Tool for Evaluating Core Elements of Hospital Disaster Drills

Contract No. 290-02-0018

Prepared by:

The Johns Hopkins University Evidence-based Practice Center, Baltimore, MD

Investigators:

Sara E. Cosgrove, MD, MS Mollie W. Jenckes, MHSc, BSN Lisa M. Wilson, ScM Eric B. Bass, MD, MPH Edbert B. Hsu, MD, MPH

AHRQ Publication No. 08-0019 June 2008

This document is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without permission except those copyrighted materials noted for which further reproduction is prohibited without the specific permission of copyright holders.

Suggested Citation

Cosgrove SE, Jenckes MW, Wilson LM, Bass EB, Hsu EB. Tool for Evaluating Core Elements of Hospital Disaster Drills. Prepared by Johns Hopkins Evidence-based Practice Center under Contract No. 290-02-0018. December 2007.

Acknowledgments

We thank Sally Phillips, RN, PhD, Director, Public Health Emergency Preparedness Research Program, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, and Sumner L. Bossler, LCDR, Senior Program Analyst, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Preparedness and Response, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, for their guidance and direction in the development of this document. We also would like to acknowledge our external experts, listed below, for their participation in review and comment during this document development.

Michael Allswede, DO Department of Emergency Medicine University of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Duane C. Caneva, CDR, MC, USNR Navy Medicine Office of Homeland Security Bureau of Medicine and Surgery

Steve Cantrill, MD, FACEP Department of Emergency Medicine Denver Health Medical Center, Colorado

Parker Ferguson, Senior Scientist Consultant to the Department of Homeland Security, Center for Domestic Preparedness and the Department of Defense, Homeland Defense Installation Preparation Program

Paul Guptill, MBA, MA Director of Regulatory Analysis Missouri Hospital Association

Kelly J. Henning, MD Special Advisor New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene Marguerite Littleton-Kearney, DNSc, FAAN School of Nursing Johns Hopkins University

Lewis Rubinson, MD, PhD Bend Memorial Clinic, Oregon

Richard Schwartz, MD, FACEP Department of Emergency Medicine Medical College of Georgia

Joan Stanley, PhD, RN, CRNP, FAAN Director of Education Policy American Association of Colleges of Nursing, Washington, DC

Thomas E. Terndrup, MD, FACEP Chair of Emergency Medicine University of Alabama at Birmingham, School of Medicine, Alabama

Contents

Chapter 1. Background	
Purpose	
Guiding Principles in Developing Evaluation Modules	
Chapter 2. Methods for Development of the Abbreviated Evaluation Tool	3
Identification of Expert Reviewers	
Selection of Items for Inclusion	
Summary Items	
Chapter 3: Use of the Abridged Modules	5
Overview	5
Description of Modules and Addenda	5
Overall Value of Abridged Modules	8
Table 1: Recommended Use of Evaluation Modules and Addenda	10
References	11
Modules and Addenda	13
Pre-Drill Module	
Incident Command Center Zone Module	
Decontamination Zone Module	
Triage Zone Module	
Treatment Zone Module	
Biological Incident Addendum	
Radiological Incident Addendum	
Group Debriefing Module	
Group Beometing Module	

Chapter 1: Background

Hospitals must be prepared to respond to natural and manmade mass casualty incidents that may cause sudden demand on services. Disaster drills have been identified as a critical component of preparedness because they allow the institution to test response capabilities in real time. Evaluation of these activities is essential to understand the strengths and weaknesses of an institution's disaster response.

Evaluation is based on accurate observation. Accurate observation requires careful documentation of events before, during, and after a drill. Using a standardized observation and evaluation approach allows for a consistent record each time a drill occurs within an institution. A standardized approach helps both to capture the specific strengths and weaknesses of hospital responses during the drill and to draw comparisons across hospitals participating in similar drills. Using a standardized evaluation also allows comparison from one drill to the next to determine improvements in areas where weaknesses have been identified.

Drawing from the published literature on disaster drills as well as input from a variety of experts in the field, the Johns Hopkins University Evidence-based Practice Center (JHU-EPC) developed a set of evaluation modules and addendums for operationalized hospital disaster drills in 2004 entitled *Evaluation of Hospital Disaster Drills: A Module-Based Approach*. This document includes substantial detail on evaluation of hospital disaster drills. In 2005, the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) requested that the JHU-EPC develop an abridged version of the original evaluation tool that focuses on the **critical** elements of drill evaluation that all hospitals should address as part of disaster preparedness.

This document describes the principles behind the approach used to develop the abbreviated evaluation modules and addendums and recommendations for their use.

Purpose

The purpose of the abridged evaluation tool is to provide institutions with an instrument designed to capture the most critical aspects of disaster drill activities. In cases in which a comprehensive drill evaluation is desired, the 2004 document, *Evaluation of Hospital Disaster Drills: A Module-Based Approach* should be used. The abridged disaster drill evaluation modules should be used to efficiently identify the most important strengths and weaknesses in hospital disaster drills. The results gained from evaluation then can be applied to further training and drill planning. Although the evaluation modules can be used to identify improvement in repeated drills, they are *not* intended to be used to make final or complete judgments about whether a hospital *passes* or *fails* in its planning and training endeavors. This approach is designed to identify specific weaknesses that can be targeted for improvement and to promote continued strengthening of hospital disaster preparedness. Systematized and standardized observations are essential to assess overall process improvement.

When an institution decides to use this abridged evaluation tool, it is strongly recommended that the original evaluation tool be reviewed prior to the drill, as the original tool provides a more complete discussion of this evaluation approach and more detailed evaluation questions. The original tool can be found at http://www.ahrq.gov/research/hospdrills/hospdrill.htm.

Guiding Principles in Developing the Evaluation Modules

In developing the 2004 document, *Evaluation of Hospital Disaster Drills: A Module-Based Approach*, the following principles were taken into account, and they continue to be relevant to the abridged evaluation tool.

Need for observing multiple hospital zones. Because evaluation of a disaster drill requires an understanding of drill activities in all areas of the hospital, the JHU-EPC developed an approach that allows evaluation of disaster response activity through documentation by trained observers in identifiable functional and geographic zones. To assist the hospitals in planning a drill, the JHU-EPC designed a Pre-drill Module to identify the active zones for the drill. The four zones identified in this approach are Incident Command, Decontamination, Triage, and Treatment. Each of these zones has a separate evaluation module. In addition, for exercises that include biological or radiation scenarios, a Biological Incident Addendum or a Radiological Incident Addendum is to be attached to each of the zone-specific forms. Table 1 illustrates the use of the modules and addendums for different scenarios.

Need for documentation of time points. Over-reliance on simple documentation of time points by a single observer detracts from the primary goal of the evaluation. Documenting the many simultaneous activities in the zone as a whole is necessary for an evaluation that identifies strengths and weaknesses of the hospital's response. The JHU-EPC addressed the issue of recording time points by designing the modules to document limited specific time points. These are listed in the first section of each zone module.

Documenting clinical care outcomes. The zone evaluation modules track the volume of victims in each zone and the adequacy of the provisions made for them, including space, staff, supplies, and other issues. The modules are not designed to collect individual victim level data.

Need for debriefing (after-action review). In addition to real-time observations, a comprehensive evaluation must include methods to obtain feedback from participants, including organizers, staff, and victims, at the end of the drill. This allows for discussion of issues that span more than one zone, for example, the effectiveness of communication between the incident command center and patient treatment areas. Thus, the JHU-EPC designed not only zone-specific modules that focus on issues that can be ascertained by an observer during the drill, but also a debriefing module that can be used to evaluate and integrate cross-zone issues at a post-drill debriefing session.

Chapter 2: Methods for Development of the Abbreviated Evaluation Tool

Identification of Expert Reviewers

A panel of three authors of the original tool (S.C., E.H., and M.J.) and external experts in disaster drill execution and evaluation was established. Nineteen external experts were invited to participate and 12 agreed to join the group. In addition to the three authors, four of the external experts had participated in the development of the original evaluation tool. External experts included representatives from government agencies (Department of Health and Human Services/Health Resources and Services Administration [DHHS/HRSA], AHRQ, the New York City Department of Health and Human Services [YC-DHHS] and the Navy Medical Office of Homeland Security), professional organizations (Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations [JCAHO] and the American Association of Colleges of Nursing [ACN]), and academic medical institutions in several states (AL, GA, MD, PA, OR, CO).

Selection of Items for Inclusion

A modified Delphi technique was used to establish consensus on the items that should be included in the abbreviated tool for evaluating hospital disaster drills.² The authors of the original tool independently identified items from the complete modules that were felt to be of greatest importance and then met to obtain consensus internally. In addition, the authors identified target numbers of questions for reviewers to identify as important for each module and addendum.

The external experts were supplied with the original workbook, *Evaluation of Hospital Disaster Drills: A Module-Based Approach*, as well as a set of response sheets listing all item numbers for each module in the workbook. They were asked to identify independently the critical items from each module with a target number of items set by the authors. They were also asked to indicate the five most critical items for each module. Items above the targeted number and narrative comments were also accepted.

All responses from the expert panel were reviewed, and the abridged modules were drafted based on reviewer responses. Questions that were considered critical by at least two reviewers or those that were recommended for inclusion by more than half of the reviewers were retained. In response to reviewer comments, some language was modified to be more specific or inclusive and to reduce redundancies.

The revised modules were sent to the reviewers for a second review of (1) clarity of items and (2) appropriateness of content for effective drill evaluation. Based on feedback received, the modules were further revised as necessary.

Summary Items

Following discussion with AHRQ and DHHS personnel, summary questions were added to the beginning of each section of the zone modules. The purpose of these questions is to identify whether key activities of the zone occurred appropriately and to highlight points to keep in mind during the evaluation process.

Chapter 3: Use of the Abridged Modules

Overview

The abridged hospital drill evaluation is designed in a set of modules and addendums in a similar fashion to the original drill evaluation tool. This approach was reviewed in detail, and judged to be the most effective presentation as it allows observers in different zones of the drill to work independently and effectively. Each module and specifics for its use is described below. The Decontamination Zone Module is needed for radiation and chemical drills, but currently is not recommended for use in a biological drill because decontamination is generally not necessary in biologic events. Table 1 indicates the use of the different modules for a number of common disaster drill scenarios. If the drill is targeted at a particular clinical area, (e.g., decontamination), other modules can be omitted. For example, omit the Triage Zone Module when there is no victim influx.

Personal protective equipment (PPE) is needed in different areas at different times. The list of PPE for the modules and addendums includes different items on the different forms, reflecting current recommendations. These lists may need to be updated as new knowledge emerges regarding the most appropriate PPE for different types of exposures.

Description of Modules and Addendums

Pre-drill

The evaluation starts at the planning stage of the drill. This is essential in maximizing the learning opportunities. The Pre-drill Module should be completed by the hospital during the planning stages of the drill, preferably by the planning team as a group. *This module should be used in all disaster drills*. This form is designed to collect the following:

- Goals and objectives for the scope of the evaluation
- Sufficient background information to facilitate the drill planning
- Information on specific areas that the hospital wishes to evaluate
- Resources required

Incident Command Center

This abridged module is designed to ascertain information about the basic operation of the incident command system that can be reliably recorded by an observer. *This zone module should be used in all disaster drills whenever the drill objective includes evaluation of the incident command structure*. This form is designed to assess the following:

- Adequacy of the command structure in the zone
- Adequacy of staffing in the Incident Command Center
- Adequacy of communication and information flow within and in and out of the Incident Command Center
- Adequacy of the security

Decontamination Zone

This abridged module is designed to collect information about the functioning of the decontamination area. *This zone module should be used in all disaster drills in which radiation or chemical exposure is in the scenario and decontamination must be conducted.* This form is designed to assess the following:

- Adequacy of the command structure in the zone
- Adequacy of communication and information flow in the zone
- Adequacy of victim flow in the zone
- Adequacy of security and victim and staff safety in the zone
- Adequacy of staffing in the zone
- Adequacy of availability and use of decontamination equipment and PPE

Triage Zone

This abridged module is designed to collect information about the functioning of the triage area(s) in a disaster drill. It can be used in primary or secondary triage areas. *This zone module should be used in all disaster drills involving live mock or paper victims*. This form is designed to assess the following:

- Adequacy of the command structure in the zone
- Adequacy of communication and information flow in the zone
- Adequacy of victim flow in the zone
- Adequacy of security and victim and staff safety in the zone
- Adequacy of staffing and physical space in the zone
- Efficiency and appropriateness of triage activities

Treatment Zone

This abridged module is designed to collect information about the functioning of the treatment area(s) in a disaster drill. *This module should be used whenever the drill objectives include evaluation of patient care activities beyond the triage area.* The items are appropriate for use in emergency department-based treatment areas or in other clinical care areas (for example, the radiology department or medical or surgical inpatient floors). This form is designed to assess the following:

- Adequacy of the command structure in the zone
- Adequacy of communication and information flow in the zone
- Adequacy of victim flow in the zone
- Adequacy of security and victim and staff safety in the zone
- Adequacy of staffing and physical space in the zone
- Adequacy of treatment operations
- Adequacy of materials and supplies in the zone

Group Debriefing Module

This module contains a series of open-ended questions that are designed to elicit valuable information and facilitate discussion during a group debriefing session after completion of a drill.

This module is designed to cover all issues raised during the drill, including incident command structure, communications, security, decontamination, triage, treatment, and other areas. The main objective of the debriefing is to identify issues experienced during the drill that may not be captured by the evaluation modules.

Addendums: Radiological Incident and Biological Incident.

Two addendums are part of the hospital disaster drill evaluation. Addendums must always be used with zone forms. In the case of radiation drills, the Radiological Incident Addendum is added to each of the zone modules. As an example, for a hospital-wide radiation exposure drill, the Radiological Incident Addendum must be added to the Incident Command Center, Decontamination, Triage, and Treatment Zone Modules. In the case of a biological scenario drill, the Biological Incident Addendum should be added to the Incident Command Center, Triage, and Treatment Zone Modules. As shown in Table 1, the Biological Incident Addendum should only be used for drills involving a biological scenario, and the Radiological Incident Addendum should only be used for drills involving a radiation scenario.

The **Biological Incident Addendum** is designed to collect additional information during drills that address the response to a biological incident. *This addendum should be used in all disaster drills that address a biological incident.* Due to the complexity of assessing the level of exposure for different biological incidents, an expert in the field should be involved when planning and assessing the drill. This form is designed to assess the following:

- Awareness that a biological agent was the cause of illness
- Whether appropriate expert monitoring personnel were contacted
- Whether health and safety needs of staff and victims were met
- Availability of special medications and supplies

The **Radiological Incident Addendum** is designed to gather additional information in drills that address the response to a radiation-related incident. *This addendum should be used in all disaster drills that address radiation exposure*. Due to the complexity of assessing the level of exposure for different radiation incidents, an expert in the field should be involved when planning and assessing the drill. This form is designed to assess the following:

- Awareness that radiation exposure was the cause of illness
- Whether appropriate expert monitoring personnel were contacted
- Whether health and safety needs of staff and victims were met
- Availability of special supplies

Observer Training

Training sessions for observers should occur before the drill takes place. Observers need specific zone assignments. Observers will be documenting complex tasks, and need familiarity with the content of the evaluation modules and addendums, as well as the zone configuration and equipment, in order to document correctly. This need not be time consuming; however, it is essential for first time observers/evaluators. The following points need to be made:

• All observations made during the drill are confidential.

- All observers should be familiar with the content of the forms, and the points to describe in the comment sections.
- Observers should be positioned so they are able to see drill activities, but do not obstruct flow.
- Observers may ask questions of drill participants to clarify the actions they have taken or
 to clarify observations and discussions when necessary in an unobtrusive manner;
 however, they must refrain from asking leading questions that may alter the actions of
 participants.
- Observers *must not* participate in drill activities. If asked a question by a drill participant about a drill issue, they should state that they are evaluating and are unable to answer the question.
- Each question on each module should have a response. The response NA should be indicated only when the question does not apply.

Role of the Evaluation Coordinator

Responsibilities of the Evaluation Coordinator at the hospital include the following:

- Recruiting and selecting observers
- Training and zone assignment for observers
- Interacting with the coordinators of a regional drill when the drill involves more than one hospital
- Assuring that all participants know the code word needed to stop the drill in case of a real emergency
- Acting as a point of contact for observers during the drill
- Collecting information from the post-drill debriefing session
- Monitoring performance of the observers in the various zones during the drill and rotating in new observers as appropriate
- Collecting forms at the end of the drill and reviewing the forms briefly with the observers to assure completeness and legibility

Note that additional specific details regarding planning and operationalizing the evaluation of hospital disaster drills can be found in *Evaluation of Hospital Disaster Drills: A Module-Based Approach*.¹

Overall Value of the Abridged Modules

The abridged modules are based upon the original complete set of modules; however, critical points have been abstracted to simplify the process of hospital disaster evaluation. Thirty-five percent of the questions in the original modules were retained, although there is variation in the number of retained questions among the modules (33% of the Pre-drill Module questions, 22% of the Incident Command Module questions, 25% of the Decontamination Module questions, 40% of the Triage Module questions, 43% of the Treatment Module questions, 36% of the Biological Incident Addendum questions, and 64% of the Radiological Incident Addendum questions). In addition, all zone modules contain summary questions for each area of evaluation that provide an overall assessment of drill activities in that area. These abridged modules can be used both for real-time evaluation in actual disaster drills as well as for formative evaluation

from drill to drill. In addition, they allow for capture of the most critical data that should be collected during the evaluation of any hospital-based drill.

Table 1. Recommended use of evaluation modules and addendums^a

	Modules			Addendums		
Type of Drill	Incident Triage Zone Treatment Zone Decontamination Zone		Biological Incident	Radiologic al Incident		
Fire ^b						
Incendiary device/explosive ^b						
Natural disaster (e.g., earthquake) ^b						
Structural collapse ^b						
Transportation accident ^b						
Internal hospital system failure ^b						
Biological						
Chemical						
Radiation						

module/addendum needed
module/addendum not needed

^b Assuming no hazardous materials

References

- 1. Cosgrove SE, Jenckes MW, Kohri K, Hsu EB, Green G, Feuerstein CJ, Catlett CL, Robinson KA, Bass EB. Evaluation of hospital disaster drills: a module-based approach. Prepared by Johns Hopkins University Evidence-based Practice Center under Contract No. 290-02-0018. AHRQ Publication No. 04-0032. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. April 2004.
- 2. Hasson F, Keeney S, McKenna H. Research guidelines for the Delphi survey technique. J Adv Nurs 2000; 32(4): 1008-15.

Modules and Addenda

Hospital Disaster Drill Evaluation **Pre-drill Module**

Note: *Circle* or *check* (✔) as indicated. NA=Not applicable

1. Background Information

1.a	Name of person completing module:	
	Title:	Office phone:
	Hospital:	Cell phone:
	Room number:	E-mail:
	Street address:	FAX:
	City and state:	Pager:
	Best method of contact during the drill.	(Check one.)
	○ Cell phone ○ E-mail	\circ FAX \circ Office phone \circ Pager
1.b	What will the disaster scenario include?	(Check all that apply.)
	□ Biological agent	□ Chemical agent
	□ Fire	□ Incendiary device/explosive
	□ Natural disaster (e.g., earthquake)	□ Radiological agent
	□ Structural collapse	☐ Transportation accident
	□ Internal hospital system failure (speci	ify):
	□ Other (specify):	
1.c	Will the drill include decontamination?	Y/N/U
. Level	and Scope of the Hospital Drill Act	ivity
2.a	What type of disaster drill is your hospi	ital performing? (Check one.)
	□ Operationalized drill	
	□ Tabletop Exercise	
	□ Computer Simulation	
	□ Other (specify):	

	What is your main overall goal for the disaster drill? (Please limit to one sentence and include vious after-action items as appropriate.)
2.c	What are the specific objectives for the disaster drill? (Please limit to one sentence each.)
	a.
	b.
	c.
	d.

3. Drill Activity

· ·				
How will the notification to in	nitiate the drill occur? (Check	all that apply.)		
□ By another hospital □ By first victim arrival				
☐ By health department ☐ I	partment \square By government agency (e.g., federal or state emergency agency)			
□ EMS dispatch center				
□ Other (specify):				
□ Administration	□ Central supply	□ EMS/patient transport service		
☐ Engineering and physical plant	□ Infection control	□ Intensive care unit		
□ Laboratory	□ Medical staff	□ Nursing		
□ Occupational health	□ Pharmacy	□ Public affairs		
□ Radiation safety	□ Safety	□ Security		
□ Social work	☐ Emergency department	☐ Medicine department		
□ Pediatrics department	☐ Psychiatry department	□ Radiology department		
□ Surgery department	□ Hospital-wide			
□ Other (specify):				
□ Other (specify):				
	□ By another hospital □ By health department □ Other (specify): Which hospital personnel (no groups will actively participate □ Administration □ Engineering and physical plant □ Laboratory □ Occupational health □ Radiation safety □ Social work □ Pediatrics department □ Surgery department □ Other (specify):	□ By health department □ By government agency (e.g., ference □ Other (specify): □ Which hospital personnel (not including victims or observer groups will actively participate in the drill activities? (Check □ Administration □ Central supply □ Engineering and physical □ Infection control plant □ Laboratory □ Medical staff □ Pharmacy □ Radiation safety □ Safety □ Social work □ Emergency department □ Pediatrics department □ Psychiatry department		

3.c	What levels of activity will be included in the drill? (Check all that apply.)				
	☐ Materials and supplies received				
	□ Triage of victims				
	☐ Simulated clinical proce	edures performed			
	□ Victim decontamination	n			
	□ Victim transport in the	emergency department only			
	□ Victim transport throug	hout hospital			
	□ Other (specify):				
3.d	What other organizations	agencies will be involved in the drill? (Check all that apply.)		
	□ Ambulance system	☐ Hospital/health systems(s) (specify)):		
	□ Fire	□ City/local agency(ies) (specify):			
	□ Media	□ State agency(ies) (specify):			
	□ Police	□ Federal agency(ies) (specify):			
		□ Military (specify):			
		□ Other (specify):			
4. Incider	nt Command	-			
4.a	Will there be an incident command center? (Check one.)				
	□ Yes If yes, where is its location?				
	□ No				
5. Comm	unications				
5.a	What methods will perso apply.)	onnel use to communicate during the dril	11? (Check all that		
	□ 2-way radio/phone(s)	□ E-mail/Internet/network	□ Emergency radio		
	□ FAX machine(s)	□ Intercom	\Box Landline phone(s)		
	\square Megaphone(s)	□ Numeric paging	□ Overhead paging		
	\Box PDA(s)	□ Runner(s)	\Box Satellite phone(s)		
	□ Text paging	\square Wireless/cell phone(s)	□ Ham radio		
	□ Other (specify):				

6. Evaluation

6.a	Which aspects do you plan to evaluate during the disaster drill? (Check all that apply.)			
	□ Decontamination □ Incident command			
	□ Treatment	□ Triage		
	□ Biological illness exposure	□ Chemical exposure		
	☐ Communication and information flow	☐ Equipment and supplies		
	☐ Facility engineering	□ Patient documentation and tracking		
	□ Patient flow	□ Personal protective equipment (PPE) use		
	□ Radiation exposure	□ Rotation of staff		
	□ Security	□ Staffing		
	□ Surge capacity	☐ Time points		
	□ Zone disruption	☐ Zone operations		
	□ Other (specify):			
6.b	Name of lead person, if different than 1.a,	, planning to conduct the debriefing session:		
	Title:	Office phone:		
	Hospital:	Cell phone:		
	Room number:	E-mail:		
	Street address:	FAX:		
	City and state:	Pager:		
	Best method of contact during the drill. (0	Check one.)		
	□ Cell phone □ E-mail	□ FAX □ Office phone □ Pager		

END OF PRE-DRILL MODULE

Hospital Disaster Drill Evaluation Incident Command Center Zone Module

Note: Circle or check (✔) as indicated. Y=Yes; N=No; U=Unclear; NA=Not applicable

Observer:		I	Date:/	
Observer title:				
Hospital:				
Period of time of evaluation:	AM/PM (Ci	ircle one.) to	AM/PM (Circle	one.)
1. Time Points				
◆ 1a. Did the drill start on t	ime?			Y/N/U
Comments:				
1b. Time the drill began: (Circle	e one.)		AM / PM /	U
1c. Time the hospital disaster pl (Circle one.)	an was initiated in	this zone:	AM / PM /	U / Not initiated
2. Personnel				
◆ 2a. Was an incident com n	nand system est	ablished?		Y/N/U
Comments:				
2b. How many minutes after assume command of the zone?		in this zone comm	nenced did the incid	lent commander
□ <10 min	□ 10 - £	29 min	□ 30 - 59 mi	in
□ 1 - 2 hrs	□ >2 h	rs	□○ NA	
□ No one took charge	ર.			
2c. Were the following drill p	participants identif	fiable?		
a. Incident Commander	Y/N/U/NA	b. Incident Com	mand Personnel	Y/N/U/NA
c. Drill Evaluators	Y/N/U/NA	d. Drill Organiz	ers	Y/N/U/NA
e. Security	Y/N/U/NA			

Was someone fulfilling the functions of the following roles (within the incident command center or elsewhere) or reporting to the incident command center?

→ If no one fulfilled a specified function, circle "N" in column "A" and go to the next row.

	A. Function filled	B. Comments
2d. Incident Commander	Y/N/U/NA	
2e. Logistics Chief (oversees facilities, communications, patient transportation, and supplies)	Y/N/U/NA	
2f. Planning Chief (oversees staffing)	Y/N/U/NA	
2g. Operations Chief (oversees patient care, ancillary services, and staff support)	Y/N/U/NA	
2h. Other (specify):	Y/N/U/NA	

3. Zone Operations

3. Zone Operations		
◆ 3a. Did the incident comman	d center function effici	ently? Y/N/U
Comments:		
3b. Was the hospital disaster plan	n followed?	Y / N / U / Partially / No plan
3c. If not followed, what were th	e reason(s)? (Check all the	at apply.)
a. □ Not available	b. □ Too complex	
c. □ Not relevant to drill d. □ Participants unfamiliar with plan		iliar with plan
e. □ Too hard to access	f. □ Other (specify):	
3d. If the hospital disaster plan w	as available, what was its	format? (Check all that apply.)
a. □ Complete manual	b. □ Flow diagram	c. □ Job action sheets
d. □ No disaster plan	e. □ Other (specify):	

4. Communications

◆ 4a. Were communications effective? Y/N/U

Comments:				
Were the following communications devices used in the drill for internal or external communications? (Check all that apply.)				
	A. Internal	B. External	C. Comments (Note strengths and weaknesses.)	
4b. 2-way radio/phone(s)				
4c. Landline phone(s)				
4d. Wireless/cell phone(s)				
4e. Personal data assistant(s) (PDA)				
4f. Numeric paging				
4g. Overhead paging				
4h. Text paging				
4i. E-mail/Internet access/network				
4j. FAX machine(s)				
4k. Intercom				
4l. Megaphone(s)				
4m. Runner(s)				
4n. Satellite phone(s)				
4o. HAM Radio				
4p. Emergency radio				
4q. How was incoming information to the	e zone recoi	rded? (Chec	k all that apply.)	
a. □ Computer (or other electronic devi	ice) b. □	Notepaper		
c. □ Posted paper	d. □	White board	d/chalk board	
e 🗆 Not recorded	fП	Other (speci	ify):	

5. Information Flow

◆ 5a. Was necessary information received? Y / N / U
Comments:
51. Did the incident command containing time by underesting the total number of sympoted
5b. Did the incident command center receive timely updates regarding the total number of expected victims? $Y / N / U$
5c. Were problems created by delays in receiving information? Y / N / U
→ If problems were created by delays in information, specify in comment box at end of this module.
6. Security
◆ 6a. Were entrances and exits strictly controlled in this area? Y / N / U
Comments:
7. Rotation of Staff
▼ 7a. Were incoming staff updated? Y / N / U
Comments: (If comment refers to a specific item, give the item number):

END OF INCIDENT COMMAND CENTER ZONE MODULE

Hospital Disaster Drill Evaluation

Decontamination Zone Module

Note: *Circle* or *check* (✓) as indicated. Y=Yes; N=No; U=Unclear; NA=Not applicable

Observer:	<u>.</u>	Date:/	/
Observer title:			
Hospital:			
Period of time of evaluation: Al	M / PM (Circle one.) to _	AM / PM	(Circle one.)
1. Time Points:			
◆ 1a. Did the drill start on time?	Y/N/U		
Comments:			
1b. Time the drill began: (Circle one	.) AM / PM / U		
1c. Time this zone was ready to accept	pt victims: (Circle one.)	AM / PM	I/U
2. Zone Description:			
☞ 2a. Were the zone boundaries clo	early defined? Y/N	/ U	
Comments:			
2b. How was the boundary for this zo	ne defined? (Check all tl	hat apply.)	
a. □ Barricade	(s) b. \Box Sign(s)	c.	□ Tape
$d. \square Wall(s)$	e. □ No bour	ndary f.	□ Other (specify):
3. Personnel:			
◆ 3a. Did someone assume comma	nd of this zone? $\overline{Y/}$	N / U	
Comments:			
3b. How many minutes after the drill a command of the zone? (Check one.)	activities in this zone con	nmenced did son	neone assume
□ <10 min	□ 10 - 29 min	□ 30 -	59 min
□ 1 - 2 hrs	□ >2 hrs	□ NA	
\square No one took charge.			
			•

4. Zone Operations:

☞ 4a. Did the decontamination area operation	ns function efficiently? Y/N/U
Comments:	
4b. Was the decontamination zone set up prior to	parrival of first victim? Y/N/II
4c. Were there problems with decontamination of	
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ No non-ambulato	·
	•
4d. Were victims' clothing and personal belongir	ngs removed during decontamination? Y / N / U
4e. Were victims' clothing and personal belonging	ngs marked as hazardous and secured? Y/N/U
4f. Mechanism of decontamination? (Check all t	that apply and estimate the number.)
a. □ EMS or fire department vehicles with ho	ses (number:)
b. □ Permanent overhead showers/sprinklers	(number:)
c. □ Temporary decontamination tent(s) (nun	nber:)
d. □ Indoor decontamination facilities (numb	er:)
e. \square Other (specify):	
4g. Was medical oversight of victims maintained	
4h. Did a bottleneck develop in this zone? Y/	N / U
→ If a bottleneck did develop, describe in the co	mment box at the end of this module.
5. Victim Documentation and Tracking:	
☞ 5a. Were all incoming victims registered and g or medical record number? Y/N/U	given a unique identification
Comments:	
5b. When were incoming victims registered and g number? (Check one.)	given a unique identification or medical record
☐ Before entering this zone	□ On entering this zone
□ Not while in this zone	□ Unclear

6. Communications:

◆ 6a. Were communications effective? Y / N / U				
Comments:				
Were the following communication apply.)	ns devices used in	the drill for communication? (Check all that		
	A. Used	B. Comments (Note strengths and weaknesses.)		
6b. 2-way radio/phone(s)				
6c. Intercom				
6d. Megaphone(s)				
6e. Runner(s)				
6f. Cell phones				
6g. Text paging				
6h. FAX machine(s)				
6i. PDAs				
6j. Email/internet/network				
6k. Numeric paging				
6l. Emergency radio				
6m. HAM radio				
6n. Landline phones				
60. Satellite phone(s)				
6p. Overhead paging				
6q. Other (specify):				

7. Information Flow:	
▼ 7a. Was necessary information received?	Y/N/U
Comments:	
7b. Were problems created by delays in recei → If problems were created by delays in information.	ving information? Y/N/U rmation, specify in comment box at end of this module.
7c. When was your zone made aware of the pagent? (Check one.)	otential involvement of a chemical or radiological
☐ Before the first victim arrived	☐ After first victim arrived
□ All victims completed decontamination	□ Never made aware
□ Unsure	
8. Security:	
☞ 8a. Were entrances and exits strictly cont	rolled in this area? Y/N/U
Comments:	
8b. Did any of the following security issues a	rise in this zone? (Check all that apply)
a. □ Crowd control	b. □ Media control
c. □ Unruly victims	d. □ Other (specify):
8c. Were security personnel present in this zo	ne? Y/N/U
9. Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) a	nd Safety:
• 9a. Was an appropriate supply of PPE	·
Comments:	
9b. Was the PPE applied correctly? Y/N	N / U
Comments:	
9c. Was staffing of the decontamination zo	one adequate? Y/N/U
Comments:	

If needed, were these items for standard precautions available for the healthcare workers?				
→ If safety materials were not available, circle "N" in column "A" and go to the next row.				
	A. Available?	B. Used by staff?	C. Adequate Supply?	
9d. Protective suit	Y/N/U	Y / N / U	Y/N/U	
9e. Hoods	Y/N/U	Y / N / U	Y/N/U	
9f. Boots	Y/N/U	Y / N / U	Y/N/U	
9g. Gloves, chemical resistant	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	
9h. Apron	Y/N/U	Y / N / U	Y/N/U	
9i. Masks	Y/N/U	Y / N / U	Y/N/U	
9j. Respirators (e.g., powered air purifying respirator)	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	
9k. Other (specify):	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	
91. Were instructions available regarding	g appropriate donning and	removal of PPE?	Y/N/U	
9m. If available, in what format were th	ey? (Check all that apply	v.)		
a. □ Verbal instructions by staff	b. \square Poster(s)			
c. □ Written instruction(s)	d. □ Video			
e. \square Other (specify):				
9n. Were <i>all</i> workers in the decontamin	ation area dressed in appro	opriate PPE? Y/N	N/U	
90. Was there inadvertent contaminatio	n of staff or victims? Y	/ N / U		
9p. What issues with donning and remo	oving PPE arose in this zon	e? (Check all that a	apply.)	
a. □ Broken seals b. □ Delay in donning PPE c. □ Improper fit				
d. □ Staff not trained to don PPE	e. □ Staff not trained to	remove PPE f. □	None	
g. Other (specify):				
9q. What issues with staffing arose in th	is zone? (Check all that a	pply.)		

a. □ Could not communicate with each other	b. □ Fatigue c. □ Over-heating/dehydration
d. □ Inadequate numbers to allow work cycles	e. □ Other (specify):
9r. How were victims screened for appropriate dec zone? (Check all that apply.)	contamination prior to leaving the decontamination
a. □ Screening device (e.g., radiation or themical detector)	b. □ Physical examination c. □ Not screened
10. Zone Disruption:	
■ 10a. Did the zone function as planned? Y/N	/U
Comments:	
10b. Was there a plan in place to relocate this zone	e if necessary? Y/N/U
Comments (If comment refers to a specific item, give the	e item number.):

END OF DECONTAMINATION ZONE MODULE

Hospital Disaster Drill Evaluation Triage Zone Module Note: Circle or check (✔) as indicated. Y=Yes; N=No; U=Unclear; NA=Not applicable

Observer:		Date:/		
Observer title:				
Hospital:		□ 1° triage □ 2° triage □ NA		
Period of time of evaluation:AM / PM (Circle one.) toAM / PM (Circle one.)				
1. Time Points				
☞ 1a. Did the drill start or	time? Y/N/U			
Comments:				
1b. Time the drill began: (Circle one.)AM / PM	1/U		
1c. Time this zone was rea	dy to accept victims: (Circl	e one.) AM / PM / U		
2. Zone Description:				
☞ 2a. Were the zone boun	daries clearly defined?	Y/N/U		
Comments:				
2b. How was the boundary	for this zone defined? (Che	ck all that apply.)		
a. □ Barricade(s)	b. \square Sign(s)	c. □ Tape		
$d. \square Wall(s)$	e. □ No boundary	No boundary f. □ Other (specify):		
3. Personnel				
☞ 3a. Did someone assum	e command of this zone	? Y/N/U		
Comments:				
☞ 3b. Was staffing for the	triage zone adequate?	Y / N / U		
Comments:				
3c. How many minutes after command of the zone? (Chec		zone commenced did someone assume		
□ <10 min	□ 10 - 29 min	□ 30 - 59 min		
□ 1 - 2 hrs	□ >2 hrs	□ NA		
□ No one took char	ge			

3d. Were the following drill participants identifiable?			
a. Person in charge	Y/N/U/NA	b. Drill evaluators	Y/N/U/NA
c. Drill organizers	Y/N/U/NA	d. Media	Y/N/U/NA
e. Medical personnel	Y/N/U/NA	f. Mock victims	Y/N/U/NA
g. Observers	Y/N/U/NA	h. Security	Y/N/U/NA

4. Zone Operations

◆ 4a. Did the triage area function efficiently? Y / N / U			
Comments:			
4b. Was the hospital disaster pla	an followed? Y/N/U/Pa	artially / No plan	
4c. If not followed, what were t	he reason(s)? (Check all tha	t apply.)	
a. □ Not available	b. □ Too complex		
c. □ Not relevant to drill	d. □ Participants unfami	liar with plan	
e. □ Too hard to access	f. \square Other (specify):		
4d. If the hospital disaster plan	was available, what was its fo	ormat? (Check all that apply.)	
a. □ Complete manual	b. □ Flow diagram	c. \square Job action sheets	
d. □ No disaster plan	e. □ Other (specify):		
4e. Was the space allocated for	the zone adequate? Y/N/	' U	
4f. If victims were screened for biological, chemical, or radiological exposure, how were they screened? (Check all that apply.)			
a. □ Personal interview	b. □ Physical examination	c. □ Screening device (e.g., radiation or chemical detector)	
d. □ Not screened	e. □ NA	f. \square Other (specify):	
4g. Did a bottleneck develop in this zone? Y/N/U			
→ If a bottleneck did develop, describe in the comment box at the end of this module.			
4h. If triage occurs after decontamination, did any contaminated victims enter this zone? $Y/N/U/NA$			

5. Victim Documentation and Tracking

5. Victim Documentation and Traci	Milig			
◆ 5a. Were all incoming victims registered and given a unique identification or medical record number? Y / N / U				
Comments:				
5b. When were incoming victims reg number? (Check one.)	istered and given a uniqu	ue identification or medical record		
☐ Before entering this zone	□ On €	entering this zone		
□ Not while in this zone	□ Unc	lear		
5c. What was the method of documer	nting the victim record ir	this zone? (Check all that apply.)		
a. □ Computer entry	b. □ Data card(s) attac	ched to victims		
c. □ Scanner	d. □ Separate victim p	paper chart		
e. □ No documentation	f. □ Other (specify): _			
5d. Were clearly visible triage levels the triage area? Y/N/U/NA	identified for each patien	nt prior to leaving		
5e. Was information about victims' p caregivers? Y/N/U/NA	rior field interventions a	ccessible to		
6. Communications				
◆ 6a. Were communications effect	ive? Y/N/U			
Comments:				
Were the following communications dev	vices used in the drill? (Check all that apply.)		
	A. Used	B. Comments (Note strengths and weaknesses.)		
6b. 2-way radio/phone(s)				
6c. Landline phone(s)				
6d. Cell phone(s)				
6e. Personal data assistant(s) (PDA)				
6f. Numeric paging				
6g. Overhead paging				
бh. Text paging				
6i. E-mail/Internet/network				

6k. Intercom			
6l. Megaphone(s)			
6m. Runner(s)			
6n. Satellite phone(s)			
60. Emergency radio			
6p. HAM radio			
6q. Other (specify):			
7. Information Flow			
◆ 7a. Was necessary information received?	Y / N / U		
Comments:			
7b. Did your zone receive updates regarding the events, number of victims arriving, acuity of victions arriving.			
7c. How was this zone kept aware of the ongoi that apply.)	ng general situ	uation within the hospital? (Check all	
a. □ Call(s) from incident command	b. □ FAX f	From incident command	
c. □ Other contact from incident command	c. \square Other contact from incident command d. \square Runner(s) from incident command		
e. □ Contact from other internal sources (spec	cify):		

6j. FAX machine(s)

d. \square Video

8. Security				
◆ 8a. Were entrances and exits strictly	controlled in th	nis area? Y	/ N / U	
Comments:				
8b. Did any of the following security is	sues arise in this	zone? (Chec	ck all that ap	ply)
a. □ Crowd control b. □ Media	control c.	□ Unruly vict	tims d. □	Other (specify):
	4. 0 17.	NI / II		
8c. Were security personnel present in a				
9. Personal Protective Equipment (Pl 9a. Was an appropriate supply of PP		<u>Y / N / U</u>		
Comments:	L avanabic.	1/11/0		
	Y / N / U			
Comments:	171070			
If needed, were these items for standard p	recautions avail	able for the h	ealthcare wor	kers?
→ If safety materials were not avai				
	A. Available?	B. Used by staff?	C. Adequate Supply?	D. Problems with use? (e.g., donning)
9c. Face shields/Masks	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U
9d. Waterproof gowns	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U
9e. Isolation gowns	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U
9f. Gloves	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U
9g. Passive (negative pressure) filtration (e.g., N95 or N99 masks)	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U
9h. Respirators (e.g., powered Air purifying respirator)	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U
9i. Other (specify):	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U
9j. Were instructions available regarding	g appropriate do	nning and re	noval of PPE	? Y/N/U
9k. If available, in what format were the	ey? (Check all tl	hat apply.)		
a. \square Verbal instructions by staff	b. □ Poster(s)		c. Written	instruction(s)

Triage Zone Module 5

e. □ Other (specify): _

10. Equipment and Supplies

► 10a. Were there appropriate quantities of	of medical supplies?	Y/N/U
Comments:		
Were these medical supplies available?→ If the "A" and go to the next row.	ne medical supplies were no	t available, circle "N" in column
	A. Available	B. Issues
10b. Alcohol-based hand cleaner	Y/N/U/NA	
10c. Bandages	Y/N/U/NA	
10d. Basic airway equipment	Y/N/U/NA	
10e. Blood pressure equipment	Y/N/U/NA	
10f. Oxygen masks	Y/N/U/NA	
10g. Oxygen tanks	Y/N/U/NA	
10h. Stethoscopes	Y/N/U/NA	
10i. Stretchers	Y/N/U/NA	
10j. Suction equipment	Y/N/U/NA	
10k. Vascular access supplies (catheters, fluids, etc)	Y/N/U/NA	
10l. Wheelchairs	Y/N/U/NA	
10m. Other (specify):	Y/N/U/NA	

	Comments (If referring to a specific item, give the item number.)
I	
I	

END OF TRIAGE ZONE MODULE

Hospital Disaster Drill Evaluation

Treatment Zone Module

Instructions: This form can be used in the Emergency Department, and in medical and surgical care areas. **Note:** *Circle* or *check* (✓) as indicated. Y=Yes; N=No; U=Unclear; NA=Not applicable

Observer: Date://
Observer title:
Hospital:
Period of time of evaluation: AM / PM (Circle one.) to AM / PM (Circle one.)
1. Time Points
◆ 1a. Did the drill start on time? Y/N/U
Comments:
1b. Time the drill began: (Circle one.)AM / PM / U
1c. Time this zone was ready to accept victims: (Circle one.)AM / PM / U
2. Zone Description:
◆ 2a. Were the zone boundaries clearly defined? Y/N/U
Comments:
2b. What type of unit is this zone during regular hospital functioning? (Check all that apply)
a. □ Emergency Department (ED) b. □ Intensive Care (ICU)
c. □ Medical Inpatient d. □ Medical Outpatient
e. □ Surgical Inpatient f. □ Surgical Outpatient
g. \square Other (specify):
2c. Were actual patients treated in the drill treatment area (along with mock victims)? Y/N/U
3. Personnel
◆ 3a. Did someone assume command of this zone? Y/N/U
Comments:
3b. Was staffing of the treatment zone adequate? Y/N/U
Comments:

3c. How many minutes after the drill activities in this zone commenced did someone assume command of the zone? (Check one.)					
□ <10 min	□ 10 - 29 m	in □ 30	0 - 59 min		
□ 1 - 2 hrs	□ >2 hrs		A		
\square No one took charge.					
3d. Were the following drill partic	cipants identifiable?				
a. Drill evaluators	Y/N/U/NA	b. Drill organizers	Y / N / U / NA		
c. Media	Y/N/U/NA	d. Medical personnel	Y / N / U / NA		
e. Mock victims	Y/N/U/NA	f. Observers	Y / N / U / NA		
g. Security	Y/N/U/NA				

4. Zone Operations

◆ 4a. Did the treatment area functi	on efficiently? Y/N/U
Comments:	
4b. Was the hospital disaster plan foll	owed? Y / N / U / Partially / No plan
4c. If not followed, what were the rea	son(s)? (Check all that apply.)
a. □ Not available	b. □ Too complex
c. □ Not relevant to drill	d. □ Participants unfamiliar with plan
e. □ Too hard to access	f. \square Other (specify):
4d. If the hospital disaster plan was av	ailable, what was its format? (Check all that apply.)
a. □ Complete manual	b. □ Flow diagram c. □ Job action sheets
d. □ No disaster plan	e. \square Other (specify):
4e. Was the space allocated for the zo.	ne adequate? Y / N / U
→ If space allocated was not adequate	te, specify in comment box at end of this module.

4f. Did a bottleneck develop in this zone? Y/N/U				
→ If a bottleneck did develop, describe in t	he comment box at the end of this module.			
4g. How were victims managed who w	ere NOT previously triaged? (Check one.)			
☐ Sent back to triage zone	☐ Sent to another area (specify):			
☐ Triaged in this zone	☐ Treated without being triaged			
4h. Did all victims have disposition dec	cisions made at drill termination? Y/N/U/NA			
4i. Did any contaminated victims enter	this zone? Y/N/U/NA			
5. Victim Documentation and Tracki	ng			
5a. Were all incoming victims regist or medical record number? Y /	ered and given a unique identification N/U			
Comments:				
5b. When were all incoming victims registered and given a unique identification or medical record number? (Check one.)				
□ Before entering this zone	□ On entering this zone			
□ Not while in this zone	□ Unclear			
5c. What was the method of documenti	ng the victim record in this zone? (Check all that apply)			
a. □ Computer entry	b. □ Data card(s) attached to victims			
c. □ Dictation system	d. □ Personal data assistant (PDA)			
e. □ Scanner	f. □ Separate victim paper chart			
g. □ No documentation	h. □ Expedited registration			
i. □ Other (specify):				
5d. Were triage designations for each pattreatment area? Y/N/U/NA	atient clearly visible upon entry into the			
5e. Was information about victims' prio caregivers? Y/N/U/NA	or field interventions accessible to			

6. Communications

◆ 6a. Were communications effective? Y	/ N / U	
Comments:		
Were the following communications devices used	l in the drill?	(Check all that apply.)
	A. Used	B. Comments (Note strengths and weaknesses.)
6b. 2-way radio/phone(s)		
6c. Landline phone(s)		
6d. Cell phone(s)		
6e. PDAs		
6f. Numeric paging		
6g. Overhead paging		
6h. Text paging		
6i. E-mail/Internet/network		
6j. FAX machine(s)		
6k. Intercom		
6l. Megaphone(s)		
6m. Runner(s)		
6n. Emergency Radio		
60. HAM radio		
6p. Satellite phones		
6q. Other (specify):		

7. Information Flow

c. □ Unruly victims

8c. Were security personnel present in this zone?

▼ 7a. Was necessary information received? Y/N/U	
Comments:	
7b. Did your zone receive updates regarding the situation of disaster events, number of victims arriving, acuity of victims)	
7c. How was this zone kept aware of the ongoing general s that apply.)	ituation within the hospital? (Check all
a. □ Call(s) from incident command	b. \square FAX from incident command
c. □ Other contact from incident command	d. □ Runner(s) from incident command
e. □ Contact from other internal sources (specify):	
7d. Were problems created by delays in receiving informat	ion? Y/N/U
→ If problems were created by delays in information, spe	cify in comment box at end of this module.
8. Security	
☞ 8a. Were entrances and exits strictly controlled in this a	area? Y/N/U
Comments:	
8b. Did any of the following security issues arise in this zon	ne? (Check all that apply.)
a. □ Crowd control b. □ M	Media control

d. □ Other (specify): _

 $Y \mathbin{/} N \mathbin{/} U$

9. Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) and Safety

◆ 9a. Was an appropriate supply of PPE available? Y / N / U				
Comments:				
☞ 9b. Was the PPE applied co.	orrectly? Y/N	/ 1 1		
	Hectiy: 1/19	70		
Comments:				
If needed, were these items for s	standard precautio	ons available for the	e healthcare v	workers?
→ If safety materials were	e not available, ci	ircle "N" in colum	n "A" and go	to the next row.
	A. Available?	B. Used by staff?	C. Adequate Supply?	D. Problems with use? (e.g. donning)
9c. Face shields/masks	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U
9d. Waterproof gowns	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U
9e. Isolation gowns	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U
9f. Gloves	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U
9g. Passive (negative pressure) filtration (e.g., N95 or N99 masks)	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U
9h. Respirators (e.g., powered air purifying respirator)	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U
9i. Other (specify):	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U	Y/N/U
9j. Were instructions available	regarding appror	priate donning and	removal of P	PPE? Y/N/U
9k. If available, in what forma	t were they? (Che	eck all that apply.	.)	
a. □ Verbal instructions by	staff b. □ Pos	ster(s) c. \Box	□ Written inst	ruction(s)
d. □ Video e. □ Other (specify):				

10. Equipment and Supplies

◆ 10a. Were there appropriate quantities of medical	supplies? Y/N/	U
Comments:		
10b. Were medications needed for treatment of victim	ns available in the ho	ospital? Y/N/U/NA
Were needed medical supplies available?		
→ If medical supplies were not available, circle "N	" in column "A" and	go to the next row.
	A. Available	B. Issues
10c. Alcohol-based hand sanitizer	Y/N/U/NA	
10d. Bandages	Y/N/U/NA	
10e. Basic airway equipment	Y/N/U/NA	
10f. Blood drawing supplies	Y/N/U/NA	
10g. Blood pressure equipment	Y/N/U/NA	
10h. Burn packs	Y/N/U/NA	
10i. Cleaning supplies for contaminated equipment	Y/N/U/NA	
10j. Crash carts	Y/N/U/NA	
10k. Intravenous fluids	Y/N/U/NA	
101. Intubation equipment	Y/N/U/NA	
10m. Medications	Y/N/U/NA	
10n. Monitors	Y/N/U/NA	
10o. Oxygen masks	Y/N/U/NA	
10p. Oxygen tanks	Y/N/U/NA	
10q. Splints	Y/N/U/NA	
10r. Stethoscopes	Y/N/U/NA	
10s. Stretchers	Y/N/U/NA	
10t. Suction equipment	Y/N/U/NA	
10u. Surgical masks	Y/N/U/NA	

10v. Vascular access supplies (catheters, fluids, etc.)	Y/N/U/NA	
10w. Ventilators	Y/N/U/NA	
10x. Wheelchairs	Y/N/U/NA	
10y. Other (specify):	Y/N/U/NA	

Comments (If comment refers to a specific item, give the item number.):				

END OF TREATMENT ZONE MODULE

Hospital Disaster Drill Evaluation **Biological Incident Addendum**

Instructions: Attach to Incident Command, Triage, and Treatment Zone Modules for biological drills. **Notes:** *Circle* or *check* (✔) as indicated. Y=Yes; N=No; U=Unclear; NA=Not applicable

Observer:		Date:	/	
Observer title:		Zone:		
Hospital:				
Period of time of evaluation:	AM / PM	(Circle one.) to _	AM / PM (C	ircle one)
1. Time Points				
1a. Time that the zone became illness caused by a biological a			•	AM / PM / U
2. Information Flow				
Were the following informed → If not in	•	l agent may be invo N" in column "A" an		e.
A. Informed		B. Time Notified		
2a. Incident commander	Y/N/U	○ <30 min	○ 30-59 min	○ 1 - 2 hrs
		○ >2 hrs	O Unclear	o NA
2b. Hospital	Y/N/U	○ <30 min	○ 30-59 min	○ 1 - 2 hrs
epidemiologist or designee		○ >2 hrs	O Unclear	○ NA
2c. Local and/or state	Y/N/U	○ <30 min	○ 30-59 min	○ 1 - 2 hrs
health department		○ >2 hrs	O Unclear	○ NA
2d. Occupational health	Y/N/U	○ <30 min	○ 30-59 min	01 - 2 hrs
		○ >2 hrs	O Unclear	○ NA
2e. Was a "chain of custody" i	mplemented? ^a	Y/N/U		
^a Chain of custody is defined a time, location, and when, how successively responsible for cu	, and by whom o	acquired. It includ	es signatures of al	l persons

If using BIOLOGICAL INCIDENT ADDENDUM in the INCIDENT COMMAND ZONE, STOP HERE. Do not complete the rest of module.

•	▼ 7° . 4°	D'	
J.	Victim	Diagn	OSIS

8. Victim Diagnosis				
3a. Was the suspected illness caused by victims in this zone? Y/N/U	a biological agent known prior to	the arrival of affected		
3b. If the cause of the illness was not kn victim arrived was the cause of the il		ong after the first		
□ <1 hr	□ 1 - 4 hrs □ 5 - 8 hrs			
□ >8 hrs	□ Never identified □ NA			
3c. What was the cause of the illness? (Check all that apply.)			
a. □ Anthrax	b. □ Botulinum toxin			
c. □ Plague	d. □ Influenza			
e. □ Smallpox	f. □ Tularemia			
g. □ Viral hemorrhagic fever	h. □ Unknown			
i. □ Other (specify):				
3d. What resources were used to make the	ne diagnosis(es)? (Check all that	apply.)		
a. □ Consultation with an in-hospita	l expert			
b. □ Consultation with an expert from state/local health department				
c. \square Consultation with the Centers f	or Disease Control and Prevention	n (CDC)		
d. \square History and physical exam by t	he treating health care provider			
e. □ Microbiological data				
f. □ Radiologic data				
g.□ Telemedicine				
h.□ NA				
i. □ Other (specify):				
I. Safety: Isolation Precautions				
4a. Was isolation required for the suspec	ted illness involved? Y/N/U			

Isolation required for smallpox, plague, viral hemorrhagic fever, certain pneumonias or rashes, and other symptoms suggestive of a contagious infection outbreak.

- → If no isolation was required, skip to the Screening and Prophylaxis section.
- 4b. Were there delays in placing victims in isolation rooms?
- → If there were delays, specify in comment box at the end of this module.

4c. Were there enough isolation rooms? Y / N / U
4d. If insufficient isolation rooms, how were victims isolated? (Check all that apply.)
a. □ Conversion of other rooms/area (specify):
b. □ Existing isolation room in other area (specify):
c. □ Overflow victims not isolated
d. □ Victims with the same suspected illness caused by a biological agent placed in the same isolation room
e. □ NA
f. \square Other (specify):
4e. Were there signs on victims' doors that described the type of isolation required? $Y / N / U$
4f. Were any breaches in isolation precautions identified? Y / N / U
→ If there were any breaches in the isolation precautions, specify in comment box at end of this module.
4g. Did representatives from infection control arrive in the zone to assess the appropriateness of isolation precautions? $Y / N / U$
5. Safety: Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)
5a. Given the suspected illness caused by a biological agent, was PPE used to protect healthcare workers? $Y/N/U$
Isolation required for smallpox, plague, viral hemorrhagic fever, certain pneumonias or rashes, and other symptoms suggestive of a contagious infectious outbreak.
5b. Did you observe staff without PPE interacting with potentially contagious victims $\ Y/N/U/NA$
6. Screening and Prophylaxis
6a. Were prophylactic medications available for staff? Y / N / U / NA
6b. Were prophylactic and/or treatment medications available for victims? $Y / N / U / NA$
7. Laboratory Specimens
7a. Were guidelines available for packaging and transporting microbiological specimens? $Y / N / U$
Comments (If comment refers to a specific item, give the item number.):

END OF BIOLOGICAL INCIDENT ADDENDUM

Hospital Disaster Drill Evaluation

Radiological Incident Addendum

Instructions: Attach to Incident Command, Decontamination, Triage, and Treatment Zone Modules for radiological drills.

Note: Circle or check (✔) as indicated. Y=Yes; N=No; U=Unclear; NA=Not applicable

Observer:		Date:	/	-
Observer title:		Zone:		
Hospital:				
Period of time of evaluation:	AM / PM	(Circle one) to	o AM / PM (Circle one)
1. Time Points				
1a. Time that this zone became aware that radiological victims were AM / PM / U involved: (Circle one.)				
2. Information Flow				
2a. How did this zone become a	ware that radio	logical victims	were involved? (Ch	eck all that apply.)
a. □ Informed by fire depart	tment b.	□ Informed by	incident command	center
c. □ Onsite alarm	d.	□ Other (specif	fy):	
Were the following informed that	at a radiologica	l agent was inv	olved?	
→ If not info	rmed, circle "N"	in column "A" a	and go to the next lin	ie.
A Informed B. Time Notified				
2b. Incident commander	Y/N/U	□ <30 min	□ 30-59 min	□ 1 - 2 hrs
		□ >2 hrs	□ Unclear	□ NA
2c. Radiation safety officer or	Y / N / U	□ <30 min	□ 30-59 min	□ 1 - 2 hrs
designee		□ >2 hrs	□ Unclear	□ NA
2d. Local and/or state health	Y / N / U	□ <30 min	□ 30-59 min	□ 1 - 2 hrs
department		□ >2 hrs	□ Unclear	□ NA
2e. Hazardous materials	Y/N/U	□ <30 min	□ 30-59 min	□ 1 - 2 hrs
(HAZMAT) official		□ >2 hrs	□ Unclear	□ NA
2f. Occupational health	Y/N/U	□ <30 min	□ 30-59 min	□ 1 - 2 hrs
		□ >2 hrs	□ Unclear	□ NA
2g. Municipal wastewater	Y/N/U	□ <30 min	□ 30-59 min	□ 1 - 2 hrs
treatment officials		□ >2 hrs	□ Unclear	□ NA

3. Materials and Supplies

3a. If prophylaxis for the given agent was indicated, was it available? Y/N/U/NA

3b. Were specialized cleaning supplies available for contaminated equipment? Y/N/U/NA

If using RADIOLOGICAL INCIDENT ADDENDUM in the INCIDENT COMMAND ZONE, STOP HERE. Do not complete the rest of module.

4. Zone Description

4a. Was the radiological decontamination zone separate from the triage zone? Y/N/U

4b. Was the integrity of the boundary between the radiological decontamination zone and the triage zone assessed by the use of radiation detectors? Y/N/U/NA

5. Safety: Precautions

Were the following available	; 	1	1
5a. Dosimeters	Y/N/U	5b. Floor covering	Y/N/U
5c. Radiation signs	Y/N/U	5d. Radiation survey meters	Y/N/U
5e. Striped tape			Y/N/U
5f. Radioactive and mixed waste (Bio/Rad) disposal containers		Y/N/U	
5g. Other (specify):			Y/N/U
5h. Were breaches in precautions observed?		Y/N/U	
→ If breaches in precaut	ions were observed	d, specify in comment box at end o	of this module.
5i. Did the institutional saf	ety officer arrive in	this zone to assess the activity?	Y / N / U / NA

6. Safety: Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)

6a. Was PPE used to protect healthcare workers? Y / N / U

If needed, were these safety materials available for the healthcare workers?

→ If safety materials were not available, circle "N" in column "A" and go to the next row.

* If safety materials we	re not available, en	cie ii iii columiii	una go to the h	<u> </u>
	A. Available?	B. Used by staff?	C. Adequate Supply?	D. Problems with use? (e.g. donning)
6b. Face shields	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA
6c. Passive (negative pressure) filtration (e.g., N95 or N99 masks)	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA
6d. Respirators (e.g., powered air purifying respirator)	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA
6e. Protective suit	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA
6f. Waterproof gowns	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA
6g. Hoods	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA
6h. Boots	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA
6i. Waterproof shoe covers	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA
6j. Gloves, chemical resistant	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA
6k. Double latex gloves	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA
6l. Apron	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA
6m. Surgical caps	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA
6n. Other (specify):	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA	Y/N/U/NA
60. Did you observe staff with	out PPE interactin	g with contaminat	ed victims?	Y/N/U/NA

Comments (if comment refers to a specific item, give the item number):		

END OF RADIOLOGICAL INCIDENT ADDENDUM

Hospital Disaster Drill Evaluation **Group Debriefing Module**

Note: The debriefing session(s) should be recorded by audiotape or scribe. Each item is relevant to every zone involved in the drill. Delete items on decontamination if not relevant to drill. Debriefing participants **should state their zone when responding**.

- 1. Did you feel you were notified of the disaster in a timely fashion?
- 2. Did the incident command center work effectively?
- 3. Did any zone receive incorrect information from the incident command center?
 - 4. If not correct, what specifics do you recall about incorrect information?
- 5. Was the information from the incident command center received by other zones in a timely way?
- 6. Were there problems with information flow within the hospital?
- 7. Were memorandums of understanding (MOUs) with outside agencies (e.g., police) activated?
- 8. Did nurses and physicians respond quickly to the disaster call?
- 9. Was the zone set up when the first mock victim arrived?
- 10. Was security in place before the first mock victim arrived?
- 11. Did people have a good understanding of their roles, as defined in the disaster plan?
- 12. Did the decontamination system work effectively?
- 13. Did you have any problems with the decontamination equipment?
 - 14. Functioning properly?
 - 15. Adequate number of units?
 - 16. Participants used correctly?
- 17. Were there delays in decontamination?
 - 18. If so, what triggered these delays?

- 19. Did the triage system work effectively?
- 20. Were there delays in triage?
 - 21. If so, what triggered these delays?
- 22. Did the treatment system work effectively?
- 23. Were there delays in treatment?
 - 24. If so, what triggered these delays?
- 25. Was personal protective equipment (PPE) used correctly?
- 26. Were you able to function in the PPE?
- 27. Were you rotated adequately when wearing the PPE?
- 28. Was security adequate?
- 29. Was staffing adequate?
- 30. Were supplies adequate?
- 31. Was the equipment adequate?
- 32. If not, what equipment was not adequate (give specifics)?
- 33. Were there problems with transporting patients?
- 34. Were there problems with communication devices (e.g., equipment failure)?
- 35. Did the hospital appear to work well with city and/or regional disaster agencies?
- 36. Were there problems with information flow between the hospital and outside agencies?
 - 37. If yes, which agencies?
- 38. Were there bottlenecks?
- 39. Was workspace adequate?
- 40. Did you feel you could accomplish what you were assigned to do during the drill?

- 41. What did you learn from participating in the drill?
- 42. Overall, what parts of the drill went well?
- 43. What could have been done differently to make the drill run better?

END OF GROUP DEBRIEFING MODULE