

BARITE

By James P. Searls

Domestic survey data and tables were prepared by Jeffrey A. Milanovich, statistical assistant, and the world production table was prepared by Linder Roberts, international data coordinator.

Barite, a name that was derived from the Greek word *barus* (heavy), is the mineralogical name for barium sulfate. In commerce, the mineral is sometimes referred to as barytes. As used in this report, the term primary barite refers to the first marketable product, which includes crude barite (run of mine) and the products of simple beneficiation methods, such as washing, jigging, heavy-media separation, tabling, flotation, and magnetic separation. Most crude barite requires some upgrading to minimum purity or density levels. Barite that is used as an aggregate in "heavy" cement or radiation shielding cement is crushed and screened to sizes ranging from 4 mesh (Tyler) (4.75 millimeter) to 1.5 inches (3.8 centimeters) for the coarse grade. Most barite is ground to a small uniform size before it is used as a filler or extender, an additive to industrial products, or a weighting agent in petroleum-well-drilling mud based on specifications set by the American Petroleum Institute (API) or the former Oil Companies' Materials Association (OCMA).

Barite used for drilling petroleum wells can be black, blue, brown, buff, or gray depending on the ore body. It must be finely ground so that at least 97% of the material, by weight, can pass through a 200-mesh (Tyler) [75- micrometer (μm)] screen, and no more than 30%, by weight, can be less than 6 μm , effective diameter, which is measured using sedimentation techniques. The ground barite also must be dense enough that its specific gravity is 4.2 or greater, soft enough to not damage the bearings of a tricone drill bit, and both chemically inert and containing no more than 250 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) of soluble alkaline salts (American Petroleum Institute, 1993, p. 6-11). A small percentage of iron oxide is allowable.

An additional benefit of barite is noninterference with magnetic measurements taken in the borehole, either during logging-while-drilling or in separate drill-hole logging.

Production

Barite production in 2003 was 468,000 metric tons (t), an 11% increase from that of 2002. Domestic production and sales data for barite were derived from voluntary responses to the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) canvass of U.S. operations and followup telephone calls. All of the 32 known operations responded. Six mines were included in the survey; five were producing and one was idle. Of the producing mines, four were in Nevada, and one was in Georgia; the idle mine was in Tennessee, and two mines, one each in Georgia and Missouri, had been shut down at the end of 2002. There were 26 mills and grinding plants operating at the end of the reporting year. Three grinding plants in Nevada were associated with mines but were surveyed separately from the mines. The five active mines had associated grinding plants. Three mine and associated mill production lines were in Nevada, one mine and associated mill

production line was in Georgia, and the P&S Mine in Nevada supplied a grinding plant in California. Some of the ore from Nevada was sent for grinding to the coast of the Gulf of Mexico. Most ore in Nevada was ground onsite or in California and sold either into the Northwestern United States and southwestern Canadian petroleum drilling markets or to local industrial users.

About 2.1 million metric tons (Mt) or about 94% of barite sales from domestic crushers and grinders sales was for petroleum-well-drilling API specification markets, and about 6% were for industrial end uses (table 3).

There were 16 facilities on the coast of the Gulf of Mexico that produced API-grade barite in 2003. In Louisiana, three grinding plants operated in the Amelia/Morgan City area, one in Houma, two near the Lake Charles/Westlake area, one in New Iberia, and one near New Orleans. In Texas, there were two grinding plants in Brownsville, three in Corpus Christi, one in Galveston, and two in Houston, one of which produced both API and industrial product. These stand-alone grinding plants received mostly relatively low-priced crude barite primarily from China and India for grinding to petroleum-well-drilling specifications.

The industrial-grade barite grinding plants are gathered along the banks of the Mississippi River to receive imported barite by barge and allow shipping the finished product by barge, if possible, or rail or truck, to industrial users in the Midwestern United States. These mills produced filler-and-extender- and chemical-grade barite for industry markets. There was a stand-alone mill in Georgia near the remaining mine and mill operation, two mills in Illinois, one mill in Missouri, and one mill near Dyersburg, TN. The formerly idled mines in Georgia and Missouri were shut down permanently at the end of 2002. After depleting the mine stock, the associated grinding plants began grinding imported crude barite.

A major U.S. barite company was Baker Hughes Inteq., a division of Baker Hughes, Inc. Another major U.S. barite company was Halliburton Energy Services, Inc. Baroid is a product and service line of Halliburton and was the Halliburton's fluid and industrial barite subsidiary. In December 2003, CIMBAR Performance Minerals part of Baroid was sold to United Minerals & Properties, Inc. of Chatsworth, GA, and CIMBAR's Cartersville, GA, and Missouri operations were included in the sale. Halliburton kept the Houston grinding plant for its oil-field grinding requirements. A third major U.S. barite company, formerly known as M-I Drilling Fluids, was a joint venture between Smith International Inc. and Schlumberger Ltd. In 2003, the joint venture changed its name to M-I SWACO to encompass all products and services. Baker Hughes Inteq., Halliburton, and M-I SWACO are world renowned and operate in many countries, mining barite and providing drilling sales and services. These three companies mined barite in Nevada with associated beneficiation plants (mills) and also operated grinding plants in Louisiana and Texas. Excalibar

Minerals Inc. (a division of Newpark Resources, Inc. of Houston) was a major barite importer and grinder in Louisiana and Texas. The company also operated an industrial barite plant in Tennessee. Newpark recently bought an Italian company to extend its presence into the Mediterranean Sea region. There were other, smaller companies near the Gulf of Mexico that received imported barite by ship through Louisiana and Texas ports. Ambar Drilling Fluids LP in Houma, LA, grinds barite for its service unit. U.S. Clay LP of Birmingham, grinds bentonite for itself in Brownsville, TX, and grinds crude barite for the other grinders [tolls] in the plant when the other grinders are short of grinding capacity. The other grinding plant in Brownsville is owned by Minerals y Arcillas S.A. de C.V. and is the Mexican portion of Milwhite Company. After grinding to API specifications, the barite is transferred directly to containers on barges docked in canals, lakes, and rivers near the plants for large-scale deliveries to the offshore drilling platforms. These near-shoreline barite staging locations also are closer to the clusters of onshore areas with significant petroleum production in the Petroleum Administration for Defense (PAD) District 3. The PAD districts were World War II divisions of the oil-producing areas of the United States; these designations continue to be used.

Environment

In offshore drilling, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has limited the content of mercury to 1 mg/kg of barite and that of cadmium to 3 mg/kg of barite (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1997). Although barite contains a heavy metal (barium), it is not a toxic chemical under section 313 of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986 because it is insoluble.

A newspaper report raised an issue of mercury introduced by barite through the activities of offshore drilling rig platforms in the Gulf of Mexico. "Information from the U.S. Department of the Interior's Minerals Management Service (MMS) studies was used to support the conclusion that drilling activities and platform structures were responsible for elevated levels of mercury in commercial fish. Additionally, the report stated that the issue has been 'largely overlooked by regulators.' However, the MMS study referenced was misrepresented, resulting in misleading and incorrect conclusions" (U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service, 2002§¹). In actuality, the mercury found in barite is insoluble mercury sulfate, which is not available for conversion to methylmercury, and the MMS, the EPA, the National Academy of Sciences, State Governments, and industry have conducted laboratory and field studies for more than 20 years to ensure that mercury potentially associated with oil and gas operations have not been harming the environment.

Consumption

Apparent consumption of domestic barite rose by about 8% to about 2.1 Mt in 2003 from about 1.9 Mt in 2002 (table 1). Ground barite sales rose by about 13% to more than 2.2 Mt in 2003 from about 2.0 Mt in 2002 (table 1). For 2003, sales by

crusher and grinder operations in Louisiana was essentially unchanged at 1.1 Mt, while the sales of crushed and ground barite by crusher and grinder operations in Texas increased by more than 20% to 632,000 t from 526,000 t (table 2).

In 2003, sales of domestic and imported industrial barite increased by more than 25% to 129,000 t (table 3). The 25% increase in industrial sales of barite was probably the result of increased automobile sales. Barite-containing materials that are used for sound reduction in engine compartments are gaining market share among automotive manufacturers. Barite also is used in the base coat of automobile finishes for smoothness and corrosion resistance and continues to be used in friction products for automobiles and trucks.

Since 1998, consumption of barite in well drilling in the United States has been driven more by the demand for natural gas than for oil. In the United States, the drilling rig count has been more closely correlated to natural gas price trends through 2003 than to the price trends of oil (Oil and Gas Journal, 2003a, b; 2004 a, b). The percentage of drill rigs in the United States directed towards gas was more than 80% for all of 2003, with the percentage at 84% or more, for 8 nonconsecutive months of 2003, and at yearend, the percentage was 86%. The total count for gas drill rigs was about 718 at the beginning of the year and 956 near yearend (Oil and Gas Journal, 2004b).

The unweighted average price of natural gas in the United States increased in January 2003 to \$5.13 per million British thermal units from \$3.64 per million British thermal units in December 2002, using one price per month and no weighing by the amount of gas sold. The natural gas price reached an annual peak in March of about \$7.00 per million British thermal units. Natural gas prices slumped from about \$5.30 per million British thermal units to about \$4.74 per million British thermal units for the months of August, September, October, and November before rebounding to about \$5.80 per million British thermal units for December. It should be noted that even though the price trend line for the year had a negative slope, the gas directed drill rigs trend line had a positive slope. This would be interpreted as the price for natural gas was higher than a minimum profitable level for the whole year. Oil exploration and development companies were responding relatively quickly to oil price changes, while companies involved in gas exploration and development were ignoring future gas price trends. This could mean that a smaller group of smaller oil companies, who could respond more quickly to oil price changes, are now exploring and developing small oil fields, while the leading companies have largely left North America, other than the Gulf of Mexico. The domestic oil- and gas-directed total was about 850 drill rigs at the beginning of 2003 and finished at about 1,110 total drill rigs. Each month, there was a slight increase, or the rig count remained unchanged, except for a less than 1% dip in September. More drill rigs means greater barite consumption, and the sales for well drilling barite increased by 12% to 2.1 Mt. It would also appear that the crushers and grinders had some stocks left over from the drill rig activity decline going into spring 2002 to be able to sell more barite in 2003 than was imported during 2003.

Foreign Trade

Barite exports during 2003 decreased by 6% to 44,400 t. Canada received 87% of the total, and Mexico received 12%.

¹References that include a section mark (§) are found in the Internet References Cited section.

Another 13 countries received the remaining 1% with less than 85 t each. Imports of crude natural barite [Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS) code 2511.10.5000] came only from China, India, and Norway, along with a trial sample from Chile. About 1.62 Mt was received, up by more than 7% from 2002. Imports for the several forms of barite reported under the HTS nomenclature “Other sulfates of barite” rose by about 5% to 32,800 t. Crude natural barite imports (HTS 2511.10.5000) per half year were 894,000 t for the first half of the year and 725,000 t for the second half of the year. Crude natural barite imports through the New Orleans, LA, port were 1.18 Mt, while crude natural barite imports into Texas through Laredo and Houston-Galveston were 444,000 t.

Prices

The average weighted sales value per ton for primary barite from mines and their associated beneficiation plants in the United States increased to \$29.70 per metric ton, about a 3% increase compared with that of 2002 (table 1). The average weighted sales value for crushed and ground barite for oil well drilling in Louisiana decreased to \$75.93 per ton or by about 1% compared with that of 2002, and the same category in Texas decreased to \$80.18 per ton or by about 5% compared with that of 2002 (table 2). The average weighted sales value of the production of the other States decreased to \$61.49, a 5% decrease compared with that of 2002. Barite for barium chemicals, filler and extender, and glass use increased by about 10% to about \$155 per ton for 2003 (table 3).

According to Industrial Minerals (2003), midyear international barite prices were as follows:

- API, lump, cost, insurance, and freight [U.S.] Gulf Coast, Chinese, \$43 to \$48 per ton; Indian, \$47 to \$49 per ton; Moroccan, \$50 to \$52 per ton.
- Ground, OCMA, bulk, delivered to Aberdeen (United Kingdom), \$82.51 to \$90.75 per ton² (£50 to £55 per ton).
- Micronized, off white minimum 99% less than 20 µm delivered to the United Kingdom, \$231.01 to \$247.52 per ton (£140 to £150 per ton).

World Review

Worldwide consumption of barite outside of North America remained at about the same level as 2002. According to the world drill rig reports, which do not cover most of the Commonwealth of Independent States or China, the world drill rig count increased by about 19.3% to 2,176 rigs (averaged during the 12 months) count, from 1,823 in 2002, an average rig increase of 353 (Oil & Gas Journal, 2003c, 2004c). The growth in the counted drill rigs was mostly in Canada, Latin America, and the United States. The United States annual average drill rig count increased by 190 rigs and Canada’s average drill rig count increased by 108 rigs for a North American average total increase of 298 rigs. Latin America’s drill rig count averaged an increase of 31 drill rigs. Adding Latin America’s count to that of North America, the sum

²Where necessary, values have been converted from British pounds (£) to United States dollars (\$) at a rate of £0.6060=\$1.00 for June 30, 2003.

is an average increase of 329 rigs compared with 2002. The Asia Pacific region had an average rig count increase of more than 6 rigs, the African region’s average rig count decreased by an average of more than 3 rigs, Europe’s average rig count decreased by an average of less than 5 rigs, and the Middle East’s average rig count increased by an average of 10 rigs. The average of this group of four regions, two of which have been called “frontier” regions, is an average rig count increase of about 8 rigs compared with 2002. If Latin America’s average rig count change is not added to North America’s but instead added as a third “frontier” region to Asia Pacific, Africa, Europe, and Middle East, the increase was only 39 rigs.

Outlook

It remains to be seen how much new or expanded reserves/resources of gas and oil will be brought to the market under profitable conditions to the explorers and developers in 2004 following the latest price increases and the ensuing drill rig count increase. Most of the drill rigs in 2003 in North America were directed toward gas and the trend is expected to continue. The price of barite appears to be relatively steady as consumption has slowly risen during the past several years. The price of barite is a rather small part of the total cost of gas and oil exploration and development. Still, the demand for barite in the United States is expected to increase. The John S. Herold snapshot (J.S. Herold, Inc., 2004§) shows that the worldwide reserve and replacement cost³ is also rising in parallel with the U.S. cost, though not to the U.S. level. The higher inconvenience foreign gas shipping cost, lack of infrastructure in frontier regions, and inconvenience should protect domestic gas production and domestic barite usage in the near term. There may be more gas fields in the Gulf of Mexico, or elsewhere within North America, to find and develop. In the short term, barite consumption will stay relatively level since the first reports from J.S. Herold revealed that gas directed drilling in the United States has been profitable as indicated by a “reserve replacement cost” of \$9.26 per barrel of oil equivalent with a “recycle ratio”⁴ of 231.2% (John S. Herold, Inc., 2004§). ExxonMobil Corporation (2004§) forecast the following: “In the coming decades, meeting the expected increase in global energy demand will require a broad portfolio of energy options, and hydrocarbon fuels are expected to remain the dominant energy source through at least the middle of the century.”

³Reserve replacement costs (RRC) are calculated by taking total costs incurred (proved and unproved property acquisition costs, exploration costs, and development costs) during the applicable period as the numerator and of dividing by the total [barrel] oil equivalent (boe) reserve changes associated with extensions and discoveries, revisions in estimates, improved recovery, and purchases of proved reserves as the denominator. This statistic measures the per boe cost of adding reserves from all sources (Herold and Lovegrove, 2004, p. 24).

⁴“The recycle ratio is the netback, which consists of revenues less production expenses, production taxes, and shipping and transportation expenses reported on a boe basis as the numerator and divided by RRC per boe. This statistic measures the cost of adding reserves from all sources in relation to its netback. Just because a company has a low reserve replacement cost, doesn’t necessarily mean the company is adding value to its upstream portfolio. A recycle ratio of 2 or higher is generally associated with upstream value creation [2 = 200%]” (Herold and Lovegrove, 2004, p. 24).

References Cited

- American Petroleum Institute, 1993, Specification for drilling-fluid materials—Specification 13A: Washington, DC, American Petroleum Institute, 47 p.
- Industrial Minerals, 2003, Prices: Industrial Minerals, no. 430, July, p. 78.
- Herold, J.S., and Lovegrove, Harrison, 2004, 2003 Global upstream performance review: Norwalk, CT., John S. Herold Inc., May 27, 26 p.
- Oil & Gas Journal, 2003a, Industry scoreboard: Oil & Gas Journal, [various issues], [variously paginated].
- Oil & Gas Journal, 2003b, Statistics—Baker Hughes rig count: Oil & Gas Journal, [various issues], [variously paginated].
- Oil & Gas Journal, 2003c, Statistics—International rig count: Oil & Gas Journal, [various issues], [variously paginated].
- Oil & Gas Journal, 2004a, Industry scoreboard: Oil & Gas Journal, [various issues], [variously paginated].
- Oil & Gas Journal, 2004b, Statistics—Baker Hughes rig count: Oil & Gas Journal, [various issues], [variously paginated].
- Oil & Gas Journal, 2004c, Statistics—International rig count: Oil & Gas Journal, [various issues], [variously paginated].
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Engineering and Analysis Division, 1997, Final effluent limitations guidelines and standards for the coastal subcategory of the oil and gas extraction point source category; correction: Federal Register, v. 62, no. 8, January 13, p. 1681-1682.

Internet References Cited

- ExxonMobil Corporation, 2004, Taking on the world's toughest energy challenges, 2003 Summary annual report, accessed June 15, 2004, at URL <http://www.exxonmobil.com/corporate/files/corporate/ExxonMobilAR2003.pdf>.
- John S. Herold, Inc., 2004, Research snapshot, accessed July 18, 2004, at URL http://www.herold.com/freecoowa/ExpSrv634FREE/dbxwdevkit/xwd_init?custom/rrcsum.table.
- U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service, 2002, Study of barite solubility and release of trace components to the marine environment, MMS Environmental Studies Program—Ongoing studies, accessed June 16,

2004, at URL http://www.gomr.mms.gov/homepg/regulate/enviro/ongoing_studies/gm/GM-02-x17.html.

GENERAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION

U.S. Geological Survey Publications

- Barite. Ch. in Mineral Commodity Summaries, annual.
- Barite. Ch. in United States Mineral Resources, Professional Paper 820, 1973.
- Neff, J.M., 2002, Fates and effects of mercury from oil and gas exploration and production operations in the marine environment: Washington, DC, American Petroleum Institute, 136 p.
- Trefry, J.H., Trocine, R.P., McElvaine, M.L., and Rember, R.D., 2002, Concentrations of total mercury and methylmercury in sediment adjacent to offshore drilling sites in the Gulf of Mexico—Final report to the Synthetic Based Muds Research Group: Melbourne, FL, Florida Institute of Technology, October 25, 46 p. plus appendices.

Other

- Barite. Ch. in Mineral Facts and Problems, U.S. Bureau of Mines Bulletin 675, 1985.
- Barium Minerals. Ch. in Industrial Minerals and Rocks (6th ed.), Society for Mining, Metallurgy, and Exploration, Inc., Carr, D.D., ed., 1994.
- Engineering and Mining Journal Annual Review.
- Mining Engineering Annual Review.
- Mining Journal Annual Review.

TABLE 1
SALIENT BARITE STATISTICS^{1,2}

(Thousand metric tons and thousand dollars)

| | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
|--|---------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| United States: | | | | | |
| Barite, primary: | | | | | |
| Sold or used by producers: | | | | | |
| Quantity | 434 | 392 | 400 | 420 | 468 |
| Value | 11,100 | 9,840 | 11,000 | 12,200 | 13,900 |
| Exports: | | | | | |
| Quantity | 22 | 36 | 45 | 47 | 44 |
| Value | 2,750 | 4,180 | 5,330 | 4,230 | 4,620 |
| Imports for consumption: ³ | | | | | |
| Quantity | 871 | 2,100 | 2,510 | 1,540 | 1,650 |
| Value | 59,000 | 108,000 | 125,000 | 81,300 | 85,500 |
| Consumption, apparent ⁴ | 1,280 | 2,460 | 2,870 | 1,920 | 2,080 |
| Crushed and ground, sold or used by processors: ⁵ | | | | | |
| Quantity | 1,370 | 2,100 | 2,670 | 1,980 | 2,230 |
| Value | 108,000 | 159,000 | 206,000 | 151,000 | 165,000 |
| World, production | 6,160 | 6,490 ^r | 6,590 ^r | 6,020 ^r | 6,520 ^e |

^eEstimated. ^rRevised.

¹Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits.

²Barium chemicals data withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data.

³Includes crude and ground.

⁴Sold or used plus imports minus exports.

⁵Includes imports.

TABLE 2
CRUSHED AND GROUND BARITE SOLD OR USED BY PROCESSORS IN THE
UNITED STATES, BY STATE^{1,2}

| State | 2002 | | | 2003 | | |
|--------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| | Number of plants | Quantity (thousand metric tons) | Value (thousands) | Number of plants | Quantity (thousand metric tons) | Value (thousands) |
| Louisiana | 8 | 1,080 | \$82,400 | 8 | 1,070 | \$80,900 |
| Texas | 8 | 526 | 44,300 | 8 | 632 | 50,700 |
| Other ³ | 10 | 380 | 24,600 | 10 | 537 | 33,000 |
| Total | 26 | 1,980 | 151,000 | 26 | 2,230 | 165,000 |

¹Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

²Includes imports.

³Includes California, Georgia, Illinois, Missouri, Nevada, and Tennessee.

TABLE 3
CRUSHED AND GROUND BARITE SOLD OR USED BY PROCESSORS IN THE
UNITED STATES, BY USE^{1,2}

(Thousand metric tons and thousand dollars)

| Use | 2002 | | 2003 | |
|---|----------|---------|----------|---------|
| | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value |
| Barium chemicals, filler and/or extender, glass | 103 | 14,600 | 129 | 20,000 |
| Well drilling | 1,880 | 137,000 | 2,110 | 145,000 |
| Total | 1,980 | 151,000 | 2,230 | 165,000 |

¹Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

²Includes imports.

TABLE 4
U.S. EXPORTS OF NATURAL BARIUM SULFATE (BARITE), BY COUNTRY¹

| Country | 2002 | | 2003 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| | Quantity (metric tons) | Value (thousands) | Quantity (metric tons) | Value (thousands) |
| Angola | 45 | \$11 | -- | -- |
| Australia | 37 | 50 | -- | -- |
| Canada | 44,600 | 3,250 | 38,600 | \$3,480 |
| Chad | 185 | 138 | -- | -- |
| China | 32 | 27 | -- | -- |
| Colombia | 13 | 4 | -- | -- |
| Costa Rica | 39 | 9 | -- | -- |
| Ecuador | 18 | 3 | 4 | 20 |
| El Salvador | -- | -- | 3 | 7 |
| Finland | 62 | 23 | -- | -- |
| France | 6 | 4 | 17 | 8 |
| Germany | 16 | 32 | -- | -- |
| Iceland | 20 | 6 | -- | -- |
| Japan | 238 | 58 | 84 | 56 |
| Korea, Republic of | 5 | 3 | 7 | 9 |
| Kuwait | -- | -- | 40 | 8 |
| Lebanon | -- | -- | 42 | 21 |
| Mexico | 1,720 | 503 | 5,500 | 724 |
| Norway | 16 | 3 | -- | -- |
| Philippines | -- | -- | 14 | 20 |
| Poland | 32 | 15 | -- | -- |
| Portugal | 10 | 10 | -- | -- |
| South Africa | -- | -- | 16 | 248 |
| Spain | -- | -- | 1 | 3 |
| Switzerland | 3 | 15 | -- | -- |
| Trinidad and Tobago | -- | -- | 25 | 6 |
| Turkey | 4 | 31 | -- | -- |
| United Kingdom | 42 | 4 | 17 | 8 |
| Venezuela | 109 | 37 | 1 | 4 |
| Total | 47,200 | 4,230 | 44,400 | 4,620 |

-- Zero.

¹Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

TABLE 5
U.S. IMPORTS FOR CONSUMPTION OF BARITE, BY COUNTRY¹

| Country | 2002 | | 2003 | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | Quantity (metric tons) | Value ² (thousands) | Quantity (metric tons) | Value ² (thousands) |
| Barite, crude: | | | | |
| Chile | -- | -- | 100 | \$3 |
| China | 1,380,000 | \$57,000 | 1,530,000 | 64,200 |
| India | 77,000 | 3,570 | 87,100 | 3,910 |
| Morocco | 47,800 | 2,510 | -- | -- |
| Norway | -- | -- | 4,880 | 135 |
| Total | 1,510,000 | 63,100 | 1,620,000 | 68,200 |
| Barite, ground: | | | | |
| Canada | 22 | 3 | -- | -- |
| China | 5,150 | 591 | -- | -- |
| Hong Kong | -- | -- | 40 | 4 |
| Mexico | -- | -- | 134 | 10 |
| Total | 5,170 | 594 | 174 | 15 |
| Barite, other sulfates of: | | | | |
| Australia | 6 | 32 | 1 | 6 |
| Belgium | 82 | 158 | -- | -- |
| Canada | 552 | 542 | 406 | 366 |
| China | 14,700 | 3,150 | 16,300 | 3,550 |
| France | 59 | 39 | -- | -- |
| Germany | 10,000 | 9,410 | 11,000 | 9,900 |
| Italy | 4,180 | 2,330 | 4,180 | 2,260 |
| Japan | 992 | 1,410 | 579 | 919 |
| Mexico | 23 | 8 | -- | -- |
| Netherlands | -- | -- | 39 | 42 |
| Russia | 91 | 29 | -- | -- |
| Spain | 172 | 122 | 220 | 196 |
| Switzerland | 315 | 439 | 20 | 28 |
| Total | 31,200 | 17,700 | 32,800 | 17,300 |

-- Zero.

¹Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

²Cost, insurance, and freight value.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, as adjusted by the U.S. Geological Survey.

TABLE 6
U.S. IMPORTS FOR CONSUMPTION OF BARIUM CHEMICALS¹

| | 2002 | | 2003 | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | Quantity (metric tons) | Value ² (thousands) | Quantity (metric tons) | Value ² (thousands) |
| Barium chloride | 244 | \$122 | 270 | \$150 |
| Barium oxide, hydroxide, peroxide | 4,710 | 4,040 | 3,560 | 3,260 |
| Barium nitrate | 7,470 | 6,830 | 4,620 | 7,740 |
| Barium carbonate, precipitated | 18,400 | 6,030 | 9,810 | 4,860 |

¹Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits.

²Cost, insurance, and freight value.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

TABLE 7
BARITE: WORLD PRODUCTION, BY COUNTRY^{1,2}

(Metric tons)

| Country | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 ^c |
|--|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Afghanistan ^{e,3} | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| Algeria | 50,150 | 51,925 | 43,020 ^r | 51,733 ^r | 49,995 ⁴ |
| Argentina | 4,365 | 5,472 ^r | 6,955 ^r | 3,048 ^r | 3,261 ^p |
| Australia ^e | 18,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | -- ^r | 20,000 |
| Belgium ^e | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 |
| Bolivia | 6,005 | 3,050 | 6,253 | 1,556 ^r | 2,000 |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina ^{e,5} | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 1,851 ⁴ |
| Brazil, beneficiated | 44,906 | 53,741 | 54,790 ^r | 54,895 ^r | 55,000 |
| Bulgaria ^{e,6} | 120,000 | 120,000 | 100,000 | 90,000 | 75,000 |
| Burma | 24,651 | 30,370 | -- ^r | 18,000 ^r | 20,000 |
| Canada | 123,000 | 67,000 | 23,000 | 19,000 ^r | 23,000 |
| Chile | 823 | 1,026 | 584 | 384 ^r | 390 |
| China ^c | 3,500,000 | 3,500,000 | 3,600,000 | 3,100,000 | 3,500,000 |
| Colombia ^c | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Egypt ^c | 300 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| France | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 ^e | 75,000 ^e | 75,000 |
| Georgia ^c | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| Germany, marketable Ba ₂ SO ₄ ^c | 120,000 ⁴ | 120,000 | 120,000 | 120,000 | 120,000 |
| Greece, crude ore ^c | 800 | 800 | 800 | 800 | 800 |
| Guatemala ^e | 75 | 113 | 100 | 100 | 90 |
| India | 360,000 ^e | 840,000 | 850,000 ^e | 600,000 ^e | 700,000 |
| Iran ³ | 183,850 | 185,000 ^e | 195,539 ^r | 179,652 ^r | 150,000 |
| Italy ^c | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 |
| Kazakhstan ⁵ | 13,300 | 14,000 ^e | 45,000 | 46,000 ^r | 40,000 |
| Korea, North ^c | 70,000 | 70,000 | 70,000 | 70,000 | 70,000 |
| Korea, Republic of | -- | 30 | -- | -- | -- |
| Laos | 6,600 ^r | 2,000 | 1,700 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| Malaysia | 13,506 | 7,274 | 649 | 3,082 ^r | 300 |
| Mexico | 157,953 | 127,420 | 142,017 ^r | 163,620 ^r | 255,961 ^p |
| Morocco | 328,945 | 343,557 ^r | 471,102 ^r | 469,934 ^r | 356,394 ⁴ |
| Nigeria ^{e,7} | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| Pakistan | 20,505 | 21,234 | 22,000 ^e | 25,000 ^e | 25,000 |
| Peru | 3,512 | 11,403 | 11,031 | 3,806 ^r | 2,906 ⁴ |
| Poland | 500 | 2,000 | 2,500 | 2,700 | 3,000 |
| Romania, processed | 4,641 | 4,266 | 2,849 | 100 ^r | 2,000 |
| Russia ^c | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 |
| Saudi Arabia ^c | 7,000 | 8,000 | 9,000 | 9,000 | 9,000 |
| Slovakia, concentrate | 16,000 | 14,000 | 14,000 | 11,000 ^r | 14,000 |
| South Africa | 2,844 | 1,628 | -- ^e | -- ^e | -- |
| Spain, marketable Ba ₂ SO ₄ | 26,000 | 26,000 | 26,000 ^e | 26,000 | 26,000 |
| Thailand | 76,092 | 56,180 | 23,559 | 137,469 ^r | 130,000 |
| Tunisia | 530 | 3,702 | 2,208 | 5,539 | 5,000 |
| Turkey, run of mine | 150,058 | 120,893 | 53,373 ^r | 106,843 ^r | 110,000 |
| United Kingdom | 59,000 | 55,000 | 60,000 ^e | 60,000 ^e | 60,000 |
| United States ⁸ | 434,000 | 392,000 | 400,000 ^e | 420,000 | 468,000 ⁴ |
| Total | 6,160,000 | 6,490,000 ^r | 6,590,000 ^r | 6,020,000 ^r | 6,520,000 |

^cEstimated. ^pPreliminary. ^rRevised. -- Zero.

¹World totals, U.S. data, and estimated data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

²Table includes data available through June 10, 2004.

³Data are for fiscal year beginning March 21 of that stated.

⁴Reported figure.

⁵Based on an estimated 70% recovery factor.

⁶Barite concentrates.

⁷Considerably more barite is produced, but its considered to be commercially unusable.

⁸Sold or used by producers.