$ per ton.

The average price by use of expanded perlite, in descending order, was low-temperature insulation, $\$ 448$ per ton; fillers, $\$ 395$ per ton; concrete aggregate, $\$ 389$ per ton; masonry- and cavity-fill insulation, $\$ 342$ per ton; filter aid, $\$ 328$ per ton; plaster aggregate, $\$ 328$ per ton; horticultural aggregate, $\$ 324$ per ton; laundries, $\$ 270$ per ton; and formed products, $\$ 147$ per ton (table 3).

## Foreign Trade

Export and import data were derived from U.S. Census Bureau data. Exports of processed crude and expanded perlite,
primarily to Canada, were estimated to be $37,000 \mathrm{t}$, unchanged compared with those of 2003. The value of exports could not be calculated based on available information, but the average prices quoted previously could be applied.

Imports of processed crude perlite, almost exclusively from Greece, decreased by $3 \%$ to about $238,000 \mathrm{t}$. The average customs value of perlite imports was estimated to be $\$ 31.16$ per ton. If insurance and freight costs (\$3.52) were added to the value of the imports, the total average value of imports was estimated to rise to $\$ 34.68$ per ton. Perlite expanding plants, mostly in the Eastern United States, continued to purchase less expensive, imported perlite. Price differences were mainly attributable to higher domestic rail transportation costs compared with cheaper international waterborne transportation costs. Imported perlite has a price advantage compared with domestically produced perlite owing to the low cost of shipping it from Greece to the United States east coast. Perlite production in Greece is centered on the islands of Milos and Kos, which offer deepwater access to large ocean-going vessels, thus lowering freight cost. U.S. producers normally must transport perlite by rail, which is subject to higher insurance and freight rates, causing transportation costs to be higher.

## World Review

Based on available information, the United States was estimated to be the leading consumer of processed crude and expanded perlite in 2004. Greece was estimated to have produced about 525,000 t and sold or used the largest amount of perlite among the countries listed in table 4. Other leading producers of processed crude perlite, in descending order, were the United States, Japan, Mexico, Hungary, and Turkey. In 2004, 15 countries produced 1.95 million metric tons of perlite. Owing to a lack of reliable informaton, however, this total does not include all major producing countries, such as China, which
was probably the leading or second leading producer in the world.

## Outlook

Total consumption of processed and expanded perlite in the United States is expected to increase slightly in 2005. Perlite imports are not expected to increase as quickly as they have in recent years as the available markets near saturation in the Eastern United States. Prices for crude and especially expanded perlite are expected to increase as costs for transportation (both for waterborne and rail) and the expansion process rise owing to increases in fuel costs.
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TABLE 1
PERLITE MINED, PROCESSED, EXPANDED, AND SOLD OR USED BY PRODUCERS IN THE UNITED STATES ${ }^{1}$
(Thousand metric tons and thousand dollars)

| Year | Perlite <br> mined $^{2}$ | Processed perlite |  |  |  |  | Expanded perlite |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sold to expanders |  | Used plant | own make | Total quantity sold or used |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | plant to make expanded material |  |  | Quantity produced | Sold or used |  |
|  |  | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value |  |  | Quantity | Value |
| 2000 | 873 | 570 | 19,600 | 101 | 3,100 | 672 | 715 | 715 | 148,000 |
| 2001 | 611 | 490 | 17,900 | 97 | 3,450 | 588 | 686 | 693 | 146,000 |
| 2002 | 638 | 419 | 15,100 | 102 | 3,890 | 521 | 674 | 672 | 146,000 |
| 2003 | 591 | 381 | 14,800 | 112 | 4,060 | 493 | 665 | 664 | 147,000 |
| 2004 | 601 | 407 | 16,700 | 101 | 3,950 | 508 | 673 | 670 | 151,000 |

[^0]${ }^{2}$ Crude ore mined and stockpiled for processing.

TABLE 2
EXPANDED PERLITE PRODUCED AND SOLD OR USED BY PRODUCERS IN THE UNITED STATES, BY STATE ${ }^{1}$

| State | 2003 |  |  |  | 2004 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity produced (metric tons) | Sold or used |  |  | Quantity <br> produced (metric tons) | Sold or used |  |  |
|  |  | Quantity (metric tons) | Value <br> (thousands) | Average value per ton ${ }^{2}$ |  | Quantity (metric tons) | Value <br> (thousands) | Average value per ton ${ }^{2}$ |
| California | 32,100 | 32,100 | \$10,600 | \$328 | 31,500 | 31,500 | \$10,500 | \$334 |
| Florida | 31,900 | 31,300 | 7,880 | 251 | 34,000 | 30,700 | 7,770 | 253 |
| Michigan | 21,400 | 21,400 | 4,980 | 232 | 21,000 | 21,000 | 5,380 | 256 |
| Mississippi | 69,400 | 69,400 | 9,440 | 136 | 64,400 | 64,400 | 8,990 | 139 |
| Nevada | 3,860 | 3,860 | 1,080 | 279 | 3,820 | 3,820 | 1,120 | 292 |
| Pennsylvania | 52,200 | 52,200 | 9,080 | 174 | 52,200 | 52,200 | 9,260 | 177 |
| Other ${ }^{3}$ | 455,000 | 454,000 | 104,000 | 229 | 466,000 | 466,000 | 108,000 | 223 |
| Total or average | 665,000 | 664,000 | 147,000 | 221 | 673,000 | 670,000 | 151,000 | 226 |

${ }^{1}$ Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.
${ }^{2}$ Average value is based on unrounded data and rounded to the nearest dollar.
${ }^{3}$ Includes Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Maine, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Missouri, Nevada, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

TABLE 3
EXPANDED PERLITE SOLD OR USED BY PRODUCERS IN THE UNITED STATES, BY USE ${ }^{1}$

| Use | 2003 |  |  | 2004 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity (metric tons) | Value (thousands) | Average value per ton ${ }^{2}$ | Quantity (metric tons) | Value (thousands) | Average value per ton ${ }^{2}$ |
| Concrete aggregate | 2,970 | \$982 | \$331 | 2,790 | \$1,090 | \$389 |
| Fillers | 66,600 | 27,400 | 410 | 74,000 | 29,300 | 395 |
| Filter aid | 59,600 | 19,500 | 327 | 49,600 | 16,300 | 328 |
| Formed products ${ }^{3}$ | 401,000 | 58,200 | 145 | 398,000 | 58,400 | 147 |
| Horticultural aggregate | 86,400 | 26,400 | 306 | 90,800 | 29,400 | 324 |
| Laundries | 3,030 | 817 | 270 | 3,170 | 855 | 270 |
| Low-temperature insulation | 3,560 | 1,530 | 429 | 1,790 | 799 | 448 |
| Masonry- and cavity-fill insulation | 3,440 | 1,240 | 359 | 3,310 | 1,130 | 342 |
| Plaster aggregate | 7,490 | 2,160 | 289 | 11,500 | 3,780 | 328 |
| Other ${ }^{4}$ | 30,600 | 8,760 | 286 | 35,600 | 10,400 | 293 |
| Total or average | 664,000 | 147,000 | 221 | 670,000 | 151,000 | 226 |

${ }^{1}$ Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits, except average value; may not add to totals shown.
${ }^{2}$ Average value is based on unrounded data and is rounded to the nearest dollar.
${ }^{3}$ Includes acoustic ceiling panels, pipe insulation, roof insulation board, and unspecified formed products.
${ }^{4}$ Includes explosives, high-temperature insulation, paint, refractory, soap, steel, sugar manufacture, and various unspecified industrial uses.

PERLITE: WORLD PRODUCTION, BY COUNTRY ${ }^{1,2}$
(Metric tons)

| Country ${ }^{3}$ | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | $2004{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Armenia ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 35,000 | 35,000 | 35,000 | 35,000 | 35,000 |
| Australia ${ }^{\text {e, 4 }}$ | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| Greece, screened | 504,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 449,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 516,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 525,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 525,000 |
| Georgia | NA | NA | NA | 45,000 | 45,000 |
| Hungary ${ }^{\text {e }, 4}$ | 150,000 | 151,000 | 140,000 | 145,000 | 145,000 |
| Iran | 15,000 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 18,130 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| Italy ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 |
| Japan ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 | 240,000 | 240,000 |
| Mexico ${ }^{4}$ | 68,702 | 80,297 | 85,703 | $194,464{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 195,000 |
| Philippines | 5,650 | $6,000{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $6,000{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 6,000 | 6,000 |
| Slovakia | 17,020 | 14,910 | 18,630 | 15,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 15,000 |
| South Africa ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 |
| Turkey ${ }^{4}$ | 149,429 | 70,738 | 151,902 | 136,633 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 140,000 |
| United States ${ }^{5}$ | 672,000 | 588,000 | 521,000 | 493,000 | 508,000 ${ }^{6}$ |
| Zimbabwe ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| Total | 1,940,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1,730,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1,820,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1,880,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1,950,000 |

${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Estimated. ${ }^{\mathrm{r}}$ Revised. NA Not available.
${ }^{1}$ World totals, U.S. data, and estimated data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.
${ }^{2}$ Unless otherwise stated, figures represent processed ore output. Table contains data available through May 20, 2005.
${ }^{3}$ In addition to the countries listed, Algeria, Bulgaria, China, Iceland, Morocco, Mozambique, and Russia are thought to have produced perlite, but output is not reported, and available information is inadequate for the formulation of reliable estimates of output levels.
${ }^{4}$ Crude ore.
${ }^{5}$ Processed ore sold and used by producers.
${ }^{6}$ Reported figure.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

