THE MINERAL INDUSTRY OF CANADA

By Alfredo C. Gurmendi

In 2003, Canada's mineral industry produced more than 60 minerals. The country ranked third in terms of value (\$1.2 billion) of rough diamond (Natural Resources Canada, 2004b). It ranked first in the production of potash followed by, in order of production, Russia, Belarus, and Germany; second in the production of selenium following Japan; third in the production of nickel following, in order of production, Russia and Australia; and fourth in the production of bismuth following, in order of production, China, Mexico, and Peru and of zinc following, in order of production, China, Australia, and Peru (Jorgenson, 2004a, b; Kuck, 2004; Plachy, 2004; Searls, 2004).

In 2003, Canada had a population of about 32.2 million and a gross domestic product (GDP) of \$977 billion¹ in terms of purchasing power parity. Canada's GDP growth was moderate—about 1.7% compared with 3.3% in 2002. The economic slowdown in 2001 and subsequent recovery in 2002-03 were largely affected by the economic sluggishness in the United States in 2001-02. Canada's currency devaluation helped moderate the upturn in economic growth of the second half of 2002 into 2003 (Department of Finance Canada, 2003§²; U.S. Central Intelligence Agency, 2003§; International Monetary Fund, 2004§; Statistics Canada, 2004a§). In 2003, inflation was 2.2%, and unemployment increased slightly to 7.7% from 7.6% in 2002 and 6.8% in 2001. Overall, Canada's minerals, metals, and energy sectors contributed 5% of its GDP. The mineral industry played an integral part in Canada's innovative technology-driven and knowledge-based economy (Mercer, 2004; Statistics Canada, 2004e§).

Canadian production of metals, industrial minerals, and coal totaled \$14.4 billion, which was 1.4% higher than that of 2002 (\$14.2 billion). Of this total, the Canadian nonfuels production was valued at \$13.4 billion, which was 2.3% higher than that of 2002 (\$13.1 billion); the values of coal and metal outputs decreased by 6.6% and 6.5%, respectively, compared with those of 2002; and the value of industrial minerals (nonmetals) increased by 7.6% compared with that of 2002 (Natural Resources Canada, 2004a, b).

Although some metal prices in world markets rebounded in 2003, metal production was slower to react, and decreased output of base and precious metals affected the value of mineral output, which decreased by 6.5% in Canada.³ The value of production for diamond, iron ore, nickel, and nonmetals increased by 7.6%. Iron ore output increased by 6.7%, which was a 13.1% increase in value; nickel output decreased by 13.8%, and its value increased to \$1.4 billion in 2003 from \$1.2 billion in 2002; zinc (19.5%), copper (8.5%), and gold (7.5%) production declined; and price declines for cobalt, copper, lead, platinum-group metals (PGM), and zinc contributed to the decline in value of Canadian metals—to \$6.9 billion in 2003 from \$7.4 billion in 2002. Several mineral commodities increased in value—diamond, 117.5%; iron ore, 13.1%; nickel, 4.2%; cement, 4.1%; and potash (K₂O content), 1.3%; these partially offset the decrease of the metals value (Natural Resources Canada, 2004a, b).

In 2003, the leading mineral commodities produced in Canada were gold, \$1.6 billion; nickel, \$1.4 billion; diamond, \$1.2 billion; cement, coal, and potash, \$1.1 billion each; iron ore, \$1.0 billion; copper, \$929 million; sand and gravel and stone, \$714 million each; and zinc, \$643 million (Natural Resources Canada, 2004b).

The exploration and deposit appraisal spending for Canada has rebounded and gained momentum since 2001 from \$366.4 million to \$409.3 million in 2002 and \$488.6 million in 2003. The exploration process allocated \$371.3 million, or 76% of the total, for mineral exploration, and the remaining \$117.3 million, or 24%, for deposit appraisals in 2003. Factors that contributed to this recovery trend were better metal prices, successful diamond discoveries, timely tax incentives, and better access to the capital markets. Between January and November 2003, Canadian companies raised \$3.6 billion in equity financing for mineral exploration; about 50% of that equity was raised around the world. Also, recognizing the benefits of the temporary Federal and Provincial tax credits introduced in recent years to boost the flow-through shares (FTS) financing process to stimulate exploration, the Federal Government announced in March 2004 an extension of the 15% Federal tax credit for mineral exploration to the end of 2005 (Natural Resources Canada, 2003b, 2004§).

Although exploration and deposit appraisal expenditures in Canada were not fairly characteristic of all the Provinces and Territories, expenditures were particularly apparent in Ontario (31.1%), Quebec (18.1%), Nunavut (12.0%), British Columbia (10.5%), Northwest (8.2%), and Saskatchewan (7.7%), which together accounted for 87.6% of exploration and deposit appraisal expenditures for the entire country. Increases were equally apparent in Manitoba (4.4%), the Provinces of Newfoundland and Labrador (3.4%), and the Yukon Territory (2.7%) (Natural Resources Canada, 2003c, p. 5). Canada's larger mining companies remained internationally active by continuing to spend 62% of their exploration budgets in other regions, particularly in, in order of expenditures, Asia and the Pacific, Latin America and the Caribbean, and Eastern Europe. Although a large number of Canadian mining companies have been exploring in other countries, such discoveries as, in order

¹Where necessary, values have been converted from Canadian dollars (CAN\$) to U.S. dollars (US\$) at an average rate of CAN\$1.40=US\$1.00 for 2003. All values in this report, unless otherwise specified, are expressed in U.S. dollars.

²References that include a section mark (§) are found in the Internet References Cited section.

³More-detailed information on the mineral production in Canada can be found in the Canadian Minerals Yearbooks for 2002 and 2003 prepared by Natural Resources Canada, and the Information Bulletin for 2002 and 2003 compiled by Statistics Canada and issued by Natural Resources Canada, Ottawa, Canada, which were used extensively as source material for this report. The U.S. Department of the Interior has arranged for these Canadian publications to be placed in selected depository libraries of the 50 States and Puerto Rico.

of value, Voisey's Bay cooper-nickel project, the Kelex Nickel Zone, the Sudbury Basin's PGM-rich deposits, and the Diavik, the Jericho, and the Snap Lake diamond projects indicated that metals and minerals are still to be found in Canada (Natural Resources Canada, 2003c, p. 24, 127-141).

According to predictions for the forthcoming year, spending for precious- and base-metals exploration would be flat, and that for diamond exploration would increase by as much as 25%. In 2003, the Canadian diamond sector was a factor in world diamond markets. In 2004 and beyond, diamond was expected to be the most sought after mineral commodity in the country. Macroeconomics and the globalization of diamond demand have introduced unprecedented levels of volatility into the diamond supply and rough and polished diamond pricing; this takes into consideration increased levels of diamond mining activity and the move to a more-competitive open market for rough diamonds in particular (Natural Resources Canada, 2004b).

Voisey's Bay Nickel Company Limited (a subsidiary of Inco Limited and based in St. John's, Newfoundland) was established to develop the rich (in order of value) nickel, copper, and cobalt deposit on the Labrador Peninsula in eastern Canada. Inco formalized an agreement with the Government of Newfoundland and Labrador to develop the \$1.9 billion Voisey's Bay project in mid-2002. In 2003, Inco achieved all major project milestones—completed the bankable feasibility study for phase one; started the Voisey's Bay open pit, concentrator, and related facilities construction at a cost of \$470 million; and began testing hydrometallurgical processes to treat Voisey's Bay ores at a pilot plant in Mississauga, Ontario, which produced the first cathode in this facility in June 2003 (Inco Limited, 2003§).

With the strong recovery of nickel prices during 2002 and 2003, additional supplies of nickel were expected from Voisey's Bay, development of other new nickel mines, and producers' destocking (Natural Resources Canada, 2003a, p. 307; 2004b).

According to the Natural Resources Canada's survey of 2003, exploration and deposit appraisal disbursements started in 2001 (\$321 million) and continued with increases of 11.8% in 2002 (\$359 million) and 36.2% in 2003 (\$489 million). This trend appears to have been the result of higher mineral commodity prices in the open markets, the sustained and successful diamond exploration, and access to financing. The share of spending by junior firms remained quite important in the total exploration-phase expenditures (grass-roots exploration)—\$111 million in 2001, \$131 million in 2002, and \$200 million in 2003 (Natural Resources Canada, 2004§).

Environmental compliance continued to be an important issue in mineral exploration and development activities throughout Canada. Mineral exploration was increasingly affected by legal and sociological issues in much of Canada. Land use, which had never been given much attention in the past, has become an issue. First Nation rights were receiving long-awaited consideration. Canada's Minister of Natural Resources stated that Federal and Provincial Governments were working on legislative reforms.

Canadian mining firms were acquiring mineral properties in Latin America where Governments offered incentives to attract foreign investment, 100% ownership was allowed, and profits could be repatriated. A large Canadian exploration company (Canadian senior firm) had a minimum allotment of \$3 million for exploration purposes. In 2002 (the latest year for which data were available), planned exploration expenditures in Canada and elsewhere around the world Canadian senior firms amounted to about \$670 million; this was down by almost 12% from about \$760 million budgeted in 2001. About two-thirds of the decrease in these Canadian exploration expenditures from 2001 to 2002 was expected to take place abroad (Natural Resources Canada, 2003c, p. 128-129).

Government Policies and Programs

The Canadian Provinces exercise primary jurisdiction over mineral resources in the country. Through their mining acts, their governments regulate most aspects of exploration and mining. Exceptions are the Northwest Territories, the Nunavut Territory, and the Yukon Territory, which, although still under the resource-management control of the Canadian Federal Government, were slowly accumulating independent powers. For instance, the Federal, the Territorial, and the First Nation negotiators initiated the Devolution Transfer Agreement (DTA) in September 2001; the DTA transferred the Federal Government's current responsibilities for managing most of the Yukon Territory's natural (mineral-energy) resources to the Territorial; the agreement became effective on April 1, 2003.

On August 20, 2002, Quebec announced a very attractive refundable FTS tax credit for mineral resources. Senior and junior companies will be allowed a tax credit of up to 60% of exploration expenditures until 2007. In 2003, the Yukon Territory offered an FTS tax credit as a refundable mineral exploration tax of 25% on exploration expenditures for eligible individuals and companies that will be in effect until March 31, 2005; British Columbia's FTS tax credit program provided a 20% tax cut for flow-through financing for eligible grass-roots exploration; and Saskatchewan has a temporary 10% tax credit for eligible FTS investors in mineral exploration firms active in the Province where the targeted commodities were diamond and uranium (Natural Resources Canada, 2003c, p. 38-39, 48, 55-57, 71-72, 85, 90, 104-105, 111, 115).

The Federal Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development (DIAND) and the Northwest Territories Government merged their geoscience programs and jointly managed the C.S. Lord Northern Geoscience Centre in Yellowknife. The Centre is supported by the DIAND, the Government of the Northwest Territories, and the Geological Survey of Canada. After the formation of the Nunavut Territory on April 1, 1999, a land claim settlement allocated about 40,000 square kilometers (km²) of Nunavut as "Inuit Owned Land" where surface rights are held by Regional Inuit Associations (RIAs) and subsurface rights are held by the Inuit people and administered by Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated. An additional 320,000 km² is considered to be "Inuit Owned Land" where surface rights are held by the Inuit and are administered by the RIAs and subsurface rights are retained by the Crown and administered by the DIAND. In both cases, exploration and mining are allowed and subject to permitting through

the respective RIAs. Exploration for metals and petroleum has tended to move north in recent years into what is now the Nunavut Territory and has resulted in Baffin Island's Nanisivik lead-zinc mine, which is located 750 kilometers (km) north of the Arctic Circle; it was owned by Breakwater Resources Ltd. (BRL). The Inuit have been generally receptive to mining proposals as a way of bringing more business and employment into their region (Natural Resources Canada, 2003c, p. 113-116).

Federal and Provincial policies (though not entirely consistent among Provinces) are generally stable and have traditionally favored the research and information services that relate to the mining industry. The Federal Government has negotiated multiyear Mineral Development Agreements, which fund initiatives intended to strengthen the mining industry in each region, with Provincial Governments. Environmental assessment legislation was passed in 1992, and the Federal Government has been deliberate in producing regulations to implement such law. One subsequent measure was the tax deductibility for funds set aside for the cleanup of closed mine sites, thus complementing emerging Provincial reclamation requirements.

The Canadian Securities Administrators (CSA) has finalized the National Instrument 43-101, which pertains to the "Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects." This instrument, which was enacted into law in early 2001, will apply to all technical public disclosures on mineral projects and will require all technical disclosures to be based on the work of a qualified person (QP). The QP is to be responsible for scientific and technical matters, which will include exploration, development, definitions of resources and reserves, and mining matters, and quality-control standards for analytical laboratories, the form of technical reports, professional supervision, corporate governance practices, regulatory oversight of the mining industry, and enforcement of securities laws.

In response to stakeholders' (mining industry, communities, and Provinces and Territories) demands for incentives on mineral exploration and deposit appraisal, the Canadian Federal Government introduced the Investment Tax Credit for Exploration (ITCE) in October 2000. This 3-year 15% nonrefundable federal tax credit was available only to investors in the FTS of exploration and mining companies; it was later extended to the end of 2004. The Prospectors and Developers Association of Canada (PDAC) and the Mining Association of Canada requested an extension of the ITCE beyond the end of 2004. This request, with the recommendation of the Mining Ministers of Canada, now will be in effect until the end of 2005. The credit is in addition to the existing 100% deduction of eligible exploration expenditures from the Federal portion of investors' income tax and is equivalent to a 136.7% exploration expense deduction. The two types of FTS investments are the "super" flow-through, or additional Federal tax credits, for "grassroots" exploration and the regular flow-through plus Provincial and Territorial harmonization initiatives. Both FTS investments will assist the sector in gaining new investment and stimulating minerals exploration activity in Canada.

The Federal Government is laying a foundation for the sector by providing sound economic fundamentals, encouraging innovation and knowledge, and promoting sustainable development. Income tax benefits to individual investors for income tax purposes and marginal tax rate will vary depending on the taxpayer's residence. Quebec continued to offer the largest tax savings for FTS investments followed by the Yukon Territory, British Columbia, Ontario, and Saskatchewan (Natural Resources Canada, 2003c, p. 27-29, 56).

Environmental Issues

The Canadian Mining Association noted (July 2004) that the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act (CEAA) put several Federal departments in a position to review mining activity, a purview that had been limited to Provincial jurisdiction. The CEAA includes many provisions that bring Federal agencies into the review process to evaluate impacts on area fisheries and navigable rivers or where explosions or public works are involved. Overlapping Federal jurisdictions have made it difficult for companies to know what they have to do to secure approval for their projects. Observers thought that if the CEAA created difficulties for raising capital, then investors might become wary of Canada's approval regime. They would invest their monies in other countries where regulations are more straightforward and transparent. In Canada, however, the Provincial and the Territorial Governments continued to support and promote exploration and deposit appraisal activities in their respective jurisdictions through various initiatives, such as fiscal incentives, resolution of land access issues, and the provision of state-of-the-art geoscientific data (Natural Resources Canada, 2003c, p. 15-16).

In a further effort to define goals, approaches, and alternatives in the name of sustainable development, the PDAC, which is a private sector organization, issued a paper, "Total Landscape Management (TLM): An Integrated Approach to Conservation Protection and Resource Development." The PDAC asserts that TLM goes beyond the growing reliance on multiple-use exclusive areas to achieve conservation objectives. This multiple-use concept, however, has produced unsatisfactory results because the complex and changing needs of the landscape require a more-comprehensive and integrated approach. The paper acknowledges that access to land and certainty of title are crucial to resource development and that biological diversity, wilderness protection, and the preservation of unique and exceptional areas are fundamental to conservation objectives. TLM prescribes management of entire ecological landscapes by using the overarching principal of conservation diversity; a system of "floating reserves" designed to accomplish protection in a constantly changing, dynamic landscape; adaptive management that allows the flexibility to accommodate new information, evolving ecosystems, and natural disturbances; and comanagement that ensures the provision of local community input. Failure to understand local realities and to involve the community constructively creates the risk of costly delays or even termination of mineral exploration and development projects owing to disruption, confrontation, and conflict over cultural, environmental, and social issues (Thomson, 2004, p. 4).

Production

In 2003, Canada was one of the leading mining countries in the world. Significant increases in the values of the output of diamond (117.5%), nickel (16.7%), iron ore (13.1%), and cement (4.1%) compared with those of 2002 kept the country's mineral industry strong and stable. Production values of metals decreased by 6.5%; nonmetals, which include diamond and structural materials, increased by 13.8%; and coal decreased by 6.6% compared with those of 2002 (Natural Resources Canada, 2004b).

Market prices played a changing role in the mineral commodity values. In 2003, the value of metals decreased to \$6.9 billion from \$7.4 billion in 2002, which was due to the decline in the values of zinc production by (24.3%), copper (9.7%), gold (3.9%), and stone (2.4%) from those of 2002. Strong prices encouraged increases in the production of diamond, iron ore, and nickel. The value of output for most other metals remained at about the 2002 levels despite rebounding prices during 2003. The increase in diamond output was accompanied by higher prices. Lower zinc prices resulted in a 24% decline in zinc output (Natural Resources Canada, 2004b).

Ontario, which was the leading producer of nonfuel mineral commodities, accounted for 27.4% of the total value followed by Quebec, 18.1%; British Columbia, 14.1%; Saskatchewan, 11.7%; the Northwest Territories, 8.9%; Alberta, 5.8%; Newfoundland and Labrador, 4.6%; Manitoba, 4.3%; New Brunswick, 3.4%; Nova Scotia, 1.3%; the Yukon Territory, 0.2%; and the Nunavut Territory, 0.1%. Although the production of fuels tended to be concentrated in the Western Plains Provinces, the output of nonfuel mineral commodities was characterized by a much wider distribution throughout Canada (Natural Resources Canada, 2004a, b).

Trade

As the world's leading exporter of minerals and metals, Canada enjoyed economic benefits from its mineral industry that included a significant contribution to its trade balance. In 2003, exports of minerals and metals (excluding crude oil and natural gas), smelted and refined metals, and mineral products earned \$35.3 billion, or 12.4% of all exports (\$285.7 billion); these exports represented a 5.6% decrease compared with those of 2002 (\$37.4 billion). The value of exports of fuel minerals, which included coal, was \$27.2 billion; this was an increase of 22.7% compared with that of 2002 and represented 9.5% of all Canadian exports for 2003 (Birchfield, 2003; Natural Resources Canada, 2003a, p. 1.16; Department of Finance Canada, 2003§; Statistics Canada, 2004b§). Included in these exports were crude minerals, fertilizers, and metals and alloys. Prominent among the crude minerals were iron ore, potash, and sulfur to the United States; copper concentrates to Japan; and iron ore and zinc concentrates to the European Union (EU). Smelted and refined metals included aluminum, copper, gold, iron and steel, nickel, silver, and zinc to the United States; aluminum and gold to Japan; and copper and nickel to the EU. Coal exports went mostly to Japan (Statistics Canada, 2004c§).

Mineral and mineral product imports, which included fuels, were valued at \$42.7 billion, which was about the same level as those of 2002 (\$42.6 billion). In terms of net trade, the mineral surplus, which included fuels, was valued at \$5.8 billion higher than that of 2002. Total trade between Canada and the United States exceeded that of any other two countries in the world. In 2003, more than 80% of Canadian exports (\$230 billion) and 70% of Canadian imports (\$171 billion) were with the United States followed by Japan, the United Kingdom, the EU, and Mexico (Statistics Canada, 2004c§).

Structure of the Mineral Industry

The Canadian mineral industry comprised about 3,000 domestic and perhaps 150 foreign companies, although less than 10% of these companies were actively engaged in actual mining. Major international and junior Canadian mining companies were engaged in exploration; some were in advanced stages of mine development and expansions. Companies whose corporate voting rights were at least 50% non-Canadian were considered to be foreign, although other distinctions could apply in some large companies. More than 200 mine sites, which included coal, were active (Giancola, 2003, p. 442-444). Another 3,000 mines and quarries produced sand and gravel and other construction materials. About 40 smelters and refineries and other processing plants were operating in the cement, sodium chlorate, and sulfuric acid industries. Foreign companies were subject to the same taxes as domestic companies, but repatriation of earnings was allowed.

Most of the Canadian mineral industry was privately owned with the notable exception of Government participation in potash and petroleum, but even these were in transition to private ownership. Saskatchewan owned part of several companies, such as Potash Corp. of Saskatchewan Inc. (PCS), which was based in Saskatoon, and Saskatchewan Oil & Gas Corp. Alberta owned part of Alberta Energy Co. Ltd. The proportion of Provincial Government ownership was changeable, but the trend will be toward privatization in 2004. A large proportion of the total number of mining and petroleum companies was partly publicly owned with shares trading on various exchanges in Canada and, in many cases, the United States.

Overall, the mineral industry in Canada consisted of underground and open pit mines, leaching operations, concentrators, smelters, and refineries, as well as drilling and production operations characteristic of the petroleum industry. Table 2 lists the structure of the mineral industry, by sectors, of the major mineral commodities.

In 2002 (the latest year for which data were available), employment in the mining and mineral manufacturing industries, which included coal, increased to 360,851 from 359,489 in 2001; this was a 7.2% decrease compared with that of 2000 (388,900 jobs). The total number of employees in coal, metal, and nonmetal mining and quarrying decreased by 6.1%, or 47,423, compared with the 2001 level (50,511 jobs). Employment in mining (coal, metal, and nonmetal) declined, most notably the metal sector where employment decreased to 23,944 in 2002 from 26,686 in 2001. About 1,300 people were also employed in diamond drilling and other support services incidental to mining operations (Birchfield, 2003; Natural Resources Canada, 2003a, p. 1.7-1.8).

Commodity Review

Metals

Aluminum.—Production of primary aluminum was about 2.8 million metric tons (Mt), which was an increase of 3.1% compared with that of 2002 (Natural Resources Canada, 2004a, b). This put Canada third in the world after China and Russia, in volume of production and first, after Russia and Venezuela, in volume of exports to the United States. In 2003, the value of Canadian production remained about the same level as that of 2002 (\$4.1 billion). The country remained the second ranked primary aluminum exporter after Russia; the value of exports was \$3.5 billion (Wagner, 2003a, p. 9.1-9.3; Plunkert, 2004).

Alcan Aluminum Ltd. owned about 56% of the total Canadian primary aluminum smelter capacity of 2.79 million metric tons per year (Mt/yr). Alcan owned the 400,000-metricton-per-year (t/yr) Alma smelter in Alma, Quebec, which required 620 megawatts (MW) of power; 270 MW came from Alcan's own grid, and 350 MW, from Provincial utility Hydro-Quebec Ltd. The company had negotiated a projected 22-year power-exchange project with Hydro-Quebec. With Hydro-Quebec furnishing additional power that Alcan may need for modernization and expansion of its six additional smelters in Quebec, Alcan projected that it would require an average market price of \$1,400 per metric ton to meet its cost of capital. The new potlines would comprise 432 pots in two lines. The Alma facility will raise Alcan's overall primary aluminum capacity at all its plants to 1.9 Mt/yr from 1.56 M t/yr, and Canada's production capacity will increase to 3 Mt/yr in 2005 (Wagner, 2003a, p. 9.1-9.4).

Cobalt.—Mine production of cobalt amounted to 4,304 metric tons (t), which was a decrease of 16.4% compared with that of 2002 (5,148 t). This resulted from a 3-month production interruption owing to a labor dispute at Inco's Ontario operations; a new 3-year agreement was signed in August 2003. In spite of that decrease in output, the value of shipments increased by 3.2% to \$51.0 million in 2003 (1,743 t) from \$49.4 million in 2002 (2,065 t). Cobalt prices improved in parallel to the increase in the value of nickel. Rising demand for cobalt for alloys, catalysts, magnets and batteries, and even pigment, however, focused new attention on cobalt resources in Canada led by the Voisey's Bay discovery of at least 40,000 t (Natural Resources Canada, 2004a; Inco Limited, 2003§). The expectations to proceed with a number of new hydrometallurgical nickel-cobalt laterite plants would further increase cobalt prices in the open market. The higher prices of the mid-1990s would be rather difficult to sustain in the future given the current market conditions and expectations. In 2002, Canadian imports and exports of cobalt were valued at \$24.5 million and \$14.6 million, respectively, which resulted in net imports of cobalt of \$9.9 million (McCutcheon, 2003b, p. 38.14).

Columbium (Niobium).—Mine output content decreased by 2.0% to 3,270 t in 2003 from 3,333 t in 2002. The country remained the second ranked columbium (niobium) producer after Brazil. Niobec, which was the only operating columbium (niobium) mine in North America, was jointly owned (50% each) by Cambior Inc. and the operator Sequoia Minerals Inc. Located near Chicoutimi, Quebec, the mine was the world's third ranked producer. The Niobec Mine's life was estimated to be about 18 years on the basis of current reserves of 22.6 Mt at an average grade of 0.65% Nb₂O₅ and a mill capacity of 3,500 metric tons per day (t/d). The equal partners have undertaken a study of the feasibility of increasing production by 40% in at least two steps. Included in the upgrade would be an expansion of the crushing and grinding circuit by 20% to 50%. Columbium (niobium) is used primarily as an alloying agent in specialty steels (Cunningham, 2004; Natural Resources Canada, 2004a; Cambior Inc., 2004§).

Copper.—Mine output of copper decreased by 8.5% to 534,287 t in 2003 from 584,195 t in 2002, which reflected the decline in world copper prices that resulted in a drop in value to \$1.30 billion in 2003 from \$1.44 billion in 2002, or a 9.7% decrease (Natural Resources Canada, 2004a, b). Canada exported \$2.5 billion worth of copper during 2002 (McCutcheon, 2003a, p. 22.46).

After rising to a peak in 1998, the decline of copper prices began in the third quarter of 2000 and continued through 2001. A decline in economic activity in the copper-user countries in Asia, Europe, and the United States; weak market conditions; high copper inventories; a sluggish world economy; and a poor economic outlook resulted in the reduction, suspension, and shutdown of some copper production, such as, Highland Valley Copper's (HVC) mine that is located south of Kamloops, British Columbia (Teck Cominco Limited, 63.9%; BHP Billiton plc, 33.6%; and others, 2.5%) and where copper output was 170,400 t in 2003 compared with 181,300 t in 2002 for a decrease of about 6.0%: the temporary closure of Boliden Ltd.'s Myra Falls Mines in British Columbia, which reopened in March and produced 6,700 t of copper in 2003 compared with 13,200 t in 2002 for a decrease of almost 50%; and the permanent closure of Hudson Bay Mining and Smelting Co., Ltd.'s Ruttan Mine in Manitoba in June 2002 and lower ore grades at Falconbridge Ltd.'s Kidd Creek copper mines (Giancola, 2003, p. 409, 444; McCutcheon, 2003a; Teck Cominco Limited, 2004§). No new copper mines were scheduled to come on-stream until 2005. In 2003, HVC mined copper at an average grade of 0.39% and processed about 67.5 Mt/yr of ore to produce copper in concentrate at a cost of about \$0.68 per pound (about \$1.50 per kilogram) (Teck Cominco Limited, 2004§).

In 2003, Inco's Voisey's Bay project was estimated to have a life of about 30 years. The potential copper production (38,600 t) at Voisey's Bay suggested that Canada, which ranked sixth after Chile, Indonesia, the United States, Australia, Peru, and Russia, will continue to be a major world copper producer (Edelstein, 2004; Voisey's Bay Nickel Company, 2004§). At Voisey's Bay's nickel-copper-cobalt deposit, which is located on the coast of Labrador, proven reserves were estimated to be 30 Mt of ore at grades of 2.88% of nickel, 1.69% of copper, and

0.14% of cobalt and an additional 54 Mt of indicated mineral resource and 16 Mt of inferred mineral resource (Inco Limited, 2004§; Voisey's Bay Nickel Company Limited, 2004§).

Gold.—Gold output decreased by 7.2% to 141 t in 2003 from 152 t in 2002. This decrease was primarily because of closures and the suspension of mining activities by polymetallic producers and the depletion of gold reserves. The value of gold production decreased by about 5.9% to \$1.6 billion in 2003 from \$1.7 billion in 2002 (Natural Resources Canada, 2004a, b). Ontario produced 49%; Quebec, 21%; British Columbia, 15%; Manitoba, 4%; and the Yukon Territory, the Northwest Territories, Saskatchewan, Newfoundland, Alberta, and New Brunswick, a total of 11%. Operating gold mines accounted for 92.5% of Canada's output, and 19 base-metal mines (gold as byproduct) and numerous gold placers contributed with 6.0% and 1.5% of remaining output, respectively. Canada was the eighth ranked gold producer after South Africa, Australia, the United States, China, Russia, Indonesia, and Peru. Canada exported \$2.7 billion worth of gold in various forms during 2003. The increase in the price of gold to \$363 per troy ounce in 2003 from \$310 per troy ounce in 2002 provided gold companies better access to risk capital, which would translate into increased spending in exploration and the funding of new gold resources in Canada (Miron, 2003; Amey, 2004; Natural Resources Canada, 2004a, b).

Iron Ore.—Output of iron ore increased by 6.7% compared with that of 2002, and the value of production increased by 13.1% (Natural Resources Canada, 2004b). This iron concentrate comprised concentrates, pellets, and sinter from hematite and siderite ores. Canada's production came from its major iron-ore-producing companies, which included Iron Ore Company of Canada (IOC), Quebec Cartier Mining Co. (QCM), and Wabush Mines Ltd. The remaining production was from the byproduct recovery of magnetite from two basemetal smelters in British Columbia. Data for 2002 (the latest year for which data were available) gave an approximation of the proportions of pellets and sinter versus concentrates. IOC produced 14.7 Mt of ore, of which 11.6 Mt went to pelletization and the remainder, to concentrates that were not used for pellets. QCM (co-owned by CAEMI Mineração e Metallurgia S.A. and Dofasco Inc.) produced 12.0 Mt of ore, of which 8.5 Mt was used for pelletization and the remainder, sinter feed. Shipments exceeded production so that stocks were drawn down to meet demand. Wabush Mines produced almost 4.6 Mt of iron ore, which was about the same level as that of 2001 (Perron, 2003b).

In 2002, Canadian exports and imports of all classes (iron ore concentrates and agglomerates) were 25.8 Mt valued at \$780 million and 6.8 Mt valued at \$268 million, respectively. Improvements of economic conditions in Asia (China in particular, which was the fastest growing economy in the world) will have a marked impact on the steel and iron ore markets, which will benefit the Canadian iron ore industry. Chinese imports of iron ore increased to 111.5 Mt in 2002 from 14.3 Mt in 1990; this was about 18% of the country's annual growth rate. Exploration continued in various parts of Canada, such as Roche Bay in the Northwest Territories, the Peace River area of Alberta, and Ungava Bay and Schefferville in Quebec (Perron, 2003b). Lead and Zinc.—Canada was the world's fourth ranked mine producer of zinc at 788,328 t of zinc and the world's sixth ranked producer of lead at 81,268 t of lead in concentrate. Zinc mine output showed a decrease of 14.7% in 2003 compared with that of 2002, and lead production decreased by 19.8% compared with that of 2002 (Natural Resources Canada, 2003c, p. 87; d; 2004a, b; Plachy, 2004; Smith, 2004). Decreased prices for zinc continued because of poor demand in Japan, slow growth in Europe, and oversupply in markets worldwide. New mine capacity in Australia, Ireland, and Peru; expansions in Chile, Peru, and the United States; increased exports from China; and weak market prices continued to take their toll with a continued increase in stock levels (Natural Resources Canada, 2003d).

In 2003, Noranda Inc.'s 72,000-t/yr-capacity operations at the Brunswick Mine, which is located near Bathurst, New Brunswick, was the leading lead producer in Canada. Teck Cominco's Trail operation, which is located in southern British Columbia, was the world's leading fully integrated smelter and refinery complex and had a zinc production capacity of 300,000 t/yr (Natural Resources Canada, 2003c, p. 89-91; d).

BRL closed its Nanisivik Mine in the Nunavut Territory in September 2002. BRL's Caribou zinc mine remained on care and maintenance; reopening depended on better metal prices. Hudson Bay was developing its Chisel North underground zinc mine at Chisel Lake, Manitoba, which is not far from the Snow Lake Mine. A decline will be driven from the 140-meter (m) level of the main deposit to the north deposit for drilling and bulk sampling to confirm the surface-drill indicated resource of 2.4 Mt at a grade of 10.8% zinc. The \$21 million capital investment was part of Hudson Bay's \$260 million investment in the 777 deposit, which contains some 14.5 Mt of proven and probable zinc reserves, and included the refurbishment of the Snow Lake concentrator. Snow Lake's concentrates will be trucked 200 km southwest to the Flin Flon smelter. The 777 deposit was expected to enter into full production by mid-2004. The construction of a \$65 million electrolytic tank house and completed work on a new zinc tank house at the Flin Flon smelter will probably increase capacity by about 35% to 115,000 t/yr from 85,000 t/yr (Natural Resources Canada, 2003d).

After closing its operations in the Matagami District of northern Quebec after the exhaustion of the Isle Dieu and the Norita East zinc-copper mines, Noranda completed development of the \$119 million Bell Allard zinc-copper mine, which is also located in the Matagami District. The underground operations would counter the exhaustion of Isle Dieu and Norita East. The Bell Allard Mine was expected to have a capacity of 80,000 t/yr of zinc and 5,000 t/yr of copper. Armed with local experience from two closed mines, Noranda pressed exploration in the Matagami District for further discoveries of copper-zinc deposits (Giancola, 2003, p. 265).

Agnico Eagle Mines Limited spent \$104 million to complete the expansion of its LaRonde zinc mine in northwestern Quebec, which was projected to produce 52,000 t/yr of zinc in concentrates in early 2004. In northwestern Quebec, Noranda reported finding new sources of feed for milling and smelting operations in the Matagami District. A significant zinccopper deposit of three ore zones (Equinox, Perseverance, and Perseverance West) was discovered in the existing Matagami mining camp. The Equinox deposit was 5 Mt of inferred resource with 16.8% zinc, 1.3% copper, 34 grams per metric ton (g/t) silver, and 0.4% g/t gold. Work continued to develop the four levels of polymetallic ore zones on the lower part of the Penna Shaft (Giancola, 2003, p. 20).

In 2002, Canadian exports and imports of lead and zinc were 190,300 t valued at \$215.8 million and 189,700 t valued at \$215.9 million of lead and 1.1 Mt valued at \$1.3 billion and 319,000 t valued at \$207.5 million of zinc, respectively (Natural Resources Canada, 2003c, p. 101-102; d).

Magnesium.—Canada was the second ranked producer of primary metal in the world after China. In 2003, Canada's metal output was 54,000 t, or 38.6% lower than that of 2002 (88,000 t); this drastic reduction was the consequence of low magnesium prices (less than the break-even price of \$1.30 per pound), which lead to Magnola Metallurgy Inc.'s (Noranda Inc., 80%, and Société Générale de Financement du Québec, 20%) interim closure of its 58,000-t/yr commercial magnesium plant in Danville, Quebec, in April 2003. After that time, Timminco Ltd.'s Haley Station (6,000 t/yr) in Ottawa, Ontario, and Norsk Hydro Canada Inc.'s Bécancour plant (48,000 t/yr) in Quebec will be the only two operating magnesium smelters in Canada. In 2002, Canadian exports and imports of magnesium were 71,200 t valued at \$266.7 million and 35,000 t valued at \$114.4 million, respectively (Wagner, 2003b; Kramer, 2004).

Nickel.—Mine output decreased by about 14.0% from that of 2002. Despite this decline, higher prices caused the value of nickel to increase by 4.2%, or \$1.4 billion, compared with that of 2002. Nickel was the second most valuable metal following gold produced in Canada during the year (Natural Resources Canada, 2004b).

Falconbridge was the third ranked producer of nickel in the world. Its operation included the Raglan nickel mines and mill in northern Quebec, the Sudbury operations (four mines, a mill, a smelter, and an acid plant) in Ontario, a refinery in Norway, and a mine and smelter in the Dominican Republic (McCutcheon, 2003b, p. 38.5-38.7).

Falconbridge's four mines (Craig, Fraser, Lindsley, and Lockerby) in Sudbury, Ontario, plus the Raglan Mine in Quebec produced 53,500 t of nickel in concentrates, which was smelted in the firm's smelter near Sudbury. The matte, which contained 50% nickel from the smelter, was shipped to Falconbridge's Nikkelverk refinery in Norway where cobalt, copper, nickel, and precious metals were recovered. The \$360 million Raglan operation was scheduled to produce concentrates of about 20,800 t/yr of nickel and 5,200 t/yr of copper. Raglan concentrates were to be shipped from Deception Bay, which is located 100 km north of the mine, to Quebec City and to continue by rail to Falconbridge's Sudbury smelter. Falconbridge's exploration at the Nickel Rim South property resulted in an increase resource of 6.3 Mt at a grade of, in order of value, 1.7% nickel, 3.4% copper, 2.2 g/t platinum, 2.5 g/t palladium, and 1.5 g/t gold by early 2003 (McCutcheon, 2003b, p. 38.5).

In 2003, Inco produced nickel from nine underground mines (seven were located in Sudbury, Ontario, and two, in Thompson, Manitoba) and operated nickel mills, smelters, and refineries in Sudbury, which produced 71,000 t of finished nickel; the

CANADA—2003

Thompson operations produced 47,000 t. Inco produced refined nickel and nickel oxide sinter (Inco Limited, 2004§).

The Government of Newfoundland and Labrador granted the mining lease to Inco on September 30, 2002, to develop the Voisey's Bay deposit on a \$1.9 billion plan. While the exploration program progressed at the Voisey's Bay site, Inco estimated that proven and probable reserves were 30 Mt at grades of 2.85% nickel, 1.68% copper, and 0.14% cobalt; indicated resources, 54 Mt at grades of 1.53% nickel, 0.70% copper, and 0.09% cobalt; and inferred resources, 16 Mt at grades of 1.60% nickel, 0.80% copper, and 0.10% cobalt. Of the resources noted, 95 Mt at grades of 1.55% nickel, 0.69% copper, and 0.08% cobalt would be minable by underground mining methods, and 5 Mt at grades of 1.31% nickel, 1.03% copper, and 0.07% cobalt would be minable by open pit (Inco Limited, 2004§; Voisey's Bay Nickel Company, 2004§).

Inco had an agreement with Nuinsco Resources Ltd. of Toronto whereby Nuinsco can acquire 100% of Inco's Mel nickel property in the Thompson area of Manitoba by spending \$6 million during a 5-year period to delineate 1 Mt at a grade of from 1.6% to 2.0% nickel for open pit by August 31, 2004. Inco could reacquire up to 51% of equity on the Mel project (Inco Limited, 2004§).

In 2002, Canadian exports and imports of nickel were valued at \$1.7 billion and \$517 million, respectively, which results in net exports of Canadian nickel of almost \$1.2 billion (McCutcheon, 2003b, p. 38.19).

Platinum-Group Metals.—Mine production of PGM decreased by about 24.0% compared with that of 2002. Despite the almost 14.0% increase in the price of world nickel, its use increased by 6.9% owing to the higher demand for use mostly in autocatalysts and electronics in 2002. Platinum alloys tend to be used in jewelry, and platinum, palladium, and copper-gold-silver alloys are used in dentistry. Most production of PGM has been from Falconbridge's and Inco's nickel-cobalt mines in Sudbury and a smaller amount from Inco's Thompson Mine in Manitoba (Birchfield, 2003; Natural Resources Canada, 2004b).

The nickel sulfide ores yield such creditable byproducts as, in order of the potential value of production, copper, cobalt, gold, silver, PGM, selenium, tellurium, sulfuric acid, and liquid sulfur dioxide. As an approximation based on past experience, Inco's ratio of PGM produced worked out to about 12 to 7.6 to 1 for palladium, platinum, and rhodium, respectively. Although rhodium amounted to only slightly more than one-twentieth of the PGM, its prices have traditionally been significantly higher than those for other members of the group; it has traded between \$800 and \$2,000 per ounce in recent years (2001 and 2002). Canada ranked third behind South Africa and Russia in world platinum production and fourth after Russia, South Africa, and the United States in world palladium production (Hilliard, 2004a).

Silver.—Canada ranked seventh in world silver production after Mexico, Peru, China, Australia, the United States, and Chile (Hilliard, 2004b). Canadian silver production has been largely a coproduct of base-metal and gold mining and, therefore, subject to whatever mining incentive applied to the major product, whether copper, gold, and/or lead and zinc. Accordingly, silver output suffers when mines close or go on suspension for reasons that involve supply, demand, and pricing for the major mineral commodities. Mine production of silver decreased by almost 7.0% compared with that of 2002; the value of this production decreased by about 12.8% (Natural Resources Canada, 2004b).

Titanium.—QIT-Fer et Titane Inc. (QIT) of Quebec, Canada, was wholly owned by Rio Tinto plc of the United Kingdom (QIT-Fer et Titane Inc., 2004a§). QIT's metallurgical complex in Sorel-Tracy, which was the only one of its kind in the world, smelted ilmenite, which is a titanium-dioxide- and iron-bearing ore. The smelted ore is transformed into titanium dioxide and iron. The primary product is a titanium dioxide feedstock to make pigments for paints, surface coatings, plastics and paper, and iron and zircon by products. QIT's proprietary process technology had a production capacity to supply sulfate (1.1 Mt/yr of SORELSLAG titanium slag) and chloride (250,000 t/yr of UGS titanium slag, which was QIT's newest product) pigments. SORELSLAG has a titanium dioxide content of about 80%, which is sold to pigment producers that use the sulfate process. UGS slag, which contains 94.5% of titanium dioxide, was supplied to the growing market of pigment producers that use the chloride process. To meet such potential demand, the UGS plant was expected to increase production to 600,000 t/yr from its current capacity of 250,000 t/yr (QIT-Fer et Titane Inc., 2004b§).

The company planned to extract 3 Mt/yr of ore. Reserves and reserve base are ilmenite. Canada, which exported 79,100 t of titanium dioxide pigment to the United States, ranked third as a titanium supplier to the United States following South Africa and Australia (Gambogi, 2004).

Uranium.—Production of uranium (U) in 2003 amounted to 9,906 t U, which was a decrease of almost 23% compared with that of 2002 (12,855 t U); this was due mainly to reduced output from the Rabbit Lake production center, older mines being shut down in the Elliot Lake District of Ontario, and ongoing deposit appraisals in the Cigar Lake, the Cluff Lake, and the Key Lake Districts of Saskatchewan (table 2).

In 2003, the value of uranium production decreased by almost 18%; the uranium spot market price was, however, remarkably stable during 2002 and 2003. This situation had been brought about by ample inventory supplies compared with spot demand as Government stockpiles in Russia and the United States continued to supply uranium to the market and the focus on cleaner air and climate change that has stimulated public debate on energy policy, which created a more favorable attitude for nuclear power. As the world's leading supplier of uranium, Canada was well-placed in terms of resources such as reserves, mining labor experience, and technology to maintain this position considering the expected improvement on longer term world demand (Vance, 2003; Natural Resources Canada, 2004a, b).

In 2003, Canada's known recoverable uranium resources totaled 439,000 t U, or an almost 3% decrease compared with that of 2002 (452,000 t U). Canadian uranium producers in northern Saskatchewan remained well-positioned to capitalize on prospects for further nuclear power development in the United States and any market upturn because the transition to new production was being centered on tapping high-grade low-cost uranium deposits in Canada. Continued success in bringing

environmentally sustainable operations, such as the Cigar Lake Mine, would ensure that Canada remains the world's most significant uranium supplier (Vance, 2003).

Industrial Minerals

Asbestos.—Canadian asbestos production and value decreased almost by 1.0% and 17.4%, respectively, compared with those of 2002; these decreases were the result of competition for market share with China and Russia and a contraction in demand because of the adoption of governmental regulations by a number of countries owing to human health concerns (Natural Resources Canada, 2004a). Chrysotile is the only form of asbestos in the serpentine group; the amphibole group consists of actinolite, amosite, anthophyllite, crocidolite, and tremolite forms. Of these minerals, chrysotile is the least hazardous to human health and is the only form produced in Canada. After Russia and China, Canada was the third ranked producer of asbestos and supplied about 96% of U.S. demand. Total shipments for 2002 were estimated to be 241,000 t at a value of \$98 million (Birchfield, 2003; Perron, 2003a; Virta, 2004). China's asbestos production was almost exclusively short fibers for asbestos cement and replaced Canada as the second ranked producer and was meeting demand in Asian markets, which could eventually threaten Russia's leading position. As a result of the European ban movement and regulatory changes in other developed countries, chrysotile asbestos use will remain low for the foreseeable future. In some developing countries, the benefits and safety of chrysotilecement products continued to be recognized despite increasing competition from substitute fibers, PVC, and galvanized steel. The chrysotile-cement pipes are essential for water transportation and irrigation because of aggressive soils and economic conditions, which are not conducive to substitute products in developing countries, yet. The introduction of new chrysotile-containing products to address health concerns and the gradual recognition by regulatory bodies of the potential toxicity of the substitute fibers may turn chrysotile asbestos markets around in the medium term (Perron, 2003a). Marginal gains were expected in Latin American consumption of Canadian chrysotile; Asia, which was already a significant market (taking more than 50% of exports), was seen as expanding the demand for longer Canadian fibers.

The Canadian asbestos industry was concentrated in Quebec. Production came from the Black Lake open pit and the Bell underground mines, which are located in Quebec and operated by LAB Chrysotile, Inc., and the Jeffrey Mine, which is located near Asbestos Town, Quebec, and operated by Jeffrey Mine Inc. (Perron, 2003a, p. 18.1). The production of metallic magnesium from asbestos mine waste materials should improve the economics of the asbestos industry and create better overall labor expectations particularly in Quebec, where decreased production has taken its toll.

Cement.—Production of cement increased by about 2.6% from that of 2002 (13.7 Mt) with a corresponding value increase of 4.1% from that of 2002 (\$1.0567 billion). On the basis of preliminary data, shipments of cement in 2002 were estimated

to have been 13.2 Mt at a value of \$993 million compared with 13.0 Mt at a value of \$964 million in 2001 (Vagt, 2003a; Natural Resources Canada, 2004a, b). This trend reflected continued strengthening of the export market in the midst of declining prices. The weakening of the Canadian dollar versus the U.S. dollar made Canadian cement prices attractive to U.S. consumers. U.S. antidumping duties against gray portland cement and clinker from Mexico remained in effect in 2002 (Vagt, 2003a). Total U.S. imports of cement, which excluded clinker, totaled 21 Mt in 2003 (van Oss, 2004). Canada and U.S. trade of cement and clinker has varied from year to year depending on construction activity. In 2002, cement exports to the United States amounted to 4.4 Mt, which was about onethird of total Canadian production. For the immediate future, the success of Canadian cement producers seems to be based significantly on exports to the United States and, hence, upon the prospects for U.S. economic growth. Canadian growth and construction, particularly in Ontario, which was the leading cement market, will play the key role in determining a balance between domestic and U.S. consumption. According to the Canadian Construction Association, cement production was expected to be marginally higher mainly on the basis of lower interest rates and an increase of about 4% in the value of infrastructure to about \$81.2 billion. Also, the "Infrastructure Canada Program," which involved Federal, Provincial, Territorial, and Municipal Governments, will contribute about \$4 billion across Canada in the coming decade (Vagt, 2003a).

The influx of Asian cement to the United States negatively affected Canadian exports between 1999 and 2002. The fact that Canada had been the major exporter to the United States kept Canadian cement kilns operating at high rates throughout the past decade and allowed for gains in pricing. From 1999 through 2002, the United States' main import sources for hydraulic cement and clinker were Canada (19%), Thailand (18%), China (12%), Venezuela (7%), Greece (6%), and others (38%) (International Cement Review, 2003, p. 29; van Oss, 2004).

Diamond.—The value of diamond production continued to maintain the health of Canada's mineral industry in 2003. Production of diamond increased by almost 127% to 11.2 million carats from that of 2002 (4.937 million carats) with a corresponding value increase of 117.4% to \$1.2 billion in 2003 from that of 2002 (\$552 million). In 2003, diamond mining in the country completed its fifth full year of production; diamond has become Canada's third ranked nonfuel mineral commodity in terms of value output after gold (\$1.6 billion) and nickel (\$1.4 billion). The opening of the Diavik diamond project in 2003 and the startup of the Snake Lake project in 2008 will add to Canada's stature as a major producer of diamond worldwide. Diamond output was 15% of world production, which ranked Canada third by value following Botswana and Russia (Natural Resources Canada, 2004a, b; Law-West, 2004§).

The Ekati Mine, which was a joint venture among BHP Billiton Diamonds Inc. (80%), the BHP Billiton Group of Australia (owner), and Charles Fipke and Stewart Blussom (each with 10%) located in the Northwest Territories, was Canada's first underground diamond mine and commercial producer of diamond. It continued to perform very well during 2002 and 2003. Production grades and diamond quality at Ekati were, however, declining as the mine exhausts. BHP Billiton Diamonds announced the Ekati Mine's expansion, which was called the Panda Underground Project, at a cost of \$182 million. Initial output was expected to begin in early 2005, and full production of 4.7 million carats, in early 2006. BHP Billiton had chosen not to renew its 3-year rough diamond contract with De Beers of South Africa through its subsidiary De Beers Diamond Trading Company of South Africa (Law-West, 2003, p. 23.1; Antwerp Facets Online, 2004a§; BHP Billiton Diamonds Inc., 2004§).

First production from the second Canadian diamond mine, the Diavik Mine, which is located in the Northwest Territories, began in January 2003. The Diavik Mine was an unincorporated joint venture between Diavik Diamond Mines Inc. (60%) (a wholly owned subsidiary of Rio Tinto) and Aber Diamond Mines Ltd. (40%) (a wholly owned subsidiary of Aber Diamond Corporation of Toronto, Ontario). The joint venture received its regulatory permits to allow construction to begin at the \$930 million mine site and proceeded with plans for an about 8-million-carat-peryear operation (Law-West, 2003; Diavik Diamond Mines Inc., 2004§).

The Diavik diamond project is located about 35 km southeast of Ekati and 300 km northeast of Yellowknife in the Northwest Territories. The project would mine four separate kimberlite pipes. At least 90% of Diavik's production was estimated to be of gem quality. The diluted proven and probable reserves are estimated to be 25.6 Mt of ore at a grade of 4.0 carats per metric ton. The Diavik Mine was expected to produce about 101.5 million carats at an average value of \$62 per carat during its productive life of about 13 years (Law-West, 2003, p. 23.1-23.2).

The Government of the Northwest Territories signed three agreements with De Beers Canada Mining Inc. (owner of the Snap Lake project)—the Environmental Agreement (EA), the SocioEconomic Agreement (SEA), and the Support the Secondary Diamond Industry—to ensure sustainable development in the Northwest Territories. The EA will oversee the environmental management of the Snap Lake project, and the SEA included commitments to training (literacy followed by apprenticeships) and establishment of the De Beers' socioeconomic monitoring agency. This project will be De Beers' first mine outside of Africa and the first time that kimberlite dykes will be mined on a large scale. The Snap Lake project received its final environmental permit in June 2003 and was expected to produce about 1.53 million carats during 20 years of mine life at a cost of \$1 billion (Law-West, 2003, 2004§; Antwerp Facets Online, 2004b§).

In June 1999, the first diamond cutting and polishing factory in the Northwest Territories began commercial production. Sirius Diamonds N.W.T. owned and operated the factory, and rough diamonds were being supplied by BHP Billiton. Tiffany & Co. began construction of a cutting and polishing facility in Yellowknife in mid-2002. The company had an agreement with Amber Diamond Corporation to purchase up to \$50 million per year of rough diamonds and expected to employ a maximum of 75 workers (Law-West, 2003, p. 23.1; Natural Resources Canada, 2004a, b).

BHP Billiton officials reported that the quality of diamond recovered to date from the five kimberlite pipes at their Lac de Gras property compared favorably with the best pipes in

other parts of the world; the property is located about 300 km northeast of Yellowknife. The five pipes, in order of potential, were located under Panda, Koala, Misery, Fox, and Leslie Lakes and would be mined during a 30-year period. The centralized processing plant, which is located southwest of the Koala pit, was to receive 9,000 t/d of ore during the first 9 years of operation and 18,000 t/d thereafter. The cutoff grade would be 0.01 carat. Processing was expected to involve mainly crushing, scrubbing, and dense-media separation, as well as high-intensity magnetic separation, x-ray concentration, and sorting. The construction phase workforce would reach 1,000 at its peak; after that, about 650 workers would be employed during production. Future output was projected to be from 3.5 million to 4.5 million carats per year, or about 5% of the world's diamond supply. Capital investment was to be in excess of \$360 million, but observers expected that at least \$4 billion would eventually be spent in association with the project (Natural Resources Canada, 2004a).

A feasibility study was completed in June 2003 for Tahera Diamond Corporation's Jericho diamond project, which is located in Nunavut. The project was undergoing environmental assessment, which was being conducted by the Nunavut Impact Review Board. A decision for the permitting process was expected by early 2004. The study indicated that 3.0 million carats could be produced during an 8-year mine life. The Jericho project was thought to contain about 7.1 Mt with 0.84 carat per metric ton of diamond as total resources (Law-West, 2003; Tahera Diamond Corporation, 2004§).

More than 500 companies, off and on, have been exploring for diamond, especially in the Northwest Territories, but also in Alberta, British Columbia, Labrador, Manitoba, Ontario, Quebec, and Saskatchewan. The field seemed to be narrowing somewhat as various kimberlite pipes proved disappointing upon testing. BHP Billiton has supported the establishment of a diamond-valuation facility in a community in the Northwest Territories to be used for training, basic sorting, and valuation for Government royalty purposes. This could lead to moreskilled and more-detailed sorting that would afford sales to qualified manufacturers in the northern region at prices, terms, and conditions similar to BHP Billiton's other marketing arrangements in Europe. In 2003, the First Canadian Diamond Cutting Works in Montreal became Canada's first fully integrated cutting and polishing factory with the aim of handling Canadian diamond production at a lower cost than European competitors; artisans were brought over from Belgium.

Canada joined with 50 other countries in implementing the Kimberley Process Certification to control the trade of rough diamond on January 1, 2003,. Canada's Parliament passed the Export and Import of Rough Diamonds Act and regulations, which provided the Government with the authority to control trade of rough diamonds, which must be reported to the Minister of Natural Resources Canada, who is Canada's export and import authority for rough diamonds (Law-West, 2003, p. 23.1-23.2).

Gypsum and Anhydrite.—Production of gypsum and anhydrite decreased by 6.7% to 8.3 Mt in 2003 from 8.9 Mt in 2002. On the basis of preliminary data, domestic sales of natural gypsum in 2002 were estimated to have been 4.7 Mt at a value of \$2 million compared with 2.3 Mt at a value of \$1.6 million in 2001. The reported 104.3% increase in sales was due to increased construction activity in Canada (Vagt, 2003b; Natural Resources Canada, 2004a, b).

Production has been mostly by Canadian subsidiaries of British and U.S. companies, such as National Gypsum (Canada) Ltd. and USG Corp., and governed by demand for wallboard manufacturing for all building categories by consumers in Canada and the United States.

Nova Scotia and Newfoundland and Labrador produced the bulk of Canadian gypsum with lesser amounts from, in order of commodity value, Ontario, Manitoba, and British Columbia. Although gypsum occurs widely in Canada and the world, the high unit weight, low unit cost, and vulnerability to damage of wallboard combine to give gypsum products a relatively high in-place value, which discourages long-distance transportation. Instead, gypsum industries tend to develop in localities that serve developing construction requirements. As with the cement industry, gypsum production in Canada and the United States tends to develop in populous areas on both sides of the border in localized cross-border competition rather than among all the Provinces or all the States.

Production data for anhydrite are combined with those for gypsum but make up only about 2% or 3% of the total for the two materials. Heavier than gypsum and about twice as hard, anhydrite was produced in Nova Scotia by Fundy Gypsum Co. Ltd. at Wentworth and Little Narrows Gypsum Co. Ltd. at Little Narrows. In 2003, Canada was the world's third leading producer of gypsum after the United States and Iran (Vagt, 2003b; Olson, 2004).

Potash.—The dominant potash product is potassium chlorite (KCl), which is reported as potassium oxide/oxide of potash (K_2O) equivalent. Potash production totaled more than 9.1 Mt, which was a 9.2% increase compared with that of 2002. Value of production increased slightly to about \$1.65 billion in 2003 from \$1.63 billion in 2002. Most of the output came from mines in Saskatchewan, and about 5% came from mines in New Brunswick. Canada has probably the largest known potash resource, which has been estimated to be 56 billion metric tons (Stone, 2003b; Natural Resources Canada, 2004a, b).

Canada was the world's leading producer and exporter of potash in 2003. Most Canadian potash was exported to the United States (55%), Asia (29%), Latin America (11%), Australia (3.5%), and Western Europe (1.5%). Exports to the United States have risen steadily to satisfy agricultural needs, but lower prices for grains and decreased production in the United States have lessened the need for fertilizers. Exports to Asia, which climbed owing to an increase in shipments to China, accounted for about one-third of all seaborne exports of potash from Canada.

The United States imported 4.5 Mt of potash, and 93% of its total needs were provided by Canada between 1999 and 2002. The six countries that dominated production with 87% of the total worldwide were, in order of production, Canada, Russia, Belarus, Germany, Israel, and the United States (Stone, 2003b; Searls, 2004).

PCS, which was based in Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, was one of the world's largest provincially owned potash producers with six Canadian operating divisions (Allan, Cory, Lanigan, New Brunswick, Patience Lake, and Rocanville). PCS also owned 25% of the reserves at Esterhazy, Saskatchewan, and also had one potash operation in Chile. PCS's production milling capacity was estimated to be 8.2 Mt/yr of K_2O equivalent, which was equal to 61% of Canada's total potash capacity (Stone, 2003b).

Sulfur.—Production of all forms of sulfur increased slightly by 1.6% compared with that of 2002. Sulfur from smelter gases decreased by 16.2% to 589,000 t, and sulfur from natural gas, crude petroleum, and byproducts increased by about 3.2% to 7.9 Mt compared with those of 2002. Smelter-gas sulfur is converted to sulfuric acid. No Canadian production was derived from Frasch mining (Natural Resources Canada, 2004a, b).

With a projected 15% share, Canada maintained its position as the world's second ranked producer of sulfur after the United States and remained a leading exporter with roughly a 38% share of world trade in sulfur. Most sulfur production was in, in order of amount, Alberta, Ontario, Quebec, and Saskatchewan. Other Provinces produced small amounts of sulfur, mostly from oil refineries (Morel-à-l'Huissier, 2003; Ober, 2004).

Mineral Fuels

Coal.—Although coal production was still declining from the record high of about 78.9 Mt in 1997, it decreased by 6.7% to 62.2 Mt compared with that of 2002. The total value of production was \$1.5 billion, which was an increase of 6.6% compared with that of 2002 and about 18% compared with that of 1997 because of a progressively lower conversion rate for the Canadian dollar (Natural Resources Canada, 2004a, b). In 2003, fewer than 20 coal mines were operating in Canada, and the number was decreasing. At the same time, increased diversification and expansion into foreign markets were called for as a means to survive. In 2002, Canada accounted for only about 2% of the world's coal production; it exported less than one-half of that production, thus making it the world's fifth ranked exporter after Australia, the United States, China, and South Africa. All exports were from the lower cost exporters in western Canada; metallurgical coal remained the country's major export (25.3 Mt). Domestic coal consumption remained high at about 54 Mt, and much of the eastern Canadian demand was being supplied by imports. The Appalachian region of the United States and the Cerrejón coal mine of Colombia were supplying bituminous coal for the Canadian steel and electrical industries, and Western U.S. subbituminous coal was being delivered into Manitoba and Ontario. In 2002, Canadian coal exports amounted to 25.3 Mt valued at \$1.2 billion, and imports of coal into Canada were about 22.7 Mt valued at \$781 million, of which Columbia supplied 14.7 Mt and the United States furnished the remainder (Stone, 2003a; Natural Resources Canada, 2004a, b).

The Luscar Energy Partnership's Luscar Coal Ltd., which was Canada's leading coal producer, operated surface mines in Alberta (Coal Valley, Genesee, Highvale, Obed Mountain, Paintearth, Sheerness, and Whitewood) and Saskatchewan (Bienfait, Boundary Dam, and Poplar River). They have a combined production capacity of 40 Mt/yr of bituminous, subbituminous, and lignite thermal coal used mainly for domestic electric power generation (Stone, 2003a, p. 20.1).

Canadian coal demand in 2002 was estimated to be about 60 Mt. Twenty-eight coal-fired electricity-generation plants used about 90% of coal, and the remaining 10% was used by the steel industry. Ontario and eastern Canada relied largely on U.S. imports of thermal coal and domestic supplies (Stone, 2003a, p. 20.4). That Canada was a major exporter and a major importer of coal reflects transportation costs between mines and consumers and is one more example of the natural integration of Canadian and U.S. interests in mineral commodities; others include cement and gypsum.

In late 2002, Canada ratified the Kyoto Protocol, which limits emissions of greenhouse gases and carbon dioxide. The ratification would impact future Canadian coal usage; the Canadian Government and the coal industry have begun to increase research and development into new technologies and greenhouse gas emission mitigation (Downing, 2003).

Natural Gas.—The value of natural gas (\$14.0 billion) decreased by 3.9% compared with that of 2002, and natural gas byproducts (\$2.1 billion) decreased by 2.1% compared with that of 2002; both products, however, responded to supplyand-demand imbalances and decreased prices. Canada ranked third in the world after Russia and the United States in output of natural gas. Increasingly, the production of natural gas has played a major role in the mineral economy of Canada and has had a palpable effect on the GDP. Gross output decreased to about 193.3 billion cubic meters from 200.9 billion cubic meters in 2002, 200.7 billion cubic meters in 2001, and 195.5 billion cubic meters in 2000, as a result of natural gas consumption in the United States, which was the world's leading consumer, decreasing to about 629.8 billion cubic meters from 661.9 billion cubic meters in 2002, or by almost 5% (Natural Resources Canada, 2003a, p. 320; BP p.l.c., 2003a§). Marketed gas is gross production minus reinjected gas, shrinkage, and producer consumption (plant use).

About 98.6 billion cubic meters of natural gas, or roughly 16% of the U.S. supply, was exported to the United States in 2003. Gas exports to the United States were expected to increase to about 100 billion cubic meters by 2006 in anticipation of the increasing inability of U.S. domestic production to meet demand. In 2003, Canada's natural gas proved reserves were projected to be about 1.7 trillion cubic meters, which remained about the same level as that of the preceding year (Natural Resources Canada, 2003a, p. 320-322; BP p.1.c., 2003a§).

Exploration for new discoveries of natural gas continued in Alberta and Saskatchewan that began at least two decades ago. In 2000, Chevron Canada Resources Ltd. (a unit of ChevronTexaco Corporation) had one of the largest natural gas strikes in recent history near Fort Laird, Northwest Territories, where projections by the company showed between 11.3 billion and 17.0 billion cubic meters (400 billion and 600 billion cubic feet) of gas in place in more than 400 m of pay zone. Accessing Canada's abundance of fuels, particularly petroleum in northern Alberta and natural gas in the Northwest Territories, has become economically feasible because of new technology and rising fuel prices, particularly, in the United States [from \$3.33 per million British thermal units (Btu) in 2002 to \$5.63 per million Btu in 2003]. Opposition to natural gas exploration, production, and transmission, however, has grown in recent years. Environmental groups opposed construction of proposed pipelines to feed demand in the United States, and the Rocky Mountain Ecosystem Coalition attempted to slow the expansion of natural gas exploration and production activities in northern Alberta. A National Energy Board report, which assessed supplies and demand to 2025, put known natural gas reserves in Canada's "northern frontier" at 680 billion cubic meters (24 trillion cubic feet) with estimated reserves at 4.8 trillion cubic meters (170 trillion cubic feet) (BP p.l.c., 2003a§). The United States consumed almost 609 billion cubic meters (21.5 trillion cubic feet) per year of gas, and demand was expected to grow by about 2% per year for the next 20 years (Washington Times, 2001).

Petroleum.-Production of petroleum reached a new record high of 907.0 million barrels (Mbbl) in 2003 compared with 861.7 Mbbl in 2002; this was an increase of about 5.3%, which was mostly the result of the Athabasca oil sands new production (600.1 Mbbl) in Alberta and increased offshore Newfoundland and Labrador production (121.4 Mbbl) in 2003. The value of the production was \$26.1 billion in 2003 compared with that of 2002 (\$24.8 billion), which reflected that the oil prices in 2003 were the highest in the past 20 years (average \$28.83 per barrel) and that oil consumption grew by almost 1.5 million barrels per day (Mbbl/d) worldwide. In 2003, petroleum production by the Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) increased substantially by almost 1.9 Mbbl/d. Saudi Arabia's production increased by more than 1.0 Mbbl/d. Canada and Mexico were the only non-OPEC countries that increased oil production by more than 100,000 barrels per day (BP p.l.c., 2003b§; Statistics Canada, 2004a§).

In 2003, crude petroleum exports and imports increased by 5.5% and 3.1%, respectively, compared with those of 2002. Exports amounted to 62.1% (566 Mbbl) of the total crude oil production, which was mostly the result of the strong demand from the United States. Canadian imports amounted to 331 Mbbl. Significant volume of crude petroleum was supplied by, in order of amount, Norway, the United Kingdom, and Algeria; these three countries provided almost 64.0% of the total (BP p.1.c., 2003b§; Statistics Canada, 2004a§).

Reserves

Table 3 lists the levels of Canadian reserves of copper, gold, lead, molybdenum, nickel, silver, zinc, and other selected mineral commodities on or about January 1, 2004. Data are shown in terms of metal contained in ore for the base and precious metals or recoverable quantities of other mineral commodities, which included industrial minerals and mineral fuels. These mineral reserves represent "proven" and "probable" categories and exclude quantities reported as "possible." Reserves were defined as being well-delineated and economically minable ore from mines committed to production.

Yearly changes in assessment of reserves are, in simplest terms, the arithmetic result of additions to reserves, deletions from reserves, and production. A complication in Canada is that a large number of mines produce more than one metal, thus necessitating close attention to market price and processing costs for two or possibly several mineral commodities simultaneously to enable production as coproducts.

During 2001 and 2002, reserves of the leading base and precious metals increased significantly; for example, copper, 19%; nickel, 15.8%; zinc, 7.8%; and gold, 5.6%. The only exception was lead, which decreased by 13.5%. During this period, gold reserves tripled to more than 1,500 t from about 500 t as rising prices and the possibility of more price increases provided a strong incentive to exploration. Silver reserves more than doubled to about 47,000 t from about 17,000 t during the same period (Reed, 2003).

Reserves of major metals were distributed unevenly throughout Canada and were the result mostly by mineralization of the Precambrian shield, the Rockies (Cordillera), and the Coast Ranges. Several Provinces dominated the reserves position in terms of proven and probable minable reserves of major metals. From east to west, New Brunswick had 76% of the lead reserves, 35% of the zinc, and 25% of the silver; Quebec had 26% of the zinc, 20% of the gold, 18% of the silver, 10% of the nickel, and 9% of the copper; Ontario had 72% of the nickel, about 51% of the gold, 50% of the copper, 22% of the silver, and 18% of the zinc; Manitoba had 18% of the nickel, 6% of the zinc, and 4% each of copper and gold; and British Columbia had 100% of the molybdenum, about 35% of the copper, 32% of the silver, and 19% of the gold. Future discoveries will alter the distribution of reserves among the Provinces and the Territories (Reed, 2003).

Infrastructure

With a total land (9.09 million square kilometers) and freshwater (891,163 km²) area of about 9.9 million square kilometers, which is slightly larger than the United States, Canada had networks of highly developed infrastructure and vast areas of trackless wilderness (Statistics Canada, 2004d§). The country had 902,000 km of roads that comprised 318,400 km of paved highways, which included 16,600 km of expressways and 584,000 km of unpaved gravel or other loose-surface roads. Bulldozed temporary roads have been established for mining exploration in many remote places, but these deteriorate readily where not maintained.

A total of 36,114 km of standard-gauge railroads included two main systems—the Canadian National and the Canadian Pacific. The country also had about 3,000 km of inland waterways, which included the Saint Lawrence Seaway (one of the busiest in the world), which leads into the Great Lakes and marks the boundary with the United States in many places. Principal ports were Halifax, Montreal, Quebec, St. John (New Brunswick), St. John's (Newfoundland), and Toronto in the east and Vancouver on the west coast. Canada's merchant marine comprised about 114 ships of 1,000 or more gross registered tons.

The country had 1,417 airports. Among these, 517 had permanent-surface runways—18 had runways longer than 3,047 meters (m); 15 had runways from 2,438 to 3,047 m long; 151 had runways from 1,524 to 2,437 m in length; 244 had runways from 914 to 1,523 m in length; and 89 had runways under 914 m in length. Civil aviation included about 636 major transport aircraft;

Air Canada was the major carrier (U.S. Central Intelligence Agency, 2003§).

Canada generated electrical power from coal, natural gas, and nuclear fuels, as well as massive hydroelectric facilities. Total capacity was roughly 114 gigawatts. About 576.2 net terawatthours, which was significantly less than capacity, was produced in 2000 (the last year for which data were available). Hydroelectric plants generated 61% of Canada's electricity; coal and fossil fuel, 25%; nuclear reactors, about 12%; and others, 2%. Quebec and Ontario produced the most electricity-154 and 141 megawatthours, respectively. Nearly 97% of Quebec's electricity came from hydroelectric plants, and the remaining 3% was produced mainly by nuclear facilities. In contrast, about 61% of Ontario's electric power was derived from nuclear plants, and the remainder, from hydroelectric and coal-fired plants. Most of Canada's electricity exports originated in New Brunswick, Ontario, and Quebec and were sold to consumers in New England and New York. British Columbia and Manitoba also exported large amounts of electricity, mainly to California, Minnesota, Oregon, and Washington. Except for Alberta, all Canadian Provinces that border the United States had transmission links to the neighboring systems. Canada was a net exporter of crude petroleum, natural gas, coal, uranium, and hydropower, and the country is the main source of U.S. energy imports (U.S. Central Intelligence Agency, 2003§; U.S. Energy Information Administration, 2004§).

An extensive system of pipelines connected petroleum- and gas-producing and consuming areas in Canada and the United States. The system was dominated by the Interprovincial Pipe Line, which delivered oil from Edmonton east to Montreal, Quebec, and the U.S. Great Lakes region, and the TransMountain Pipe Line, which delivered oil mainly from Alberta west to refineries and terminals in the Vancouver area and to the Puget Sound area of Washington. Canadian natural gas was transported largely by TransCanada Pipe Lines Ltd. of Calgary, which owned 13,600 km of mainline gas pipelines in Canada and 56 compressor stations that linked western Canadian gas producers with consumers in eastern Canada and the United States. Canada's pipeline network included about 24,000 km for crude petroleum and refined products and 75,000 km for transmission of natural gas. Alberta's network represents the greatest length for any Province (U.S. Central Intelligence Agency, 2003§; U.S. Energy Information Administration, 2004§).

Outlook

Canada's continued economic recovery will boost the country's economy in 2004 and beyond. The country was a net exporter of minerals (metals, industrial, and fuel), uranium, and hydropower. Canada's mineral industry has been encouraged by the Federal Government to work with the mining sector to improve the permitting process. The goal is to allow exploration and mining companies to comply with the regulatory requirements in a timely and efficient way and at the same time to operate within high environmental and social standards. Exploration is key to assuring a long-term supply of Canadian minerals. Government and industry are enthusiastic about the concept of a Northern Mines Ministers Conference to be held each year to report on progress, to identify challenges, and to network with all affected stakeholders to re-establish an attractive investment climate and to reverse any economic difficulties, such as the costs of socioeconomic and impact benefit agreements with local aboriginal groups be deductible from royalties and eligible as exploration spending (Andrews, 2004).

Canada's dollar weakened against the U.S. dollar; presumably this helped exports but discouraged imports of certain necessary commodities, specialized equipment, and ad hoc professional expertise. The Hibernia offshore oil project began production with the promise of rich payoffs to come. After Hibernia, the Terra Nova and the White Rose fields in the Jeanne d'Arc Basin and others will be tested. Comparisons continue to be heard between the Canadian offshore field oil resources and the development of the now-legendary North Sea fields.

The nickel-copper-cobalt discovery at Voisey's Bay and the diamond discoveries at Diavik, Jericho, and Snap Lake make an impressive case for more exploration in Canada, no matter how attractive and lucrative the opportunities could be in Asia, Australia, and/or Latin America. In fact, Canada's successful Diavik and Ekati diamond mines encouraged significant new diamond discoveries in, in order of value, Nunavut, Alberta, Saskatchewan, Ontario, and Quebec.

The concerted effort to reconcile conflicting interests in the formulation of policy concerning ownership, aboriginal issues, mining development, environmental constraints and remediation, social instabilities, and economic necessity in furthering the concept of sustainable development has been difficult to assess or predict. Active engagement of these issues among the private sector, Government, and communities (stockholders and stakeholders) will probably help provide outcomes that would support the future of the Canadian mining industry.

Canada continues to be well-positioned in terms of its mineral-resource base and its access to the markets of, for example, Europe, Japan, and the countries of the North America Free Trade Agreement. Canada's mineral industry is primarily export oriented with as much as 92% of the production of some commodities going to world markets. The United States should continue to be a major market for Canada's minerals. In this regard, the industry's export capability is enhanced significantly by a lower exchange rate for the Canadian dollar.

Canada cannot escape the realities of globalization and internationalization, especially from developing countries that have competitive mineral resources and liberalized economic and political systems to attract foreign investment. Canada's greatest long-term asset may be the achievement of a popular consensus in support of sustainable development that respects the interests of mining companies, First Nation peoples, and the preservation of the environment.

References Cited

Amey, E.B., 2004, Gold: U.S. Geological Survey Mineral Commodity Summaries 2004, p. 72-73.

Andrews, Tony, 2004, Report urges widespread changes to Canada's diamond strategy: Prospectors and Developers Association of Canada Activities and Canadian Exploration News, PDAC in brief, July, no. 37, 8 p.

Birchfield, Greig, 2003, Canada—Overview, *in* Mining annual review: London, United Kingdom, Mining Communications Ltd., December, CD-ROM.

Cunningham, L.D., 2004, Columbium (niobium): U.S. Geological Survey Mineral Commodity Summaries 2004, p. 52-53.

Downing, Don, 2003, Canada—Coal, in Mining annual review: London, United Kingdom, Mining Communications Ltd., December, CD-ROM.

Edelstein, D.L., 2004, Copper: U.S. Geological Survey Mineral Commodity Summaries 2004, p. 54-55.

Gambogi, Joseph, 2004, Titanium mineral concentrates: U.S. Geological Survey Mineral Commodity Summaries 2004, p. 176-177.

Giancola, Diane, 2003, Canadian mines handbook, 2002-03: Don Mills, Ontario, Canada, 544 p.

Hilliard, H.E., 2004a, Platinum-Group Metals: U.S. Geological Survey Mineral Commodity Summaries 2004, p. 124-125.

Hilliard, H.E., 2004b, Silver: U.S. Geological Survey Mineral Commodity Summaries 2004, p. 150-151.

International Cement Review, 2003, Canada, *in* International cement review: International Cement Review, May, p. 29-41.

Jorgenson, J.D., 2004a, Bismuth: U.S. Geological Survey Mineral Commodity Summaries 2004, p. 34-35.

Jorgenson, J.D., 2004b, Selenium: U.S. Geological Survey Mineral Commodity Summaries 2004, p. 146-147.

Kramer, D.A., 2004, Magnesium metal: U.S. Geological Survey Mineral Commodity Summaries 2004, p. 102-103.

Kuck, P.H., 2004, Nickel: U.S. Geological Survey Mineral Commodity Summaries 2004, p. 114-115.

Law-West, Don, 2002, Diamonds, *in* Canadian minerals yearbook—2002 review and outlook: Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, Natural Resources Canada, December, p. 23.1-23.5.

McCutcheon, Bill, 2003a, Copper, *in* Canadian minerals yearbook—2002 review and outlook: Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, Natural Resources Canada, December, p. 22.1-22.52.

McCutcheon, Bill, 2003b, Nickel, *in* Canadian minerals yearbook—2002 review and outlook: Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, Natural Resources Canada, December, p. 38.1-38.58.

Mercer, Bill, 2004, Monitoring Canada's investment climate: Prospectors and Developers Association of Canada—PDAC 2004, 72d, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, March 7-10, 2004, Proceedings, 8 p.

Miron, Michel, 2003, Gold, *in* Canadian minerals yearbook—2002 review and outlook: Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, Natural Resources Canada, December, p. 24.1-24.8.

Natural Resources Canada, 2003a, Canadian minerals yearbook—2002 review and outlook: Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, Natural Resources Canada, December, 417 p.

Natural Resources Canada, 2003b, Lead, *in* Canadian minerals yearbook—2002 review and outlook: Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, Natural Resources Canada, p. 29.1-29.12.

Natural Resources Canada, 2003c, Overview of trends in Canadian mineral exploration—Canadian Intergovernmental Working Group on the Mineral Industry: Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, Natural Resources Canada Information Bulletin, October, 153 p.

Natural Resources Canada, 2003d, Zinc, *in* Canadian minerals yearbook—2002 review and outlook: Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, Natural Resources Canada, p. 61.1-61.13.

Natural Resources Canada, [2004]a, Canada's mineral production statistics 2002 and 2003, in Canada's mineral production—Preliminary estimates: Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, Natural Resources Canada preprint, unpaginated.

Natural Resources Canada, 2004b, Mineral production—Value of diamond production keeps Canada's mineral industry robust in 2003: Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, Natural Resources Canada Information Bulletin, March, 2 p.

Ober, J.A., 2004, Sulfur: U.S. Geological Survey Mineral Commodity Summaries 2004, p. 162-163. Olson, D.W., 2004, Gypsum: U.S. Geological Survey Mineral Commodity Summaries 2004, p. 76-77.

Perron, Louis, 2003a, Chrysotile, *in* Canadian minerals yearbook—2002 review and outlook: Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, Natural Resources Canada, December, p. 18.1-18.13.

Perron, Louis, 2003b, Iron ore, *in* Canadian minerals yearbook—2002 review and outlook: Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, Natural Resources Canada, December, p. 28.1-28.12.

Plachy, Jozef, 2004, Zinc: U.S. Geological Survey Mineral Commodity Summaries 2004, p. 188-189.

Plunkert, P.A., 2004, Aluminum: U.S. Geological Survey Mineral Commodity Summaries 2004, p. 20-21.

Reed, Alan, 2003, Canadian reserves of selected major metals, and recent production decisions, *in* Canadian minerals yearbook—2002 review and outlook: Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, Natural Resources Canada, December, p. 2.1-2.13.

Searls, J.P., 2004, Potash: U.S. Geological Survey Mineral Commodity Summaries 2004, p. 126-127.

Smith, G.R., 2004, Lead: U.S. Geological Survey Mineral Commodity Summaries 2004, p. 94-95.

Stone, Kevin, 2003a, Coal, *in* Canadian minerals yearbook—2002 review and outlook: Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, Natural Resources Canada, December, p. 20.1-20.11.

Stone, Kevin, 2003b, Potash, *in* Canadian minerals yearbook—2002 review and outlook: Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, Natural Resources Canada, December, p. 42.1-42.10.

Thomson, Ian, 2004, A bottom line approach to community relations: Prospectors and Developers Association of Canada—PDAC 2004, 72d, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, March 7-10, 2004, PDAC Technical session abstracts, 16 p.

Vagt, Oliver, 2003a, Cement, *in* Canadian minerals yearbook—2002 review and outlook: Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, Natural Resources Canada, December, p. 15.1-15.10.

Vagt, Oliver, 2003b, Gypsum and anhydrite, *in* Canadian minerals yearbook— 2002 review and outlook: Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, Natural Resources Canada, December, p. 26.1-26.7.

Vance, R.E., 2003, Uranium, *in* Canadian minerals yearbook—2002 review and outlook: Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, Natural Resources Canada, December, p. 58.1-58.14.

Van Oss, H.G., 2004, Cement: U.S. Geological Survey Mineral Commodity Summaries 2004, p. 42-43.

Virta, R.L., 2004, Asbestos: U.S. Geological Survey Mineral Commodity Summaries 2004, p. 26-28.

Wagner, Wayne, 2003a, Aluminum, *in* Canadian minerals yearbook—2002 review and outlook: Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, Natural Resources Canada, December, p. 9.1-9.30.

Wagner, Wayne, 2003b, Magnesium, *in* Canadian minerals yearbook—2002 review and outlook: Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, Natural Resources Canada, December, p. 32.1-32.11.

Washington Times, 2001, Canada wants bigger role as a fuel supplier to U.S.: Washington Times, April 3, p. B10.

Internet References Cited

Antwerp Facets Online, 2004a, Mining—BHP Billiton approves expansion project at Ekati Mine, accessed May 12, 2004, at URL http://www.antwerpfacets.com/ newsagency/printfriendly. aspx?NewsletterItemID=435&N.

Antwerp Facets Online, 2004b, Mining—NWT and De Beers signed Snap Lake agreements, accessed May 25, 2004, at URL http://www.antwerpfacets.com/ newsagency/printfriendly. aspx?NewsletterItemID=454&N.

BHP Billiton Diamonds Inc., 2004, EKATI diamond mine, accessed December 12, 2004, at URL http://ekati.bhpbilliton.com.

BP p.l.c., 2003a, Natural gas, 2003 in Review, accessed July 27, 2004, at URL http://www.bp.com/

sectiongenericarticle.do?categoryId=760&contentId=2014223.

BP p.l.c., 2003b, Oil, 2003 in Review, accessed July 27, 2004, at URL http:// www.bp.com/sectiongenericarticle.do?categoryId=760&contentId=2014223.

Cambior Inc., 2004, Niobec Mine's proven and probable ore reserves, accessed December 9, 2004, at URL http://www.Cambior.com/site/english/operations/ operations/fr_niobec_mine.htm.

Department of Finance Canada, 2003, The economy in brief, accessed July 17, 2004, at URL http://www.fin.gc.ca/ECONBR/pdf/ecbr04-03e.pdf.

Morel-à-l'Huissier, Patrick, 2003, Sulphur, *in* Canadian minerals yearbook—2002 review and outlook: Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, Natural Resources Canada, December, p. 53.1-53.9.

Diavik Diamond Mines Inc., 2004, World class diamonds, accessed December 14, 2004, at URL http://www.diavik.ca/WCD.htm.

Inco Limited, 2003, Inco completed the bankable feasibility study for phase one of the Voisey's Bay open pit mine, concentrator, and related facilities, Annual Report, accessed July 12, 2004, at URL http://www.inco.com/investorinfo/annualreports/annrpt03/en/operating_marketing.asp.

Inco Limited 2004, Voisey's Bay open pit mine, Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources Estimates as of Year-End 2003, accessed December 10, 2004, at URL http://www.inco. com/investorinfo/annualreports/2003/en/pdf/ Inco_E05_mineral.pdf.

International Monetary Fund, 2003, Report for selected countries and subjects 2001-2005, accessed December 8, 2004, at URL http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/weo/2004/02 data/dbcoutm.cfm?SD=2001&ED=2005&R1=1&R2=1 &CS=3&SS=2&OS=C&DD=...

Law-West, Don, 2004, Diamonds, *in* Canadian minerals yearbook—2003 review and outlook: Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, Natural Resources Canada, accessed December 8, 2004, at URL http://www.nrcan.gc.ca/mms/cmy/2003revu/ diam_e.htm.

Natural Resources Canada, 2004, Exploration and deposit appraisal expenditures by junior and senior companies by Province and Territory, 2001-2004, accessed July 12, 2004, at URL http://www.nrcan.gc.ca/mmsd/exploration/ bysnrjnr2004 e.htm.

QIT-Fer et Titane Inc., 2004a, Canada—Company profile, accessed July 21, 2004, at URL http://www.rmg.se/RMDEntities/Q/QITbbb.htm.

QIT-Fer et Titane Inc., 2004b, Canada—Sorel Tracy Complex, accessed December 16, 2004, at URL http://www.qit.com/eng/profil/sorel_tracy.html.

Statistics Canada, 2004a, Crude oil and natural gas, accessed July 28, 2004, at URL http://www.statcan.ca/Daily/English/040223/d04223c.htm.

Statistics Canada, 2004b, Exports and imports of goods on a balance-of-payments basis, accessed July 17, 2004, at URL http://www.statcan.ca/english/Pgdb/gblec04.htm.

Statistics Canada, 2004c, Imports and exports of goods on a balance-of-payments basis, accessed July 17, 2004, at URL http://www.statcan.ca/english/Pgdb/ gblec02.htm.

Statistics Canada, 2004d, Population, provinces, and territories, accessed July 17, 2004, at URL http://www.statcan.ca/english/Pgdb/demo02.htm.

Statistics Canada, 2004e, The Canadian Economy, accessed July 17, 2004, at URL http://www.statcan.ca/english/Pgdb.

Tahera Diamond Corporation, 2004, Exploration—Realizing the Jericho project potential, accessed December 15, 2004, at URL http://www.tahera.com/jericho.html.

Teck Cominco Limited, 2004, Highland Valley Copper Mine, B.C., Canada, accessed December 9, 2004, at URL http://www.teckcominco.com/operations/ hvc/production.htm.

U.S. Central Intelligence Agency, 2003, Canada, World Factbook 2003, accessed July 7, 2004, at URL http://www.odci.gov/cia/publications/factbook/geos/ca.html.

U.S. Energy Information Administration, 2004, Canada, Country Analysis Briefs, accessed July 12, 2004, at URL http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/cabs/ canada.html.

Voisey's Bay Nickel Company Ltd., 2004, Business outlook 2004, accessed July 20, 2004, at URL http://www.vbnc.com.

Major Sources of Information

Natural Resources Canada 580 Booth St. Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0E8 Canada Minerals and Metals Sector Earth Sciences Sector Canada Centre for Mineral and Energy Technology (CANMET) Geological Survey of Canada 601 Booth St. Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0E4 Canada Statistics Canada Tunney's Pasture Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0T6 Canada Indian and Northern Affairs Canada Terrasses de la Chaudiere 10 Wellington St., North Tower Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0H4 Canada **Environment** Canada Terrasses de la Chaudiere 27th Floor 10 Wellington St. Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0H3 Canada The Mining Association of Canada 1105-350 Sparks St. Ottawa, Ontario K1R 7S8 Canada

Provincial Sources

Ministry of Energy, Mines, and Petroleum Resources Parliament Buildings Victoria, British Columbia V8V 1X4 Canada Department of Energy Petroleum Plaza, North Tower, 9945 108 St. Edmonton, Alberta T5K 2G6 Canada Department of Energy and Mines Room 306, Legislative Building Regina, Saskatchewan S4S 0B3 Canada Administration of Mining Lands **Toronto-Dominion Bank Building** 1914 Hamilton St. Regina, Saskatchewan S4P 4V4 Canada Department of Energy and Mines Room 301, Legislative Building Winnipeg, Manitoba R3C 0V8 Canada Ministry of Northern Development and Mines 10 Wellesley St. East Toronto, Ontario M4Y 1G2 Canada Ministry of Natural Resources Mines and Minerals Division: Mineral Development and Lands Branch **Ontario Geological Survey** Southern Ontario Region Northeastern Region Northwestern Region

Ministere des Ressources Naturelles 5700, 4^e Avenue Ouest, 3^e Etage Charlesbourg (Quebec) G1H 6R1 Canada Department of Natural Resources and Energy Minerals and Energy Division Hugh John Flemming Forestry Centre Fredericton, New Brunswick E3B 5H1 Canada Mines and Minerals Division: Geological Surveys Branch Mineral Development Branch Planning and Administration Branch Energy Branch Department of Mines and Energy 1701 Hollis St. P.O. Box 1087 Halifax, Nova Scotia B3J 2X1 Canada Department of Energy and Forestry P.O. Box 2000 Charlottetown, Prince Edward Island C1A 7N8 Canada Newfoundland Department of Mines and Energy P.O. Box 8700 St. John's, Newfoundland A1B 4J6 Canada Northwest Territories Chamber of Mines P.O. Box 2818 Yellowknife, Northwest Territories X1A 2R1 Canada Yukon Chamber of Mines P.O. Box 4427 Whitehorse, Yukon Territory Y1A 2B7 Canada British Columbia and Yukon Chamber of Mines 840 West Hastings St. Vancouver, British Columbia V6C 1C8 Canada Chamber of Mines of Eastern British Columbia 215 Hall St. Nelson, British Columbia V1L 5X4 Canada Mining Association of British Columbia P.O. Box 12540, 860, 1066 West Hastings St. Vancouver, British Columbia V6E 3X1 Canada Alberta Chamber of Resources 1410 Oxford Tower, 10235 101 St. Edmonton, Alberta T5J 3G1 Canada Saskatchewan Mining Association Inc. 1740 Avord Tower Regina, Saskatchewan S4P 0R7 Canada

The Mining Association of Manitoba 700-305 Broadway Winnipeg, Manitoba R3C 3J7 Canada **Ontario Mining Association** 1114-111 Richmond Street West Toronto, Ontario M5H 2G4 Canada Quebec Asbestos Mining Association 410-1140 Sherbrooke St. West, Montreal, Quebec H3A 2M8 Canada Quebec Mining Association Inc. 942-2635 Boulevard Hochelaga, Ste. Foy Quebec G1V 4W2 Canada The New Brunswick Mining Association Suite 312-236 St. George St. Moncton, New Brunswick E1C 1W1 Canada Chamber of Mineral Resources of Nova Scotia 202-5525 Artillery Place Halifax, Nova Scotia B3J 1J2 Canada

Major Publications

Canadian Geoscience Council, annual report. Canadian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, Bulletin, 10 issues per year. Canadian Mineral Analysts, monthly. Canadian Mining Journal, Canada's Top Mining Companies, 6 issues per year. Natural Resources Canada: Canadian Minerals Yearbook, annual. Canadian Mineral Industry Reports, monthly. Canada's Mining Industry-Current Situation, February-March 1995. Minerals and Metals Policy of the Government of Canada-Partnerships for sustainable development, 1996. Mineral Policy Sector, Canadian Minerals, annual. Mining and Mineral Processing Operations in Canada, Annual Mineral Bulletin. Production of Canada's Leading Minerals, monthly. Geological Association of Canada, Geoscience Canada, quarterly. Indian and Northern Affairs Canada. Mines and Mineral Activities, annual. Industrial Minerals [London], monthly: Industrial Minerals Information Ltd. International Mining of London, Canadian Mining, monthly. The Journal of Commerce (U.S.) newspaper, weekdays. L'Industrie Miniere du Quebec, annual. Metal Industry, Trends and Outlook, monthly. Mining Journal Ltd., London, Mineral Markets and Mining Finance, monthly.

Mining Journal Ltd., London, Mining Journal, weekly.

Northern Miner Press Inc.:

Canadian Mines Handbook, annual. Canadian Oil & Gas Handbook, annual.

The Northern Miner, weekly.

PennWell Publishing Co.:

Natural Gas Industry Directory, annual.

Oil & Gas Journal, Worldwide Report, monthly.

International Petroleum Encyclopedia, 1995.

Production et Investissements de l'Industrie Miniere du Quebec: Statistiques, annual.

Prospectors and Developers Association of Canada, quarterly "In Brief," also annual "Exploration and Development Highlights."

Québec Prospectors Association, monthly.

Repertoire des Etablissements Menant des Operations Minieres Au Quebec, annual.

Rock Products Register, annual: Intertec Publishing, Chicago, Illinois.

Statistics Canada:

Coal and Coke Statistics, monthly.

Crude Petroleum and Natural Gas Production, monthly.

International Trade Division, Imports by Commodity, annual; Exports: Trade Merchandise, annual.

U.S. Embassy, Ottawa: Periodic Economic and Industrial Outlook reporting.

United Nations, Energy Statistics Yearbook, annual.

The Wall Street Journal, newspaper, daily.

Information Respecting Securities Law.

Corporate Annual Reports of individual mining companies.

TABLE 1 CANADA: PRODUCTION OF MINERAL COMMODITIES¹

(Metric tons unless otherwise specified)

| Commodity | | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 ^p |
|---|---------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| METALS | | | | | | |
| Aluminum: | | 1 222 2 | 1 200 | 1.000 | 1 200 | 1 200 |
| Alumina, gross weight ^e | thousand tons | 1,233 2 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 |
| Metal: | | 2 200 025 | 2 272 460 | 2 592 746 | 2 700 010 | 2 701 015 |
| Primary | | 2,389,835 | 2,373,460 | 2,582,746 | 2,708,910 | 2,791,915 |
| Secondary | | 112,000 | 148,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 r | 180,000 |
| Total | | 2,501,835 | 2,521,460 | 2,762,746 | 2,888,910 r | 2,971,915 |
| Antimony ³ | | 357 | 433 | 234 | 143 | 88 |
| Bismuth: | | | 2.42 | 2.50 | 100 | |
| Mine output, Bi content ³ | | 311 | 243 | 258 | 189 | 145 |
| Metal, refined ^e | | 250 ^r | 250 ^r | 250 ^r | 250 ^r | 250 |
| Cadmium: | | | | | | |
| Mine output, Cd content ³ | | 1,390 | 1,051 | 979 | 896 | 710 |
| Metal, refined | | 1,911 | 1,941 | 1,429 | 1,400 ° | 1,400 |
| Calcium | kilograms | 224,864 | 170,246 | 133,200 | 135,000 ^e | 135,000 |
| Cobalt: | | | | | | |
| Mine output, Co content ³ | | 5,324 | 5,298 | 5,334 | 5,148 ^r | 4,304 |
| Metal: | | | | | | |
| Shipments ⁴ | | 2,015 | 2,022 | 2,112 | 2,065 r | 1,743 |
| Refined, including oxide | | 3,972 | 4,079 | 4,063 | 4,100 | 4,100 |
| Columbium and tantalum: | | | | | | |
| Pyrochlore concentrate: | | | | | | |
| Gross weight | | 5,140 | 5,070 | 7,070 | 7,410 ^r | 7,270 |
| Nb content | | 2,313 | 2,280 | 3,180 | 3,333 ^r | 3,270 |
| Tantalite concentrate: | | | | | | |
| Gross weight | | 208 | 228 | 308 | 232 | 220 |
| Ta content | | 54 ^r | 57 | 77 | 58 | 55 |
| Nb content | | 10 ^r | 11 | 15 | 12 | 11 |
| Copper: | | | | | | |
| Mine output, Cu content ³ | | 581,583 | 633,855 | 683,531 ^r | 584,195 ^r | 534,287 |
| Metal: | | | | | | |
| Smelter: | | | | | | |
| Primary, blister | | 542,439 | 545,514 | 552,512 | 538,790 | 543,282 |
| Secondary and scrap | | 66,782 | 66,800 | 74,128 | 74,000 ^e | 74,000 |
| Total | | 609,221 | 612,314 | 626,640 | 612,790 | 617,282 |
| Refined: | | | · | | | |
| Primary | | 476,079 | 551,393 | 567,720 | 494,522 ^r | 454,866 |
| Secondary | | 72,484 | 61,300 | 42,800 | 24,800 r | 26,800 |
| Total | | 548,563 | 612,693 | 610,520 | 519,322 r | 481,666 |
| Gold, mine output | kilograms | 157,617 | 156,207 | 158,875 | 151,904 ^r | 140,559 |
| Iron and steel: | | , | , | , | ŕ | í. |
| Iron ore and concentrate: | | | | | | |
| Gross weight | thousand tons | 33,900 | 35,247 | 26,981 | 30,902 r | 32,957 |
| Fe content | do. | 21,650 | 22,744 | 17,186 | 19,684 ^r | 20,993 |
| Metal: | | , | ,, | | | _ , , , , , |
| Pig iron | do. | 8,783 | 8,900 | 8,780 | 8,800 | 8,800 |
| Direct-reduced iron ^e | do. | 920 ² | 920 | 920 | 920 | 920 |
| Ferroalloys, electric arc furnace: ^e | <u> </u> | | 20 | /20 | /20 | ,20 |
| Ferrosilicon | do. | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 |
| Silicon metal | do. | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Ferrovanadium | do | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Total | do. | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 |
| Crude steel | do | 16,300 | 15,900 | 16,300 | 16,300 ° | 16,300 |
| - | uo. | 10,300 | 15,900 | 10,300 | 10,500 | 10,500 |
| Lead: Mine output, Pb content | | 162 190 | 148 760 | 153 022 | 101 220 r | 81 760 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | 162,180 | 148,769 | 153,932 | 101,330 ^r | 81,268 |
| Metal, refined: | | 127 172 | 160 160 5 | 127.007 | 122 015 | 110 475 |
| Primary Secondary | | 137,172 | 159,152 ^r | 127,007 | 133,815 | 112,475 |
| Secondary | | 129,243 | 125,141 ^r | 103,921 | 117,449 | 110,742 |
| Total | | 266,415 | 284,293 r | 230,928 | 251,264 | 223,217 |

TABLE 1--Continued CANADA: PRODUCTION OF MINERAL COMMODITIES¹

(Metric tons unless otherwise specified)

| Commodity METALSContinued | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 ^p |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| | 22,500 | 22,500 | 22,500 | 22 500 | 22,500 |
| Lithium, spodumene ^e | 22,300 80,000 | 22,300 80,000 | 22,300 83,000 | 22,500 88,000 | 22,300 54,000 |
| Magnesium, metal, primary ^e | , | , | , | , | , |
| Molybdenum, mine out, Mo content | 6,250 | 7,457 | 8,556 | 7,953 ^r | 9,671 |
| Nickel: | 176 740 | 100 702 | 194 200 | 190 207 1 | 1(2.75(|
| Mine output, Ni content ³ | 176,749 | 190,793 | 184,300 | 189,297 ^r | 162,756 |
| Refined ⁵ | 124,260 | 134,225 | 140,591 | 144,476 | 124,418 |
| Platinum-group metals, mine output kilograms | 13,872 | 16,110 | 20,694 | 24,372 ^r | 18,514 |
| Selenium, refined ⁶ do. | 359,000 | 335,000 | 238,000 | 175,000 ^r | 253,000 |
| Silver: | 1 174 000 | 1 212 000 | 1 265 000 | 1 407 550 5 | 1 200 274 |
| Mine output, Ag content | 1,174,000 | 1,212,000 | 1,265,000 | 1,407,558 ^r | 1,309,274 |
| Refined | 1,246,000 | 1,188,000 | 1,224,400 | 1,352,002 r | 1,275,797 |
| Tellurium, refined ⁶ | 64,000 | 53,000 | 51,000 | 39,000 r | 40,000 |
| Titanium, slags ^{e, 7} | 950,000 | 950,000 | 1,100,000 r | 1,100,000 r | 1,104,000 |
| Uranium oxide, U content | 10,157 | 10,683 | 12,991 | 12,855 r | 9,906 |
| Zinc: | | | | | |
| Mine output, Zn content | 963,321 | 1,002,242 | 1,012,048 | 923,931 ^r | 788,328 |
| Metal, refined, primary | 776,927 | 779,892 | 661,172 | 793,475 | 761,199 |
| INDUSTRIAL MINERALS | | | | | |
| Asbestos | 337,000 | 307,000 | 277,000 | 242,241 r | 240,500 |
| Barite | 123,000 | 121,000 | 23,000 | 17,000 r | 23,000 |
| Cement, hydraulic ⁸ thousand tons | 12,625 | 12,612 | 12,986 | 13,710 ^r | 14,063 |
| Clay and clay products ⁹ value, thousands | \$164,718 | \$175,449 | \$194,580 | \$233,244 r | \$234,775 |
| Diamond carats | 2,429,000 | 2,533,750 | 3,716,000 | 4,937,000 ^r | 11,200,000 |
| Diatomite ^e | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| Gemstones, amethyst and jade | 218 | 235 | 148 | 246 ^r | 114 |
| Gypsum and anhydrite thousand tons | 9,345 | 9,232 | 7,821 | 8,809 r | 8,330 |
| Lime ⁸ do. | 2,565 | 2,525 | 2,213 | 2,248 r | 2,216 |
| Magnesite, dolomite, brucite ^e | 180,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 |
| Mica, scrap and flake ^e | 17,500 | 17,500 | 17,500 | 17,500 | 17,500 |
| Nepheline syenite | 676,000 | 717,000 | 710,000 | 717,000 r | 697,000 |
| Nitrogen, N content of ammonia | 4,134,900 | 4,129,000 | 3,438,700 | 3,440,000 | 3,440,000 |
| Potash, K ₂ O equivalent thousand tons | 8,475 | 9,202 | 8,237 | 8,361 r | 9,131 |
| Pyrite and pyrrhotite, gross weight ^e | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| Salt thousand tons | 12,686 | 11,994 | 13,725 | 12,736 ^r | 13,390 |
| Sand and gravel do. | 242,369 | 238,494 | 236,486 | 238,120 ^r | 235,574 |
| Silica, quartz ¹⁰ do. | 1,702 | 1,514 | 1,613 | 1,540 r | 1,586 |
| Sodium compounds, n.e.s.: ^e | 1,702 | 1,011 | 1,010 | 1,010 | 1,000 |
| Sodium compounds, it.e.s do. | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Sodium sulfate, natural ¹¹ do. | 305^{2} | 305 | 305 | 305 | 305 |
| Stone ¹² do. | 130,226 | 139,188 | 124,758 | 124,746 ^r | 119,356 |
| Sulfur, byproduct: | 150,220 | 159,100 | 124,750 | 124,740 | 119,550 |
| Metallurgy do. | 843 | 849 | 762 | 703 ^r | 589 |
| Petroleum do. | 8,656 | 8,621 | 8,154 | 7,671 ^r | 7,920 |
| Total do. | 9,499 | 9,470 | 8,916 | 8,374 r | 8,509 |
| | 9,499 79 ² | 9,470 90 | 8,910 90 | 8,374 90 | 8,509 90 |
| Talc, pyrophyllite, soapstone ^e do. MINERAL FUELS AND RELATED PRODUCTS | 19 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Carbon black ^e | 165,000 | 165,000 | 165,000 | 165,000 | 165,000 |
| Carbon black Coal, run-of-mine: | 105,000 | 100,000 | 103,000 | 105,000 | 105,000 |
| , | 60.024 | 50 027 | 50.042 | 55 100 r | 50.000 |
| Bituminous and subbituminous thousand tons | 60,834 | 58,037 | 59,042 | 55,408 ^r | 50,929 |
| Lignite do. Total do. | 11,663 | <u>11,126</u> 69,163 | 11,319 | 11,200 | 11,234 |
| Total do. | 72 407 | | 70,361 | 66,608 ^r | 62,163 |
| | 72,497 | · · · · · | 2 200 | 2 200 * | |
| Coke, high-temperature do. | 72,497 3,307 | 3,307 | 3,300 | 3,300 e | 3,300 |
| Coke, high-temperaturedo.Natural gas: | 3,307 | 3,307 | | | , |
| Coke, high-temperaturedo.Natural gas: | 3,307 190,912 | 3,307 195,457 | 200,709 | 200,890 | 193,253 |
| Coke, high-temperature do. Natural gas: | 3,307 | 3,307 | | | , |
| Coke, high-temperature do. Natural gas: | 3,307 190,912 162,219 | 3,307 195,457 166,078 | 200,709 171,388 | 200,890 171,348 | 193,253 164,834 |
| Coke, high-temperature do. Natural gas: | 3,307 190,912 162,219 67,735 | 3,307 195,457 166,078 67,700 | 200,709 171,388 66,000 | 200,890 171,348 66,000 ^e | 193,253 164,834 66,000 |
| Coke, high-temperature do. Natural gas: | 3,307 190,912 162,219 | 3,307 195,457 166,078 | 200,709 171,388 | 200,890 171,348 | 193,253 164,834 |

TABLE 1--Continued CANADA: PRODUCTION OF MINERAL COMMODITIES¹

(Metric tons unless otherwise specified)

| Commodity | | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 ^p |
|---|--------------|---------|---------|---------|----------------------|-------------------|
| MINERAL FUELS AND RELATED PRODUCTSCont | inued | | | | | |
| Peat | | 1,253 | 1,277 | 1,319 | 1,385 ^r | 1,341 |
| Petroleum: | | | | | | |
| Crude ¹³ thousand 42-ga | llon barrels | 768,934 | 803,919 | 816,505 | 861,730 ^r | 907,018 |
| Refinery products: ^e | | | | | | |
| Propane, butane, naphtha, liquefied petroleum gas | do. | 12,700 | 13,300 | 13,700 | 14,800 ^r | 14,900 |
| Gasoline: | | | | | | |
| Aviation | do. | 790 | 900 | 850 | 1,050 ^r | 1,000 |
| Other | do. | 218,000 | 228,000 | 235,000 | 255,000 r | 260,000 |
| Petrochemical feedstocks | do. | 28,500 | 29,800 | 30,800 | 33,700 ^r | 34,100 |
| Jet fuel | do. | 29,700 | 31,100 | 32,100 | 35,300 r | 35,700 |
| Kerosene | do. | 1,700 | 1,700 | 1,800 | 2,100 ^r | 2,100 |
| Distillate fuel oil, diesel and light | do. | 167,000 | 175,000 | 180,000 | 194,000 ^r | 19,500 |
| Lubricants including grease | do. | 6,700 | 7,000 | 7,200 | 7,500 ^r | 7,600 |
| Residual fuel oil, heavy | do. | 43,100 | 45,100 | 46,500 | 50,600 ^r | 51,200 |
| Asphalt | do. | 22,100 | 23,200 | 23,900 | 26,300 ^r | 26,100 |
| Petroleum coke | do. | 6,200 | 6,500 | 6,700 | 7,400 r | 7,500 |
| Unspecified | do. | 22,500 | 23,600 | 24,300 | 26,800 r | 27,200 |
| Refinery fuel and losses ¹⁴ | do. | 21,800 | 22,800 | 23,500 | 25,300 ^r | 25,600 |
| Total | do. | 581,000 | 608,000 | 626,000 | 680,000 r | 513,000 |

^eEstimated; estimated data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown. ^pPreliminary. ^rRevised. -- Zero.

¹Table includes data available through July 2004.

²Reported figure.

³Metal content of concentrates produced.

⁴Cobalt content of all products derived from Canadian ores, which includes cobalt oxide shipped to the United Kingdom for futher processing and nickelcobalt matte shipped to Norway for refining.

⁵Nickel contained in products of smelters and refineries in forms, which are ready for use by consumers. Natural Resources Canada has revised all refined nickel figures to conform with International Nickel Study Group (INSG) guidelines.

⁶From all sources, which includes imports and secondary sources. Excludes intermediate products exported for refining.

⁷Refined UGS and SOREL slags have titanium oxide content of 94.5% (chloride process) and 80% (sulfide process), respectively.

⁸Producers' shipments and quantities used by producers.

⁹Includes bentonite products from common clay, fire clay, stoneware clay, and other clays. Values are in current Canadian dollars.

¹⁰Producers' shipment of quartz.

¹¹Excludes byproduct production from chemical plants.

¹²Crushed, building, ornamental, paving, and similar stone.

¹³Includes synthetic crude, from oil shale and/or tar sands.

¹⁴Refinery fuel represents total production of still gas, which includes a small amount sold.

(Thousand metric tons unless otherwise specified)

| Commodity | Major operating companies and major equity owners | Location of main facilities | Annual capacity |
|---------------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| Aluminum | Alcan Aluminum Ltd. | Smelter in Laterriere, Quebec | 204. |
| Do. | do. | Smelter in Isle-Maligne, Quebec | 73. |
| Do. | do. | Smelter in Beauharnois, Quebec | 48. |
| Do. | do. | Smelter in Shawinigan, Quebec | 84. |
| Do. | do. | Smelter in Grande-Baie, Quebec | 180. |
| Do. | do. | Smelter in Arvida, Quebec | 232. |
| Do. | do. | Smelter in Kitimat, British Columbia | 272. |
| Do. | Alcan Inc. (Alcan Aluminium Ltd., 56%, and Aluisuisse Lonza Group Ltd., 44%) | Smelter in Alma, Quebec | 400. |
| Do. | Aluminiere de Becancour Inc. (Pechiney Corp., 25%, and Quebec Government, 24.95%) | Smelter in Beacancour, Quebec | 360. |
| Do. | Canadian Reynolds Metals Co. Ltd. (Reynolds Metals Co., 100%) | Smelter in Baie-Comeau, Quebec | 400. |
| Do. | Aluminerie Alouette Inc. (Vereinigte Aluminum- Werke (VAW), Germany, 20%; Corus Group plc, Netherlands, 20%; Austria Metall (AMAG), | Smelter in Sept-Iles, Quebec | 218. |
| _ | Austria, 20%; La Société Générale de Financement, Canada, 20%; Kobe Steel, 13.3%; Marubeni Corp., Japan, 6.7%) | | |
| Do. | Aluminerie Lauralco Inc. (Alumax Inc., United States) | Deschambault, Quebec | 215. |
| sbestos | LAB Chrysotile, Inc. (private, 100%). | Black Lake, Quebec | 160 (fiber). |
| Do. | do. | Bell Mine, near Thetford Mines, Quebec | 70 (fiber). |
| Do. | Jeffrey Mine Inc. | Jeffrey mines at Asbestos, Quebec | 250 (fiber). |
| ement | Lafarge Canada Inc. | Bath, Ontario | 1,045 (dry-process). |
| Do. | do. | Exshaw, Alberta | 1,029 (dry-process). |
| Do. | do. | Kamloops, British Columbia | 194 (dry-process). |
| Do. | do. | Richmond, British Columbia | 474 (wet-process). |
| Do. | do. | St. Constant, Quebec | 991 (dry-process). |
| Do. | do. | Brookfield, Nova Scotia | 527 (dry-process). |
| Do. | St. Lawrence Cement Inc. (Independent Cement | Joliette, Quebec | 991 (dry-process). |
| Do. | Inc.) do. | Mississauga, Ontario | 1,876 (wet and dry). |
| | | | |
| Do. | ESSROC Canada Inc. | St. Basile, Quebec | 1,124 (dry-process). |
| Do. | North Star Cement Ltd. | Corner Brook, Newfoundland | 152 (dry-process). |
| Do. | Federal White Cement Ltd. | Woodstock, Ontario | 170 (dry-process). |
| Do. | St. Marys Cement Corp. | Bowmanville, Ontario | 1,550 (dry-process). |
| Do. | do. | St. Marys, Ontario | 645 (dry-process). |
| Do. | Inland Cement Ltd. (S.A. Cimenteries CBR) | Edmonton, Alberta | 726 (dry-process). |
| Do. | Tilbury Cement Ltd. (S.A. Cimenteries CBR) | Delta, British Columbia | 1,040 (dry-process). |
| oal | Quinsam Coal Corp. (Hillsborough Resources Ltd., | Quinsam Coal Mine at Campbell River, | 14,400 (open pit |
| | 63%; Marubeni Corp., 33%; unknown, 4%) | British Columbia | and underground). |
| Do. | Cape Breton Development Corp. (Government of Canada, 100%) | Sydney, Nova Scotia | 2,000 (underground). |
| Do. | Luscar, Ltd. (Luscar Energy Partnership) | Obed Mountain Mine in Hinton, Alberta | 23,500 (open pit). |
| Do. | do. | Cheviot Mine in Hinton, Alberta | 16,500 (open pit). |
| Do. | Gregg River Resources Ltd. (Gregg River Coal Inc., 60%, and 7 Japanese companies, 40%) | Gregg River Mine in Hinton, Alberta | 3,960 (open pit). |
| Do. | Manalta Coal Ltd. (Transalta Utilities Corp.) | Highvale Mine at Seba Beach, Alberta | 11,610 (open pit). |
| Do. | Smoky River Coal Ltd. (Smoky River Holdings | Grande Cache, Alberta | 3,600 (open pit and |
| | Ltd., 100%) | | underground). |
| Columbium (niobium) | Niobec Ltd. (Cambior Inc., 50%, and Sequoia Minerals Inc., 50%) | Niobec Mine, Chicoutimi, Quebec | 3,500 tons per day (underground). |

(Thousand metric tons unless otherwise specified)

| Commodity | Major operating companies and major equity owners | Location of main facilities | Annual capacity |
|------------|---|---|--|
| Copper | Cassiar Mining Corp. (Princeton Mining Corp., 100%) | Similcom Mine in Princeton, British Columbia (suspended in 1996) | 9,000. |
| Do. | Falconbridge Ltd. (Noranda Inc., 50%, and Trelleborg AB, 50%) | Sudbury operations, Sudbury, Ontario | 4,250. |
| Do. | do. | Strathcona and Timmins operations in Timmins, Ontario | 4,860. |
| Do. | Falconbridge Ltd. (Noranda Inc., 50%, and Trelleborg AB, 50%) | Smelter in Timmins, Ontario | 440. |
| Do. | Gibraltar Mines Ltd. | McLease Lake, British Columbia (suspended) | 29. |
| Do. | Highland Valley Copper (Teck Cominco Limited, 63.9%; BHP Billiton Ltd., 33.6%; others, 2.5%) | Kamloops, British Columbia | 4,500. |
| Do. | Inco Ltd. | Thompson district, Manitoba | Variable (polymetallic). |
| Do. | do. | Smelter in Sudbury, Ontario | 500. |
| Do. | do. | Refinery in Sudbury, Ontario | 170. |
| Do. | Noranda Inc. | Smelter in Thompson, Manitoba | 686 (projected). |
| Do. | do. | Mines Gaspe, Murdochville, Quebec | 4,000 (ore). |
| Do. | do. | Horne Smelter in Noranda, Quebec | 770. |
| Do. | Huckleberry Mines Ltd. (Imperial Metals Corp., 50%, and Japanese consortium, 50%) | Huckleberry Mine in Omineca, southeast of Houston, British Columbia | 37 (Cu contained). |
| Do. | Imperial Metals Corp. | Mount Polley Mine at Williams Lake, British Columbia | 17 (Cu contained). |
| Do. | Northgate Exploration Ltd. | Toodogone River, British Columbia | 28 (Cu contained). |
| Diamond | carats BHP Billiton Diamonds Inc. (BHP Billiton Group), 80%; Charles Fipke, 10%; Stuart Blossom, 10% | Ekati Mine in Lac de Gras region, Northwest Territories | 5,350,000. |
| Do. | do. Diavik Diamond Mines Inc. (Rio Tinto plc), 60%, and Aber Diamond Mines Ltd. (Aber Diamond Corporation), 40% | Diavik Mine in Yellowknife region, Northwest Territories | 6,000,000. |
| Gold | Barrick Gold Corp. | Holt-McDermott Mine at Harker Township, Ontario | 405 (ore). |
| Do. | do. | Bosquet Mines 1 and 2, northwestern Quebec | 954 (ore). |
| Do. | do. | Macassa Mine at Teck Township, northern Ontario | 473 (ore). |
| Do. | Princeton Mining Corp. | Similco Mine in Princeton, British Columbia (suspended) | 450 (kilograms metal). |
| Do. | Echo Bay Mines Ltd. | Lupin Mine in Contwoyo Lake, Northwest Territories (suspended) | 612 (ore). |
| Do. | Royal Oak Mines Inc. | Giant Mine in Yellowknife, Northwest Territories | 407 (ore). |
| Do. | do. | Giant mill-tailings in Yellowknife, Northwest Territories | 3,265 (ore). |
| Do. | Hemlo Gold Mines Inc. (Noranda Inc., 44.1%) | Golden Giant Mine in Hemlo, Ontario | 1,080 (ore). |
| Do. | Placer Dome Inc. | Campbell Mine in Red Lake, Ontario | 584 (ore). |
| Do. | do. | Detour Lake Mine in Northeast Ontario | 1,278 (ore) |
| Do. | do. | Dome Mine in South Porcupine, Ontario | 9.8 (tons metal). |
| Do. | do. | Sigma and Kiena Mines in Val d'Or, Quebec | 730 (ore). |
| | Teck-Corona Corp. (Teck Corp., 100%) | David Bell Mine in Hemlo, Ontario | 456 (ore). |
| Do. | | Huckleberry Mine in Omineca, southeast | 250 (kilograms metal). |
| Do. Do. | Huckleberry Mines Ltd. (Imperial Metals Corp., 50%, and Japanese consortium, 50%) | of Houston, British Columbia | |
| | | of Houston, British Columbia Mount Polley Mine in Williams Lake, British Columbia | 3,100 (kilograms metal) |
| Do. | 50%, and Japanese consortium, 50%) | Mount Polley Mine in Williams Lake, | 3,100 (kilograms metal) 8,700 (kilograms metal) |

(Thousand metric tons unless otherwise specified)

| Commodity | Major operating companies and major equity owners | Location of main facilities | Annual capacity |
|---------------|---|--|---|
| Sypsum | Atlantic Gypsum Resources Inc. | Fischell Brook at St. George's, Newfoundland | 1,300. |
| Do. | Georgia-Pacific Corp. | River Denys, Sugar Camp, Nova Scotia | 1,460. |
| Do. | Little Narrows Gypsum Co. Ltd. (USG Corp., 100%) | Little Narrows, Nova Scotia | 1,640. |
| Do. | National Gypsum (Canada) Ltd. (Aancor Holdings Corp., 100%) | Milford, Nova Scotia | 3,300. |
| Do. | Westroc Industries Ltd. | Windermere, British Columbia | 1,170. |
| ron and steel | Iron Ore Company of Canada (North Ltd., 56.1%; Mitsubishi Corp., 25%; Labrador Iron Ore Royalty Income Fund, 18.9%) | Carol Lake, Labrador | 8,800 (concentrate), 10,300 (pellets). |
| Do. | Quebec Cartier Mining Co. (Dofasco Inc., 50%) | Mount Wright, Quebec | 16,950 (concentrate), 7,500 (acid pellets), 657 (sinter). |
| Do. | Wabush Mines Ltd. (Stelco Inc., 37.9%; Dofasco Inc., 24.2%; Cliffs Mining Co., 22.8%; Acme Steel Co., 15.1%) | Wabush, Labrador, and Pointe Noire, Quebec | 6,200 (concentrate). |
| Do. | Dofasco Inc. | Hamilton, Ontario | 3,642 (pig iron), 4,500 (crude steel). |
| ead | Brunswick Mining and Smelting Corp. Ltd. (Noranda Inc., 63.3%) | No. 12 Mine in Bathurst and smelter in Belledune, New Brunswick | 72 (Pb contained). |
| Do. | Hudson Bay Mining and Smelting Co., Ltd. (Anglo American plc., 100%) | Flin Flon and Snow Lake, Manitoba | 60 (Pb-Zn contained). |
| Do. | Teck Cominco Limited | Trail, British Columbia | 95 (refined lead). |
| Do. | do. | Sullivan Mine in Kimberly, British Columbia | 3,600 (ore). |
| Do. | do. | Polaris Mine on Cornwallis Island, Northwest Territories | 1,000 (ore). |
| Do. | Breakwater Resources Ltd. | Nanisivik Mine on Baffin Island, Northwest Territories | 785 (ore). |
| imestone | Lafarge Canada Inc. | Steep Rock, Manitoba | 906 (quarry). |
| Do. | Atlantic Industrial Minerals Inc. | Iris Cove, Sydney, Nova Scotia | 720. |
| Do. | Inland Cement Ltd. (CBR Materials Corp.) | Cadomin, Alberta | 2,160. |
| Do. | do. | do. | 2,160 (quarry). |
| Do. | Havelock Co. (Kickenson Mines Co., 100%) | Havelock, New Brunswick | 864 (limestone). |
| Do. | Continental Lime Ltd. | Faulkner, Manitoba | 1,440 (crushed stone). |
| lagnesium | Magnola Metallurgy Inc. (Noranda Inc., 80%, and Societe Generale de Financement du Quebec, 20%) | Asbestos, Quebec | 58 (asbestos waste). |
| Do. | Timminco Ltd. | Haley Station, Ottawa | 6 (smelter). |
| Do. | Norsk Hydro Canada Inc. | Bécancour, Quebec | 48 (smelter). |
| lolybdenum | Huckleberry Mines Ltd. (Princeton Mines Corp., 60%, and Japanese consortium, 40%) | Southeast of Houston, British Columbia | 635 (Mo contained). |
| lickel | Falconbridge Ltd. (Noranda Inc., 46.4%, and underwriting syndicate, 28.3%) | Craig, Fraser, Lindsley, and Lockerby in Sudbury district, Ontario | 54 (metal contained). |
| Do. | do. | Raglan Mine in Ungave, Quebec | 21 (metal contained). |
| Do. | do. | Smelter in Falconbridge, Ontario | 45 (rated capacity). |
| Do. | Inco Limited | Frood, Stobie, Creighton, Copper Cliff North and South, Garson-Offsets, McCreedy East and West, Coleman, Crean Hill, and Totten in Sudbury district, Ontario | 106 (metal contained). |
| Do. | do. | Smelter in Sudbury, Ontario | 110 (metal contained). |
| Do. | do. | Refinery in Sudbury, Ontario | 57 (metal contained). |
| Do. | do. | Refinery in Port Colborne, Ontario | 30 (metal contained). |
| Do. | do. | Thompson, Birchtree Mines in Manitoba | 62 (metal contained). |
| Do. | do. | Smelter in Thompson, Manitoba | 82 (metal contained). |
| Do. | Sherritt International Corp. | Refinery in Fort Saskachewan, Alberta | 24 (metal contained). |
| | 1 | | |

(Thousand metric tons unless otherwise specified)

| Crude oil million 42-g ba Do. Do. Natural gas billion of Crude oil thousand 42-g ba Natural gas million 42-g ba Natural gas billion of Crude oil million 42-g ba Natural gas billion of million 42-g ba Do. Do. Natural gas billion of million 42-g ba Do. | atters London, 100%) allon do. arrels do. do. Gulf Canada Corp. (Olympia & York Developments, 80%, and Gulf, 20%) do. Home Oil Co. Ltd. (Interhome Energy Inc., cubic do. cubic do. allon Imperial Oil Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp., 70%) arrels others, 30%) cubic do. eters allon allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp., 70%) cubic do. eters allon allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp., 70%) cubic do. eters allon allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp., 70%) cubic do. eters allon allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp., 70%) cubic do. eters allon allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp., 70%) cubic do. eters allon | Sibbald, North Pembina, Alberta do. Fenn-Big Valley, Swan Hills, Goose River, Peerless, and Sene, Alberta 100%) Red Earth, Garrington, Cherhill, Medicine River, and Swan Hills, Alberta do. 5, and Judy Creek, Cold Lake, Alberta; Mackenzie Delta, Beaufort Sea, Yukon and Northwest Territories do. ., Hibernia, Grand Banks, southeast of Newfoundland and Sable Island, Nova Scotia, and others in Alberta do. ., Terra Nova, near to Hibernia, Jeanne d'Arc Basin, Newfoundland do. | 47. 12. 18. 11.5. a 1.8. 670. 36.4. 26.1. 3.0. 25.0. 2.0. 12.1. |
|---|--|---|---|
| million 42-g Do. Do. Do. Do. Natural gas billion of thousand 42-g Crude oil thousand 42-g Do. million 42-g Natural gas million 42-g Natural gas billion of thousand 42-g Natural gas million 42-g Natural gas billion of thousand 42-g Natural gas billion of thousand 42-g Do. million 42-g < | atters London, 100%) allon do. arrels do. do. Gulf Canada Corp. (Olympia & York Developments, 80%, and Gulf, 20%) do. Home Oil Co. Ltd. (Interhome Energy Inc., cubic do. cubic do. allon Imperial Oil Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp., 70% arrels others, 30%) cubic do. eters allon allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp., 70%) others, 30%) cubic cubic do. eters allon allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp., 70%) cubic do. eters allon allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp., 70%) cubic do. eters allon allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp., 70%) cubic do. eters allon allon Norcen Energy Resources Ltd. (Hollinger I arrels sp9%, and Hees International, 41%) | Sibbald, North Pembina, Alberta do. Fenn-Big Valley, Swan Hills, Goose River, Peerless, and Sene, Alberta 100%) Red Earth, Garrington, Cherhill, Medicine River, and Swan Hills, Alberta do. 5, and Judy Creek, Cold Lake, Alberta; Mackenzie Delta, Beaufort Sea, Yukon and Northwest Territories do. ., Hibernia, Grand Banks, southeast of Newfoundland and Sable Island, Nova Scotia, and others in Alberta do. ., Terra Nova, near to Hibernia, Jeanne d'Arc Basin, Newfoundland do. | 12. 18. 11.5. a 1.8. 670. 36.4. 26.1. 3.0. 25.0. 2.0. |
| Do. Do. Do. Natural gas billion of Crude oil thousand 42-g ba Natural gas million 42-g ba Natural gas billion of Crude oil million 42-g ba Natural gas billion of Crude oil million 42-g ba Do. Do. Natural gas billion of Crude oil million 42-g ba Matural gas billion of Crude oil million 42-g ba | arrels do. Gulf Canada Corp. (Olympia & York Developments, 80%, and Gulf, 20%) do. Home Oil Co. Ltd. (Interhome Energy Inc., cubic do. cubic do. allon Imperial Oil Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp., 70% others, 30%) cubic do. cubic do. allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp., 70% aurels 100%) cubic do. eters allon allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp., 70%) cubic do. eters allon allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp., 70%) cubic do. eters allon allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp., 70%) cubic do. eters allon allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp., 70%) cubic do. eters allon allon Norcen Energy Resources Ltd. (Hollinger I arrels, 59%, and Hees International, 41%) | Fenn-Big Valley, Swan Hills, Goose River, Peerless, and Sene, Alberta 100%) Red Earth, Garrington, Cherhill, Medicine River, and Swan Hills, Alberta do. 5, and Judy Creek, Cold Lake, Alberta; Mackenzie Delta, Beaufort Sea, Yukon and Northwest Territories do. Terra Nova, near to Hibernia, Jeanne d'Are Basin, Newfoundland do. | 18. 11.5. a 1.8. 670. 36.4. 26.1. 3.0. 25.0. 2.0. |
| Do. Do. Natural gas billion of million of thousand 42-g gas and thousand 42-gas and th | Developments, 80%, and Gulf, 20%) do. Home Oil Co. Ltd. (Interhome Energy Inc., rubic do. teters allon Imperial Oil Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp., 70% others, 30%) cubic do. teters allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp. arrels 100%) cubic do. teters allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp. arrels 100%) cubic do. teters allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp. arrels 100%) cubic do. teters allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp. arrels 100%) cubic do. teters allon Norcen Energy Resources Ltd. (Hollinger I arrels 59%, and Hees International, 41%) | River, Peerless, and Sene, Alberta 100%) Red Earth, Garrington, Cherhill, Medicine River, and Swan Hills, Alberta do. 5, and Judy Creek, Cold Lake, Alberta; Mackenzie Delta, Beaufort Sea, Yukon and Northwest Territories do. , Hibernia, Grand Banks, southeast of Newfoundland and Sable Island, Nova Scotia, and others in Alberta do. , Terra Nova, near to Hibernia, Jeanne d'Arc Basin, Newfoundland do. | 11.5. a 1.8. 670. 36.4. 26.1. 3.0. 25.0. 2.0. |
| Natural gas billion of million of thousand 42-g bar structures of thousand 42-g | do. Home Oil Co. Ltd. (Interhome Energy Inc., cubic do. ieters allon allon Imperial Oil Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp., 70% arrels others, 30%) cubic do. cubic do. allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp., 70%) allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp., 70%) aurels 100%) cubic do. neters allon allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp., 70%) cubic do. neters allon allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp., 70%) cubic do. neters allon allon Norcen Energy Resources Ltd. (Hollinger I arrels sp9%, and Hees International, 41%) | Medicine River, and Swan Hills, Alberta do. 5, and Judy Creek, Cold Lake, Alberta; Mackenzie Delta, Beaufort Sea, Yukon and Northwest Territories do. ., Hibernia, Grand Banks, southeast of Newfoundland and Sable Island, Nova Scotia, and others in Alberta do. ., Terra Nova, near to Hibernia, Jeanne d'Arc Basin, Newfoundland do. | a 1.8. 670. 36.4. 26.1. 3.0. 25.0. 2.0. |
| Crude oil thousand 42-g ba Natural gas million 4 Crude oil million 42-g ba Natural gas billion 4 million 42-g ba Natural gas billion 4 million 42-g ba Do. Do. Natural gas billion 4 million 42-g ba Do. Trude oil million 42-g ba Matural gas billion 4 million 42-g ba Matural gas billion 4 million 42-g ba Natural gas billion 4 million 42-g | eters allon Imperial Oil Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp., 70% others, 30%) cubic do. eters allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp. arrels 100%) cubic do. eters allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp. rrels 100%) cubic do. eters allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp. arrels 100%) cubic do. eters allon Norcen Energy Resources Ltd. (Hollinger I arrels 59%, and Hees International, 41%) | do. 5, and Judy Creek, Cold Lake, Alberta; Mackenzie Delta, Beaufort Sea, Yukon and Northwest Territories do. ., Hibernia, Grand Banks, southeast of Newfoundland and Sable Island, Nova Scotia, and others in Alberta do. ., Terra Nova, near to Hibernia, Jeanne d'Arc Basin, Newfoundland do. | 1.8. 670. 36.4. 26.1. 3.0. 25.0. 2.0. |
| Crude oil thousand 42-g ba Natural gas million o Crude oil million 42-g ba Natural gas billion o Crude oil million 42-g ba Natural gas billion o million 42-g ba Do. Do. Natural gas billion o million 42-g ba Do. Trude oil million 42-g ba Matural gas billion o million 42-g ba Natural gas billion o million 42-g | allon Imperial Oil Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp., 70% others, 30%) cubic do. teters allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp. trrels 100%) cubic do. teters allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp. trrels 100%) cubic do. teters allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp. trrels 100%) cubic do. teters allon Norcen Energy Resources Ltd. (Hollinger I arrels 59%, and Hees International, 41%) | Mackenzie Delta, Beaufort Sea, Yukon and Northwest Territories do. ., Hibernia, Grand Banks, southeast of Newfoundland and Sable Island, Nova Scotia, and others in Alberta do. ., Terra Nova, near to Hibernia, Jeanne d'Arc Basin, Newfoundland do. | 36.4. 26.1. 3.0. 25.0. 2.0. |
| rm Crude oil million 42-g ba Natural gas billion 4 rm Crude oil million 42-g ba Natural gas billion 4 rm Crude oil million 42-g ba Do. Do. Natural gas billion 4 rm Crude oil million 42-g ba Natural gas billion 4 rm Crude oil million 42-g ba | neters allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp. arrels 100%) cubic do. neters 100%) allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp. nrrels 100%) cubic do. nrrels 100%) cubic do. neters 100%) cubic do. seters 100%) cubic do. neters 59%, and Hees International, 41%) | ., Hibernia, Grand Banks, southeast of Newfoundland and Sable Island, Nova Scotia, and others in Alberta do. ., Terra Nova, near to Hibernia, Jeanne d'Arc Basin, Newfoundland do. | 26.1. 3.0. 25.0. 2.0. |
| Natural gas billion o million 42-g ba Natural gas billion 42-g Natural gas billion 42-g Do. Do. Natural gas billion o million 42-g ba Natural gas billion o million 42-g ba Natural gas billion o million 42-g ba | arrels 100%) cubic do. atters allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp. arrels 100%) cubic do. atters allon Norcen Energy Resources Ltd. (Hollinger I arrels 59%, and Hees International, 41%) | Newfoundland and Sable Island, Nova Scotia, and others in Alberta do. ., Terra Nova, near to Hibernia, Jeanne d'Arc Basin, Newfoundland do. | 3.0. 25.0. 2.0. |
| million 42-g ba Natural gas billion d Crude oil million 42-g ba Do. Do. Natural gas billion d million 42-g ba Natural gas billion d million 42-g ba Natural gas billion d million 42-g | eters allon Mobil Oil Canada Ltd. (Exxon Mobil Corp. arrels 100%) cubic do. eters allon Norcen Energy Resources Ltd. (Hollinger I arrels 59%, and Hees International, 41%) | ., Terra Nova, near to Hibernia, Jeanne d'Arc Basin, Newfoundland do. | 25.0. 2.0. |
| Natural gas billion of Crude oil million 42-g Do. Do. Natural gas billion of Crude oil million 42-g ba Natural gas billion of Crude oil million 42-g ba Natural gas billion of million 42-g | arrels 100%) cubic do. eters allon Norcen Energy Resources Ltd. (Hollinger I arrels 59%, and Hees International, 41%) | d'Arc Basin, Newfoundland do. | 2.0. |
| m Crude oil million 42-g ba Do. Do. Natural gas billion of Crude oil million 42-g ba Natural gas billion of m Crude oil million 42-g | allon Norcen Energy Resources Ltd. (Hollinger I arrels 59%, and Hees International, 41%) | | |
| Do. Do. Natural gas billion of Trude oil million 42-g ba Natural gas billion of million 42-g | arrels 59%, and Hees International, 41%) | nc., Pembina, Bodo, Majorville, Alberta | 12.1. |
| Do. Do. Natural gas billion o m Crude oil million 42-g ba Natural gas billion o m Crude oil million 42-g | | | |
| Natural gas billion om Crude oil million 42-g ba Natural gas billion om Crude oil million 42-g | 100%) | ces Ltd., Grantham, Hays Ronalane, Peace River, Normandville, Randell, Alberta; and Grizzly Valley, British Columbia | 24.6. |
| Crude oil million 42-g ba Natural gas billion o Crude oil million 42-g | do. PanCanadian Petroleum Ltd. (Canadian Pac Enterprises, 87%, and others, 13%) | | 19.7. |
| Natural gas billion o Crude oil million 42-g | cubic do. leters | do. | 3.53. |
| Crude oil million 42-g | allon Shell Canada Ltd. (Shell Investments, 79%) arrels others, 21%) | , and Dimsdale, Little Smoky Lake, Sousa, Alberta; Midale, Benson, Saskatchewan | 22.2. |
| Crude oil million 42-g | | do. | 6.53. |
| | allon Suncor Inc. (Sun Co. Inc., United States, 75 ontario Energy Resources, 25%) | Prevo, and Medicine River, Alberta; and Leitchville, Unwin, Saskatchewan | |
| | allon Texaco Canada Petroleum Inc. (Texaco Inc united States, 78%, and others, 22%) | Eaglesham, Virgo, Alberta; and Desan, British Columbia | 158. |
| Natural gas million o m | cubic do. leters | do. | 67.3. |
| Crude oil million 42-g | allon UNOCAL Canada Ltd. (UNOCAL Corp., U arrels States, 100%) | | 14.7. |
| otash (K ₂ O equivalent): | Potash Corp. of Saskatchewan Inc. (PCS) (J 37%, and Provincial government, 63%) | private, Lanigan, near Lanigan, Saskatchewan | 3,828 (KCl). |
| Do. | do. | Rocanville, southeast Saskatchewan | 2,295 (KCl). |
| Do. | do. | Allan Division, Allan, Saskatchewan | 5,256 (KCl). |
| Do. | do. | Cory, near Saskatoon, Saskatchewan | 1,361 (KCl). |
| Do. | do. | Sussex, New Brunswick | 800 (KCl). |
| Do. | International Minerals & Chemical Corp. (Ltd. [IMC Fertilizer Corp., 75%, and Pota of Saskatchewan Inc. (PCS), 25%] | , 5, | 951 (KCl). |
| Do. | | Vanscoy, Saskatchewan | 1,750 (KCl). |

(Thousand metric tons unless otherwise specified)

| Commodity | Major operating companies and major equity owners | Location of main facilities | Annual capacity |
|--|--|---|--|
| Salt and brine operations | The Canadian Salt Co. | Pugwash, Nova Scotia | 1,400 (rock salt and brine salt). |
| Do. | do. | Iles-de-la-Madeleine, Quebec | 1,625 (rock salt). |
| Do. | do. | Ojibway, Ontario | 2,600 (rock salt). |
| Silver | Prime Resources Group Inc. | Eskay Creek Mine in British Columbia | 340. |
| Do. | Breakwater Resources Ltd. | Caribou Mine in Bathurst, New Brunswich | |
| Do. | Kinross Gold Corp. | Macassa Mine in Ontario | 438 (mill feed). |
| Do. | Barrick Gold Corp. | Bousquet Mine in Quebec | 876 (mill feed). |
| Do. | Similco Mines Ltd. | Princeton, British Columbia (suspended) | 8,250 (Ag-Au-Cu |
| | | | concentrate). |
| Sodium chlorate production | Dow Chemical Canada Inc. (Dow Chemical Co., | Fort Saskatchewan, Alberta | 524 (caustic soda). |
| using salt | 100%) | | |
| Do. | do. | Sarnia, Ontario | 350 (caustic soda). |
| Do. | General Chemical Canada Ltd. | Amherstburg, Ontario | 363 (sodium carbonate). |
| Sulfur: | _ | | |
| Petroleum refinery capacities | Consumer's Cooperative Refineries Ltd. (Federated Cooperatives Ltd., 100%) | Regina, Saskatchewan | 54. |
| Do. | Esso Petroleum Canada (Exxon Mobil Corp., 100%) | Sarnia, Ontario | 50. |
| Do. | Sulconam Inc. (Petro Canada, 7.6%) | Montreal, Quebec | 108. |
| Main sulfur extraction plants | Amoco Canada Petroleum Co., Ltd. (Amoco Corp., | East Crossfield-Elkton, Alberta | 650. |
| (sour gas and oil sands) | 100%) | - , | |
| Do. | Canadian Occidental Petroleum, Ltd. | East Calgany-Crossfield, Alberta | 610. |
| Do. | Chevron Canada Resources Inc. (ChevronTexaco | Kaybob South III, Alberta | 1,281. |
| | Corp., 100%) | 5 | , |
| Do. | Husky Oil Ltd. | Ram River, Ricinus, Alberta | 1,646. |
| Do. | Shell Canada Ltd. | Waterton, Alberta | 1,120. |
| Principal SO ₂ and H ₂ SO ₄ | Canadian Electro Zinc Ltd. (CEZ) (Noranda Inc., | Valleyfield, Quebec | $430 (H_2SO_4).$ |
| production capacities | 90.17%) | 5 7 2 | (2 7) |
| Do. | Inco Ltd. | Copper Cliff, Ontario | 950 (H ₂ SO ₄). |
| Do. | Falconbridge Ltd. (Noranda Inc., 50%, and Trelleborg | Kidd Creek, Ontario | $\frac{690 (H_2 SO_4)}{690 (H_2 SO_4)}.$ |
| Do. | AB, 50%) ESSO Chemical Canada (Exxon Mobil Corp., 100%) | Redwater, Alberta | 910 (H ₂ SO ₄). |
| Titanium slag | QIT-Fer et Titane Inc. (Rio Tinto, 100%). | Sorel-Tracy, Quebec | 1,100 (Sorelslag), 250 (UGS slag). |
| Uranium | Cogomo Bogouroog Ino | Cluff Lake, Saskatchewan | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Uranium Do. | Cogema Resources Inc. Cameco Corp. (Cameco Corp., 50.025%; COGEMA | | 1,815 metric tons (metal 6,500 metric tons (oxide |
| D0. | Cameco Corp. (Cameco Corp., 50.025%; COGEMA Resources Inc., 37.1%; Idemitsu Inc., 7.875%; TEPCO Inc., 5.0%). | Cigar Lake, Saskatchewan | 6,500 metric tons (oxide |
| Do. | do. | Key Lake, Saskatchewan | 6,395 metric tons (oxide |
| Do. | do. | Rabbit Lake, Saskachewan | 5,445 metric tons (oxide |
| Zinc | Breakwater Resources Ltd. | Nanisivik Mine on Baffin Island, | 60 (Zn contained). |
| Do. | do. | Northwest Territories Bathurst, New Brunswick | 1,100 (Zn in |
| | | | concentrate). |
| Do. | Brunswick Mining and Smelting Corp. Ltd. | do. | 232 (Zn in |
| | (Noranda Inc., 100%) | | concentrate). |
| Do. | Falconbridge Ltd. (Noranda Inc., 49.9%) | Timmins operations and smelter in Timmins, Ontario | 212 (Pb-Zn contained), 133 (slab zinc). |
| Do. | Hudson Bay Mining and Smelting Co. Ltd. (Anglo American plc., 100%) | Snow Lake concentrator, Manitoba | 1,125 (Pb-Zn ore). |
| Do. | do. | Flin Flon Mine and Smelter in Manitoba | 115 (slab zinc). |
| Do. | Teck Cominco Limited | Sullivan Mine in Kimberley, British Columbia | 70 (Pb-Zn contained). |
| Do | do | Smelter in Trail, British Columbia | 300 (slab zina) |
| Do. Do. | do. Boliden Ltd. | Myra Falls Mine in Strathcona | 300 (slab zinc). 110 (Zn ore). |
| Do. | Noranda Inc. | Provincial Park, British Columbia Bell Allard Mine in Matagami, Quebec | 85 (Pb-Zn ore). |
| | | | |

W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data.

¹Projections of annual capacity involve matching decline curves against later discoveries and are generalized extrapolations only based on data presented in the Canadian Oil and Gas Handbook, 2001 and subsequent years. Ownership of various companies and proportionate participation in various leaseblocks and/or joint ventures changes continually. The ownership proportions shown here must be considered to be illustrative only.

TABLE 3 CANADA: RESERVES OF MAJOR MINERALS IN 2003

(Thousand metric tons unless otherwise specified)¹

| Commod | lity | Reserves |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Asbestos, fiber | | 35,700 ° |
| Coal, all types | | 6,220,000 e |
| Copper | | 10,000 |
| Gold | metric tons | 1,500 2 |
| Gypsum | | 450,000 ^e |
| Iron ore | | 1,700,000 ^e |
| Lead | | 1,600 |
| Molybdenum | | 450 |
| Natural gas | billion cubic meters | 1,660 ³ |
| Nickel | | 6,600 |
| Petroleum crude | million barrels | 16,900 ³ |
| Potash, K ₂ O equivalent | million metric tons | 4,400 e |
| Salt | thousand short tons | 264,000 e |
| Silver | metric tons | 47,000 |
| Sodium sulfate | thousand short tons | 84,000 ^e |
| Sulfur | | 160,000 ^e |
| Uranium | | 420 4 |
| Zinc | | 11,000 |
| e | | |

^eEstimated; estimated data are rounded to three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

¹Sources: 2002 and 2003 "Canadian Minerals Yearbook,"

Natural Resources Canada, except for natural gas and petroleum

crude; U.S. Geological Survey's Mineral Commodity Summaries 2004. ²Excludes metal in placer deposits.

³Source: BP 2004, Statistical Review of World Energy.

⁴Recoverable at prices of \$100, or less per kilogram of uranium.