THE MINERAL INDUSTRY OF

THAILAND

By Pui-Kwan Tse

After 2 years of financial turmoil, Thailand's economy began to show a definite recovery in the second half of 1999. Output of semimanufacturing products, industrial exports, and construction materials increased sharply. The private investment index reached its lowest point in October 1998 and improved by 12% in October 1999; overall investment, however, remained well below precrisis levels. On March 10, the Government announced a \$1.3 billion program to stimulate the economy through job development programs that were to be funded through Japan's Miyazawa Fund. In August, the Finance Ministry unveiled a \$2.5 billion plan to spur new investment, to cut production costs, and to promote growth in the real estate sector. The high level of nonperforming loans within the financial sector, however, remained too unstable for Thailand to sustain long-term recovery. The International Monetary Fund noted that Thailand's recovery was being driven mainly by consumer demand, not by implementing a healthy banking system (Far Eastern Economic Review, 1999). Owing to economic uncertainties, capital constraints, and fears of new nonperforming loans, local banks were cautious of new loans. In addition, the recovery was very uneven. Exports of electronics and automobiles were doing well. The output of steel and cement, however, remained below precrisis levels.

The Thai mining industry has gone through structural changes in recent years. Minerals have been considered to be a major source of foreign earnings; in the past several years, however, they have become an important part of domestic industrial development, too. Mineral exports accounted only for about 30% of the total production. Major export minerals were feldspar, gypsum, tin, and zinc. Declining prices in world markets and exhausting domestic reserves in major metallic minerals were the reasons for the drop in production (table 1).

Government Policies and Programs

The Government's investment promotion policy was to increase the standard of living of the Thai people through decentralization of economic activity into regional and rural areas. In 2000, the policy was expected to face challenges in complying with obligations under the World Trade Organization (WTO)agreement. Under the WTO regulations, members were allowed to offer investment incentives only in poverty- stricken areas. The Board of Investment (BOI) has been working to adjust its investment promotion package on the basis of the World Bank study. Under the new proposal, companies owned wholly or in part by foreign investors would enjoy the same investment incentives as Thai companies. Under the existing policy, foreign-owned companies were required to meet certain requirements, which included a provision to export at least 80% of total production in special zones, to be eligible for the BOI's incentives (Board of Investment, [undated], Executive summary, accessed May 26, 2000, at URL http://www.boi.go.th/english/execsummary/f20. html). The new investment policy was designed to increase decentralization of investment areas outside greater Bangkok and other wealthier provinces and would be more generous than existing policies.

In October 1999, the Thai parliament enacted the new Alien Business Act, which establishes the overall framework to govern foreign investment and employment in Thailand; the act was scheduled to take effect in early March 2000. Although it will eliminate existing prohibitions and liberalize restrictions on foreign participation in many sectors, it will not affect BOIsponsored projects or export activities that were authorized under the Industrial Estate Authority of Thailand nor will it supersede provisions of bilateral treaties (Bangkok Post, 1999 Economic review—Investment, accessed February 23, 2000, at URL http://www.bangkokpost.net/99year-end/investment.htm).

In 1999, the Thai Parliament also approved the Corporatization Act, which allows state enterprises to be transformed into limited or public companies, in an effort to boost economic efficiency and to improve public services at lower costs. Independent regulatory agencies would be established in major sectors, such as energy, transportation, and water, to manage and regulate each sector. Many state-owned enterprises were waiting for the formal implementation plans under the Corporatization Act. The Electricity Generating Authority of Thailand (EGAT) had completed its assets valuation and planned to offer shares on its Ratchaburi Power Plant in 2000. The privatization study of the Thai Airways was completed in 1999 (Bangkok Post, 1999 Economic review—Investment, accessed February 23, 2000, at URL http://www.bangkokpost.net/99year-end/investment.htm).

The Thai Government was considering waiving antitrust laws on such sectors as paper, steel, and petrochemicals so that companies could merge to reduce production costs and to become more efficient. The steel subsidiaries of NTS Steel Group Public Co. Ltd., Namheng Steel Co. Ltd., Bangkok Steel Industry Public Co. Ltd., and Siam Cement were negotiating a merger to form a new company. This merger would enable them to pool their resources, to improve efficiency, and to eliminate price competition. The merger, however, could violate the Trade Competition Law (Bangkok Post, January 22, 2000, Eased rules likely in some mergers, accessed February 23, 2000, at URL http://www.bangkokpost.net/220100/220100_ Business01.html). Thailand's tariff structure was divided into a number of tariff rate categories. The total number of these categories was being reduced from 39 to 6. Tariff rates for medical equipment and fertilizer were 0%; raw materials and electronic components, 1%; finished products, 20%; and goods such as fabrics, clothing, refrigerators, and air conditioners, which were under special protection, 30%. Owing to the regional economic crisis and the sensitivity to the domestic sector, plans to reduce tariffs on petrochemicals and plastic products had been delayed several times. In 1999, the rates for petrochemical products were reduced to 20% and for plastic pellets, to 30%. In October 1997, the Thai Government temporarily raised tariffs on passenger cars and sport utility vehicles to 80% from 42% and 68%, respectively. These increases were scheduled to be lifted on January 1, 2000 (Asian Chemical News, 1999).

Commodity Review

Industrial Minerals

In 1999, the decline in the domestic demand for cement indicated that the recovery of the construction sector remained slow. Domestic cement consumption dropped to about 18.8 million metric tons (Mt) in 1999 from 21.5 Mt in 1998, but cement exports increased. The Government partially set cement prices in the domestic market. Since the financial crisis in 1997, cement prices had increased six times, to about 35% higher compared with precrisis levels, to compensate for escalating production costs. The domestic cement market was dominated by Siam Cement Co. Ltd., Siam City Cement Plc., and TPI Polene which accounted for about 86% of the country's total 55-Mt output capacity. About 80% of production capacity was in the Saraburi area where limestone was abundant (Bangkok Post, February 8, 2000, Siam cement, accessed February 23, 2000, at URL

http://www.bangkokpost.net/080200/080200_ Business13.html).

Metals

Copper.— In August 1999, the Thai Government reduced the import tariff on copper cathode to 1% from 6% for 18 months and assisted domestic electrical appliance manufacturers in reducing their production costs for the same period. A 165,000-metric- ton-per-year (t/yr) copper smelter was scheduled to start production in mid-2001. Until then, local copper semimanufacturers must import copper metal for manufacturing their products (Metal Bulletin, 1999b). Thailand consumed about 120,000 t/yr cathode and the demand for copper metal was expected to increase within the next several years.

Pan Australian Resources N.L. of Australia signed a memorandum of understanding with Padaeng Industry Co. Ltd. (PDI) on the Puthep copper project in Thailand. The Puthep copper project is located near Loei in northeastern Thailand. It comprised two copper deposits 12 kilometers (km) apart. The two deposits had a combined resource of 116 Mt of ore grading 0.4% of copper. The main deposit, PUT 1, was mainly chalcocite ore and had a proven reserve of 46 Mt of ore grading 0.5% of copper and an inferred resource of 35 Mt of ore grading 0.3% of copper. PUT 1 could be developed as an open pit mine, the ore might be processed by heap leaching, and then 20,000 metric tons (t) of copper cathode could be recovered by solvent extraction-electrowinning process. Pan Australian had the right to earn a 51 % flow-through interest through shares in Puthep Co. Ltd., a subsidiary of PDI, by completing a creditable feasibility study. Pan Australian had an additional right to acquire up to 70% interest of the total project value. (Pan Australian Resources N.L., [updated], Announcements—1999, accessed September 3, 1999, URL http://www.panaustralian.com.au /Announcements.html).

Gold.—Gold prospecting in Thailand was quite active in the past several years; owing to financial crisis in the country, however, mining companies had difficulties acquiring loans from financial institutions to develop their projects. The Department of Mineral Resources (DMR) announced the discovery of four gold-rich tracts in Natchasima-Phetchabun-Sa Kaew, Chiang Rai-Phrae-Tak, Chon Buri-Rayong, and Narathiwat. The Office of Environmental Policy and Planning approved the environmental impact assessment study of the Chatree gold project that was submitted by Akara Mining Ltd. The Chatree gold deposit, which is in the area of Phichit, Phetchabun, and Phitsanulok, contains 5.15 Mt of ore grading 3.2 grams per ton gold. The company was waiting for the DMR to approve the lease (Asian Journal of Mining, 2000).

Iron, Steel, and Tin.—In 1999, the Thai iron and steel sector was rebounding. Domestic output of steel products and imports of pig iron and semimanufactured steel products showed gains compared with those of 1998. Domestic analysts predicted that the iron and steel sector could take a decade to recover from losses and return to profitability. Local steel producers were expected to continue to export at a loss to keep mills running. About two- thirds of the country's 150 steelworks were forced to close since the recession began in 1997. In 1999, demand for steel increased to 8.5 Mt from 6.5 Mt in 1998, which is lower than the 14.6 Mt in 1996.

Thailand's Iron and Steel Development Institute recommended that the Government take measures, such as adjusting tariff rates for the protection of domestic iron and steel producers without adversly affecting industrial users, requiring state enterprises and agencies to use local steel products for their infrastructure construction projects, establishing standards on steel production and providing incentives for steel producers using high technology for steel production, and encouraging steel companies to merge to reduce production costs. Before the financial crisis in 1997, steel products were targeted mainly towards the construction sector. The Institute urged steel producers to produce a variety of steel products for other sectors and to reduce their dependence on imported raw materials or semimanufactured materials.

Owing to the increase of canned food exports, domestic demand for tinplate increased sharply to about 400,000 t. This sharp rise allowed two domestic producers, Siam Tinplate

Manufacturing (STP) and Thai Tinplate Manufacturing (TTP), to produce at about 80% and 70% of their 120,000-t/yr and 360,000-t/yr output capacities, respectively. Imports of tinplate more than doubled from those of 1998. Tinplate from the Republic of Korea and Taiwan accounted for more than 50% (88,697 t), of total imports. Domestic tinplate producers faced a price war from imported tinplate, which cost about 20% to 30% less. Thailand's tinplate producers imported more than 50% of their raw materials. Domestic tinplate producers were concerned that even with the increase in domestic demand, however, benefit would go to tinplate importers. In 1999, the market share of imported tinplate increased to about 20%, up from 5% in 1995 (Department of Mineral Resources, 2000).

Siam United Steel (SUS), the joint venture between Japanese investors (53%) [Nippon Steel Corp., Kawasaki Steel Corp., Sumitomo Metal, and Kobe Steel Ltd.], Thai investors (44%) [Siam Cement Group, Siam Industrial Corp., TTP and STP], and Pohang Iron and Steel Corp. (3%) of the Republic of Korea, began operating in 1999. The plant is located in the Map Ta Phut industrial zone at Rayong. The 1-million-metricton-per- year (Mt/yr) plant was designed to produce 500,000 t/yr of uncoated cold-rolled coil and 250,000 t/yr each of blackplate and substrate for galvanizing. The 250,000-t/yr blackplate line was designed to produce 0.20- to 0.39millimeter (mm) thick and 700- to 1,100-mm wide strips. SUS was Thailand's first blackplate producer and its output was shipped to two local tinplate producers. Nippon Steel and Kawaski Steel supplied the majority of the blackplate for Thai tinplate producers. The volume of imported blackplate from Japan was expected to be reduced gradually when local product specification was accepted by the local tinplate producers. SUS was the second galvanized steel substrate producer in Thailand after Thai Cold Rolled Steel Sheet Plc. The final product, which was galvanized corrugated sheet, was an important roofing material in the country, especially popular for farmers (Steel Times International, 1999).

The Siam Strip Mill Plc. commissioned its hot-rolled coil plant at Rayong. The plant was designed to produce 1.8 Mt/yr of coil. The plant had three 130-t electric arc furnaces and produced a variety of standard- and special-quality 1.0- to 12.0-mm thick and 900- to 1,550-mm wide hot-rolled coil. The company planned to export 50% of its output to Southeast Asian countries (Metal Bulletin, 1999a).

The Government approved the environmental impact assessment study for the Somboon potash project submitted by Asia Pacific Resources Ltd. (APR) and granted the promotion privileges awarded under the Thai Investment Promotion Act to APR. Under the act, APR received 8-years of tax exempt status beginning at the start of production, followed by an additional 5-year period at 50% of the normal corporate tax rate. Imported equipment and machinery were exempted from or had reduced import duties for the project construction and operation. APR planned to begin the 2-Mt/yr Somboon project in the second half of 2002 (Mining Journal, 2000).

In 1999, PDI was still waiting the approval of a licence from DMR that would allow it to mine zinc ore on a new tract adjacent to its existing one in Mae Sot, Tak Province. The zinc content in the existing mine had dwindled to 15%, the new

concession's 35% zinc content, however, would help PDI reduce its dependence on imported zinc ore (Bangkok Post, January 27, 2000, Zinc mining, accessed February 23, 2000, at URL http://www.bangkokpost.net/270100/270100Business09. html). PDI planned to expand its zinc production capacity during the next couple of years. The company predicted that domestic demand for zinc would increase to 120,000 t in the next few years. The PDI zinc smelter in Tak operated at its full capacity, zinc ingot accounting for 70% of the output, and zinc alloy, 30%. PDI exported about 40% of its zinc products.

Mineral Fuels

Coal.—Thailand's coal resources were mainly in northern Thailand. Lampang Province accounted for about 90% of the country's total coal reserves. Mines in Mea Mo and Li in Lampang were the main production areas. Mines in Mea Mo and Li that were owned by EGAT accounted for 80% of the total coal output. The remaining 20% was produced from private companies throughout the north.

Since the financial crisis of 1997, the demand for electricity and fuel has decreased; consequently, the demand for coal has dropped by 10%. Despite the decrease in demand for electricity, it remained the major consumer of coal and accounted for about 75% of consumption. Most coal produced in Thailand was lignite or sub bituminous, which was used in powerplants. Each year, Thailand is required to import a large quantity of high-quality and heating-value bituminous coal, mainly from Indonesia, to meet the demand of the industrial sector and small powerplants. For the next couple of years, the annual growth rate was expected to be about 2%. After that, the demand for coal would increase at a rate of 7% per year. Environmental concerns might force powerplants to use high heating value and low sulfur coal to generate electricity in the next decade (Bangkok Post, January 12, 2000, Energy, accessed February 23, 2000, at URL http://www.bangkokpost.net/130100 /130100 Business12.html).

Banpu Plc., a private coal producer, planned to issue 232.16 million new shares at 10 baht per share to finance its coal exploration and powerplant projects in Thailand and neighboring countries.

DMR discovered coal resources in Songkla Province. According to the Cabinet's resolution, the reserves in Ngao and Sin Pun were reserved for EGAT, and the rest would be set for public bidding in 2001 (Bangkok Post, September 24, 1999, Energy, accessed November 16, 1999, at URL http://www. bangkokpost.net/240999/240999_Business07.html).

Natural Gas and Oil. —In 1999, the increase in world oil prices had a major impact on Thailand because the country relied on imports for about 70% of its petroleum supplies. Oil imports grew by 2.4% to about 745,150 barrels per day (bbl/d), and consumption, excluding that of the petrochemical sector, was about 895,600 bbl/d. The Thai Government decided to reduce the excise tax on diesel oil and to delay lifting price controls on liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) until April 2000. In 1999, the retail LPG price was about 50% higher than the Government set price. To control LPG prices, the Government

used the oil fund to subsidize it. The Government also agreed to delay enforcement of tougher regulations on local LPG production and trade until April 2000 (Bangkok Post, September 25, 1999, Energy, accessed November 16, 1999, at URL http://www.bangkokpost.net/250999/250999Business08. html).

In 1999, two of Unocal Thailand Ltd.'s natural gasfields, Pailin and Trat, began production. Pailin, which was Thailand's largest and most complex gas development project, produced about 4.6 million cubic meters per day (Mm^3/d) of natural gas. In the second phase, an additional 4.6 Mm^3/d was projected to come on-stream in 2002. The company estimated that the recoverable natural gas in Pailin was about 71 billion cubic meter (Gm³). The Pailin gasfield is located 160 km of Nakhon Si Thammarat Province on the southern coast. Gas from Pailin was sold to the Petroleum Authority of Thailand (PTT) under a 30- year gas sale agreement signed in 1996. Trat produced about 2/Mm³/d of natural gas and 2,100 bbl/d of condensate from five wells. Unocal intended to install three additional well platforms in the central Trat production area. Recoverable natural gas resources were about 6.8 Gm³. Trat was situated in block 11, northeast of Erawan. Unocal, which was the operator of the Trat field, held a 71% working interest; the remaining shares were held by Mitsui Oil Exploration Co. Ltd. and PTT Exploration and Production Plc. In 1999, Unocal produced an average of 28.3 Mm^3/d of natural gas and 34,600 bbl/d of condensate. Production from Unocal-operated fields in the Gulf of Thailand accounted for about 60% of Thailand's total natural gas output (Unocal Corporation, December 22, 1999, Unocal ends 1999 with gas sales, condensate production records in Thailand, accessed December 27, 1999, at URL http://biz.yahoo.com/prnew/991222/ca unocal 1.html).

In 1999, Chevron Offshore (Thailand) Ltd. doubled oil production from its fields in the Gulf of Thailand to about 30,000 bbl/d, as a result of starting production at Benchamas field in the third quarter of the year. Chevron expected that Benchamas would contribute about 75% of targeted oil production, and 25% would come from Tantawan. The two fields were located in block B8/32 about 200 km offshore. In 1999, Chevron had acquired interest in block B8/32 from Rutherford Moran Oil Corp.; the block contained three known fields: Benchamas, Maliwan, and Tantawan. Chevron also discovered potentially significant hydrocarbon deposits in the Jarmjuree area of block B8/32 and planned further drilling tests in 2000 (Chevron Corp. December 28, 1999, Chevron offshore Thailand announces promising oil & gas discovery in Jarmjuree area of block 8/32, accessed January 3, 2000, at URL htpp://biz.yahoo.com/prnew/991228/bangkok ch 1.html).

In October 1999, Malaysia and Thailand signed the gas sale agreement in the Malaysia-Thailand joint development area (A-18) on the once disputed Malay-Thai continental shelf. The two countries shared the natural gas output from A-18 equally. Under the terms of agreement, all initial natural gas would go to Malaysia beginning in 2002. Owing to limited domestic demand, Thailand decided to defer taking its share from A-18 until 2005. In the next 5 years, Thailand's natural gas supply would mainly come from gasfields in the Gulf of Thailand and the Yadana and Yetagun fields in Burma (Triton Energy Ltd., November 1, 1999, Triton Energy announces signing of block A-18 natural gas sales agreement, accessed November 1, 1999, at URL http://biz.yahoo.com/prnew/991101/tx_triton_1.html).

The Yadana Group which was led by Total of France, agreed to accept \$50.47 million from PTT as settlement for the disputes over natural gas payments under the "take-or-pay" contract. Owing to the delay in the construction of EGAT's Ratcharburi powerplant, PTT was unable to accept the contracted volume of natural gas from the Yadana field, which is located in the Gulf of Martaban, beginning in July 1998. Initially, PTT insisted on paying for the amount of gas actually received through the offshore/onshore cross-country pipeline. Under the terms of the contract, PTT was scheduled to receive 9.2 Mm³/d of Yandana gas in the second contract year beginning in March 1999 and the amount would rise to 15.5 Mm^{3}/d in subsequent years. As part of the agreement, the Yadana consortium agreed to reduce the gas price for a period of 15 months from July 1, 1998 (Bangkok Post, August 6, 1999, Yadana gas, accessed November 16, 1999, at URL http://www.bangkokpost.net/

060899/060899_Business03.html).

Santa Fe Energy Resource (Thailand) Ltd. signed an agreement with the Thai Government to survey and produce petroleum in block B7/38 in the Gulf of Thailand. Santa Fe planned to spend \$9 million on reprocessing existing seismic data. The block, which is situated about 321 km south-southwest of Bangkok, covers an area of 9,238 square kilometers. The company committed to work in this area for 3 years and held 100% of the working interest (Bangkok Post, 1999 mid-year economic review: Petroleum, accessed September 14, 1999, at URL http://www.bangkokpost.net/99mideco/99mye19.html).

Infrastructure

Thailand's power sector has been gradually changed in the past couple of years. Electricity demand dropped compared with that of 1998. Sluggish demand and expansion of generating facilities had resulted in excessive generating capacity in Thailand. The National Energy Policy Office, which is the defacto energy regulatory agency, planned to submit a power structure reform bill to the cabinet for final approval in 2000. The bill will create a competitive power supply sector, drive the electricity price down, and improve services by breaking the monopoly of state power utilities. The Government's revamping of the electricity tariff structure would force the state electricity-generating utility to assume more of the cost risk. The adjusted tariffs would reflect the real costs directly. The power bills of the big energy consumer groups, such as commerce and industries, would drop significantly, but those of residential users were expected to increase.

References Cited

Asian Chemical News, 1999, Tariff cuts yet to help economy: Asian Chemical News, v. 5, no. 236, p. 6.

Asian Journal of Mining, 2000, Thai gold progress: Asian Journal of Mining, February-March, p. 29.

Department of Mineral Resources, 2000, Thailand Metal Statistical Yearbook 1999: Department of Mineral Resources, 33 p.

Far Eastern Economic Review, 1999, Here today, gone tomorrow?: Far Eastern Economic Review, October 14, p. 62.

Metal Bulletin, 1999a, New Thai hot strip mill starts commissioning: Metal Bulletin, no. 8370, April 26, p. 23.

Mining Journal, 2000, Somboon granted environmental approval: Mining Journal, v. 335, no. 8590, July 7, p. 9.

Steel Times International, 1999, Siam Steel Company start up: Steel Times International, May, p. 14.

Major Sources of Information

Department of Mineral Resources

Ministry of Industry, Thanon Rama 6, Bangkok 10400 Thailand National Statistical Office

Office of the Prime Minister, Larn Luang Rd., Bangkok 10100, Thailand

Mining Industry Council of Thailand

132 Sinthorn Building, Room 11, Wireless Rd., Bangkok 10500, Thailand

The Electricity Generating Authority of Thailand 52 Charan Sanit Wong Rd., Bang Kruai, Nonthaburi 11000 Thailand

Major Publications

Bank of Thailand, Bangkok: Annual report.

Department of Mineral Resources, Bangkok: Mineral Statistics of Thailand (annual). Metal Statistics of Thailand (annual).

National Statistical Office, Office of the Prime Minister, Bangkok:

Statistical Summary of Thailand (annual).

Statistical Yearbook of Thailand (annual).

TABLE 1 THAILAND: PRODUCTION OF MINERAL COMMODITIES 1/2/

(Metric tons unless otherwise specified)

| Commodity 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 Antimany: Ore and concentrate: Goos weight 522 149 125 442 350 Bo content of: 230 70 60 200 110 Meila, snelter 230 70 60 200 110 Meila, snelter 230 79 949 782 242 r' 400 Condition 60d kilograms 103 32 $ -$ Iton and steel: 103 32 $ -$ Iton and steel: 103 34,480 85,880 43,840 90,700 122,633 For content of 12,044 2,141 2,141 2,147 2,907 Mine output, Pb content of 42,5% Pb concentrate 12,743 2,448 2,199 2,2067 Maganess ore: 11,150 12,789 14,948 2,187 4,197 Total 19,550 260 | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------|---------|---------|-----------|---------------|------------|
| METALS Ore and concentrate: | Commodity | | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | METALS | | | | | | |
| Ore and concentrate: Secontent c' 522 149 125 442 350 She content c' 230 70 60 200 110 Meal, sneher 365 385 238 300 e' 100 e' Godd klograms 365 385 238 300 e' 100 e' For one: Ino and stel: 103 32 - - - For one creit for one: 11,000 43,000 22,000 45,000 61,000 e' Crude stel thousand tons 2,134 2,143 2,100 r' 1,150 r' 1,474 Mine output, Proventer of 42.5% Pb concentrate 9,680 21,000 5,280 7,860 r' 8,290 Pirmary 7,965 4,922 4,112 r' 3,210 r' 2,067 Battery- and chemical-grade, 75% MrO: 11,150 17,791 19,080 22,125 r' 26,708 Toral and chemical-grade, 75% MrO: 11,151 17,711 19,080 r' 15,353 r' 17,306 Taria | Antimony: | | | | | | |
| Gross weight 522 149 125 442 350 Sb content of 230 70 60 200 110 Meal, smeler 230 70 60 200 100 0 Gold kilograms 103 32 - - - - Ion one: - - 103 32 - - - - Cross weight - 103 32 - - - - - - Toron and seel: - - 103 32 - | Ore and concentrate: | | | | | | |
| Sb content e' 230 70 60 200 110 Metal, sender 365 385 224 i' 40 Cadmium 365 385 238 300 e' 100 Ton and stele: 103 32 - - - - Tons ore: - < | Gross weight | | 522 | 149 | 125 | 442 | 350 |
| Metal, snelter 577 949 782 242 t' 40 Gold Islograms 567 949 782 242 t' 40 Gold Islograms 663 385 238 300 e' 100 e' Iron and steel: Iron ore: Gross weight - - - - Gross weight Iron ore: Gross weight 2,134 2,114 2,101 t' 1,619 t' 1,474 Lead: - 9,680 21,000 5,280 7,860 t' 8,290 Mine output, Protentin of 42.5% Pb concentrate 9,680 21,000 5,280 7,860 t' 8,290 Mine output, Staconterit 11,150 12,789 14,908 ti' 18,906 ti' 2,967 Total Rore aurth mineral, monazite concentrate, gross weight 11,510 12,789 14,908 ti' 18,900 2,267 2,341 Total Total monastite concentrate, gross weight 11,510 2,780 14,908 ti' 15,932 ti' 17,306 Tatalin, heurostene concentrate, gross w | Sb content e/ | | 230 | 70 | 60 | 200 | 110 |
| Cadmium 365 385 238 300 e' 100 e' Gold kilograms 103 32 - - - Toro ore: - - - - - - Gross weight - - - - - - Fe content of / - - - - - - Mine output, Pb content of 42.5% Pb concentrate thousand tons 2,134 2,101 tr/ 1,619 tr/ 1,474 Primary - </td <td>Metal, smelter</td> <td></td> <td>577</td> <td>949</td> <td>782</td> <td>242 r/</td> <td>40</td> | Metal, smelter | | 577 | 949 | 782 | 242 r/ | 40 |
| Gold Kilograms 103 32 - - - Iron and steel: Iron ore: Gross weight 34,480 85,880 43,840 90,700 122,633 Gross weight thousand tom 2,134 2,143 2,101 r' 1,619 r' Mine output, Pb content of 42.5% Pb concentrate thousand tom 2,134 2,143 2,101 r' 1,619 r' Mine output, Pb content of 42.5% Pb concentrate 9,680 21,000 5,280 7,860 r' 2,967 Secondary 11,150 12,789 14,908 22,125 r' 2,670 Marganese ore: 815 707 208 - - Marganese ore: 3,478 3,095 499 52 46 Total Igns weight - - 12 - - Trait - - 12 - - Total Igns weight - - 12 - - Tota | Cadmium | | 365 | 385 | 238 | 300 e/ | 100 e/ |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | Gold | kilograms | 103 | 32 | | | |
| Iron ore: 34,480 85,880 43,840 90,700 122,633 Fe content e' 17,000 45,000 61,000 22,000 45,000 61,000 Crade steel thousand tons 2,134 2,143 2,101 r/ 1,619 r/ 1,474 Lead: | Iron and steel: | | | | | | |
| Gross weight 33,480 85,880 43,840 90,700 122,233 Fe content e' 1,000 43,000 22,000 45,000 61,000 Crude steel thousand ions 2,134 2,113 2,101 r' 1,619 r' 1,474 Lead: 9,680 21,000 5,280 7,860 r' 8,290 Mine output, Pb content of 42.5% Pb concentrate 9,680 21,000 5,280 7,860 r' 8,290 Metal, ingot 7,965 4,922 4,112 r' 3,219 r' 2,967 Secondary 11,150 12,783 14,968 r' 18,906 r' 2,967 Manganess ore: 815 707 208 - | Iron ore: | | | | | | |
| Fe content e' 17,000 43,000 22,000 45,000 61,000 Crude steel thousand tons 2,134 2,143 2,101 t' 1,619 t' 1,474 Lead: 2,134 2,100 5,280 7,860 t' 8,290 Primary 7,965 4,922 4,112 t' 3,219 t' 2,967 Secondary 11,150 12,789 14,968 t' 18,906 t' 2,673 Manganesc ore: Battery: and chemical-grade, 75% MnO2 2,663 2,348 291 52 46 Total, gross weight 3,478 3,095 499 52 46 Total, gross weight 3,478 3,095 499 52 46 Total, gross weight 1,600 1,550 260 25 23 Rare-arth mineral, monazite concentrate, gross weight - - - - - Mine output, gross weight 13,517 756 2,028 t' 3,400 30 2 - - Mine output, gross weight 13,518 14,333 9,132 195,122 18,500 1 | Gross weight | | 34,480 | 85,880 | 43,840 | 90,700 | 122,633 |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | Fe content e/ | | 17,000 | 43,000 | 22,000 | 45,000 | 61,000 |
| Lead: 9,680 21,000 5,280 7,860 tr/ 8,290 Mine output, figot 7,965 4,922 4,112 tr/ 3,219 tr/ 2,967 Secondary 11,150 12,789 14,968 tr/ 18,906 tr/ 25,741 Total 19,115 17,711 19,080 22,125 tr/ 26,708 Marganese ore: 2,663 2,388 291 52 46 Total, gross weight 3,478 3,095 499 52 46 Total, gross weight - | Crude steel | thousand tons | 2,134 | 2,143 | 2,101 r/ | 1,619 r/ | 1,474 |
| Mine output, Pb content of 42.5% Pb concentrate 9.680 21.000 5.280 7.860 tr/ 8.290 Metal, ingot Primary | Lead: | | | | | | |
| Metal, ingot 7,965 4,922 4,112 t/ 3,219 t/ 2,967 Secondary 11,150 12,789 14,968 t/ 18,906 t/ 23,741 Total 19,115 17,711 19,080 22,125 t/ 26,708 Marganese ore: 815 707 208 - - Maganese ore: 3,478 3,095 499 52 46 Total, mos weight 3,478 3,095 499 52 46 Total, mos oncent e/ 1,600 1,550 260 22,5 23 Rare-earth mineral, monazite concentrate, gross weight 1 1 1 3,478 3,490 3,400 Mine output, Sn content 8,243 10,4971 7,56 2,028 t/ 3,400 Mine output, gross weight 92 67 54 61 t/ 54 Mine output, gross weight 92 67 54 61 t/ 54 Mine output, gross weight 92 67 54 61 t/ 54 | Mine output, Pb content of 42.5% Pb concentrate | | 9,680 | 21,000 | 5,280 | 7,860 r/ | 8,290 |
| $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | Metal, ingot | | | | | | |
| Secondary 11,150 12,789 14,968 r/ 18,906 r/ 23,741 Total 19,115 17,711 19,080 22,125 r/ 26,708 Marganese ore: 11,150 12,789 14,968 r/ 22,125 r/ 26,708 Marganese ore: 11,150 12,789 14,968 r/ 26,63 23,88 291 52 46 Total Mn content c/ 2,663 2,388 291 52 46 Total Mn content c/ 1,550 260 25 23 Rare-earth mineral, monazite concentrate, gross weight - - 12 - - Time: 2,201 1,457 756 2,028 r/ 3,400 Metal, senter, primary 8,243 10,981 11,986 r/ 15,353 r/ 17,306 Titaniun, leucoxene concentrate, gross weight 33 - - - - - - - - - - - <t< td=""><td>Primary</td><td></td><td>7,965</td><td>4,922</td><td>4,112 r/</td><td>3,219 r/</td><td>2,967</td></t<> | Primary | | 7,965 | 4,922 | 4,112 r/ | 3,219 r/ | 2,967 |
| Total 19,115 17,711 19,080 22,212 r/ 26,708 Marganese ore: | Secondary | | 11,150 | 12,789 | 14.968 r/ | 18.906 r/ | 23,741 |
| Maganese ore: Data Data< | Total | | 19.115 | 17.711 | 19.080 | 22.125 r/ | 26,708 |
| Battery- and chemical-grade, 75% MnO2 815 707 208 Metallurgical-grade, 40% to 50% MnO2 $2,663$ $2,388$ 291 52 46 Total, pross weight $2,663$ $2,388$ 291 52 46 Total Mn content e/ $1,600$ $1,550$ 260 25 23 Rare-earth mineral, monazite concentrate, gross weight $ 12$ $ -$ Mine output, Sn content $2,201$ $1,457$ 756 $2,028$ r/ $3,400$ Metal, snelter, primary Tatalium, leucoxene concentrate, gross weight 33 $ -$ <t< td=""><td>Manganese ore:</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<> | Manganese ore: | | | | | | |
| Metallyrgical-grade, 4% to 50% MnO2 $2,663$ $2,388$ 291 52 46 Total, gross weight $3,478$ $3,095$ 499 52 46 Total function ontent e/ $3,478$ $3,095$ 499 52 46 Total function ontent e/ $3,478$ $3,095$ 499 52 46 Total function ontent e/ $1,600$ $1,550$ 260 25 23 Rare-earth mineral, monazite concentrate, gross weight $ 12$ $ -$ Mine output, Sn content $2,201$ $1,457$ 756 $2,028$ r/ $3,400$ Metal, smelter, primary $8,243$ $10,981$ $11,986$ r/ $15,353$ r/ $17,306$ Titamin, leucoxene concentrate. 92 67 54 61 r/ 54 Mine output, gross weight $135,198$ $181,233$ $91,132$ $195,122$ $185,800$ Mine output, gross weight $135,198$ $181,233$ $91,132$ $195,63$ $27,148$ <td>Battery- and chemical-grade, 75% MnO2</td> <td></td> <td>815</td> <td>707</td> <td>208</td> <td></td> <td></td> | Battery- and chemical-grade, 75% MnO2 | | 815 | 707 | 208 | | |
| Interfigure gross weight 120 121 122 16 Total Mn content e/ 3,478 3,095 499 52 46 Total Mn content e/ 1,600 1,550 260 25 23 Rare-earth mineral, monazite concentrate, gross weight - | Metallurgical-grade 46% to 50% MnO2 | | 2 663 | 2 388 | 291 | 52 | 46 |
| Total Mn content e' 1,000 1,550 1,93 1,22 1,3 Total Mn content e' 1,600 1,550 260 25 23 Rare-earth mineral, monazite concentrate, gross weight - - 12 - - Mine output, Sn content 2,201 1,457 756 2,028 tr/ 3,400 Metal, smelter, primary 8,243 10,981 11,986 tr/ 15,353 tr/ 17,306 Tingsten concentrate: 33 - - - - - - Mine output, Sn content d 2 2 67 54 61 tr/ 54 Mine output, W content e/ 2 2 7 54 61 tr/ 54 Mine output, Zn content 92 67 54 61 tr/ 54 Metal, primary 46,378 59,718 72,036 75,639 71,48 Metal, primary 46,378 59,718 72,036 75,639 71,48 Metal, primary 46,378 59,718 72,036 75,639 71,48 Metal, primary 33,4900 | Total gross weight | | 3 478 | 3.095 | 499 | 52 | 46 |
| Rar-earth mineral, monazite concentrate, gross weight 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 | Total Mn content e/ | | 1,600 | 1 550 | 260 | 25 | 23 |
| Name output, Non-content Non-section innerting, invasible concentrate, gross weight 1.2 Mine output, Sn content 2.201 1.457 756 2.028 $r/$ 3.400 Metal, smelter, primary 33 $ -$ | Rare-earth mineral monazite concentrate gross weight | | 1,000 | 1,550 | 12 | | |
| Im Mine output, Sn content2,2011,4577562,028 i' 3,400Metal, smelter, primary11,086 i' 15,353 i' 17,306Titanium, leucoxene concentrate; gross weight3 $ -$ Tungsten concentrate:92675461 i' 54Mine output, gross weight92675461 i' 54Mine output, gross weight135,198181,23391,132195,122185,800Mine output, Zn content28,78711,3758,89419,56327,148Metal, primary46,39859,73872,03675,90475,639Alloy10,0912,64312,01815,07821,653Zirconium concentrate, gross weight $ -$ INDUSTRIAL MINERALS $ 5$ $ -$ Barite33,90035,00035,00028,800 i' 29,500Clays: $ -$ Ball clay308,001386,334288,406206,172200,000 e' Kaolin, marketable: $ -$ Beneficiated $ -$ Band clay $ -$ | Tin- | | | | 12 | | |
| Inter output, Strontent 1,457 1,457 1,457 1,453 1,454 1,453 1,454 1,454 1,556 1,453 1,456 1,454 1, | Mine output Sn content | | 2 201 | 1 457 | 756 | 2.028 r/ | 3 400 |
| Interder, junitaty 3.243 10,981 11,900 f/ 11,303 f/ 11,313 f/ 11,310 f/ <td>Matel smolter primary</td> <td></td> <td>2,201</td> <td>1,437</td> <td>11 086 */</td> <td>2,020 1/</td> <td>3,400</td> | Matel smolter primary | | 2,201 | 1,437 | 11 086 */ | 2,020 1/ | 3,400 |
| Italiani, ledcoxent concentrate; 55 - | Titonium laugovang gangantente gross visibit | | 0,243 | 10,981 | 11,980 1/ | 15,555 1/ | 17,500 |
| Intrigent concentrate:Mine output, gross weight92675461 r/54Mine output, W content e/52 r/37 r/30 r/35 r/30Zinc:135,198181,23391,132195,122185,800Mine output, zross weight28,78711,3758,89419,56327,148Metal, primary46,39859,73872,03675,90475,639Alloy10,50912,64312,01815,07821,653Zirconium concentrate, gross weight5INDUSTRIAL MINERALS35,88348,07454,81796,421 r/68,012Barite35,88348,07454,81796,421 r/68,012Cement, hydraulic e/thousand tons34,90035,00028,800 r/29,500Clays:308,001386,334288,406206,172200,000 e/Kaolin, marketable:460,629553,770366,563255,152113,005Nonbeneficiated10,85622,56418,19714,633243,213Diatomite5,9911,57691Feldspar677,852684,983611,789429,693398,558Fluorspar, crude, metallurgical-grade24,11417,2477,8263,473 r/13,005 | Tungeten concentrate: | | 33 | | | | |
| Mine output, W content e/ 92 67 54 61 r 34 Mine output, W content e/ 21 82 r 30 r 35 r 30 Zinc: 30 $135,198$ $181,233$ $91,132$ $195,122$ $185,800$ Mine output, Zn content $28,787$ $11,375$ $8,894$ $19,563$ $27,148$ Metal, primary $46,398$ $59,738$ $72,036$ $75,904$ $75,639$ Alloy $10,509$ $12,643$ $12,018$ $15,078$ $21,653$ Zirconium concentrate, gross weight $ 5$ $ -$ INDUSTRIAL MINERALS $35,883$ $48,074$ $54,817$ $96,421$ $r/$ $68,012$ Barite $35,883$ $48,074$ $54,817$ $96,421$ $r/$ $68,012$ Clays: $34,900$ $35,000$ $35,000$ $28,800$ $r/$ $29,500$ Ball clay $308,001$ $386,334$ $288,406$ $206,172$ $200,000$ $e/$ Kaolin, marketable: $ -$ Ball clay $138,594$ $134,972$ $132,028$ $150,380$ $243,213$ Filler $10,856$ $22,564$ $18,197$ $14,633$ $14,765$ Diatomite $5,991$ $1,576$ 91 $ -$ Feldspar $677,852$ $684,983$ $611,789$ $429,693$ $398,558$ Fluorspar, crude, metallurgical-grade $24,114$ $17,247$ $7,826$ $3,473$ $r/$ | Tungsten concentrate: | | 02 | (7 | 54 | (1/ | 5 4 |
| Mine output, W content e/ 52 F/ 37 F/ 30 F/ 52 F/ 33 F/ 30 Zinc: | Mine output, gross weight | | 92 | 07 | 54 | 61 I/ 25 / | 54 |
| $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | Mine output, w content e/ | | 52 r/ | 37 T/ | 30 r/ | 35 ľ/ | 30 |
| Mine output, gross weight 135,198 181,233 91,132 195,122 185,800 Mine output, Zn content 28,787 11,375 8,894 19,563 27,148 Metal, primary 46,398 59,738 72,036 75,904 75,639 Alloy 10,509 12,643 12,018 15,078 21,653 Zirconium concentrate, gross weight 5 INDUSTRIAL MINERALS 35,883 48,074 54,817 96,421 r/ 68,012 Cement, hydraulic e/ thousand tons 34,900 35,000 35,000 28,800 r/ 29,500 Clays: 308,001 386,334 288,406 206,172 200,000 e/ Kaolin, marketable: 460,629 553,770 366,563 255,152 113,005 Nonbeneficiated 138,594 134,972 132,028 150,380 243,213 Filler 10,856 22,564 18,197 14,633 14,765 Diatomite 5,991 1,576 91 Feldspar 677,852 684,983 <td></td> <td></td> <td>105 100</td> <td>101 000</td> <td>01.100</td> <td>105 100</td> <td>105 000</td> | | | 105 100 | 101 000 | 01.100 | 105 100 | 105 000 |
| Mine output, Zn content $28,787$ $11,375$ $8,894$ $19,563$ $27,148$ Metal, primary $46,398$ $59,738$ $72,036$ $75,904$ $75,639$ Alloy $10,509$ $12,643$ $12,018$ $15,078$ $21,653$ Zirconium concentrate, gross weight $ 5$ $ -$ INDUSTRIAL MINERALS $35,883$ $48,074$ $54,817$ $96,421$ r/ $68,012$ Barite $35,883$ $48,074$ $54,817$ $96,421$ r/ $68,012$ Cement, hydraulic e/thousand tons $34,900$ $35,000$ $35,000$ $28,800$ r/ $29,500$ Clays: $34,900$ $366,534$ $288,406$ $206,172$ $200,000$ e/Bail clay $460,629$ $553,770$ $366,563$ $255,152$ $113,005$ Nonbeneficiated $138,594$ $134,972$ $132,028$ $150,380$ $243,213$ Filler $10,856$ $22,564$ $18,197$ $14,633$ $14,765$ Diatomite $5,991$ $1,576$ 91 $ -$ Feldspar $677,852$ $684,983$ $611,789$ $429,693$ $398,558$ Fluorspar, crude, metallurgical-grade $24,114$ $17,247$ $7,826$ $3,473$ r/ $13,005$ | Mine output, gross weight | | 135,198 | 181,233 | 91,132 | 195,122 | 185,800 |
| Metal, primary 46,398 59,738 72,036 75,904 75,639 Alloy 10,509 12,643 12,018 15,078 21,653 Zirconium concentrate, gross weight 5 INDUSTRIAL MINERALS 35,883 48,074 54,817 96,421 r/ 68,012 Cement, hydraulic e/ thousand tons 34,900 35,000 35,000 28,800 r/ 29,500 Clays: 308,001 386,334 288,406 206,172 200,000 e/ Kaolin, marketable: 460,629 553,770 366,563 255,152 113,005 Nonbeneficiated 138,594 134,972 132,028 150,380 243,213 Filler 10,856 22,564 18,197 14,633 14,765 Diatomite 5,991 1,576 91 Feldspar 677,852 684,983 611,789 429,693 398,558 Fluorspar, crude, metallurgical-grade 24,114 17,247 7,826 3,473 r/ 13,005 | Mine output, Zn content | | 28,787 | 11,375 | 8,894 | 19,563 | 27,148 |
| Alloy 10,509 12,643 12,018 15,078 21,653 Zirconium concentrate, gross weight - 5 - | Metal, primary | | 46,398 | 59,738 | 72,036 | 75,904 | 75,639 |
| Zirconium concentrate, gross weight 5 - | Alloy | | 10,509 | 12,643 | 12,018 | 15,078 | 21,653 |
| INDUSTRIAL MINERALS Barite 35,883 48,074 54,817 96,421 r/ 68,012 Cement, hydraulic e/ thousand tons 34,900 35,000 35,000 28,800 r/ 29,500 Clays: 308,001 386,334 288,406 206,172 200,000 e/ Kaolin, marketable: 460,629 553,770 366,563 255,152 113,005 Nonbeneficiated 138,594 134,972 132,028 150,380 243,213 Filler 10,856 22,564 18,197 14,633 14,765 Diatomite 5,991 1,576 91 - - Feldspar 677,852 684,983 611,789 429,693 398,558 Fluorspar, crude, metallurgical-grade 24,114 17,247 7,826 3,473 r/ 13,005 | Zirconium concentrate, gross weight | | | 5 | | | |
| Barite 35,883 48,074 54,817 96,421 r/ 68,012 Cement, hydraulic e/ thousand tons 34,900 35,000 35,000 28,800 r/ 29,500 Clays: 308,001 386,334 288,406 206,172 200,000 e/ Kaolin, marketable: 460,629 553,770 366,563 255,152 113,005 Nonbeneficiated 138,594 134,972 132,028 150,380 243,213 Filler 10,856 22,564 18,197 14,633 14,765 Diatomite 5,991 1,576 91 - - Feldspar 677,852 684,983 611,789 429,693 398,558 Fluorspar, crude, metallurgical-grade 24,114 17,247 7,826 3,473 r/ 13,005 | INDUSTRIAL MINERALS | | | | | | |
| Cement, hydraulic e/ thousand tons 34,900 35,000 35,000 28,800 r/ 29,500 Clays: 308,001 386,334 288,406 206,172 200,000 e/ Kaolin, marketable: 460,629 553,770 366,563 255,152 113,005 Nonbeneficiated 138,594 134,972 132,028 150,380 243,213 Filler 10,856 22,564 18,197 14,633 14,765 Diatomite 5,991 1,576 91 - - Feldspar 677,852 684,983 611,789 429,693 398,558 Fluorspar, crude, metallurgical-grade 24,114 17,247 7,826 3,473 r/ 13,005 | Barite | | 35,883 | 48,074 | 54,817 | 96,421 r/ | 68,012 |
| Clays: 308,001 386,334 288,406 206,172 200,000 e/ Kaolin, marketable: 460,629 553,770 366,563 255,152 113,005 Nonbeneficiated 138,594 134,972 132,028 150,380 243,213 Filler 10,856 22,564 18,197 14,633 14,765 Diatomite 5,991 1,576 91 Feldspar 677,852 684,983 611,789 429,693 398,558 Fluorspar, crude, metallurgical-grade 24,114 17,247 7,826 3,473 r/ 13,005 | Cement, hydraulic e/ | thousand tons | 34,900 | 35,000 | 35,000 | 28,800 r/ | 29,500 |
| Ball clay 308,001 386,334 288,406 206,172 200,000 e/ Kaolin, marketable: 460,629 553,770 366,563 255,152 113,005 Nonbeneficiated 138,594 134,972 132,028 150,380 243,213 Filler 10,856 22,564 18,197 14,633 14,765 Diatomite 5,991 1,576 91 Feldspar 677,852 684,983 611,789 429,693 398,558 Fluorspar, crude, metallurgical-grade 24,114 17,247 7,826 3,473 r/ 13,005 | Clays: | | | | | | |
| Kaolin, marketable: 460,629 553,770 366,563 255,152 113,005 Nonbeneficiated 138,594 134,972 132,028 150,380 243,213 Filler 10,856 22,564 18,197 14,633 14,765 Diatomite 5,991 1,576 91 Feldspar 677,852 684,983 611,789 429,693 398,558 Fluorspar, crude, metallurgical-grade 24,114 17,247 7,826 3,473 r/ 13,005 | Ball clay | | 308,001 | 386,334 | 288,406 | 206,172 | 200,000 e/ |
| Beneficiated 460,629 553,770 366,563 255,152 113,005 Nonbeneficiated 138,594 134,972 132,028 150,380 243,213 Filler 10,856 22,564 18,197 14,633 14,765 Diatomite 5,991 1,576 91 Feldspar 677,852 684,983 611,789 429,693 398,558 Fluorspar, crude, metallurgical-grade 24,114 17,247 7,826 3,473 r/ 13,005 | Kaolin, marketable: | | | | | | |
| Nonbeneficiated 138,594 134,972 132,028 150,380 243,213 Filler 10,856 22,564 18,197 14,633 14,765 Diatomite 5,991 1,576 91 Feldspar 677,852 684,983 611,789 429,693 398,558 Fluorspar, crude, metallurgical-grade 24,114 17,247 7,826 3,473 r/ 13,005 | Beneficiated | | 460,629 | 553,770 | 366,563 | 255,152 | 113,005 |
| Filler 10,856 22,564 18,197 14,633 14,765 Diatomite 5,991 1,576 91 Feldspar 677,852 684,983 611,789 429,693 398,558 Fluorspar, crude, metallurgical-grade 24,114 17,247 7,826 3,473 r/ 13,005 | Nonbeneficiated | | 138,594 | 134,972 | 132,028 | 150,380 | 243,213 |
| Diatomite 5,991 1,576 91 Feldspar 677,852 684,983 611,789 429,693 398,558 Fluorspar, crude, metallurgical-grade 24,114 17,247 7,826 3,473 r/ 13,005 | Filler | | 10,856 | 22,564 | 18,197 | 14,633 | 14,765 |
| Feldspar 677,852 684,983 611,789 429,693 398,558 Fluorspar, crude, metallurgical-grade 24,114 17,247 7,826 3,473 r/ 13,005 | Diatomite | | 5,991 | 1,576 | 91 | | |
| Fluorspar, crude, metallurgical-grade 24,114 17,247 7,826 3,473 r/ 13,005 | Feldspar | | 677,852 | 684,983 | 611,789 | 429,693 | 398,558 |
| | Fluorspar, crude, metallurgical-grade | | 24,114 | 17,247 | 7,826 | 3,473 r/ | 13,005 |
| Gemstones thousand carats $1,036$ 677 962 $1,010$ $1,310$ | Gemstones | thousand carats | 1,036 | 677 | 962 | 1,010 | 1,310 |
| Gypsum thousand tons 8,533 8,934 8,858 4,334 5,005 | Gypsum | thousand tons | 8,533 | 8,934 | 8,858 | 4,334 | 5,005 |

See footnotes at end of table.

TABLE 1--Continued THAILAND: PRODUCTION OF MINERAL COMMODITIES 1/2/

(Metric tons unless otherwise specified)

| Commodity | | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| INDUSTRIAL MINERALSConti | nued | | | | | |
| Phosphate rock, crude | | 9,301 | 3,528 | 3,818 | 3,029 | 3,880 |
| Salt: | | | | | | |
| Rock | | 380,544 | 529,674 | 554,891 | 546,096 | 550,000 e/ |
| Other e/ | | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| Sand, silica | | 325,492 | 447,050 | 515,859 | 323,937 | 350,000 e/ |
| Stone: | | | | | | |
| Calcite | | 37,700 | 32,700 | 29,550 | 12,250 | 72,130 |
| Dolomite | | 668,795 | 1,064,699 | 803,511 | 520,826 r/ | 485,393 |
| Limestone for cement manufacture only | thousand tons | 45,559 | 50,058 | 58,796 | 9,200 r/ | 40,000 e/ |
| Marble | cubic meters | 96,992 3/ | 261,051 | 332,839 | 398,132 | 400,000 e/ |
| Marl for cement manufacture only | | 610,600 | 566,500 | 9,543 | 6,995 | 7,000 e/ |
| Quartz, not further described | | 11,288 | 9,831 | 5,133 | 3,101 | 3,223 |
| Shale for cement manufacture only | thousand tons | 4,357 | 4,605 | 5,387 | 2,824 | 3,000 e/ |
| Talc and related materials: | | | | | | |
| Pyrophyllite | | 76,189 | 64,330 | 304,524 | 40,241 | 45,000 e/ |
| Talc | | 4,252 | 7,238 | 7,139 | 1,972 | 2,000 e/ |
| MINERAL FUELS AND RELATED MA | ATERIALS | | | | | |
| Coal: | | | | | | |
| Anthracite | | 5,000 | 3,000 | | | |
| Lignite | thousand tons | 18,419 | 21,685 | 23,443 | 20,162 | 18,266 |
| Natural gas (gross production) | million cubic meters | 11,389 | 13,123 | 16,159 | 17,545 | 19,333 |
| Petroleum: | | | | | | |
| Crude thous | and 42-gallon barrels | 8,674 | 9,669 | 10,024 | 10,738 | 12,412 |
| Natural gas condensate | do. | 10,937 | 13,044 | 14,883 r/ | 15,395 r/ | 16,487 |
| Refinery products: | | | | | | |
| Liquefied petroleum gas | do. | 17,940 | 21,970 | 26,610 | 25,970 | 28,850 |
| Gasoline | do. | 38,750 | 48,410 | 59,250 | 56,240 | 53,030 |
| Jet fuel | do. | 19,740 | 22,460 | 23,970 | 22,800 | 25,910 |
| Kerosene | do. | 710 | 1,190 | 771 | 734 | 2,180 |
| Distillate fuel oil | do. | 37,740 | 52,540 | 54,740 | 50,140 | 49,240 |
| Residual fuel oil e/ | do. | 22,500 | 23,000 | 24,000 | 22,000 | 22,000 |
| Unspecified e/ 4/ | do. | 3,400 | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,500 |
| Total e/ | do. | 141,000 r/ | 173,000 r/ | 193,000 r/ | 181,000 r/ | 185,000 |

e/Estimated. r/ Revised. -- Zero.

1/ Includes data available through October 30, 2000.

2/ Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

3/ Metric tons.

4/ Includes refinery fuel and refinery gains or losses.

TABLE 2 THAILAND: STRUCTURE OF THE MINERAL INDUSTRY IN 1999

(Thousand metric tons unless otherwise specified)

| Commodity | Major operating companies | Location of main facilities | Annual |
|----------------------------------|---|---|-------------|
| Antimony concentrate | Associated Minerals Co. I td | Bo Thang 130 kilometers southeast of Bangkok | capacity c/ |
| Antimony, concentrate | Associated Winerars Co. Etc. | temporarily inactive | 6 |
| Do | Parasit Mining Co | Doi Ngoem 100 kilometers southeast of | 0 |
| 20. | Tatasit Willing Co. | Chiang Mai | 2 |
| Barite | American Thai Barite Co. Ltd | Siam Mine 200 kilometers southeast of | 2 |
| Barne | American final Bartle Co. Etd. | Phylet | 25 |
| Do | P&S Mining Co. I td | Loei Mine 10 kilometers northwest of Loei | 70 |
| | STA Mining Co. Ltd. | STA Mine, 105 kilometers southeast of | |
| D0. | 51A Winning Co. Ltd. | Chiang Mai | 100 |
| Camant | Sigm Compart Co. Ltd | Kaang Khoi 00 kilomatars porth of Bangkok | 3 300 |
| | do | Tambol Tahkwang, Kaeng Khoi District of 90 | |
| Do. | u0. | kilomators northaast of Bangkak | 2 800 |
| | do | The Lueng, 00 kilometers portheast of Dhuket | 2,800 |
| | do. | Thung Song, 120 kilometers northeast of Phylest | |
| | du. Sigm City Compart Pla | Sorobusi Drovince | 12 250 |
| | Islamethan Coment Co. Ltd | Talili Nakan Sawan Drawinga and Cha Am | 12,530 |
| D0. | Jaiapraman Cement Co. Ltd. | Distribution Sawan Province and Cha-Ani- | 1,000 |
| | | Phetchburi Province | 0.000 |
| | | Saraburi Province | 9,000 |
| Fluorspar, concentrate | Phanom Thuan Mining Co. Ltd. | Phanom Thuan, 45 kilometers north of | <i>c</i> 0 |
| | | Kanchanaburi | 60 |
| Do. | Skt Minerals Co. Ltd. | Mine, 47 kilometers southeast of Krabi | 65 |
| Do. | Thai Fluorite Processing Co. Ltd. | Ban Lad, Phet Buri | 120 |
| Do. | United Fluorite Co. Ltd. | Salak Pra, 80 kilometers northwest of | |
| | | Kanchanaburi | 26 |
| Do. | Universal Mining Co. Ltd. | Mae la Luang, 120 kilometers west of | |
| | | Chiang Mai | 35 |
| Lead, concentrate | Kanchanaburi Exploration and Mining Co. | Song Toh, 250 kilometers northwest of | |
| | Ltd. | Bangkok | 45 |
| Steel, rolled | Bangkok Iron and Steel Works Co. Ltd. | Phrapradaeng, Samut Prakarn Province | 120 |
| Do. | Bangkok Steel Industry Public Co. Ltd. | do. | 180 |
| Do. | NTS Steel Groups Public Co. Ltd. | Chon Buri Province | 408 |
| Do. | Siam Construction Steel Co. Ltd. | Bangkok | 500 |
| Do. | Siam Iron and Steel Co. Ltd. | Ban Moh, Sara Buri Province | 400 |
| Do. | Sahaviriya Group Corp. Ltd. | Bang Saphan, Prachuap Khiri Khan Province | 2,400 |
| Do. | Siam United Steel | Rayong | 1,000 |
| Tantalum and niobium in tin slag | Thai Tantalum Co. Ltd. | do. | 500 |
| Tin: | _ | | |
| Concentrate | Numerous small companies | Offshore Andaman Sea from southern | |
| | | tip of Burma to south of Phuket | NA |
| Do. | do. | Mostly southern Thailand and along | |
| | | southern Burma border | NA |
| Refined | Thailand Smelting and Refining Co. Ltd. | Phuket | 38 |
| Tungsten, concentrate | Parasit Mining Co. | Doi Ngeom, 100 kilometers southeast of | |
| | | Chiang Mai | 0.1 |
| Do. | Siamerican Mining Enterprise Co. Ltd. | Khao Soon, 185 kilometers east | |
| | | of Phuket, temporarily inactive | 1 |
| Do. | Sirithai Scheelite Thailand Co. Ltd. | Doi Mok, 120 kilometers northeast | |
| | | of Chiang Mai, temporarily inactive | 0.4 |
| Zinc: | | | |
| Ore | Padaeng Industry Co. Ltd. | Mae Sot, Tak Province | 350 |
| Refined | do. | Tak, Tak Province | 105 |
| | | | |

e/Estimated. NA Not available.