Monitoring Beaufort Sea Waterfowl and Marine Birds Aerial Survey Component

By

Julian B. Fischer¹, Tim J. Tiplady¹, and William W. Larned²

¹U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Migratory Bird Management, Waterfowl Management Branch, 1011 E. Tudor Rd., Anchorage, Alaska 99503

²U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Migratory Bird Management, Waterfowl Management Branch, 43655 Kbeach Road, Soldotna, Alaska, 99669

Prepared for
U.S. Department of Interior
Mineral Management Service
Alaska OCS Region
949 East 36th Street
Anchorage, Alaska 99508

This study was funded, in part, by the U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service (MMS), through Intra-agency Agreement No. 16950 with the U.S. Department of Interior, U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division, as part of the MMS Alaska Environmental Studies Program.

January 2002

The opinions, findings, conclusions or recommendations expressed in this report or product are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Minerals Management Service, nor does the mention of trade names or commercial products constitute endorsement or recommendation for use by the Federal Government.

PROJECT ORGANIZATION PAGE

Dirk V. Derksen, Principal Investigator, Chief, Wetlands and Terrestrial Ecology Branch, USGS-BRD, Alaska Science Center, 1011 E. Tudor Road, Anchorage, AK 99503.

Julian B. Fischer, Project Manager, Wildlife Biologist, Waterfowl Management Branch, Division of Migratory Bird Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1011 E. Tudor Road, Anchorage, AK 99503.

Tim J. Tiplady, Pilot/Wildlife Biologist, Waterfowl Management Branch, Division of Migratory Bird Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1011 E. Tudor Road, Anchorage, AK 99503.

William W. Larned, Pilot/Wildlife Biologist, Waterfowl Management Branch, Division of Migratory Bird Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 43655 Kbeach Road, Soldotna, AK 99669.

Russell M. Oates, Chief, Waterfowl Management Branch, Division of Migratory Bird Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1011 E. Tudor Road, Anchorage, AK 99503.

REPORT AVAILABILITY

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, MBM 1011 E. Tudor Road Anchorage, AK 99503

Telephone: (907) 786-3443

National Technical Information Service 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161

Telephone: (800) 553-6847

SUGGESTED CITATION

Fischer, J. B., T. J. Tiplady, and W. W. Larned. 2002. Monitoring Beaufort Sea waterfowl and marine birds, aerial survey component. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Migratory Bird Management, Anchorage, Alaska. OCS study, MMS 2002-002.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Project Organization	ii
Table of Contents	iii
List of Tables	
List of Figures	vi
List of Appendices	viii
Abstract	ix
Acknowledgements	X
Introduction	1
Methods	3
Near-shore Survey Methods	3
Near-shore Survey Data Analysis	8
Effects of Human Activities on Long-tailed Ducks	8
Distribution of Marine Birds in the Near-shore Environment	9
Assessing Bias in Near-shore Surveys	9
Offshore Survey Methods	9
Offshore Survey Data Analysis	11
Assessing Bias in Offshore Surveys	11
Analysis and Presentation	11
Results	15
Near-shore Survey Results	15
Effects of Human Activities on Long-tailed Ducks	15
Components of Variation	
Near-shore Species Composition and Distribution	17
Long-tailed Duck	19
Common Eider	22
King Eider	
Scoters	
Glaucous Gull	
Northern Pintail	30
Geese and Swans.	
Shorebirds	
Pacific Loon	36
Red-throated Loon	38
Yellow-billed Loon	
Bias Due to Changes in Survey Platform	
Offshore Survey Results	
Components of Variation in Offshore Distribution	
Offshore Species Composition and Distribution	
Long-tailed Duck	
Common Eider	
King Eider	
Spectacled Eider	
Scoters	
Glaucous Gulls	
Pacific Loon	
Red-throated Loon	
Yellow-billed Loon	52

Bias Due to Changes in Survey Altitude	70
Discussion	71
Near-shore Survey	
Effects of Human Activities on Long-tailed Ducks	71
Components of Variation	72
Possible Long-term Decline in Long-tailed Ducks	72
Distribution Patterns in the Near-shore Survey	73
Offshore Survey	75
Components of Variation in Marine Bird Offshore Distribution	75
Offshore Migration Corridor	75
Important Areas	76
Recommendations for Future Monitoring Efforts	77
Summary and Conclusions	78
Literature Cited	81
Appendices	85
	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Transect length and area surveyed during 12 Near-shore aerial surveys	6
Table 2.	Aerial survey flight specifications	7
Table 3.	Factors and error terms used to calculate F-statistic	8
Table 4.	Area (sq. km) surveyed by subtransect	.12
Table 5.	Area (sq. km) surveyed per stratum	.14
Table 6.	Independent variables incorporated into Offshore models	.14
Table 7.	Results of Near-shore ANOVA and ANCOVA tests	.16
Table 8.	Potential disturbances on Industrial, Central, and Control transects	.17
Table 9.	Counts of all birds observed during 12 Near-shore surveys	.20
Table 10.	Total count and percent composition of bird species	.19
Table 11.	Flock size of birds observed during 12 Near-shore surveys	.19
Table 12.	Mean log density of Long-tailed Ducks	.20
Table 13.	Mean log density of Common Eider	.22
Table 14.	Mean log density of King Eider.	.24
	Mean log density of Scoters	
	Mean log density of Glaucous Gull	
Table 17.	Mean log density of Northern Pintail	.30
	Mean log density of Geese and Swans	
Table 19.	Mean log density of Shorebirds	.34
Table 20.	Mean log density of Pacific Loon	.36
	Mean log density of Red-throated Loon	
Table 22.	Mean log density of Yellow-billed Loon	.40
Table 23.	Results of ANCOVA while controlling for Platform	.42
Table 24	Final ANOVA and ANCOVA that explain variation in Offshore density	.43
Table 25.	Results of ANOVA that explain variation in marine bird Offshore density	.45
	Results of ANOCVA that explain variation in marine bird Offshore density.	
	Bird species observed during six Offshore surveys	
	Number observed and percent composition of focal taxa	.48
Table 29	Flock size of marine birds detected in Offshore surveys	50

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Study area for Near-shore and Offshore marine bird surveys	3
Figure 2.	Aerial survey transects in Industrial and Control areas	4
Figure 3.	Aerial survey transects in an expanded Near-shore area	
Figure 4.	Offshore survey transects and strata	10
Figure 5.	Comparison of trends in Long-tailed Duck log density	15
Figure 6.	Long-tailed Duck log density decreased significantly	
Figure 7.	Total number of potential disturbances on transects	
Figure 8.	Average number of potential disturbances each year	15
Figure 9.		
Figure 10.	Percent composition of species observed during Near-shore surveys	20
Figure 11.	Locations of Long-tailed Ducks during 12 Near-shore surveys	21
_	Mean log density of Long-tailed Ducks among four Near-shore habitats	
_	Locations of Common Eiders during 12 Near-shore surveys	
-	Mean log density of Common Eiders among four Near-shore habitats	
Figure 15.	Locations of King Eiders during 12 Near-shore surveys	25
Figure 16.	Locations of Scoters during 12 Near-shore surveys	27
Figure 17.	Mean log density of Scoters among four Near-shore habitats	27
Figure 18.	Locations of Glaucous Gulls during 12 Near-shore surveys	29
Figure 19.	Mean log density of Glaucous Gulls among four Near-shore habitats	29
Figure 20.	Locations of Northern Pintails during 12 Near-shore surveys	31
Figure 21.	Mean log density of Northern Pintails among four Near-shore habitats	31
Figure 22.	Locations of Geese and Swans during 12 Near-shore surveys	33
Figure 23	Mean log density of Geese and Swans among four Near-shore habitats	33
Figure 24.	Locations of Shorebirds during 12 Near-shore surveys	35
Figure 25.	Mean log density of Shorebirds among four Near-shore habitats	35
	Locations of Pacific Loons during 12 Near-shore surveys	
Figure 27.	Locations of Red-throated Loons during 12 Near-shore surveys	39
Figure 28.	Locations of Yellow-billed Loons during 12 Near-shore surveys	41
Figure 29.	Mean log density of Yellow-billed Loons among four Near-shore habitats	41
Figure 30.	Percent composition of focal taxa observed during Offshore surveys	48
Figure 31.	Number of individuals per taxa seen in each strata	49
	Mean log density of Long-tailed Ducks among 8 strata in June, July, Aug	52
Figure 33.	Inter-seasonal distribution patterns of Long-tailed Ducks	53
	Mean log density of Long-tailed Ducks among 8 strata in 1999 vs. 2000	
Figure 35.	Mean log density of Common Eiders among 8 strata	55
Figure 36.	Mean log density of Common Eiders among 8 strata in 1999 vs. 2000	55
	Inter-seasonal distribution patterns of Common Eiders	
Figure 38.	Inter-seasonal distribution patterns of King Eiders	57
	Mean log density of King Eiders among 8 strata in June, July and August	
	Inter-seasonal differences in King Eiders in 1999 vs. 2000	
Figure 41.	Inter-seasonal distribution patterns of Spectacled Eiders	59
Figure 42.	Mean log density of Scoters among 8 strata in June, July and August	60
Figure 43.	Inter-seasonal differences in Scoters in 1999 vs. 2000	60
_	Inter-seasonal distribution patterns of Scoters	
_	Mean log density of Glaucous Gulls among 8 strata in June, July, Aug	
-	Inter-seasonal distribution patterns of Glaucous Gulls	
Figure 47.	Inter-seasonal distribution patterns of Pacific Loons	64

Figure 48.	Mean log density of Pacific Loons among 8 strata in June, July, Aug	.65
Figure 49.	Mean log density of Pacific Loons among 8 strata in 1999 vs. 2000	.65
Figure 50.	Inter-seasonal distribution patterns of Red-throated Loons	.66
Figure 51.	Mean log density of Red-throated Loons among 8 strata in June, July, Aug	.67
Figure 52.	Mean log density of Red-throated Loons among 8 strata in 1999 vs. 2000	.67
Figure 53.	Mean log density of Yellow-billed Loons among 8 strata	.68
Figure 54.	Inter-seasonal distribution patterns of Yellow-billed Loons	.69
Figure 55.	Inter-seasonal differences of Yellow-billed Loons in 1999 vs. 2000	.70

LIST OF APPENDICES

App. 1a-k.	Total birds (by species) per transect on 12 Near-shore surveys	88
App. 2a-k.	Density (by species) per transect on 12 Near-shore surveys	99
App. 3a-k.	Log density (by species) per transect on 12 Near-shore surveys	110
App. 4a-i.	Numbers, densities and log densities (by species) on 6 Offshore surveys	.121

The U.S. Department of Interior investigated potential disturbance effects of human activities on the distribution and density of Long-tailed Ducks (*Clangula hyemalis*), and eiders (*Somateria spp.*) in lagoons and offshore waters of the south-central Beaufort Sea. The primary objectives of this study were to compare Long-tailed Duck population trends between "industrial" and "control" areas, describe the relationship between bird density and human activities, and document distribution patterns of eiders and other marine birds in the south-central Beaufort Sea. We used existing protocol (OCS-MMS 92-0060) to conduct 12 replicate Nearshore aerial surveys in Beaufort Sea lagoons between Oliktok Point and Brownlow Point. These data were collected in 1999 and 2000 and were compared with historic data collected in 1990-1991. We also modified the survey protocol to conduct 6 Offshore aerial surveys between Cape Halkett and Brownlow Point, Alaska.

We observed 33 marine bird taxa on Near-shore and Offshore surveys combined. A comparison between 1990 and 2000 revealed a significant negative trend in density of Longtailed Ducks within the Near-shore survey area. Although densities decreased overall, trends in density were the same among "Industrial" and "Control" transects. Similarly, distribution patterns were not significantly related to sources of potential disturbance such as boat traffic, low-level aircraft over-flights, or human activities on shore adjacent to survey transects. Statistical tests may fail to detect effects of human activities on bird densities even if they exist due to inherent stochasticity in sea duck populations, high standard errors associated with aerial survey techniques, long-term changes in barrier island habitat, intrusion of human activities into the "Control" site, and unidentified components of variation.

We identified several areas that appear to be important to marine birds. King (*Somateria spectabilis*) and Spectacled Eiders (*S. fischeri*) were concentrated in Harrison Bay, where high densities of Scoters (*Melanitta spp.*), and Red-throated (*Gavia stellata*) and Yellow-billed Loons (*G. adamsii*) were also observed. High densities of Common Eiders (*S. mollissima*) and Longtailed Ducks were found in Barrier Island Habitat, particularly among the Stockton Islands. Finally, Scoters were concentrated in Mid-lagoon habitat in western Simpson Lagoon.

As an alternative to aerial surveys for evaluating effects of human activities, we suggest measuring behavioral responses of individual birds to disturbances of known size and duration. This direct measure could document immediate changes in distribution in a controlled setting. This approach may also identify what activities have measurable effects and predict the potential duration of these effects. Further, we suggest future surveys employ a sampling design that includes systematic transects with random starting points to provide an unbiased sample of multi-species distribution, abundance, and habitat preference.

KEY WORDS: Beaufort Sea; marine birds; sea ducks; lagoons; Long-tailed Duck; *Clangula hyemalis*; Common Eider; *Somateria mollissima*; King Eider; *Somateria spectabilis*; Spectacled Eider; *Somateria fischeri*; Northstar; aerial survey; OCS, offshore.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study benefited from the expertise of numerous individuals. We thank Minerals Management Service (MMS) and the Alaska Science Center (ASC) for financial support. Special thanks go to Dirk V. Derksen, Paul L. Flint and Richard B. Lanctot of ASC, Russell M. Oates and Robert Stehn of U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service- Migratory Bird Management (USFWS-MBM), and Charles Monnett of MMS, for their critical evaluation of this study. We thank Steve Johnson (LGL Inc.) for providing historical data that was used in this report. Robert Stehn and Robert Platte (USFWS-MBM) offered technical assistance with customized database programming and geographic information systems. We are indebted to Eric Akola (USFWS-Regional Aviation Management), and Gene Ori and Joseph Bussard (Office of Aircraft Services) for their assistance in developing safe operating procedures for the aerial surveys. We thank pilot/biologists Ed Mallek and Chris Dau (USFWS-MBM) for their expertise in flying and conducting Near-shore surveys. Additionally, Dennis Marks, Alan Brackney, Steve Kendall, Jamie Stich, and Eric Taylor (USFWS) provided field assistance. Lastly, we thank Dave Weintraub, Dick Stefanski and Bill Rimer of Commander Northwest; and Doug Burtz of Ram Air for safe piloting of the Offshore surveys.